

Quiz

$$\underline{n^8 - 800n^7 - 10000n^6 + 200n^2 \leq O(0.25n^7)}$$

False

Let $f(n) = 4e^n$, for which of the following definitions of $g(n)$ is $f(n) \leq O(g(n))$?

True	False
	$g(n) = n^4 + n^2$ False: $4e^n \notin O(n^4 + n^2)$
	$g(n) = n^{100} + e^{100\ln(n)}$ False: $e^{100\ln n} = e^{\ln(n^{100})} = n^{100}$ $4e^n \notin O(2 \cdot n^{100})$
	$g(n) = 2^{3n}$ True: $2^{3n} = 8^n$, $4e^n \leq O(8^n)$ weil $8 > e$

You want to show using induction that a statement $A(n)$ holds for all n such that $n \geq 2$.

Which of the following combinations of *base case* and *induction step* would form a valid proof?

Wählen Sie eine oder mehrere Antworten:

a. *Base case*: $A(2)$ holds.

Induction step: $A(k) \implies A(k+1)$ for all integers $k \geq 2$

b. *Base case*: $A(2), A(3)$ holds.

Induction step: $A(k) \implies A(k+2)$ for all integers $k \geq 2$.

c. *Base case*: $A(2)$ holds.

Induction step: $A(k) \implies A(k+2)$ for all integers $k \geq 2$.

only proves A for even k

$$\sum_{i=1}^n i^2 \leq O(n^2 \log(n))?$$

False

$$\sum_{i=1}^n i^2 \geq \sum_{i=\frac{n}{2}}^n i^2 \geq \sum_{i=\frac{n}{2}}^n \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^3 = \frac{n^3}{8} \geq \Omega(n^3)$$

Consider the following recursive formula:

$$T(1) = 0$$

$$T(n) = 2T(n/2) + 5n$$

Assume n is of the form $n = 2^k$ for $k \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$.

Is $T(n) \leq O(n)$?

$$T(n) = 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + 5n$$

$$= 2 \cdot \left(2T\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) + 5 \cdot \frac{n}{2}\right) + 5n$$

$$= 4T\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) + 2 \cdot 5n$$

$$= 4 \cdot \left(2 \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{8}\right) + 5 \cdot \frac{n}{4}\right) + 2 \cdot 5n$$

$$= 8 \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{8}\right) + 3 \cdot 5n$$

$$\vdots \\ = \underbrace{n \cdot T(1)}_{=0} + \log_2(n) \cdot 5n \neq O(n)$$

Induktion

Exercise 2.1 Induction.

- (a) Prove via mathematical induction that for all integers $n \geq 5$,

$$2^n > n^2.$$

In your solution, you should address the base case, the induction hypothesis and the induction step.

Wir iterieren über k

Base case: Sei $k = 5$. $2^5 = 32 > 25 = 5^2$. Base case gilt.

I.H. Wir nehmen an, dass die Aussage für ein $k \geq 5, k \in \mathbb{N}$ gilt,
also $\underline{2^k > k^2}$

I.S. Wir wollen zeigen, dass die Aussage dann auch für $k+1$ gilt.

$$2^{k+1} = 2 \cdot 2^k$$

$$\stackrel{\text{IH}}{>} 2 \cdot k^2$$

$$= k^2 + k^2$$

$$\geq k^2 + 5k \quad \text{weil } k \geq 5$$

$$= k^2 + 2k + 3k$$

$$> k^2 + 2k + 1 \quad \text{weil } k \geq 5$$

$$= (k+1)^2$$



- (b) Let x be any real number. Prove via mathematical induction that for every positive integer n , we have

$$(1+x)^n = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} x^i,$$

where

$$\binom{n}{i} = \frac{n!}{i!(n-i)!}.$$

Recall that the factorial of a positive integer n is defined as $n! = 1 \cdot 2 \cdot \dots \cdot (n-1) \cdot n$. We use a standard convention $0! = 1$, so $\binom{n}{0} = \binom{n}{n} = 1$ for every positive integer n .

In your solution, you should address the base case, the induction hypothesis and the induction step.

