



# A walk through moduli space with SLags

John Xihua Liu Anta

Universidad Autónoma de Madrid  
Instituto de Física Teórica

Adviser: Wieland Staessens

Madrid, 2017

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Generalities of type IIA string theory</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Type IIA compactifications</b>	<b>8</b>
3.1	Type IIA on Calabi-Yau manifolds . . . . .	10
3.2	Type IIA on Calabi-Yau orientifolds . . . . .	19
<b>4</b>	<b>Type IIA on the quintic</b>	<b>23</b>
4.1	SLags on Fermat's quintic . . . . .	23
4.2	SLags on the deformed quintic . . . . .	30
<b>5</b>	<b>Conclusion and outlook</b>	<b>35</b>
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>36</b>

# Chapter 1

## Introduction

If String Theory is destined to unify all (known) forces in our universe, its equations of motion should allow for solutions that describe our observable world. One of the goals within String Phenomenology is to obtain the Standard Model as a low-energy effective field theory from a consistent String Theory compactification. In the past three decades, a lot of work has been done to achieve this goal by exploring the various corners of the String Theory web. One of these promising corners is taken by Calabi-Yau orientifold compactifications of Type IIA string theory with intersecting D6-branes. Most of the developments in this corner resulted from toroidal orientifold compactifications. But from the perspective of D6-brane model building, it is always useful to extend the compactification backgrounds to smooth Calabi-Yau's. In this thesis we consider a well-known Calabi-Yau manifold, Fermat's quintic, and study its potential usefulness with respect to Type IIA model building with intersecting D6-branes.

The relevant objects we need to consider model building via intersecting D6-branes, are the special Lagrangian three-cycles on the quintic. Their construction alone does not suffice, as we also need to know whether these three-cycles, on which the D6-branes wrap, are rigid or can be continuously deformed. To obtain the chiral spectrum for the intersecting D6-branes, we require the topological intersection numbers between the special-Lagrangian three-cycles, while their volume encodes more physical information about the gauge group living on the D6-branes. We will study these aspects for the quintic, both at the Fermat point and away from the Fermat point through a deformation of the quintic.

The outline of this thesis is as follows. In chapter 1 we describe type IIA string theory and D6-branes in flat space, following mostly the textbook [1] and references therein.. In chapter 2 we review type IIA compactifications, in particular, Calabi-Yau orientifolds, with the aid of differential geometry tools. This chapter is based on the textbooks [1][2][3]. In chapter 3 we study the quintic and its implications to model building. Finally, in chapter 4 we summarize and discuss some additional aspects which ought to be investigated in the near future.

## Chapter 2

# Generalities of type IIA string theory

The study of string theory in Minkowski spacetime has lead to the identification of five consistent string theories, which all turn out to be supersymmetric and give rise to massless bosonic and fermionic excitations in their spectrum. The five string theories were given their name according to their own specificities: Type heterotic HE and HO, Type I, Type IIB and Type IIA string theory. In this thesis we will only concentrate on the last one in the list.

### Type IIA spectrum

Type IIA string theory requires ten space-time dimensions to be consistent. Furthermore, it has a 10-dimensional supersymmetry with 32 supercharges, which corresponds to  $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$  supersymmetry. The bosonic spectrum of Type IIA on a flat ten-dimensional space-time results upon the correct quantization of the two-dimensional string theory. The two-fold choice of boundary conditions in the supersymmetric string, Ramond (R) or Neveu-Schwarz (NS) conditions, allows for rich spectrum of states that needs to be classified in terms of representations under the space-time group  $SO(9, 1)$ . There is an infinite tower of massive string states (whose mass is inversely proportional to the string length  $\ell_s$ ), but we restrict the discussion to the massless states only. In the NS-NS sector, we find the dilaton  $\phi$ , a two-form  $B_2$  and a graviton  $G_{\mu\nu}$ , while in the R-R sector we identify the 1- and 3-forms  $c_1, c_3$ . The fermions, which

belong to the NS-R and R-NS sectors, are two opposite-chirality gravitinos  $\psi$  and two opposite-chirality dilatinos  $\lambda$ .

### Type IIA SUGRA

The low-energy theory of the ten-dimensional type IIA string theory is type IIA supergravity (SUGRA). The spectrum of type IIA SUGRA is the massless spectrum of type IIA string theory and the effective action yields

$$2\kappa^2 S = \int d^{10}x \sqrt{-G} \left[ e^{-2\phi} \left( R + 4\partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - \frac{1}{2} |H_3|^2 \right) - \frac{1}{2} |F_2|^2 - \frac{1}{2} |\tilde{F}_4|^2 \right] \quad (2.1)$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \int B_2 \wedge F_4 \wedge F_4 \quad (2.2)$$

where we employ the following definitions:  $H_3 = dB_2$ ,  $F_2 = dC_1$ ,  $F_4 = dC_3$ ,  $\tilde{F}_4 = dC_3 - C_1 \wedge H_3$  and  $2\kappa^2 = (2\pi)^7 \alpha'^4$ .  $\alpha'$  is known as the Regge slope which is a free parameter of string theory related to the string length as  $\ell_s = 2\pi\sqrt{\alpha'}$ .

### The D-brane

The two-dimensional strings can be generalized to  $(p+1)$ -dimensional extended objects, which are called Dirichlet  $p$ -branes or  $Dp$ -branes for short. Thus, a D1-brane would correspond to a D-string, a D2-brane would be a three-dimensional membrane and so on. The existence of  $Dp$ -branes can be motivated, in the weak string coupling limit, as objects on which open strings end, such that they correspond to physical configurations described in terms of Dirichlet boundary conditions for open strings. In type IIA string theory, only even-dimensional  $Dp$ -branes are physical: the D0, D2, D4, D6 and D8-branes.

We can study the dynamics of a  $Dp$ -branes in terms of the open string excitations with endpoints attached to the  $Dp$ -brane. Let us consider the open string excitations of a  $Dp$ -brane, the latter spanning  $p+1$  dimensions and transverse to  $d-p-1$  dimensions. The presence of the  $Dp$ -brane breaks the ten-dimensional Poincaré invariance of the theory, while open string excitations propagate on the  $(p+1)$ -dimensional volume of the  $Dp$ -brane only. This implies that massless particles must transform under irreducible representations of the D-brane worldvolume little group  $SO(p-2)$  instead of the space-time

little group  $SO(d-2)$ . The massless spectrum in  $(p+1)$  dimensions of the open supersymmetric string is composed of a gauge boson  $A^\mu$  ( $\mu = 0, \dots, p$ ) (corresponding to longitudinal oscillations to the brane),  $9-p$  real scalars  $\phi^i$  (corresponding to transverse oscillations to the brane) and some fermions<sup>1</sup>  $\lambda_a$ . This particle content can be arranged into a vector supermultiplet of  $U(1)$  with 16 supersymmetries in  $(p+1)$  dimensions. Thus, a  $Dp$ -brane reduces the degree of supersymmetry of the type IIA theory by a half.

In order to find out the action of a  $Dp$ -brane we must realize that it corresponds to the  $(p+1)$ -dimensional effective action of the massless open string excitations of the  $Dp$ -brane. As an illustration of this, a  $Dp$ -brane breaks the translational symmetry of the vacuum, which allows us to conclude that the  $\phi^i$  scalar fields are the Goldstone bosons associated to the broken symmetry. The vev of these scalar fields determine the position of the  $Dp$ -brane in the transverse space, and the fluctuations of the scalar fields determine the evolution of the  $Dp$ -brane worldvolume  $W_{p+1}$  (the generalization of the particle worldline to the case of higher-dimensional branes). The resulting action of the bosonic sector of the  $Dp$ -brane is the sum of a Dirac-Born-Infeld term  $S_{DBI}$  and a Chern-Simons term  $S_{CS}$ .

The DBI term carries the information on how a  $Dp$ -brane interacts with the NSNS fields. It takes the form

$$S_{DBI} = -\mu_p \int d^{p+1}x e^{-\phi} \sqrt{-\det(P[G+B] - 2\pi\alpha' F)} \quad (2.3)$$

where the space-time gauge field strength is  $F = dA_1$ , the coefficient  $\mu_p$  is

$$\mu_p = \frac{(\alpha')^{-(p+1)/2}}{(2\pi)^p} \quad (2.4)$$

and we define the pullback of a tensor  $G$  into the brane worldvolume as

$$P[G]_{\mu\nu} = G_{\mu\nu} + G_{\mu i} \partial_\nu \phi^i + \partial_\mu \phi^i G_{i\nu} + \partial_\mu \phi^i \partial_\nu \phi^j G_{ij}. \quad (2.5)$$

The form of this action can be motivated in the following way:

- Ignoring the contributions of  $F$ ,  $B$  and  $\phi$ , the action reduces to

$$S_{DBI} = -\mu_p \int d^{p+1}x \sqrt{-\det(P[G])}. \quad (2.6)$$

---

<sup>1</sup> These  $p+1$  fermions correspond to the decomposition of a ten-dimensional Majorana fermion along the D-brane. They are the gaugini (superpartners of the gauge bosons) and the superpartners of the scalar fields.

$P[G]$  should be viewed as a metric defined on the D-brane worldvolume, which is inherited from the ambient ten-dimensional metric. Thus, integrating  $\sqrt{-\det(P[G])}$  yields the total volume of the worldvolume.

- The pull-back of the NS two-form represents a first generalization of the D-brane volume-element and requires also the inclusion of the  $U(1)$  gauge field associated to the open string excitations (to preserve gauge invariance)
- The coupling of the D-brane to the NS-fields is proportional to  $e^{-\phi}$ , which is the characteristic coupling for open string interactions.

