

is strictly larger than  $j_1$ . Therefore we can produce an infinite sequence of integers  $j_1 < j_2 < j_3 < \dots$  such that  $x \in A_{j_i}$  for all  $i$ . Let  $E$  be the event  $\{x : x \in A_i, \text{i.o.}\}$ . By the definition of  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n$  we have

$$E = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty} A_i$$

From  $E \subset B_k$  for all  $k$ , it follows that  $P(E) \leq P(B_k)$  for all  $k$ . By the property of union bound, we have that  $P(B_k) \leq \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} P(A_i)$ . By hypothesis since  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i)$  is finite and hence  $P(B_k) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore  $P(E) = 0$ . ■

**Proof** (Borel-Cantelli 2) Let  $E$  denote the set of samples that are in  $A_i$  infinitely often. We have to show that complement of  $E$  (denoted by  $E^c$ ) has probability zero.

Taking the complement of  $E$  we find using the definition in the proof of Borel-Cantelli 1) and DeMorgan's laws

$$E^c = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{i=k}^{\infty} A_i^c$$

But for each  $k$ , assuming that the  $A_i$ 's are independent,

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\bigcup_{i=k}^{\infty} A_i^c\right) &= \prod_{i=k}^{\infty} P(A_i^c) \\ &= \prod_{i=k}^{\infty} (1 - P(A_i)) \end{aligned}$$

The inequality  $1 - a \leq e^{-a}$  and the assumption that the sum of  $P(A_i)$  diverges together imply that

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i=k}^{\infty} A_i^c\right) \leq \exp\left(-\sum_{i=k}^{\infty} P(A_i)\right) = 0$$

Therefore  $E^c$  is a union of countable number of events, each of them has probability zero. So  $P(E^c) = 0$ . ■

### 14.13 Partitioned Matrices

Consider the matrix given by

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $A$  and  $D$  are square matrices not necessarily of the same size and  $B$  and  $C$  are not necessarily square matrices. Assume  $A$  and  $D$  have inverses  $A^{-1}$  and  $D^{-1}$  respectively. Multiply the top row by  $CA^{-1}$  and subtract that result from the bottom row. The resulting matrix is

$$M' = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & D - CA^{-1}B \end{bmatrix}$$

Now this type of transformation can be thought of as a particular type of elementary row operation that leaves the determinant of  $M$  unchanged:  $\det M = \det M'$ . Using the notation  $|M|$  as a shorthand for  $\det M$  we can conclude

$$|M| = |A| \cdot |D - CA^{-1}B|.$$

By doing a similar row operation by multiplying the second row by  $BD^{-1}$  and subtracting it from the top row we obtain

$$M'' = \begin{bmatrix} A - BD^{-1}C & 0 \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$$

So we also have that  $\det M = \det M''$  and hence

$$|M| = |D| \cdot |A - BD^{-1}C|.$$

The above identities are handy in dealing with some of the applications of stochastic processes to the problem of filtering.

Let us develop a couple of interesting matrix identities which occur in filters. We will develop these identities by performing the inversion procedure on the matrix  $M$  using the following work matrix to keep track of the inversion steps:

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cc|cc} A & B & I & 0 \\ C & D & 0 & I \end{array} \right],$$

First subtract  $CA^{-1}$  times the first row from the second row and multiply the first row by  $A^{-1}$ . The work matrix becomes:

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cc|cc} I & A^{-1}B & A^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & D - CA^{-1}B & -CA^{-1} & I \end{array} \right],$$

Next subtract  $A^{-1}B[D - CA^{-1}B]^{-1}$  times the second row from the first row and multiply the second row by  $[D - CA^{-1}B]^{-1}$ . The work matrix now becomes:

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cc|cc} I & 0 & A^{-1} - A^{-1}B[D - CA^{-1}B]^{-1}CA^{-1} & -A^{-1}B[D - CA^{-1}B]^{-1} \\ 0 & I & -[D - CA^{-1}B]^{-1}CA^{-1} & [D - CA^{-1}B]^{-1} \end{array} \right],$$

Now, in order to discover the interesting identities let us use an alternative ordering of row operations. In this second case we first subtract  $BD^{-1}$  times the second row from the first row and multiply the second row by  $D^{-1}$ . The work matrix becomes:

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cc|cc} A - BD^{-1}C & 0 & I & -BD^{-1} \\ D^{-1}C & I & 0 & D^{-1} \end{array} \right],$$

Next subtract  $D^{-1}C[A - BD^{-1}C]^{-1}$  times the first row from the second row and multiply the first row by  $[A - BD^{-1}C]^{-1}$ . The work matrix now becomes:

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c|c} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{cc} [A - BD^{-1}C]^{-1} & -[A - BD^{-1}C]^{-1}BD^{-1} \\ -D^{-1}C[A - BD^{-1}C]^{-1} & D^{-1} - D^{-1}C[A - BD^{-1}C]^{-1}BD^{-1} \end{array} \right],$$

Since these are two ways of obtaining the inverse of  $M$ , the matrix elements must be equal term-by-term. Hence we obtain some interesting identities. For example:

$$[A - BD^{-1}C]^{-1} = A^{-1} - A^{-1}B[D - CA^{-1}B]^{-1}CA^{-1}. \quad (71)$$

Let us apply the ideas of joint random variables, conditional probability and expectation to a random  $(n + m)$ -vector  $Z^T = [X^T, Y^T]$ , where  $X$  is an  $n$ -vector and  $Y$  is an  $m$ -vector and assume that  $Z$  is a multivariate Gaussian  $Z \sim N(m_Z, P_{ZZ})$  (with  $m_Z = E[Z]$  is a vector of means and  $P_{ZZ} = E[(z - m_Z)(z - m_Z)^T]$  is the covariance matrix). This also assumes that  $X$  and  $Y$  are jointly random Gaussian variables. Then  $Z$  has a probability density function given by

$$f_Z(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^{n+m}|P_{ZZ}|}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}(z - m_Z)^T P_{ZZ}^{-1}(z - m_Z) \right\}. \quad (72)$$

Now we can use the partition matrix approach given in Section [14.13] to write the covariance matrix  $P_{ZZ}$  as

$$P_{ZZ} = E[(z - m_Z)(z - m_Z)^T] = \begin{bmatrix} E[(X - m_X)(X - m_X)^T] & E[(X - m_X)(Y - m_Y)^T] \\ E[(Y - m_Y)(X - m_X)^T] & E[(Y - m_Y)(Y - m_Y)^T] \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} P_{XX} & P_{XY} \\ P_{YX} & P_{YY} \end{bmatrix}$$

Then we have

**Theorem 14.14** *If the random vectors  $X$  and  $Y$  are distributed according to Eq. [72] then  $X$  and/or  $Y$  is also a multivariate Gaussian (with appropriate parameters).*

**Proof** Let show the theorem holds for  $Y$ . Accordingly let us consider a transformation of variables to  $W^T = [W_1^T, W_2^T]$  such that

$$W_1 = X - P_{XY}P_{YY}^{-1}Y, \quad W_2 = Y.$$

Observe that the Jacobian of the above transformation is 1.

From Theorem [5.1]  $W$  is normally distributed. Let us compute  $E[(W_1 - E[W_1])(W_2 - E[W_2])]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} E[W_1] &= m_X - P_{XY}P_{YY}^{-1}m_Y \\ W_1 - E[W_1] &= (X - m_X) - P_{XY}P_{YY}^{-1}(Y - m_Y) \\ E[W_2] &= m_Y \\ W_2 - E[W_2] &= (Y - m_Y) \end{aligned}$$