

November 15, 1977

Prof. J. M. Levy-Leblond  
Laboratoire de Physique Theorique et Hautes Energies  
Tour 33 - 1er etage  
Universite Paris VII  
2, Place Jussieu  
75221 Paris Cedex 05  
France

Dear Professor Levy-Leblond:

The reason for the delay in acknowledging receipt of your pre-print, "Towards a Proper Quantum Theory," is that it is one of the more meaningful papers I have seen on this subject, and therefore deserving of a reply. This is always a mistake for me to make, as I very rarely complete a thorough review of papers, despite all good intentions. In this case, your observations seem entirely accurate (as far as I have read.) I especially enjoyed your inspired conclusion, pgs 192-4. Line 4 under Conclusion, - shouldn't 'urging' be 'urgent'?

I have not done further work in this area since the original paper in 1955, (not published in its entirety until 1973, as the "Many-Worlds Interpretation etc."). This, of course, was not my title as I was pleased to have the paper published in any form anyone chose to do it in! I, in effect, had washed my hands of the whole affair in 1956.

Fearing that I am unable to top your "Theoretical zipperdynamics," I will let well enough alone. Thank you for sending me your most interesting paper. I hope I can comment in further detail at some future date.

Very truly yours,

Hugh Everett, III

8114 Touchstone Terrace  
McLean, VA 22101

HE/ne

cc: Prof. J. A. Wheeler

A4P

# Rough Draft!

November 1, 1977

Prof. J. M. Levy-Leblond  
Laboratoire de Physique Theorique et Hautes Energies  
Tour 33 - 1er etage  
Universite Paris VII  
2, Place Jussieu  
75221 Paris Cedex 05  
France

Dear Professor Levy-Leblond:

The reason for the delay in acknowledging receipt of your pre-print, "Towards a Proper Quantum Theory" is that it is one of the more meaningful papers I have seen on this subject, and I therefore thought it deserved a reply. This is always a mistake for me to make, as I very rarely take the complete a ~~thorough review of~~ sometimes despite all time to read people's papers in the further detail that they deserve, and find ~~and~~ calculations. In this case, you seemed to have grasped the general thought behind the "Everett -(Wheeler) Interpretation of Q. M.", Your observations are

I have not done further work in this area since the original paper in 1955, (not published in its entirety until 1973, as the "Many-Worlds Interpretation etc."..) This, of course, is not my title as I was pleased to have the paper published in any form anyone chose to do it in! I, in effect, had washed my hands of the whole affair in 1955. Far be it for me to look a ~~Johnson's London gift~~ <sup>Johnson's London</sup> ~~Beowellian writer in the mouth!~~ Your observations are entirely accurate (as far as I have read). inventive ingenious

I especially enjoyed your inspired conclusion, pgs 192-4. Line 4 under Conclusion: shouldn't 'urging' be 'urgent'?

Fearing that I am unable to top your "Theoretical zipperdynamics" I will let well enough alone.... If I can be of further help, please let me know. Thank you for sending me your most interesting paper. I can comment in further detail ~~on the~~ at some future date. Very truly yours,

Hugh Everett, III  
8114 Touchstone Terrace  
McLean, VA 22101

HF/no

AVP