If we expand the DBI action in powers of  $\alpha'$ , we obtain the Yang-Mills term

$$S_{YM} = \frac{\alpha'^{(p-3)/2}}{4g_s(2\pi)^{p-2}} \int d^{p+1}x \sqrt{-g} \text{Tr} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \quad (2.7)$$

which allows us to identify the Yang-Mills coupling as

$$g_{YM}^2 = g_s \alpha'^{(p-3)/2} (2\pi)^{p-2}. \quad (2.8)$$

The Chern-Simons term is topological in nature and describes how Dp-branes interact with RR-fields. It is given by

$$S_{CS} = \mu_p \int_{W_{p+1}} P \left[ \sum_q c_q \right] \wedge e^{2\pi\alpha F_2 - B_2} \wedge \hat{A}(R) \quad (2.9)$$

where we integrate only over the  $(p+1)$ -forms of the integrand. The first terms of the A-roof polynomial are  $\hat{A}(R) = 1 - \frac{1}{24(8\pi)} \text{Tr} R^2 + \dots$  and  $R$  is the space-time curvature two-form.

## Multiple D-branes

It is convenient to generalize the single Dp-brane configuration to  $N$  parallel Dp-branes. In order to determine the spectrum of a stack of Dp-branes, we consider open strings with endpoints attached to either a single brane or two distinct ones.

If all branes are separated from each other, strings that stretch from a brane to itself correspond to massless gauge bosons that belong to  $U(1)^N$ . In contrast, strings that stretch from one brane  $A$  to another brane  $B$  lead to



massive particles whose masses increases with the distance between branes. The lightest of these particles have opposite charge  $(1, -1)$  under  $U(1)_A \times U(1)_B$ . Since Type IIA strings carry an orientation, a string stretching  $B$  to  $A$  would have opposite charges.

In the case of  $N$  coincident  $Dp$ -branes, strings that stretch between any two  $Dp$ -branes (possibly the same) give rise to massless states, so the gauge symmetry enhances from  $U(1)^N$  to  $U(N)$ . The massless spectrum is composed of  $(p - 1)$ -dimensional  $U(N)$  gauge bosons,  $(9 - p)$  real scalars in the adjoint representation of  $U(N)$  and several fermions in the adjoint representation.

Let us now suppose  $Dp$ -branes which are not parallel, so they can intersect each other. This situation is relevant as it can lead to four-dimensional chiral fermions in the case of intersecting D6-branes. We are interested in describing the open string spectrum of two stacks of D6-branes that intersect over a 4-dimensional subspace of their volumes.

Strings that stretch from a coincident stack of  $N$  D6-branes to itself lead to 7-dimensional  $U(N)$  gauge bosons, three real adjoint scalars and their fermion superpartners.

String that stretch from a stack of  $N_1$  D6-branes to another stack of  $N_2$  D6-branes are localized at the intersection, in order to minimize their energy. They lead to a 4-dimensional fermion charged in the  $(\mathbf{N}_1, \mathbf{N}_2)$  of  $U(N_1) \times U(N_2)$  or its conjugate, depending on the orientation of the intersection.

Not all geometric configurations preserve supersymmetry. Let us decompose space-time as  $M_4 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ . The D6-branes span all  $M_4$  and a line in each  $\mathbb{R}^2$  plane, such that the angle between two stacks is given by  $\theta_i$  for each plane. It can be shown that the condition  $\theta_1 \pm \theta_2 \pm \theta_3 = 0(\text{mod } 2\pi)$  implies  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  supersymmetry in 4 dimensions, provided that no angle vanishes. If some of the angles vanish, supersymmetry would be enhanced.

The reason we have used D6-branes and no other dimension of  $Dp$ -branes is that they would not lead to chiral fermions in 4 dimensions. Intuitively, two D6-branes allow to define an orientation in the transverse 6-dimensional space, which would not be possible with two other type of  $Dp$ -branes in type IIA string theory.

## Chapter 3

# Type IIA compactifications

As we have seen in the previous chapter, Type IIA superstring theory requires nine spatial dimensions and one time dimension for consistency, yet our universe only consists of a four-dimensional spacetime continuum. This implies that six spatial dimensions have to be compactified on an internal manifold with an unobservably small volume. We assume that the manifold  $M$  is factorizable into a four-dimensional maximally symmetric space-time  $T$  and a six-dimensional compact space  $K$ ,  $M = T \times K$ . In what follows, we motivate the requirement that additional dimensions are compactified over a Calabi-Yau manifold.

Type IIA string theory on 10-dimensional flat space has a large degree of supersymmetry, but the compactification choice can either preserve some degree of supersymmetry in four dimensions (it can preserve all supersymmetry, which is the case of toroidal compactifications) or remove it completely. We will consider compactifications over an internal manifold that leave some supersymmetry in four dimensions intact. A historical motivation for this choice is that they provide a nice way to obtain realistic particle physics models. In particular, we will see that a  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  supersymmetric theory allows for chiral fermions in four dimensions, while field theories with a higher number of supersymmetry in four dimensions do not. In addition, supersymmetric configurations are easier to study before tackling more general compactifications. The main reason is that supersymmetric compactifications of string theory allow for stable dimensional reductions, whose higher-dimensional corrections can be systematically studied.

The algebra of a  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  supersymmetric theory in four-dimensional Minkowski

spacetime is an extension of the Poincaré algebra by adding supersymmetry generators which satisfy specific anti-commutation relations, instead of commutation relations.

We now consider the SUGRA theory of type IIA string theory and the condition that some four-dimensional supersymmetry remains [4]. In the same way that a translation generated by the momentum operator is parametrized by a vector and a rotation is parametrized by an antisymmetric tensor, a supersymmetry transformation generated by  $Q_\alpha$  is parametrized by a spinor  $\eta_\alpha$ . By investigating how the vacuum remains invariant under the symmetry transformation in the quantum field theory, we can translate that constraint on the operator to the behaviour of vacuum expectation value of the variation of the field. A conserved charge  $Q$  associated to an unbroken supersymmetry must annihilate the vacuum  $|\Omega\rangle$ . This in turn means that for any operator  $U$ ,  $\langle\Omega|\{Q, U\}|\Omega\rangle = 0$ . If  $U$  is a fermionic operator,  $\{Q, U\}$  is precisely the variation  $\delta U$ . So in the classical limit, an unbroken supersymmetry means that  $\delta U = 0$  for every fermionic field  $U$ . In particular, the variation of the two gravitino fields  $\psi_M^1, \psi_M^2$  must vanish

$$\delta\psi_M^a = \nabla_M \eta^a + (\text{fluxes}) = 0 \quad (3.1)$$

where  $\nabla_M$  is the covariant derivative on  $M$ . Assuming that all fluxes vanish, this leads to the constraint that there are two covariantly constant ten-dimensional spinors  $\eta^1, \eta^2$

$$\nabla_M \eta^a = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

To study the implication of this equation to the four-dimensional space-time  $T$ , we employ the fact that  $T$  is maximally symmetric, so we can decompose the metric as

$$ds^2 = e^{2A(y)} \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu + g_{mn} dy^m dy^n, \quad \mu = 0, 1, 2, 3 \quad m = 1, \dots, 6 \quad (3.3)$$

where  $x^\mu$  are the compact coordinates,  $y^m$  the internal coordinates and  $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$  can be either the de Sitter, anti-de Sitter or the Minkowski metric in four dimensions.

Particularizing to the space-time components, equation (3.2) can be written as

$$\tilde{\nabla}_\mu \eta + \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{\gamma}_\mu \gamma_5 \otimes \not{V} A) \eta = 0 \quad (3.4)$$

where  $\tilde{\nabla}$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}_\mu$  are the covariant derivative and gamma matrix with respect  $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$ . This equation leads to the integrability condition

$$[\tilde{\nabla}_\mu, \tilde{\nabla}_\nu]\eta = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_m A)(\nabla^m A)\gamma_{\mu\nu}\eta. \quad (3.5)$$

On the other hand, the definition of the Riemann tensor is

$$[\tilde{\nabla}_\mu, \tilde{\nabla}_\nu]\eta = \frac{1}{4}\tilde{R}_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\gamma^{\lambda\rho}\eta. \quad (3.6)$$

In the case of a maximally symmetric space, the Riemann tensor is  $R_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} = k(g_{\mu\lambda}g_{\nu\rho} - g_{\mu\rho}g_{\nu\lambda})$ , where  $k$  is negative for anti-de Sitter, zero for Minkowski and positive for de Sitter. Combining equations (3.5) and (3.6), and inverting  $\gamma^{\mu\nu}$ , we obtain

$$k + \nabla_m A \nabla^m A = 0. \quad (3.7)$$

Owing to the fact that on a compact manifold the only constant value of  $(\nabla A)^2$  is zero, we conclude that  $k = 0$  and thus the four-dimensional space-time must be Minkowski space.

If we rewrite the ten-dimensional covariantly constant spinors  $\eta^1, \eta^2$  in terms of two four-dimensional spinors  $\chi^1, \chi^2$  and a six-dimensional spinor  $\xi$  (and its conjugate), equation (3.2) implies that the internal spinor must be covariantly constant as well

$$\nabla_M \xi = 0. \quad (3.8)$$

We have assumed that  $\eta^1, \eta^2$  can be written in terms a single six-dimensional spinor, so there are two four-dimensional supersymmetry parameters and therefore,  $\mathcal{N} = 2$ . Had we considered a decomposition in terms of additional spinors in the compact space, the supersymmetry would be enhanced.

### 3.1 Type IIA on Calabi-Yau manifolds

We examine more closely what the existence of an internal covariantly constant spinor  $\xi$  implies on the geometry compact space.

Let us consider a Riemannian manifold  $K$  of dimension six with a spin connection  $\omega$ , which is in general a  $SO(6)$  gauge field. If we parallel transport a field  $\psi$  around a contractible closed curve  $\gamma$ , the field becomes  $\psi' = U\psi$  where  $U = \mathcal{P}e^{\int_\gamma dx \omega}$  and  $\mathcal{P}$  denotes the path ordering of the exponential. The set

of transformation matrices associated to all possible loops form the holonomy group of the manifold, which must be a subgroup of  $SO(6)$ .