Hint: You can use the following fact without proof: for every $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$\binom{n}{i} + \binom{n}{i-1} = \binom{n+1}{i}.$$

Wir iterieren über k

Base case: Sei $k=1$.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^1 \binom{i}{i} x^i &= \binom{0}{0} x^0 + \binom{1}{1} x^1 \\ &= 1 \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot x \\ &= 1+x \\ &= (1+x)^1 \quad \text{Base case gilt.} \end{aligned}$$

I.H. Wir nehmen an, dass die Aussage für ein $k \geq 1, k \in \mathbb{N}$ gilt,

also $(1+x)^k = \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} x^i$

I.S. Wir wollen zeigen, dass die Aussage dann auch für $k+1$ gilt.

$$\begin{aligned} (1+x)^{k+1} &= (1+x)(1+x)^k \\ &\stackrel{\text{I.H.}}{=} (1+x) \cdot \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} x^i \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} x^i + \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} x^{i+1} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} x^i + \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \binom{k}{i-1} x^i \end{aligned}$$

ausmultiplizieren
Index shifting
Summanden raus ziehen

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \binom{k}{0} x^0 + \sum_{i=1}^k \binom{k}{i} x^i + \sum_{i=1}^k \binom{k}{i-1} x^i + \binom{k}{k} x^{k+1} \\
 &= \binom{k}{0} x^0 + \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\binom{k}{i} + \binom{k}{i-1} \right) x^i + \binom{k}{k} x^{k+1} \\
 &= \binom{k+1}{0} x^0 + \sum_{i=1}^k \binom{k+1}{i} x^i + \binom{k+1}{k+1} x^{k+1} \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \binom{k+1}{i} x^i
 \end{aligned}$$

Distributiv Gesetz
 Hint
 Summe zusammenfassen



Exercise 2.2 Fibonacci numbers (1 point).

There are a lot of neat properties of the Fibonacci numbers that can be proved by induction. Recall that the Fibonacci numbers are defined by $f_0 = 0$, $f_1 = 1$ and the recursion relation $f_{n+1} = f_n + f_{n-1}$ for all $n \geq 1$. For example, $f_2 = 1$, $f_5 = 5$, $f_{10} = 55$, $f_{15} = 610$.

Prove that $f_n \geq \frac{1}{3} \cdot 1.5^n$ for $n \geq 1$.

Base case: Sei $k=1$. $f_1 = 1 \geq 0.5 = \frac{1}{3} \cdot 1.5^1$

Sei $k=2$. $f_2 = 1 \geq 0.75 = \frac{1}{3} \cdot 1.5^2$ stimmt für $k=1$ und $k=2$

I.H Wir nehmen an, dass die Aussage für ein $k \in \mathbb{N}$ gilt und für $k+1$

Das heisst $f_k \geq \frac{1}{3} \cdot 1.5^k$ und $f_{k+1} \geq \frac{1}{3} \cdot 1.5^{k+1}$

I.S. Wir wollen zeigen, dass die Aussage dann auch für $k+2$ gilt.

(Idee: k und $k+1 \rightarrow k+2$)

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_{k+2} &\stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} f_{k+1} + f_k \\
 &\stackrel{\text{IH}}{\geq} \frac{1}{3} \cdot 1.5^{k+1} + \frac{1}{3} \cdot 1.5^k \\
 &= \frac{1}{3} \cdot 1.5^k (1.5 + 1)
 \end{aligned}$$

ausklammern

$$= \frac{1}{3} \cdot 1.5^k \cdot 2.5$$

$$> \frac{1}{3} \cdot 1.5^k \cdot 1.5^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{3} \cdot 1.5^{k+2}$$

$1.5^2 = 2.25 > 2.5$
 Potenzgesetze



Exercise 2.4 Asymptotic growth of $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i}$ (1 point).

The goal of this exercise is to show that the sum $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i}$ behaves, up to constant factors, as $\log(n)$ when n is large. Formally, we will show $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i} \leq O(\log n)$ and $\log n \leq O(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i})$ as functions from $\mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$ to \mathbb{R}^+ .

For parts (a) to (c) we assume that $n = 2^k$ is a power of 2 for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. We will generalise the result to arbitrary $n \in \mathbb{N}$ in part (d). For $j \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$S_j = \sum_{i=2^{j-1}+1}^{2^j} \frac{1}{i}.$$

(a) For any $j \in \mathbb{N}$, prove that $S_j \leq 1$.

Hint: Find a common upper bound for all terms in the sum and count the number of terms.

