

Childcare Affordability: The Hidden Workforce Risk

Josed Rodriguez

DSC 640

11.23.2025

Childcare affordability continues to be one of the most underestimated constraints on workforce participation. Using the U.S. Department of Labor's National Database of Childcare Prices (2023), this project quantifies childcare costs across states, measures affordability relative to household income, and evaluates how these burdens shape labor-force participation particularly for women and single-parent households. All analysis was completed in Python using pandas and matplotlib, with additional visuals generated using Plotly for spatial mapping.

The dataset includes 23,000+ observations across all U.S. states and counties, covering weekly center- and family-based childcare prices, median household income (MHI), female labor-force participation rates (FLFPR), and demographic indicators such as the number of single-mother households with young children.

To standardize values, weekly childcare prices were converted to annual costs (week x 52) and divided by household income to compute affordability ratios. Numeric values were cleaned by stripping currency symbols and commas. No rows were removed selectively; missing numeric values were handled through standard pandas conversions, ensuring consistent comparison across states.

Key National Patterns

- Several clear patterns emerged when summarizing the data:
- The median annual infant-care cost across the U.S. is approximately \$8,589 and exceeds \$15,700 in high-cost regions such as the District of Columbia.

- Most counties devote 15-30% of household income to infant care - well above the federal 7% affordability benchmark.
- Female labor participation averages 56-57%, but declines in counties where childcare burdens rise beyond 20-25% of income.
- Single-mother households experience the highest exposure to cost pressure, with a positive correlation (+0.144) between affordability burden and the number of single mothers with children under six.

These patterns demonstrate that childcare affordability is not only a financial strain; it is structurally linked to labor-force outcomes.

Insights From Visualizations

1. **Childcare Costs Are Highest for Infants** - Across both center-based and family-based care, infants represent the most expensive age group. Nationally, center-based infant care reaches \$150-\$180 per week, or \$7,800-\$9,300 per year. Family-based infant care is somewhat cheaper, but still exceeds \$80-\$110 per week.
2. **Large Geographic Inequities** - The Top 10 states by annual infant-care cost include D.C., Hawaii, Massachusetts, California, and Washington each exceeding \$12,000-\$15,000 per year. However, the affordability burden tells a more striking story:
 - Washington: 26.5% of income
 - California: 24.7%
 - D.C.: 24.6%
 - Massachusetts: 22.4%

- New York: 20.4%

These states exceed the federal affordability guideline by 3-4x, despite having higher median incomes. The spatial affordability map reinforces a clear pattern: West Coast, Northeast, and Southeastern states experience the highest child-care burden.

3. **Workforce Participation Declines as Affordability Worsens** - The scatterplot of affordability vs. FLFPR shows a negative correlation of -0.139, with participation dropping as childcare consumes a greater percentage of income. Counties with affordability burdens above 25% cluster heavily in the 50-65% FLFPR range. Removing high-income outliers slightly strengthens this relationship, suggesting affordability constraints matter most for middle- and low-income families.
4. **Single-Mother Households Are Disproportionately Exposed** - The affordability vs. single-mother scatterplot displays a consistent upward trend (+0.144 correlation). Counties with the highest affordability burdens often show 80-120+ single-mother households with children under six. This indicates that families with the least flexibility face the steepest cost pressures, creating compounding workforce risks.
5. **Infant Care Is the Workforce Pinch Point** - The “burden by age group” chart shows:
 - Infants: 15.9% of income
 - Toddlers: 14.3%
 - Preschool: 13.5%

On the data side, everything came from public, de-identified sources. The only real ethical risk was making sure the correlations weren't read as causation, so I kept the messaging clear and avoided stretching the findings beyond what the numbers actually show. All I really did was clean the data, convert the weekly prices to annual costs, and calculate affordability ratios.

The biggest takeaway for employers and policymakers is that childcare affordability isn't just a family problem; it's a workforce issue. When infant care hits 20-25% of someone's income, participation drops, especially for single parents, and that creates real talent and retention challenges. Looking at childcare as a workforce investment makes a huge difference. Even small supports or partnerships can help stabilize participation and improve equity outcomes.

If I took this further, I'd love to add subsidy data, employer benefits, or look at how affordability has changed year to year. But even with what I have now, the pattern is incredibly consistent: when childcare costs rise, participation falls. Seeing the same story show up across so many charts really drove home how powerful simple visuals can be when the data is this clear.

APPENDIX

Medium 1: Final Written Analysis

Medium 2: Presentation Deck (PowerPoint)

Medium 3: Python Notebook

Medium 4: Interactive Power BI Dashboard