A covariantly constant spinor is left unchanged when parallel transported along a contractible closed curve, so the holonomy matrices of a manifold that admits a covariantly constant spinor must satisfy  $U\xi = \xi$ . Taking into account the Lie algebra isomorphism  $\mathfrak{so}(6) \simeq \mathfrak{su}(4)$  we identify the positive (negative)-chirality spinors of  $SO(6)$  with the fundamental  $\mathbf{4}$  ( $\bar{\mathbf{4}}$ ) of  $SU(4)$ . Let us consider that  $\xi$  is a positive chirality spinor, so it transforms according with the  $\mathbf{4}$  of  $SU(4)$ . In order to have a covariantly constant spinor, the holonomy group must be such that the  $\mathbf{4}$  representation decomposes into a singlet. This decomposition is achieved if the holonomy group is  $SU(3)$  so that

$$SO(6) \rightarrow SU(3) \quad (3.9)$$

$$\mathbf{4} \rightarrow \mathbf{3} \oplus \mathbf{1} \quad (3.10)$$

Thus, the existence of a single covariantly constant spinor on the compact manifold can be reformulated as a topological condition, namely that the holonomy group of the compact manifold is  $SU(3)$ . A compact manifold of  $SU(3)$  (local) holonomy is the definition of a Calabi-Yau manifold. The holonomy group being a proper subgroup of  $SU(3)$  is equivalent to having more than one internal covariantly constant spinor, which would lead to a larger degree of supersymmetry preserved.

We can also check that the 2-form  $\mathbf{15}$  and the 3-form  $\mathbf{20}$  decompositions contain a singlet,  $\mathbf{15} \rightarrow \mathbf{8} \oplus \mathbf{3} \oplus \bar{\mathbf{3}} \oplus \mathbf{1}$  and  $\mathbf{20} \rightarrow \mathbf{6} \oplus \bar{\mathbf{6}} \oplus \mathbf{3} \oplus \bar{\mathbf{3}} \oplus \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{1}$ , so they are globally well defined. We refer to the 2-form as  $J$  and the 3-form as the holomorphic three-form  $\Omega$ . Raising an index of  $J$  we obtain an almost-complex structure, which satisfies  $(J^2)_j^i = -\delta_j^i$ . For a particular point of the manifold, we can consider complex coordinates  $z^i$  from the real coordinates  $x^i$ , as  $z^1 = x^1 + ix^2$ ,  $z^2 = x^3 + ix^4$  and  $z^3 = x^5 + ix^6$ , in which  $J = idz^i \otimes dz^i - id\bar{z}^{\bar{i}} \otimes d\bar{z}^{\bar{i}}$ . If we can extend this particular form of  $J$  to the neighborhood of any point,  $J$  is said to be integrable and the manifold is complex. An integrable almost-complex structure is referred to as a complex structure. The integrability condition is equivalent to the Nijenhuis tensor

$$N_{ij}^k = J_i^l (\partial_l J_j^k - \partial_j J_l^k) - J_j^l (\partial_l J_i^k - \partial_i J_l^k) \quad (3.11)$$

vanishing everywhere.

It is useful to define with the aid of the metric the form  $k = g_{i\bar{j}} dz^i \wedge d\bar{z}^{\bar{j}}$ . A manifold is Kähler if  $dk = 0$  and  $k$  is then called the Kähler form. It can be shown that the holonomy group being contained in  $U(N)$  implies that the manifold is Kähler. This means that a compact manifold with a covariantly constant spinor can be equipped with a Kähler structure

### Cohomology

It is useful to introduce some algebraic topology tools which we will use later on, following [1, 5].

Let us consider a smooth manifold of dimension  $d$ . A differential  $p$ -form  $\omega_p$  is  $(0, p)$ -rank tensor which has completely anti-symmetric components. A  $p$ -form is expanded as a linear combination of the basis cotangent vectors  $\{dx^\nu\}_{\nu=1\dots d}$  as

$$\omega_p = \frac{1}{p!} \omega_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_p} dx^{\nu_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\nu_p} = \frac{1}{p!} \omega_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_p} dx^{[\nu_1} \otimes \dots \otimes dx^{\nu_p]}, \quad (3.12)$$

where the square brackets denote antisymmetrization.

The wedge product of a  $p$ -form  $\omega_p$  and a  $q$ -form  $\alpha_q$  is a  $(p + q)$ -form

$$\omega_p \wedge \alpha_q = \frac{1}{p!q!} \omega_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_p} \alpha_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_q} dx^{\nu_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\nu_p} \wedge dx^{\mu_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\mu_q}. \quad (3.13)$$

The exterior derivative of a  $p$ -form yields a  $(p + 1)$ -form

$$d\omega_p = \frac{1}{p!} \partial_\mu \omega_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_p} dx^\mu \wedge dx^{\nu_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\nu_p}. \quad (3.14)$$

A  $p$ -form whose exterior derivative vanishes is called a closed form and a  $p$ -form that is the exterior derivative of a  $(p - 1)$ -form is exact.

A fundamental property of the exterior derivative is Poincaré's lemma, which states that for any differential form  $\alpha$ ,  $d(d\alpha) = 0$  holds. This can be rewritten as  $d^2 = 0$ . In other words, every exact form is closed. We could ask ourselves if the inverse statement is true: is every closed form exact? The answer for an arbitrary manifold is no. This information is encoded in the  $q$ -th deRham cohomology group, which is formed by considering the set of all closed  $q$ -forms defined on a manifold. Given a closed form  $\omega$ , we can always find another closed form by adding an exact form  $\omega' = \omega + d\alpha$ . Then, we can consider the

equivalence relation that identifies two forms modulo a closed form. The  $q$ -th deRham cohomology group of a manifold  $X$  is defined as the quotient

$$H_d^q(X, \mathbb{R}) = \{\omega | d\omega = 0\} / \{\alpha | \alpha = d\beta\}. \quad (3.15)$$

The dimension of  $H_d^q(X, \mathbb{R})$  is the Betti number  $b^q(X)$ . Only when  $b^q(X) = 1$ , all closed  $q$ -forms on  $X$  are exact.

We define the Hodge dual  $\star$  of a  $p$ -form as the  $(d - p)$ -form

$$\star\omega = \frac{1}{(d-p)!p!} \epsilon_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n} \sqrt{|\det g|} g^{\mu_1 \nu_1} \dots g^{\mu_p \dots \nu_p} \omega_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_p} dx^{\mu_{p+1}} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\mu_d}. \quad (3.16)$$

This operation allows us to define the adjoint exterior derivative or codifferential  $d^\dagger$ , that maps  $p$ -forms into  $(p - 1)$ -forms

$$d^\dagger = (-1)^{dp+n+1} \star d \star. \quad (3.17)$$

The codifferential is the adjoint of the exterior derivative with respect to the inner product

$$\langle \omega, \omega' \rangle = \int_X \omega \wedge \omega', \quad (3.18)$$

so that given a  $p$ -form  $\omega$  and a  $(p - 1)$ -form  $\sigma$

$$\langle \omega, d\sigma \rangle = \langle d^\dagger \omega, \sigma \rangle. \quad (3.19)$$

The codifferential is used to generalize the Laplacian as  $\Delta = dd^\dagger + d^\dagger d$  and a harmonic form  $\omega$  satisfies the Laplace equation  $\Delta\omega = 0$ . An important theorem involving harmonic forms is Hodge's decomposition, which states that a  $p$ -form  $\omega$  can be uniquely written in terms of a  $(p - 1)$ -form  $\beta$ , a  $(p + 1)$ -form  $\gamma$  and a harmonic  $p$ -form  $\omega'$

$$\omega = d\beta + d^\dagger \gamma + \omega' \quad (3.20)$$

If  $\omega$  is a closed form,  $\gamma$  vanishes so

$$\omega = d\beta + \omega'. \quad (3.21)$$

Identifying  $\omega - d\beta = \omega'$  as an element of a cohomology class in  $H_d^p(X, \mathbb{R})$ , we can conclude that for every class belonging to  $H_d^p(X, \mathbb{R})$ , there is a unique harmonic  $p$ -form.

We can easily make a generalization of the previous concepts to complex manifolds of complex dimension  $n = d/2$ . Complexifying the basis

$\{dx_\mu\}_{\mu=1,\dots,d} \rightarrow \{dz_i, d\bar{z}_j\}_{i,j=1,\dots,n}$ , we can consider tensors  $\omega_{r,s}$  with  $r$  holomorphic and  $s$  anti-holomorphic indices so they can be written as

$$\omega_{r,s} = \omega_{\mu_1,\dots,\mu_r,\bar{\nu}_1,\dots,\bar{\nu}_s} dz^{\mu_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dz^{\mu_r} \wedge d\bar{z}^{\bar{\nu}_1} \wedge \dots \wedge d\bar{z}^{\bar{\nu}_s} \quad (3.22)$$

This allows us to split the exterior derivative into holomorphic and anti-holomorphic derivatives  $d = \partial + \bar{\partial}$ . The complex equivalent of the deRham cohomology group is the Dolbeault cohomology group associated to  $\bar{\partial}$  (it can be analogously defined for  $\partial$ )

$$H_{\bar{\partial}}^{r,s}(X, \mathbb{C}) = \{\omega | \bar{\partial}\omega = 0\} / \{\alpha | \alpha = \bar{\partial}\beta\}. \quad (3.23)$$

The dimension of  $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{r,s}(X, \mathbb{C})$  is known as the Hodge number  $h^{p,q}(X)$  and it is a topological invariant. Thanks to the Hodge star operation, there is a relation between Hodge numbers  $h^{p,q} = h^{n-p,n-q}$ . The fact that the manifold is Kähler also guarantees the symmetry  $h^{p,q} = h^{q,p}$ . The decomposition of the deRham cohomology into Dolbeault cohomologies is given by

$$H_d^p(X, \mathbb{R}) = \bigoplus_{r+s=p} H_{\bar{\partial}}^{r,s}(X, \mathbb{C}). \quad (3.24)$$

In the case of Calabi-Yau manifolds, it also holds that  $h^{s,0} = 0$  if  $1 < s < n$ ,  $h^{n,0} = h^{0,n} = 1$ . If the manifold is connected, then  $h^{0,0} = 1$ .

