galois

Lab: Memory Safety

Recall the C function saf is defined like this in saf.c:

```
uint8_t* saf (uint8_t *a, uint8_t b[10]) {
   uint8_t i,x;
   if (*a < 0 || *a > 9) *a = 0;
   else *a = b[*a];
   return a;
}
```

and the Cryptol function saf is defined like this in saf.cry:

```
saf : [1][8] -> [10][8] -> [1][8]
saf a b = if a@0 > 9 then [0]
else if a@0 < 0 then [0]
else [(b@(a@0))]
```

Consider the small change where instead of a pointer output there is just a uint8_t type in saf.c and instead of a sequence output there is just a [8] type output in saf.cry. The saw file must change accordingly. The current saf.saw file produces output with

```
llvm_points_to pidx (llvm_term {{ saf idx arr }});
```

But now the return value is not a pointer so just the following is sufficient instead:

```
llvm_return (llvm_term {{ saf idx arr }});
```

Exercise 1:

The C function saf is changed to this:

```
uint8_t saf (uint8_t *a, uint8_t b[10]) {
   uint8_t x;
   if (*a < 0 || *a > 9) x = 0;
   else x = b[*a];
   return x;
}
```

The Cryptol saf function is changed to this for compatibility:

Change saf.saw to prove that the C function is safe and equivalent to the Cryptol function. Be sure to use :safe on the Cryptol function and use clang to generate the llvm bitcode for the C function. ■

Consider another small change where, instead of uint8_t *a as the first argument to the C function saf, there is just uint8_t a and instead of the signature of the Cryptol saf function being:

```
saf : [1][8] -> [10][8] -> [8] it becomes:
```

```
saf : [8] -> [10][8] -> [8]
```

Then instead of the following lines in saf.saw:

```
idx <- llvm_fresh_var "index" (llvm_array 1 (llvm_int 8));
pidx <- llvm_alloc (llvm_array 1 (llvm_int 8));
llvm_points_to pidx (llvm_term idx);</pre>
```

which are needed when the first argument of saf is a pointer, the following line becomes a replacement for them:

```
idx <- llvm_fresh_var "index" (llvm_int 8);</pre>
```

Exercise 2:

The C function saf is changed to this:

```
uint8_t saf (uint8_t a, uint8_t b[10]) {
   uint8_t x;
   if (a < 0 || a > 9) x = 0;
   else x = b[a];
   return x;
}
```

The Cryptol saf function is changed to this for compatibility:

Change saf.saw to prove that the C function is safe and equivalent to the Cryptol function. Be sure to use :safe on the Cryptol function and use clang to generate the llvm bitcode for the C function. ■

Cryptol file zero.cry contains the following two functions:

```
usaf : [8] -> [8] -> [8]
usaf a b = (a/b):[8]
saf : [8] -> [8] -> [8]
saf a b = if b == 0 then 1 else (a/b)
```

Function saf has protection against a divide by 0 but usaf does not. Consider the C function saf in file zero.c:

```
uint8_t saf (uint8_t x, uint8_t y) {
    if (y == 0) return 1;
    else return x/y;
}
and function usaf in file uzero.c:
    uint8_t usaf (uint8_t x, uint8_t y) {
        return x/y;
    }
```

Exercise 3:

Apply: safe to both functions in zero.cry. What is the result? Create a saw file named zero.saw that verifies the C function saf is equivalent to the Cryptol function saf. Run saw zero.saw and display the result. Create a file named uzero.saw that shows the C function usaf cannot be verified safe against the Cryptol saf function. Run saw uzero.saw and display the result.

The following three C functions are equivalent and return a count of the '1' bits in a word:

```
/* From Henry S. Warren Jr.'s Hacker's Delight */
int pop_count(uint32_t x) {
   x = x - ((x >> 1) \& 0x55555555);
   x = (x \& 0x33333333) + ((x >> 2) \& 0x33333333);
   x = (x + (x >> 4)) \& 0x0F0F0F0F;
   x = x + (x >> 8);
   x = x + (x >> 16);
   return x & 0x0000003F;
}
/* A version of popcount that uses multiplication */
int pop_count_mul(uint32_t x) {
   x = x - ((x >> 1) \& 0x55555555);
   x = (x \& 0x33333333) + ((x >> 2) \& 0x33333333);
   x = ((x + (x >> 4)) \& 0x0F0F0F0F);
   return (x * 0x01010101) >> 24;
}
/* A version of popcount that uses an indefinite while loop(!) */
int pop_count_sparse(uint32_t x) {
  int n;
   n = 0;
   while (x != 0) {
      n = n + 1;
      x = x & (x - 1);
   return n;
}
```

Exercise 4:

Put the above functions in a C file named popcount.c.

Run clang to get the llvm bitcode of these functions in file popcount.bc.

Write a Cryptol function that does what the above functions do in popcount.cry.

Show that this function is safe,

Write a SAW file popcount . saw that proves equivalence of all functions, C and Cryptol. Run the SAW file.