**Software Reengineering CS 789**

Intermediate Report Evaluation -- Group X

* Delivery: [ ] On-time [ ] Late: X days
* Use at least one tool/script that is related to each lab session:
  + [ ] Metrics and Visualisation: CodeScene
  + [ ] Refactoring Assistants: CodeScene, SonarQube, RefactoringMiner
  + [ ] Test Coverage: IntelliJ Coverage, JaCoCo, e.t.c
  + [ ] Software Integration: GACPD, RePatch,
  + [ ] Mining Repositories: GitHub API, GraphQL, etc.
* Screenshots of tool reports available for the relevant ones of the above
  + [ ] Yes
  + [ ] Some are missing:
* Reflection on the effectiveness of the tools for the current reengineering project
  + [ ] Yes
  + [ ] Reflection is missing for:
* (Optional) Reference to extra tools not covered in the lab:
* Evidence of using tools to compare **before / after / during** reengineering
  + [ ] No
  + [ ] Mentioned but not systematic (coverage/metrics/visualization missing)
  + [ ] Explicitly demonstrated (coverage/metrics/visualization reported at each stage)
* UML class diagrams to explain planned structural changes/refactoring:
  + [ ] No
  + [ ] Partial / unclear
* Report Quality
  + Structure: [ ] Good [ ] Needs improvemen
  + Layout: [ ] Good [ ] Needs improvement
  + Spelling & Grammar: [ ] Acceptable [ ] Needs improvement
  + Actionable: [ ] Yes [ ] No
* Reference to exact URL (GitHub project) of reengineering project available
  + [ ] Yes:
  + [ ] Not yet:
* A sound basis for the remainder of the project
  + [ ] References to reengineering patterns:
  + [ ] Ad-hoc vs. Systematic approach identified (team coordination plan in place)
  + [ ] Timeline / milestones updated
  + [ ] uccess criteria (“definition of done”) stated clearly