We can arrange the Hodge numbers into a Hodge diamond, which for a manifold of complex dimension three would be

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & h^{00} & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & h^{10} & & h^{01} & & \\ & & & & & & \\ h^{20} & & h^{11} & & h^{02} & & \\ h^{30} & & h^{21} & & h^{12} & & h^{03} \\ & & h^{20} & & h^{11} & & h^{02} \\ & & & & h^{10} & & h^{01} \\ & & & & & & h^{00} \end{array}$$



In the case of a Calabi-Yau three-fold

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & 1 & & \\
 & & & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & & 0 & & h^{11} & & 0 \\
 & 1 & h^{21} & & h^{21} & & 1 \\
 & & 0 & & h^{11} & & 0 \\
 & & & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & & & & 1 & & 
 \end{array}$$

A very fruitful relation in physics and mathematics is mirror symmetry, which relates the topological properties between different Calabi-Yau manifold. A realization of mirror symmetry for a Calabi-Yau three-fold  $X$  is that there exists a mirror manifold  $Y$  such that

$$h_{1,1}(X) = h_{2,1}(Y) \quad \text{and} \quad h_{2,1}(X) = h_{1,1}(Y) \quad (3.25)$$

Thus, we can calculate some properties on  $X$ , such as the Hodge and the Kähler potential for the metric on the moduli spaces, and immediately obtain information on  $Y$ .

### Homology

A related construction to cohomology is homology. The basic element of homology is the  $p$ -chain  $a_p$ , which in the simplest formulation is the formal sum of  $p$ -dimensional submanifolds  $N_p^k$  (possibly with boundary)

$$a_p = \sum_k c_k N_p^k \quad (3.26)$$

where  $c_k$  are real numbers (or in some cases integers).  $p$ -chains that have no boundary are called  $p$ -cycles. Given that  $p$ -cycles do not have a boundary and that not all  $p$ -cycles form the boundary of  $(p+1)$ -chains, it makes sense to introduce the homology group. The homology group  $H_q(X, \mathbb{R})$  is defined as the quotient space of  $q$ -cycles modulo  $q$ -dimensional boundaries

$$H_q(X, \mathbb{R}) = \{a | \partial a = 0\} / \{b | b = \partial c\}. \quad (3.27)$$

The dimension of  $H_q(X, \mathbb{R})$  is  $h_q(X)$ . It is sometimes convenient to consider the coefficients  $c_k$  of the expansion (3.26) to be integers, in that case the associated homology group is  $H_p(X, \mathbb{Z})$ .

It can be seen that homology structure resembles cohomology structure by replacing  $p$ -chains with  $p$ -forms, the boundary operator with the exterior derivative, boundaries with exact forms and cycles with closed forms. In fact, they are algebraic duals, in the sense that integration of a  $p$ -form over a  $p$ -chain defines an isomorphism between  $H_q$  and  $H_d^q$  in the case of compact manifolds. This implies that the dimensions of both groups coincide  $h_q = h^q$ .

It will be useful in our study to generalize the concept of how many times two lines intersect to the case of  $p$ -cycles. Given a  $p$ -cycle  $a_p$  and a  $(d-p)$ -cycle  $b_{d-p}$  which admit an expansion following equation (3.26) with integer  $c_k$ , the intersection number is defined as

$$I_{ab} = [a_p][b_{d-p}] = \int_X \delta(a_p) \wedge \delta(b_{d-p}) \quad (3.28)$$

where the square brackets denote the cohomology class associated to the cycle and the Dirac delta function  $\delta$  satisfies

$$\int_{a_p} B_p = \int_X B_p \wedge \delta(a_p). \quad (3.29)$$

Since the intersection number depends on the homology classes of  $H(X, \mathbb{Z})$  only, it is a topological invariant.

## Moduli space

Starting from a particular choice of metric  $g$  on a Calabi-Yau manifold  $X$ , we could try to determine which deformations of the metric still preserve the Calabi-Yau condition. These deformations of the metric are known as moduli and play an important role in the physics of compactifications. We will restrict our discussion to Calabi-Yau manifolds of complex dimension three. An arbitrary deformation of the metric will consist of those with pure indices  $g_{ij}dz^i dz^j$  and those with mixed indices  $g_{i\bar{j}}dz^i d\bar{z}^j$ . In order to preserve the Calabi-Yau condition they must lead to a vanishing Ricci tensor,  $R_{i\bar{j}} = 0$ . This constraint implies that:

A deformation of the type  $g_{ij}dz^i \wedge dz^j$  must be harmonic, so it can be identified with a unique element of a cohomology class in  $H^{1,1}$ , the Kähler form. If we write the Kähler form in terms of the basis elements  $\{t_a\}_{a=1, \dots, h_{1,1}}$

$$k = \sum_{a=1}^{h_{1,1}} t_a \omega_a \quad (3.30)$$

the  $h_{1,1}$  real parameters  $t_a$  are the Kähler moduli of the manifold. The Kähler form is employed to calculate the volume of a Calabi-Yau manifold of complex dimension three as  $\int k \wedge k \wedge k$ , since  $k \wedge k \wedge k$  has the same rank as the volume form, which is unique up to a proportionality constant.

Deformations of the type  $\Omega_{ijk} g^{k\bar{k}} \delta_{\bar{k}l} dz^i \wedge dz^j \wedge d\bar{z}^l$  must be a harmonic form belonging to a cohomology class in  $H^{2,1}$ . These deformations correspond to deformations of the complex structure, since the choice of a complex structure is related to a  $(2,1)$ -form  $J_{ij\bar{k}} = \Omega_{ijl} J_k^l$  obtained from the holomorphic three-form. There are  $h_{2,1}$  complex parameters associated to the choice of the complex structure, which are called the complex structure moduli of the manifold. They determine the volume of 3-cycles  $\Pi$  in the compact space through  $\Omega_3$

$$\text{Vol}(\Pi) = \int_{\Pi} \Omega_3. \quad (3.31)$$

In conclusion, a Calabi-Yau metric is determined uniquely by the Kähler form and the holomorphic three-form. The former leads to  $h_{1,1}$  real parameters while the latter requires  $h_{2,1}$  complex parameters.

### Type IIA spectrum on Calabi-Yau manifolds

In order to compute the 4-dimensional massless spectrum of type IIA theory on a Calabi-Yau, we consider the Kaluza-Klein dimensional reduction. This consists in choosing an energy scale at which the compactification resides (the KK-scale) and then studying the effective four-dimensional theory at energies below the KK-scale. In practice, this corresponds to taking the KK-scale relatively large (or equivalently taking the associated radius of the compact space very small).

The simplest example of KK reduction is based on a free scalar field  $\phi(x^M)$  in ten dimensions. We first apply its Fourier expansion in terms of the eigenvectors  $\phi_k(x^m)$  of the Laplace operator in the internal space with eigenvalues  $\lambda_k$

$$\phi(x^M) = \sum_k \phi_k(x^\mu) \phi_k(x^m) \quad (3.32)$$

where the dimension of the mode is determined by the argument,  $x^\mu$  for the 4-dimensional Minkowski space and  $x^m$  for the compact space. The masslessness condition of  $\phi(x^M)$  implies that

$$\square \phi(x^\mu) - \lambda_k \phi(x^\mu) = 0 \quad (3.33)$$

This equation permits us to identify  $\lambda_k$  as the squared mass of the 4-dimensional  $\phi(x^\mu)$  field. Thus, the number of massless scalar fields is given by the number of solutions of  $\square\phi(x^\mu) = 0$  which in the case of compact manifolds is one. We conclude that a 10-dimensional scalar field leads to a massless scalar field in 4-dimensions (in addition, there is a tower of KK modes).

Our next example is the KK reduction of a  $p$ -form  $C_p$  with the expansion

$$C_p = \sum_{k,q} c_q^k(x^m) \wedge C_{p-q}^k(x^\mu) \quad (3.34)$$

Massless 4-dimensional  $(p-q)$ -form fields are in one-to-one correspondence to internal modes that satisfy  $dc_q = d^\dagger c_q = 0$ , or in other words, to harmonic forms  $c_q$ . Since there is a single harmonic  $q$ -form in each  $q$ -cohomology class, the number of 4-dimensional massless  $(p-q)$ -forms arising from a  $p$ -form is the dimension of the  $H^q$  cohomology group, the Betti number  $b^q$ .

In the case of a Calabi-Yau manifold, from the relation of the Betti numbers with the Hodge numbers, we determine  $b^0 = h_{0,0} = 1$ ,  $b^1 = h_{1,0} + h_{0,1} = 0$ ,  $b^2 = h_{1,1} + h_{2,0} + h_{0,2} = h_{1,1}$  and  $b^3 = h_{3,0} + h_{0,3} + h_{2,1} + h_{1,2} = 2h_{2,1} + 1$ . Thus,  $c_1$  leads to a 4-dimensional 1-form,  $B_2$  leads to a 2-form and  $h_{1,1}$  scalar fields and  $c_3$  leads to a 3-form (although it is not dynamical),  $h_{1,1}$  1-forms and  $2h_{2,1} + 2$  scalar fields.

The KK reduction of the 10-dimensional metric is applied considering its components separately:

- The  $G_{\mu\nu}$  components correspond to scalar fields in the internal space satisfying the Laplace equation and whose solution is unique for compact spaces. Thus, a 10-dimensional graviton reduces to a 4-dimensional graviton.
- The  $G_{\mu m}$  components would correspond to 4-dimensional vector bosons, associated to 6-dimensional vector fields in the compact space. The masslessness condition of the 4-dimensional field would imply that the 6-dimensional vectors are Killing vectors associated to continuous isometries of the compact space, which in the case of Calabi-Yau manifolds are non-existent. As a consequence, the  $G_{\mu m}$  components do not lead to any massless fields in 4 dimensions.

- The  $G_{mn}$  components reduce to 4-dimensional scalar fields associated to the moduli of the internal space, whose vev determine the geometry of the internal space. In the case of Calabi-Yau manifolds, we have seen that there are  $h_{2,1}$  real scalar fields and  $h_{1,1}$  complex scalar fields.

