

## XLSTAT

Correlation matrix (Pearson):		
Variables	Shoe Print	Height
Shoe Print	<b>1</b>	<b>0.8129</b>
Height	<b>0.8129</b>	<b>1</b>
Values in bold are different from 0 with a significance level alpha=0.05		
p-values:		
Variables	Shoe Print	Height
Shoe Print	<b>0</b>	<b>&lt; 0.0001</b>
Height	<b>&lt; 0.0001</b>	<b>0</b>
Values in bold are different from 0 with a significance level alpha=0.05		

## Solution

**Requirement check** (1) The sample is a simple random sample of quantitative data. (2) The points in the scatterplot of Figure 10-1(b) roughly approximate a straight-line pattern. (3) There are no outliers that are far away from almost all of the other pairs of data. ✓

**Using Software:** The  $P$ -value obtained from XLSTAT is less than 0.0001. Because the  $P$ -value is less than or equal to 0.05, we conclude that there is sufficient evidence to support a claim of a linear correlation between the lengths of shoe prints and heights.

**Using Table A-6:** If we refer to Table A-6 with  $n = 40$  pairs of sample data, we obtain the critical values of  $-0.312$  and  $0.312$  for  $\alpha = 0.05$ . Because the computed value of  $r = 0.813$  does exceed the critical value of  $0.312$  from Table A-6, the computed value of  $r$  lies in the right tail beyond the rightmost critical value of  $0.312$ , so we conclude that there is sufficient evidence to support a claim of a linear correlation between the lengths of shoe prints and heights.

Example 4 used only five pairs of data and we concluded that there is *not* sufficient evidence to support the conclusion that there is a linear correlation between shoe print lengths and heights of people, but this example uses 40 pairs of data and here we conclude that there *is* sufficient evidence to support the conclusion that there is a linear correlation between shoe print lengths and heights of people. This larger data set provided the additional evidence that enabled us to support the presence of a linear correlation. Such is the power of larger data sets.

Interpreting  $r$ : Explained Variation

If we conclude that there is a linear correlation between  $x$  and  $y$ , we can find a linear equation that expresses  $y$  in terms of  $x$ , and that equation can be used to predict values of  $y$  for given values of  $x$ . In Section 10-3 we will describe a procedure for finding such equations and show how to predict values of  $y$  when given values of  $x$ . But a predicted value of  $y$  will not necessarily be the exact result that occurs because in addition to  $x$ , there are other factors affecting  $y$ , such as random variation and other characteristics not included in the study. In Section 10-4 we will present a rationale and more details about this principle:

**The value of  $r^2$  is the proportion of the variation in  $y$  that is explained by the linear relationship between  $x$  and  $y$ .**