

The Borel-Cantelli Lemma and its Applications

Alan M. Falleur

Department of Mathematics and Statistics
The University of New Mexico
Albuquerque, New Mexico, USA

Ding Li

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering
The University of New Mexico
Albuquerque, New Mexico, USA

Yuan Yan

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering
The University of New Mexico
Albuquerque, New Mexico, USA

October 13, 2010

Abstract

We state and prove the Borel-Cantelli lemma and use the result to prove another proposition.

1 Definitions and Identities

Definition 1 *Let $\{E_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be a countable family of measurable subsets. The limit supremum of $\{E_k\}$ is the set*

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} (E_k) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : x \in E_k \text{ for infinitely many } k\}$$

Proposition 1 *The following identity holds:*

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} (E_k) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} E_k$$

Proof. Assume that $x \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} E_k$. So,

$$x \in \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k \right) \cap \left(\bigcup_{k=2}^{\infty} E_k \right) \cap \left(\bigcup_{k=3}^{\infty} E_k \right) \cap \dots$$

Suppose that $x \notin \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} (E_k)$. By definition, this means that there is a positive integer k_0 such that for all $k \geq k_0$, $x \notin E_k$. Hence, $x \notin \bigcup_{k=k_0}^{\infty} E_k$.
 $\rightarrow \leftarrow$ Therefore, $x \in \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} (E_k)$. This means that

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} (E_k) \supset \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} E_k$$

Conversely, assume that $x \in \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} (E_k)$. This means that x belongs to E_k for infinitely many k . That is to say, x continuously reappears as an element in a set of the sequence E_k . Then it is evident that $x \in \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k$. It is equally evident that $x \in \bigcup_{k=2}^{\infty} E_k$, $x \in \bigcup_{k=3}^{\infty} E_k$, and so on. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} x &\in \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k \right) \cap \left(\bigcup_{k=2}^{\infty} E_k \right) \cap \left(\bigcup_{k=3}^{\infty} E_k \right) \cap \dots \\ &\in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} E_k \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} (E_k) \subset \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} E_k$$

Thus,

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} (E_k) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} E_k$$

□

2 The Borel-Cantelli lemma and applications

Lemma 1 (Borel-Cantelli) *Let $\{E_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a countable family of measurable subsets of \mathbb{R}^d such that*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m(E_k) < \infty$$

Then $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} (E_k)$ is measurable and has measure zero.

Proof. Given the identity,

$$E = \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} (E_k) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} E_k$$

Since each E_k is a measurable subset of \mathbb{R}^d , $\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} E_k$ is measurable for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and so $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} E_k$ is measurable as well, Stein [1]. Therefore, E is measurable.

Suppose that $m(E) = \epsilon > 0$. Then

$$0 < \epsilon < m(E) = m\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} E_k\right)$$

Since for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $E \subset \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} E_k$, by the monotonicity property, Stein [1],

$$m(E) \leq m\left(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} E_k\right)$$

By the countable sub-additivity property, Stein [1], for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$m(E) = m\left(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} E_k\right) \leq \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} m(E_k)$$

By assumption, $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m(E_k) < \infty$. It follows that the tail of the series can be made arbitrarily small. In other words, for any $\delta > 0$, there is an $N' \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\sum_{k=N'}^{\infty} m(E_k) < \delta$$

However, if we choose $\delta = \epsilon/2$, we have

$$0 < \epsilon < m(E) \leq \sum_{k=N'}^{\infty} m(E_k) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

$\rightarrow \leftarrow$

Therefore, $m(E) = 0$.

□

Proposition 2 *Let $\{f_n(x)\}$ be a sequence of measurable functions on $[0,1]$ with $|f_n(x)| < \infty$ for a.e. $x \in [0,1]$. Then there exists a sequence $\{c_n\}$ of positive real numbers such that*

$$\frac{f_n(x)}{c_n} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{a.e. } x \in [0,1]$$

Proof. Given a sequence of positive numbers $\{c_n\}$, consider the set

$$E_n = \left\{ x \in [0,1] : \frac{|f_n(x)|}{c_n} > \frac{1}{n} \right\}$$

Suppose that there is no sequence of positive numbers $\{c_n\}$ such that $m(E_n) \leq 2^{-n}$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\{c_n\}$ is a sequence of positive numbers. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then it follows that for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$m(A_N) = m\left(\left\{ x \in [0,1] : \frac{|f_n(x)|}{N} > \frac{1}{n} \right\}\right) > 2^{-n}$$

$$m(A_N) = m\left(\left\{ x \in [0,1] : |f_n(x)| > \frac{N}{n} \right\}\right) > 2^{-n}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 &= \{x \in [0, 1] : |f_n(x)| > \frac{1}{n}\} \\
A_2 &= \{x \in [0, 1] : |f_n(x)| > \frac{2}{n}\} \\
A_3 &= \{x \in [0, 1] : |f_n(x)| > \frac{3}{n}\} \\
&\vdots \\
A_\infty &= \{x \in [0, 1] : |f_n(x)| = \infty\}
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that this is a decreasing sequence of sets: $A_1 \supset A_2 \supset A_3 \supset \dots$. Since A_∞ is a subset of each A_N , $A_\infty = \bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} A_N$. Hence, $m(\bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} A_N) = m(A_\infty)$, and so

$$2^{-n} < m\left(\bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} A_N\right) = m(A_\infty)$$

However, by assumption $m(A_\infty) = 0$. $\rightarrow \leftarrow$ Therefore, there is a sequence of positive numbers $\{c_n\}$ such that

$$m(E_n) = m(\{x \in [0, 1] : \frac{|f_n(x)|}{c_n} > \frac{1}{n}\}) \leq 2^{-n}$$

Thus the series converges by comparison to a geometric series:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m(E_k) &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \\
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m(E_k) &\leq 1 \\
&< \infty
\end{aligned}$$

According to the Borel-Cantelli lemma then, $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_n$ has measure zero. By definition,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (E_n) = \{x : x \in E_n \text{ for infinitely many } n\}$$

So if $x \in \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty}(E_n)$, then x is a number in $[0,1]$ such that for infinitely many n ,

$$\frac{|f_n(x)|}{c_n} > \frac{1}{n}$$

Negating this statement, if $x \notin \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty}(E_n)$, then there is a $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|f_n(x)|/c_n \leq 1/n$ for all $n \geq k_0$. By comparison then, $\{|f_n(x)|/c_n\}$ would converge to 0 since $\{1/n\}$ converges to 0. Therefore, since $m(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty}(E_n)) = 0$, the conclusion is

$$\frac{f_n(x)}{c_n} \rightarrow 0 \quad a.e. \ x \in [0, 1]$$

References

- [1] E. M. Stein and R. Shakarchi. *Real Analysis*. Princeton University Press, Princeton and Oxford, 2005.