Having seen how the bosonic fields of type IIA behave under KK reduction, we proceed to describe the massless spectrum of type IIA theory compactified on a Calabi-Yau manifold.

In order to fill in the supermultiplets of 4-dimensional  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  supersymmetry, we must combine scalar fields arising from the dilaton  $\phi$ ,  $p$ -forms and the geometric moduli into complex scalar fields. The spectrum is arranged as follows:

A single supergravity multiplet, composed of a graviton  $G_{\mu\nu}$ , a gauge boson arising from the KK reduction of  $B_2$  and two gravitinos  $\psi$  with opposite chiralities.

$h_{1,1}$ , vector multiplets, composed of a gauge boson that arises from  $c_3$ , a complex scalar (obtained by combining the Kähler moduli  $t_a$  and the scalar field  $B_0$  associated to  $B_2$  into  $B_2 + it_a$ ) and two Majorana fermions.

$h_{2,1}$  hypermultiplets composed of two complex scalars (obtained by combining the complex structure moduli with the scalar fields associated to mixed index components of  $c_3$ ) and two left-handed fermions.

A single hypermultiplet composed of two complex scalars (obtained by combining the dilaton, a scalar field associated to  $B_2$ <sup>1</sup> and the scalars that arise from pure index components of  $c_3$ ) and two left-handed fermions.

## 3.2 Type IIA on Calabi-Yau orientifolds

### Generalities of orientifolds

If we compactify a type II string theory on a Calabi-Yau manifold, we obtain a four-dimensional  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  supersymmetric theory. This degree of supersymmetry does not allow for chiral fermions, so Calabi-Yau compactifications of type II theories have no straightforward application in the context of model building.

<sup>1</sup>The KK reduction of the 10-dimensional 2-form  $B_2$  leads to a 4-dimensional 2-form  $b_2$ . We can then define a scalar field  $\tilde{b}$  as the dual  $d\tilde{b} = \star db_2$ .

An option to reduce the supersymmetry to  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  is to apply the orientifold projection, which consists in modding out the action of  $\Omega R$ , where  $\Omega$  is the worldsheet parity, so strings become unoriented, and  $R$  is a particular  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  symmetry of the compact six-dimensional space. In type IIA string theory we define  $R = \mathcal{R}(-1)^{F_L}$ .  $\mathcal{R}$  satisfies the condition that it is an involution (squares to the identity) and acts anti-holomorphically on the complex coordinates of the internal space ( $\mathcal{R} : z_i \rightarrow \bar{z}_i$ ). This implies that the Kähler and the holomorphic three-form transform as  $k \rightarrow -k$  and  $\Omega_3 \rightarrow \bar{\Omega}_3$ .  $F_L$  is an operator that counts the number of left-moving fermions.

The fixed points under  $\mathcal{R}$  define the orientifold planes in the model and are denoted as  $Op$ -planes, where  $p$  is the spatial dimension. In type IIA theory, the relevant choice are O6-planes, which span the entire four-dimensional Minkowski space and wrap a compact 3-cycle on the internal space.

In order to have a stable compactification, we expect all RR and NSNS charges to vanish. Furthermore, RR tadpole cancellation implies that the 4-dimensional theory is free of non-abelian gauge anomalies. O6-planes carry RR charge, so in order to eliminate RR tadpoles we must also introduce D6-branes, which carry opposite charge. It is important to note that D6-branes do not need to wrap the same 3-cycles as the O6-planes to remove RR tadpoles.

### D-branes on Calabi-Yau manifolds

In order to obtain stable D6-brane configurations on a type IIA theory compactified on a Calabi-Yau manifold, we impose that they wrap around volume minimizing 3-cycles on the compact space, so that their tension is minimized as well. The volume minimizing condition means that the branes must wrap special Lagrangian 3-cycles in the internal space. Special Lagrangian 3-cycles  $\Pi$  are defined by

$$k|_{\Pi} = 0, \quad \text{Im}(e^{-i\phi}\Omega_3)|_{\Pi} = 0 \quad (3.35)$$

for some real  $\phi$ , where  $k$  is the Kähler two-form and  $\Omega_3$  the holomorphic three-form. The  $e^{-i\phi}\Omega_3$  is referred to as a calibration and the special Lagrangian is calibrated with respect to it. The volume of the special Lagrangian 3-cycle is

$$\text{Vol}(\Pi) = \int_{\Pi} \text{Re}(e^{-i\phi}\Omega_3) \quad (3.36)$$

D6-branes wrapped around a special Lagrangian cycle are guaranteed to preserve 4-dimensional  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  supersymmetry. This preserved supersymmetry coincides with the same supersymmetry preserved by the  $Op$ -planes only if  $\phi = 0$ .

The open string spectrum of stacks of  $N_a$  D6<sub>a</sub>-branes wrapping special Lagrangian 3-cycles  $\Pi_a$  can be classified into two sectors: strings that stretch from one stack to itself and those that stretch between to different stacks,  $6_a$  and  $6_b$ .

Strings that stretch over  $6_a$  lead to  $U(N_a)$  vector multiplets of 4-dimensional  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  supersymmetry. There are also  $b_1(\Pi_a)$  chiral multiplets in the adjoint representation, which are composed of the internal components of the gauge fields along  $\Pi_a$  combined with the geometric moduli of the 3-cycle, and their fermion superpartners.

Strings that stretch between  $6_a$  and  $6_b$  lead to  $I_{ab} = [\Pi_a][\Pi_b]$  chiral fermions, where  $I_{ab}$  is the intersection number between 3-cycles. These fermions transform in the  $(\mathbf{N}_a, \bar{\mathbf{N}}_b)$  representation. There are also massless scalar fields if the intersection preserves supersymmetry.

### Orientifold compactifications with intersecting D-branes

We consider  $N_a$  D6-branes that wrap 3-cycles  $\Pi_a$  and whose image under the orientifold projection wrap the 3-cycles  $\Pi_{a'}$ . The condition that D6-branes preserve the same  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  supersymmetry as the O6-planes  $\Pi_{O6}$  is that the local relative angles between them obey

$$\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3 = 0 \quad (3.37)$$

If D6-branes do not coincide with their mirror images, the light spectrum of the model consists of:

- $U(N_a)$  gauge bosons arising from non-intersecting D6-branes.
- $I_{ab}$  fermions in the representation  $(\mathbf{N}_a, \bar{\mathbf{N}}_b)$  arising from the intersection of two different D6-branes.
- $I_{ab'}$  fermions in the representation  $(\mathbf{N}_a, \mathbf{N}_b)$  arising from the intersection of a D6-brane with the mirror of a different D6-brane.

- $1/2([\Pi_a][\Pi_{a'}] + [\Pi_a][\Pi_{O6}])$  fermions in the anti-symmetric representation  $(\square, \mathbf{1})$  and  $1/2([\Pi_a][\Pi_{a'}] - [\Pi_a][\Pi_{O6}])$  fermions in the symmetric representation  $(\square\square, \mathbf{1})$  which arise from the intersection of a D6-brane with its own mirror.

The condition for RR tadpole cancellation imposes a topological restriction, namely, that the sum of the three-cycles wrapped by the D-branes and their orientifold images has to combine with the O6-plane three-cycle into the trivial cycle in homology

$$\sum_a N_a([\Pi_a] + [\Pi_{a'}]) - 4[\Pi_{O6}] = 0. \quad (3.38)$$

### Effective action of D-branes on Calabi-Yau orientifolds

We recall that the action of a D $p$ -brane contains the DBI term (2.3)

$$S_{DBI} = -\mu_p \int_{D_p} e^{-\phi} \sqrt{\det(G + B - 2\pi\alpha' F)} \quad (3.39)$$

which reduces to the Yang-Mills action for small values of  $\alpha'$ . In the case of compactification on a Calabi-Yau orientifold, the gauge coupling constant is given in terms of the volume of the special-Lagrangian three-cycles along the internal space

$$\frac{1}{g^2} = e^{-\phi} \frac{(\alpha')^{-3/2}}{(2\pi)^4} \text{Vol}(\Pi_3). \quad (3.40)$$

In terms of model-building, this relation implies that knowledge about the coupling strength of a gauge theory in four dimensions can be translated in geometric terms to the volume of a wrapped special-Lagrangian three-cycle. In terms of mathematics this relation challenges us to understand how to compute the volumes of special Lagrangian three-cycles on Calabi-Yau manifolds.



## Chapter 4

# Type IIA on the quintic

Type IIA string theory on Calabi-Yau orientifolds with D6-branes wrapping special-Lagrangian three cycles provides a framework to obtain semi-realistic particle physics models. In order to understand better the physics of this construction, we choose a well-known compactification: Fermat's quintic three-fold and try to expand the study to generic deformations.

### 4.1 SLags on Fermat's quintic

In this section, we describe Fermat's quintic and study the properties of SLAG three-cycles defined on this manifold.

