

Sentence: Dana loved the sweet taste of French Vanilla ice cream, but the only flavor the store had was Rocky Road, so she had to buy her least favorite flavor.

PSG Tree



Dependency Parse:



SRL Parse:

```
Loved:

Arg 0

Dana loved the sweet taste of French Ugnilla ice cress

Had: Arg 1

The only flavor the stere had

Arg 2

The only flavor the Stere had was Rocky Read

Had:

Had:

Arg 1

Arg 1

Arg 1

Arg 1

Arg 1

Arg 2

Arg 2: Instrument

No medificis

Had:

had

Arg 1

Arg 1

Arg 1

Shad

Arg 1

Arg
```

The three parses all gave interesting results based on the above sentence. Each of them presented different information about the sentence that is useful in its own right. The PSG tree shows an interesting relation between the tokens in the sentence and their parts of speech (POS) and does a generally good job defining the POS for each word but can sometimes get confused and make decisions that don't align with my own knowledge of the grammar. The dependency parse is a neat visualization of the dependencies in the sentence and does a good job of connecting and explaining what the dependencies are and how they connect the segments of the sentence in a simple way. The SRL Parse does a fine job connecting the arguments to the verbs in a way that makes sense but did run into some trouble with the word "had" being defined as a verb and identifying the predicate.