

# **Protocol Audit Report**

Version 1.0

# **Protocol Audit Report**

John Umoru

August 13, 2025

Prepared by: John Umoru Lead Auditors: - John Umoru

# **Table of Contents**

- Table of Contents
- Protocol Summary
- Disclaimer
- Risk Classification
- Audit Details
  - Scope
  - Roles
- Executive Summary
  - Issues found
- Findings
  - High
    - \* [H-1] Storing the password on chain makes it visible to anyone, thus not private.
    - \* [H-2] Anyone can call the setPassword function, thus allowing any user to set the password.
  - Informational
    - \* [I-1] The PasswordStore::getPassword natspec indicates a parameter that doesn't exist, causing the natspec to be incorrect.
  - Gas
    - \* [G-1] PasswordStore::s\_owner storage variable is not set to immutable

# **Protocol Summary**

PasswordStore is a smart contract application for storing a password. Users should be able to store a password and then retrieve it later. Idealy, there should not be able to access the password.

#### **Disclaimer**

John Umoru makes all effort to find as many vulnerabilities in the code in the given time period, but holds no responsibilities for the findings provided in this document. A security audit by the team is not an endorsement of the underlying business or product. The audit was time-boxed and the review of the code was solely on the security aspects of the Solidity implementation of the contracts.

# **Risk Classification**

		Impact		
		High	Medium	Low
Likelihood	High	Н	H/M	М
	Medium	H/M	М	M/L
	Low	М	M/L	L

We use the CodeHawks severity matrix to determine severity. See the documentation for more details.

#### **Audit Details**

#### The findings described in this document corresponds with the following commit hash:

1 2e8f81e263b3a9d18fab4fb5c46805ffc10a9990

### Scope

./src/ #- PasswordStore.sol

#### **Roles**

Owner: The person who deployed the contract.

Outsiders: Other blockchain users.

# **Executive Summary**

John Umoru conducted the audit in 1 hour, using manual review and the forge library, in which he found 2 High 1 informational and 1 gas saving issue.

#### **Issues found**

Severity	Number Of Issues
High	2
Medium	0
Low	0
Info	1
Gas	0
Total	3

# **Findings**

#### High

[H-1] Storing the password on chain makes it visible to anyone, thus not private.

**Description** All data on chain is visible to anyone the storage variable PasswordStore:: spassword is intended to be private and only viewed by the owner of the contract using the PasswordStore::getPassword() function.

**Impact** Anyone can read the private password, thus breaking the main functionality of the contract.

#### **Proof of Concept**

1. Deploy the contract: make deploy

- 2. retrieve the cleartext password that was deployed with the script from the blockchain by reading the storage slot: cast storage 0x5FbDB2315678afecb367f032d93F642f64180aa3 1
- 3. convert the retrieved bytes to string: cast parse-bytes32-string 0x50617373776f726431330000

#### **Recommended Mitigation:**

The overall architecture of the contract should be rethought. One could encrypt the password off-chain, and then store the encrypted password on-chain.

This would require the user to remember another password off-chain to decrypt the stored password. However, you're also likely want to remove the view function as you wouldn't want the user to accidentally send a transaction with this decryption key.

## [H-2] Anyone can call the setPassword function, thus allowing any user to set the password.

**Description** there is no access control set on the PasswordStore::setPassword() function. this means any user can call this function to set the password of the contract owner.

**Impact** Anyone can set the password, thus breaking the integrity component of the password stored.

#### **Proof of Concept**

Add the following to the PasswordStore.t.sol file

code

```
function testanyonecansetPassword(address anyone) public {
    vm.assume(anyone != owner);

    vm.prank(anyone);
    string memory newpassword = "johnumoruisaking";
    passwordStore.setPassword(newpassword);
    vm.prank(owner);
    string memory expectedpassword = passwordStore.getPassword();
    assertEq(newpassword, expectedpassword);
}
```

#### OR

- 1. Deploy the contract: make deploy
- 2. setthe password using cast send 0x5FbDB2315678afecb367f032d93F642f64180aa3
   "setPassword(string)""Password13"--rpc-url http://127.0.0.1:8545
   --account default
- 3. retrieve the cleartext password that was deployed with the script from the blockchain by reading the storage slot:

```
cast storage 0x5FbDB2315678afecb367f032d93F642f64180aa3 1
```

4. convert the retrieved bytes to string to confirm password was stored using: cast parse-bytes32-string <returned bytes>

#### **Recommended Mitigation:**

Attach an if statement to only allow calls by the owner of the contract as seen below:

code

```
function setPassword(string memory newPassword) external {
   if (msg.sender != sowner) {
      revert PasswordStoreNotOwner();
   }
   spassword = newPassword;
   emit SetNetPassword();
  }
}
```

#### **Informational**

[I-1] The PasswordStore: : getPassword natspec indicates a parameter that doesn't exist, causing the natspec to be incorrect.

#### **Description:**

```
1 /*
2 * @notice This allows only the owner to retrieve the password.
3 @> * @param newPassword The new password to set.
4 */
5 function getPassword() external view returns (string memory) {}
```

The PasswordStore: :getPassword function signature is getPassword() while the natspec says it should be getPassword(string).

**Impact:** The natspec is incorrect

#### **Recommended Mitigation:**

remove the incorrect natspec

```
    * @notice This allows only the owner to retrieve the password.
    * @param newPassword The new password to set.
```

#### Gas

## [G-1] PasswordStore::s\_owner storage variable is not set to immutable

## **Description:**

```
1 @> address private s_owner;
2 string private s_password;
```

the variable PasswordStore::s\_owner can be set to an immutable variable which will reduce gas costs.

**Impact:** It costs more gas to call the storage variable.

# **Recommended Mitigation:** update code

```
1 - address private s_owner;
2 + address private immutable i_owner;
```