#### Fermat's quintic

The complex projective space  $\mathbb{CP}^n$  is defined considering the complex space minus the origin  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$  and establishing the equivalence relation  $(z_0, \dots, z_n) \sim (\lambda z_0, \dots, \lambda z_n)$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . The local (non-homogeneous) coordinates  $\xi^i$  of a  $j$ -patch where  $z_j \neq 0$  are obtained in terms of the homogeneous coordinates  $z_i$  by choosing  $\lambda = 1/z_j$  so

$$(\xi_j^1, \dots, \xi_j^n) = \left( \frac{z_0}{z_j}, \dots, \frac{z_{j-1}}{z_j}, \frac{z_{j+1}}{z_j}, \dots, \frac{z_n}{z_j} \right). \quad (4.1)$$

The complex projective space allows us to obtain lower dimensional Calabi-Yau manifolds as subspaces of  $\mathbb{CP}^n$ . Indeed, an hypersurface of the projective space  $\mathbb{CP}^n$  described by a (single) homogeneous polynomial of degree  $d$  is

a Calabi-Yau manifold (with vanishing first Chern class) if  $d = n + 1$ . An homogeneous polynomial  $P$  of degree  $d$  satisfies

$$P(\lambda z_1, \dots, \lambda z_n) = \lambda^d P(z_1, \dots, z_n). \quad (4.2)$$

We are interested in calculating the number of inequivalent  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional submanifolds of  $\mathbb{CP}^n$  that can be defined through a polynomial equation of degree  $d$ . The number of independent monomials of degree  $d$  in  $n + 1$  variables is given by the binomial coefficient

$$\# \text{ indep. monomials} = \binom{d + (n + 1) - 1}{(n + 1) - 1} \quad (4.3)$$

Not all of these lead to different manifolds, since some of them can be related through coordinate transformations, which belong to complex general linear group  $GL(\mathbb{C})_{n+1}$ . Thus, the number of possible submanifolds is given by

$$\# \text{ submanifolds } \mathbb{CP}^n = \# \text{ indep. monomials} - \# \text{ components } GL(\mathbb{C})_{n+1} \quad (4.4)$$

Among of the  $\binom{9}{4} - 5^5 = 101$  possible submanifolds of  $\mathbb{CP}^4$  defined by a quintic polynomial, lies Fermat's quintic threefold defined by the polynomial  $P_5$  as

$$P_5(z) = z_0^5 + z_1^5 + z_2^5 + z_3^5 + z_4^5 = 0. \quad (4.5)$$

### Construction of SLags

When considering Type IIA string theory on a Calabi-Yau manifold, we mod out the orientifold projection (composed of a worldsheet parity and an orientifold involution) to obtain  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  supersymmetry. A special-Lagrangian (SLag) three-cycle is constructed with the aid of the anti-holomorphic involution. In the case of  $\mathbb{CP}^4$ , there is only one consistent anti-holomorphic involution [6], which acts on the homogeneous coordinates as  $\mathcal{R} : z_i \rightarrow \bar{z}_i$ . A particular instance of SLag three-cycle is defined as the fixed loci under  $\mathcal{R}$ . In the case of Fermat's quintic, finding the fixed loci under  $\mathcal{R}$  leads to the following SLag

$$\{[x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3 : x_4] \in \mathbb{RP}^4 | x_0^5 + x_1^5 + x_2^5 + x_3^5 + x_4^5 = 0\}. \quad (4.6)$$

This subspace is topologically equivalent to  $\mathbb{RP}^3$ , which can be noticed through the homeomorphism from  $\mathbb{RP}^3$  to the SLag [7]:

$$(u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3) \rightarrow (u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3, -(u_0^5 + u_1^5 + u_2^5 + u_3^5)^{1/5}). \quad (4.7)$$

In order to prove that the obtained subspace is indeed special-Lagrangian we must verify that the Kähler form vanishes at the SLAG and that it is calibrated with respect to the same three-form as the  $\Omega_3$  of the quintic [8].

- The Kähler form  $k$  transforms under the anti-holomorphic involution as  $k \rightarrow -k$ , but since the pull-back of  $k$  onto the three-dimensional subspace must be real and thus invariant under the anti-holomorphic involution, the only possibility is  $k|_{\text{SLag}} = 0$
- The holomorphic three-form in a non-homogeneous patch where  $x_0 \neq 0$  is

$$\Omega_3 = \frac{4}{2\pi i} \int \frac{x_0 dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dx_3 \wedge dx_4}{P_5(x_i)}. \quad (4.8)$$

To show that the SLAG is calibrated with respect to the Calabi-Yau three form, we interpret  $x_4$  as a function of  $P_5$  and integrate over a loop around  $P_5 = 0$

$$\Omega_3 = \frac{x_0 dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dx_3}{x_4^4} = \frac{dy_1 \wedge dy_2 \wedge dy_3}{y_4^4} \quad (4.9)$$

where we have defined the local coordinates  $y_i = x_i/x_0$ . By taking the norm of the previous equation, we relate  $\Omega_3$  to the determinant of the six-dimensional metric

$$||\Omega_3||^2 = \frac{1}{\det g |y_4|^8}. \quad (4.10)$$

Since  $\Omega_3$  is covariantly constant,  $||\Omega_3||$  must also be proportional to a constant defined as

$$||\Omega_3||^2 = \alpha. \quad (4.11)$$

The pull-back of the six-dimensional metric onto the three-cycle is

$$h_{\alpha\beta} = 2\partial_\alpha y^i \partial_\beta y^{\bar{j}} g_{i\bar{j}}. \quad (4.12)$$

The volume form of the SLAG three-cycle written in terms of the coordinates  $\sigma^i$  defined on the three-cycle is

$$\sqrt{\det h_{ab}} d\sigma^1 \wedge d\sigma^2 \wedge d\sigma^3 = 8\alpha |\det \partial y| d\sigma^1 \wedge d\sigma^2 \wedge d\sigma^3 \quad (4.13)$$

which is proportional to (4.9) and thus the SLAG is calibrated with respect to  $\Omega_3$ .

It is possible to define different SLags by exploiting the  $\mathbb{Z}_5^4$  symmetry of the quintic, which leads to a whole family of SLags

$$|0, k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4\rangle = [x_0 : \omega^{k_1} x_1 : \omega^{k_2} x_2 : \omega^{k_3} x_3 : \omega^{k_4} x_4] \quad (4.14)$$

where  $\omega = e^{i\frac{2\pi}{5}}$ . The freedom to choose  $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}_5$  leads to  $5^4 = 625$  SLAG three-cycles, but not all of them are calibrated with respect to the same three-form as O6-plane  $|0, 0, 0, 0, 0\rangle$ . Concretely, only the SLags that satisfy  $\sum_i k_i^4 = 0 \bmod 5$  are calibrated with respect to the same three-form as the O6-plane. Accounting for this constraint, there are 125 SLags calibrated with respect to  $\Omega_3$ .

### Moduli space of SLags

When we do model building with D-branes, we want to make sure that the gauge groups on the D-branes are not spontaneously broken by one D-brane in a stack being displaced from the rest. In other words, D-branes that wrap cycles which can be deformed or displaced, come with additional open string moduli in the adjoint representation of the gauge group. Geometrically, these open string moduli are played by the deformation moduli of cycles. Roughly speaking, we can thus distinguish between two types of cycles: rigid cycles, which do not carry deformation moduli and non-rigid cycles, which carry deformation moduli. The first class is perfect for model building, while the latter case requires more work to argue why the vev of the open string moduli is set to zero.

A deformation of a reference SLAG three-cycle  $\Pi_3$  into another three-cycle (in the same homology class as the original SLAG) can be parameterized by a normal vector field, which belongs to the normal bundle of  $\Pi_3$ . This deformation normal vector field can be written in a basis  $s^i$  as

$$X = \phi_i s^i \quad (4.15)$$

where  $\phi_i$  correspond to the scalar fields defined on the D6-brane worldvolume theory. The Kähler form introduces an isomorphism between the normal bundle of  $\Pi_3$  and the cotangent bundle of  $\Pi_3$ . According to McLean's theorem, harmonic one-forms are associated to a deformation vector field. Recalling that there is a single harmonic form in each cohomology class, we associate to every  $s^i$  a one-form  $\xi_i \in H^1(\Pi)$ . As a consequence, the moduli space of SLags is identified with  $H^1(\Pi_3)$ .

A gauge potential  $A$  on the D6-brane can be expanded in a basis of one-forms  $\xi_i$  as  $A = a^i \xi_i$ . In order to fill in the multiplets of the spectrum arising from open string excitation of D6-branes, we must arrange the  $a^i$  and  $\phi_i$  into a complex scalar field

$$\Phi^i = \phi_i + ia^i. \quad (4.16)$$

We can now apply the previous reasoning to SLag three-cycles defined on Fermat's quintic. Since  $H^1(\mathbb{RP}^3) \simeq H_1(\mathbb{RP}^3) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$ , there are no continuous deformations of the SLags and the SLags are guaranteed to remain rigid.

### Intersection numbers of SLags

We now study the intersection numbers between different SLags, which are used to determine the number of chiral fermions associated to the intersecting D6-branes. The computation was done in [9].

First of all, we choose a coordinate patch of  $\mathbb{CP}^4$  where  $x_0 = 1$  and take one of the SLags to be  $|0, 0, 0, 0, 0\rangle$  and the other SLag  $|0, k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4\rangle$ . The latter SLag can be obtained by applying successive rotations  $\omega_k$  associated to the  $\mathbb{Z}_5^4$  symmetry as  $|0, k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4\rangle = \omega_1^{k_1} \omega_2^{k_2} \omega_3^{k_3} \omega_4^{k_4} |0, 0, 0, 0, 0\rangle$ . The intersection numbers in this patch are:

- If  $\omega_i^{k_i} \neq 1$  for all  $i$ , there are no intersections in this patch. The justification is that the coordinates of one of the SLags  $[1 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3 : x_4]$  where  $x_i \in \mathbb{R}$  take only real values, while some of the coordinates of the SLag  $[1 : \omega^{k_1} x_1 : \omega^{k_2} x_2 : \omega^{k_3} x_3 : \omega^{k_4} x_4]$  take always complex numbers.
- If  $\omega_i^{k_i} = 1$  for only one  $i$ , which we set to be  $i = 4$ , the intersection is at a single point. In particular, the intersection of  $[1 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3 : x_4]$  with  $[1 : \omega^{k_1} x_1 : \omega^{k_2} x_2 : \omega^{k_3} x_3 : \omega^{k_4} x_4]$  is located at  $(1, 0, 0, 0, x_4)$ . When we restrict this line in  $\mathbb{CP}^4$  to the quintic hypersurface, the intersection reduces to the point  $(1, 0, 0, 0, -1)$ . The signature of the intersection is  $\text{sgn}(\text{Im } \omega^{k_1} \text{Im } \omega^{k_2} \text{Im } \omega^{k_3})$ .
- If  $\omega_i^{k_i} = 1$  for at least two different values of  $i$ , we have to deform one of the SLags. The deformation must be normal to both SLags and through McLean's theorem we identify the normal bundle of the intersection with

its tangent space. Then, the intersection number is the number of zeros of the non-trivial vector fields that can be defined on the intersection locus.

- If  $\omega_i^{k_i} = 1$  for two  $i$ 's, the intersection is  $[1 : 0 : 0 : x_3 : x_4]$ , which is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{RP}^1$  and the circle  $S^1$ . We can define a nowhere vanishing vector field on the circle, embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , associating to every point  $(\sin \phi, \cos \phi)$  the vector  $X(\phi) = -\sin \phi \partial_x + \cos \phi \partial_y$ . Thus, the intersection number is zero  $I_{\mathbb{RP}^1} = 0$ .
- If  $\omega_i^{k_i} = 1$  for three  $i$ 's, the intersection is  $[1 : 0 : 0 : x_3 : x_4]$ , which is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{RP}^2$ . The double cover of  $\mathbb{RP}^2$  is the two-sphere  $S^2$ , which we consider embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . We define the vector fields

$$X_\theta = \cos \phi \cos \theta \partial_x + \cos \theta \sin \phi \partial_y - \sin \theta \partial_z \quad (4.17)$$

$$X_\phi = \sin \theta (-\sin \phi \partial_x + \cos \phi \partial_y) \quad (4.18)$$

where  $X_\phi$  vanishes at the poles, while  $X_\theta$  is not (uniquely) defined at those points. These two zeros reduce to a single zero when we relate antipodal points of  $S^2$  to a single point of  $\mathbb{RP}^2$  by the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  action, so the intersection number is  $I_{\mathbb{RP}^2} = 1$ . The orientation of the intersection is  $\text{sgn}(\text{Im } \omega^{k_j})$   $j \neq i$ .

- If  $\omega_i^{k_i} = 1$  for all  $i$ 's, the intersection is  $\mathbb{RP}^3$ , whose double cover is  $S^3$ . Since the vector fields on  $S^3$  have no zeros, there are no intersections  $I_{\mathbb{RP}^3} = 0$ .

The total intersection number is obtained by repeating this procedure in all patches and then adding all the obtained intersection numbers. It can be shown that the total intersection number of intersections of  $|0, 0, 0, 0, 0\rangle$  with  $|0, k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4\rangle$  that preserve supersymmetry ( $\sum_i k_i = 1$ ) is zero.

### Volume of SLags

We now try to compute the volume of SLag three-cycles, as they carry the information of the four-dimensional gauge theory supported by the wrapping D6-branes, such as the gauge coupling. It suffices to determine the volume of  $|0, 0, 0, 0, 0\rangle$ , since a generic SLag can be obtained through  $\mathbb{Z}_5$  rotations. The

holomorphic three-form on a patch  $x_0 \neq 0$  in terms of the local coordinates  $y_i$  is

$$\Omega_3 = \frac{dy_1 \wedge dy_2 \wedge dy_3}{5y_4^4} \quad (4.19)$$

The volume of the SLAG is obtained integrating  $\Omega_3$  over the three-cycle

$$\text{Vol} = \int_{\mathbb{RP}^3} \frac{dy_1 \wedge dy_2 \wedge dy_3}{5y_4} = \int \frac{dy_1 \wedge dy_2 \wedge dy_3}{5(1 + y_1^4 + y_2^4 + y_3^4)^{4/5}} \quad (4.20)$$

It is not evident how to compute this integral, due to the non-trivial integration domain.

We can relate the volume of  $|0, 0, 0, 0, 0\rangle$  to the volume of an associated three-sphere as follows. The SLAG three-cycle is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{RP}^3$ , which in turn is diffeomorphic to the rotation group  $SO(3)$ . Considering that there is a map from  $S^3$  with antipodal points identified onto  $SO(3)$ , we conclude that the volume of a SLAG is half the volume of a corresponding three-sphere on the quintic. In practice, we can make no further progress through this approach, since we do not know the Calabi-Yau metric of the quintic.

We come back to the integral (4.20), where the integration domain is given by the points  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathbb{R}$  where  $x_4 = -(1 + x_1^5 + x_2^5 + x_3^5)^{4/5}$  is real forms the constraint that traces out the integration domain in the  $\mathbb{R}^3$  spanned by  $(x_i = 1, 2, 3)$ . Given the complexity of integrating over this hypersurface, we restrict the integration over the positive octant  $0 < x_i < \infty$ , where the reality condition of  $x_4$  is guaranteed. Although this would only yield a lower bound of the volume, it can be used as an estimate of the behaviour of the volume of SLAGs when we turn on the deformations of the quintic. Over the positive octant, the integration can be carried out analytically and it yields as lower bound of the total volume

$$\text{Vol} \geq \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{5})\Gamma(\frac{3}{10})\Gamma(\frac{11}{10})\Gamma(\frac{6}{5})}{5 \times 2^{1/5}\pi} \approx 0.61. \quad (4.21)$$

### Implications for model building

Unfortunately, we have seen that the supersymmetric SLAG three-cycles have all vanishing intersection numbers, which limits the applicability of intersecting D6-branes in model building. The reason is that the number of chiral fermions is determined by the intersection numbers of the SLAGs on which D6-branes wrap.

A workaround to this problem consists in considering non-supersymmetric configurations involving three-cycles which are not calibrated with respect to the same three-form as  $|0, 0, 0, 0, 0\rangle$ . This allows to obtain a spectrum containing three generations of chiral fermions. To cancel RR charges, a hidden sector of D-branes is introduced which does not intersect the Standard Model branes, which is the strategy followed in [10][11]. In the case when we have a supersymmetric configuration and no hidden spectrum, it suffices to introduce a stack of 4 coincident D6-branes wrapping the SLAG  $|0, 0, 0, 0, 0\rangle$ . Although this last configuration has no RR tadpoles, it leads to a  $SO(8)$  gauge theory on the D6-branes, so it does not contain a chiral spectrum.

## 4.2 SLAGs on the deformed quintic

### Deformations of the quintic

A generalization of Fermat's quintic consists in considering hypersurfaces defined by a generic polynomial of degree five

$$P_5(z) = \sum_{n_0+n_1+n_2+n_3+n_4=5} a_{n_0 n_1 n_2 n_3 n_4} z_0^{n_0} z_1^{n_1} z_2^{n_2} z_3^{n_3} z_4^{n_4} = 0 \quad (4.22)$$

This construction reduces to Fermat's quintic when  $a_{50000} = a_{05000} = a_{00500} = a_{00050} = a_{00005} = 1$  and all other coefficients are zero. A well-know example of a deformation is

$$z_0^5 + z_1^5 + z_2^5 + z_3^5 + z_4^5 - 5\psi z_0 z_1 z_2 z_3 z_4 = 0 \quad (4.23)$$

where the parameter  $\psi$  can take three values of particular relevance

- $\psi = 0$ : the Fermat point(or Gepner point).
- $\psi = 1$ : the conifold point.
- $\psi = \infty$ : the large complex structure limit.

We can deform Fermat's quintic by adding a monomial to the defining polynomial. The possible monomials are of the type:

1.  $z_0 z_1 z_2 z_3 z_4$ , 1 deformation.
2.  $z_i z_j z_k (z_l)^2$ ,  $\binom{5}{3} \binom{2}{1} = 20$  deformations.



3.  $z_i(z_j)^k(z_l)^2, \binom{5}{1}\binom{4}{2}=30$  deformations.
4.  $z_i z_j (z_k)^3, \binom{5}{2}\binom{3}{1}=30$  deformations.
5.  $(z_i)^2(z_j)^3, \binom{5}{1}\binom{4}{1}=20$  deformations.
6.  $z_i(z_j)^4, \binom{5}{3}\binom{2}{1}=20$  deformations.
7.  $(z_i)^5, 5$  deformations.

In total there are 126 deformations, but they are not all independent, since they can be related through coordinate transformations. Subtracting the 25 components of  $GL(5, \mathbb{C})$  we obtain 101 deformation parameters. This is precisely the Hodge number  $h_{2,1} = 101$ , since the defining homogeneous polynomial represents a particular choice of the complex structure, which is given by a harmonic  $(2, 1)$ -form in  $H^{2,1}(X, \mathbb{C})$ . The variations of the Kähler structure are given by the  $h^{1,1}$  and for the quintic there exists precisely one Ricci-flat Kähler form.

Fermat's quintic enjoys the  $\mathbb{Z}_5^4$  symmetry acting on the homogeneous coordinates

$$z_i \rightarrow \omega^{k_i} z_i, \quad \omega_i = e^{i \frac{2\pi}{5}} \quad (4.24)$$

which is broken into a smaller subgroup when turning on deformations. We should also examine whether deformations introduce any singularities, which are defined as the points satisfying the following equations simultaneously:

$$P(X) = 0, \quad dP = 0. \quad (4.25)$$

The nature of the singularity is obtained by evaluating the Hessian at the singularity. That is, calculating  $d^2P$  in a local coordinate patch, where one of the homogeneous coordinate is non-zero. We proceed to summarize the singularities and associated symmetry subgroups of the deformations.

1. No deformations.

$$z_0^5 + z_1^5 + z_2^5 + z_3^5 + z_4^5 = 0 \quad (4.26)$$

The only point where  $dP_5 = 0$  is  $(0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$ , which is not part of  $\mathbb{CP}^4$ , so Fermat's quintic is a smooth Calabi-Yau manifold. It enjoys the  $\mathbb{Z}_5^4$  symmetry mentioned in equation (4.24).

2.  $z_0 z_1 z_2 z_3 z_4$

$$z_0^5 + z_1^5 + z_2^5 + z_3^5 + z_4^5 - 5\psi z_0 z_1 z_2 z_3 z_4 = 0 \quad (4.27)$$

The singularities are located at the points:

$$z_i^5 = \psi z_0 z_1 z_2 z_3 z_4. \quad (4.28)$$

Multiplying them together

$$\prod_{i=0}^4 z_i^5 = \psi^5 (z_0 z_1 z_2 z_3 z_4)^5. \quad (4.29)$$

So in order to obtain a singular point,  $\psi^5 = 1$ . Taking  $\psi = 1$ , there is a single singular point  $(1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$  which is a node, since the Hessian doesn't vanish. Locally, the node can be recast into a conifold singularity.

The associated symmetry group is reduced from  $\mathbb{Z}_5^4$  to  $\mathbb{Z}_5^3$ .

3. If we apply the same procedure for the rest of deformations, where the defining polynomial is

$$z_0^5 + z_1^5 + z_2^5 + z_3^5 + z_4^5 - 5\psi(\text{deformation monomial}) = 0, \quad (4.30)$$

we find that the symmetry group is  $\mathbb{Z}_5^3$  and that for particular values of  $\psi$  they develop ordinary double singularities on hypersurfaces of the quintic. This implies that in the presence of certain deformations we encounter singular surfaces or singular curves, whose mathematical features first have to be understood before these backgrounds can be applied in string compactifications.

### SLags on the deformed quintic

Let us consider a specific deformation of Fermat's quintic

$$z_0^5 + z_1^5 + z_2^5 + z_3^5 + z_4^5 - 5\psi z_0 z_1 z_2 z_3 z_4 = 0. \quad (4.31)$$

SLags are then defined as the fixed loci under the anti-holomorphic involution

$$\mathcal{R} : z_i \rightarrow \bar{z}_i:$$

$$|0, 0, 0, 0, 0\rangle_\psi =$$

$$\{[x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3 : x_4] \in \mathbb{RP}^4 | x_0^5 + x_1^5 + x_2^5 + x_3^5 + x_4^5 - 5\psi x_0 x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 = 0\}. \quad (4.32)$$

There is still a  $\mathbb{Z}_5^3$  symmetry which allows us to define additional SLags by successive rotations:

$$|0, k_1, k_2, k_3, 4k_1 + 4k_2 + 4k_3\rangle_\psi = \left\{ [x_0 : \omega^{k_1} x_1 : \omega^{k_2} x_2 : \omega^{k_3} x_3 : \omega^{4k_1+4k_2+4k_3} x_4] \in \mathbb{RP}^4 \mid x_i \in |0, 0, 0, 0, 0\rangle_\psi \right\}. \quad (4.33)$$

The possible combinations of  $k_i$  lead to 125 supersymmetric SLAG three-cycles calibrated with respect to the same holomorphic three-form as  $|0, 0, 0, 0, 0\rangle_\psi$ . For this reason, there are the same number of supersymmetric SLags as on the undeformed Fermat's quintic. It should be noted that the 500 SLags calibrated which are not calibrated with respect to the same three-form as  $|0, 0, 0, 0, 0\rangle$  do not appear at all.

To determine the topology of the SLags, we try to form an homeomorphism between  $\mathbb{RP}^3$  and the SLags. When the deformation is non-zero, it seems impossible to invert one of the variables of the defining quintic equation in terms of the others. Thus, we consider small values of  $\psi$  to obtain an approximation through the Newton-Raphson method and assume that we can analytically continue it for all values of  $\psi$ . The homeomorphism from  $\mathbb{RP}^3$  to the SLags on the deformed quintic would be to first order in  $\psi$

$$(u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3) \rightarrow \left( u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3, - (u_0^5 + u_1^5 + u_2^5 + u_3^5)^{1/5} \left( 1 + \frac{\psi u_0 u_1 u_2 u_3}{(u_0^5 + u_1^5 + u_2^5 + u_3^5)^{4/5} - \psi u_0 u_1 u_2 u_3} + O(\psi^2) \right) \right). \quad (4.34)$$

So the topology of SLags is the same as in the undeformed case and SLags are rigid.

The intersection numbers of SLags are not expected to change with respect to those obtained for Fermat's quintic, since they are topological quantities. Indeed, it can be shown that the intersection numbers are the same as those on Fermat's quintic, so the same obstruction to model building applies.

We now compute the volume of a SLAG for small values of  $\psi < 1$ . In order to solve the hypersurface equation in terms of an expansion of a small parameter, we define  $\Delta$ ,  $\eta$  and  $u$  [12] as

$$\Delta = 1 + x_1^5 + x_2^5 + x_3^5, \quad \eta = \Delta^{-1/5} x_4, \quad u = \Delta^{-4/5} x_1 x_2 x_3, \quad (4.35)$$

so the hypersurface equation becomes

$$\Delta(\eta^5 + 1 - 5\psi u\eta) = 0. \quad (4.36)$$

Since  $u$  is bounded as  $0 \leq u \leq 4^{-4/5}$ , we can obtain a solution in powers of  $\psi u$  through the Newton-Raphson method. A particular solution to first order in  $\psi$  is given by

$$\eta = -1 - \frac{u\psi}{1 - u\psi} = -\frac{1}{1 - u\psi} \quad (4.37)$$

so

$$x_4 = -\frac{\Delta^{1/5}}{1 - u\psi}. \quad (4.38)$$

Inserting this expression into the three-form, we obtain the volume for of the SLAG to second order in  $\psi$

$$\Omega_3 = \frac{dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dx_3}{5\Delta^{4/5}} (1 - 3u\psi - u^2\psi^2 + O(\psi^3)). \quad (4.39)$$

The volume of the SLAG would be obtained integrating this three-form over the hypersurface that depends on  $\psi$ . Fortunately, the integration domain is the same as when  $\psi = 0$ . Still, we cannot compute analytically this integral, so we restrict the integration region to the positive octant, as we did before in section 4.1 ( $0 < x_i < \infty$ ). The integral of each term of the  $\psi$  expansion can be obtained analytically in terms of gamma functions and it yields the lower bound of the volume of SLAGs

$$\text{Vol} \geq \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{5}) \Gamma(\frac{3}{10}) \Gamma(\frac{11}{10}) (\Gamma(\frac{6}{5}))^2}{5 \times 2^{1/5} \pi} - \psi \frac{3\pi \Gamma(\frac{2}{5}) (\Gamma(\frac{7}{5}))^2}{100 \times 2^{2/5} \Gamma(\frac{9}{10}) \Gamma(\frac{13}{10})} \quad (4.40)$$

$$- \psi^2 \frac{\pi (\Gamma(\frac{3}{5}))^3}{125 \times 2^{3/5} \Gamma(\frac{1}{10}) \Gamma(\frac{17}{10})} + O(\psi^3) \quad (4.41)$$

$$\approx 0.61 - 0.13\psi - 0.0063\psi^2 + O(\psi^3) \quad (4.42)$$

In order to compare this volume with the volume of the SLAG at the Fermat point, we divide the result by the volume obtained in equation (4.21)

$$\text{Vol}/\text{Vol}|_{\psi=0} \approx 1 - 0.21\psi - 0.01\psi^2 + O(\psi^3) \quad (4.43)$$

and observe a linear decrease in the lower bound of the volume. This implies that the gauge theory living on a D6-brane wrapped on such a SLAG three-cycle will have an increasing gauge coupling away from the Fermat point.

## Chapter 5

# Conclusion and outlook

Having model building on mind, we have considered SUSY special lagrangian three-cycles which arise as invariant directions under the orientifold involution and determined that they are rigid (no deformations) and can be extended beyond the Fermat point. Unfortunately, their intersection numbers do not generate chiral fermions, but we are working on the quintic (the simplest Calabi-Yau realised as an algebraic variety) and perhaps there are more intricate smooth Calabi-Yau background on which we can apply the techniques discussed here.

We are able to evaluate qualitatively the effect of a complex structure deformation on the volume of a special lagrangian three-cycle on the quintic (for small deformations). It would be interesting to obtain a more precise value of the volume and to discuss how it is affected by other deformations.

We encountered that deformations of the Fermat's quintic lead to singularities. In a specific example we obtain a conifold singularity, but generic deformations would require a detailed study of the type of singularities, possibly in terms of singular surfaces and curves on the quintic.

# Bibliography

- [1] Ibanez, Luis and Angel Uranga: *String Theory and Particle Physics*. ISBN 9780521517522.
- [2] Green, M. B., J. H. Schwarz, and E Witten: *Superstring Theory: Volume 1*. Cambridge University Press, 1988.
- [3] Green, M. B., J. H. Schwarz, and E Witten: *Superstring Theory: Volume 2*. Cambridge University Press, 1988.
- [4] Graña, Mariana: *Flux compactifications in string theory: A comprehensive review*. Physics Reports, 423(3):91–158, 2006, ISSN 03701573.
- [5] Greene, Brian: *String Theory on Calabi-Yau Manifolds*. 1997. <http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9702155>.
- [6] Partouche, Herve and Boris Pioline: *Rolling among  $G/2$  vacua*. Journal of High Energy Physics, 2001(03):005–005, 2001, ISSN 1029-8479. <http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0011130>.
- [7] McLean, R.C.: *Deformations of calibrated submanifolds*. Commun. Analy. Geom, 1996.
- [8] Becker, Katrin, Melanie Becker, and Andrew Strominger: *Fivebranes, membranes and non-perturbative string theory*. Nuclear Physics, Section B, 456(1-2):130–152, 1995, ISSN 05503213.
- [9] Brunner, Ilka, Michael R Douglas, Albion Lawrence, and Christian Römelsberger: *D-branes on the quintic*. Journal of High Energy Physics, 2000(08):015–015, 2000, ISSN 1029-8479. <http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9906200>.

- [10] Blumenhagen, Ralph, Volker Braun, Boris Kors, and Dieter Lust: *The Standard Model on the Quintic*. (2):1–10, 2002. <http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0210083>.
- [11] Blumenhagen, Ralph, Volker Friedrich Braun, Dieter Lüst, and Boris Körs: *Orientifolds of  $K3$  and Calabi-Yau Manifolds with Intersecting D-branes*. Journal of High Energy Physics, 2002(07):026–026, 2002, ISSN 1029-8479. <http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0206038>.
- [12] Candelas, Philip, Xenia C. de la Ossa, Paul S. Green, and Linda Parkes: *An exactly soluble superconformal theory from a mirror pair of Calabi-Yau manifolds*. Physics Letters B, 258(1-2):118–126, 1991, ISSN 03702693.