Welches ist der grösste Summand von S_j ? $\rightarrow \frac{1}{2^{j-1}+1} \leq \frac{1}{2^{j-1}}$

Wie viele Summanden hat S_j ? $\rightarrow 2^{j-1}$ viele

$\Rightarrow S_j \leq 2^{j-1} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{j-1}} = 1$

erleichtert das Rechnen

(b) For any $j \in \mathbb{N}$, prove that $S_j \geq \frac{1}{2}$.

Welches ist der kleinste Summand von S_j ? $\rightarrow \frac{1}{2^j}$

Wie viele Summanden hat S_j ? $\rightarrow 2^{j-1}$ viele

$\Rightarrow S_j \geq 2^{j-1} \cdot \frac{1}{2^j} = \frac{2^{j-1}}{2^j} = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$

(c) For any $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, prove the following two inequalities

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2^k} \frac{1}{i} \leq k + 1$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2^k} \frac{1}{i} \geq \frac{k+1}{2}.$$

Hint: You can use that $\sum_{i=1}^{2^k} \frac{1}{i} = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^k S_j$. Use this, together with parts (a) and (b), to prove the required inequalities.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{2^k} \frac{1}{i} &= 1 + \sum_{j=1}^k s_j \\ &\leq 1 + \sum_{j=1}^k 1 \\ &= 1 + k \end{aligned}$$

) $s_j \leq 1$ aus Teil a

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{2^k} \frac{1}{i} &= 1 + \sum_{j=1}^k s_j \\ &\geq 1 + \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{2} \\ &> \frac{1}{2} + \frac{k}{2} \\ &= \frac{k+1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

) $s_j \leq 1$ aus Teil b

(d)* For arbitrary $n \in \mathbb{N}$, prove that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i} \leq \log_2(n) + 2$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i} \geq \frac{\log_2 n}{2}.$$

Hint: Use the result from part (c) for $k_1 = \lceil \log_2 n \rceil$ and $k_2 = \lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor$. Here, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lceil x \rceil$ is the smallest integer that is at least x and $\lfloor x \rfloor$ is the largest integer that is at most x . For example, $\lceil 1.5 \rceil = 2$, $\lfloor 1.5 \rfloor = 1$ and $\lceil 3 \rceil = \lfloor 3 \rfloor = 3$. In particular, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $x \leq \lceil x \rceil < x + 1$ and $x \geq \lfloor x \rfloor > x - 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i} &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\lceil \log_2 n \rceil} \frac{1}{i} \\ &\leq k_1 + 1 \\ &= \lceil \log_2 n \rceil + 1 \\ &\leq \log_2 n + 2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i} &\geq \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor} \frac{1}{i} \\ &\geq \frac{k_2 + 1}{2} \\ &= \frac{\lceil \log_2 n \rceil + 1}{2} \\ &\geq \frac{(\log_2 n - 1) + 1}{2} \\ &= \frac{\log_2 n}{2} \end{aligned}$$

(a) Show that $\ln(n!) \leq O(n \ln n)$.

Hint: You can use the fact that $n! \leq n^n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$ without proof.

(b) Show that $n \ln n \leq O(\ln(n!))$.

→ merken für Prüfung!

Hint: You can use the fact that $\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \leq n!$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$ without proof.

$$a) \quad \ln(n!) \leq \ln(n^n)$$

$$= n \cdot \ln(n)$$

$$\leq O(n \ln n)$$

$$b) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n \ln n}{\ln(n!)} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n \ln n}{\ln\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}}$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n \ln n}{\frac{1}{2} \ln\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)}$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2 \ln n}{(\ln n - \ln 2)}$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2 \ln n}{\ln n - \ln 2}$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2}{1 - \frac{\ln 2}{\ln n}}$$

$\xrightarrow{\ln n \rightarrow \infty} 0$

$$= 2$$

Maximum Subarray Sum

Gegeben: Array der Länge n , Schreibweise $A[1..n]$ oder (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)

Gesucht: Startindex i und Endindex j mit $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$

sodass $\sum_{k=i}^j a_k$ maximal ist

Teilproblem: Randmaximum R_i

$R_i :=$ Grösste Summe eines Subarrays, das in Index i endet

Array: $[1, 3, 2, -1, -4, 6, -20, 0, 2]$

Randmax: $[1, 4, 6, 5, 1, 7, -13, 0, 2]$

Lösung = $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} R_i$ oder 0 falls alle Array Elemente negativ sind

MSS-INDUKTIV(a_1, \dots, a_n)

- 1 randmax $\leftarrow 0$
- 2 maxS $\leftarrow 0$
- 3 Für $i \leftarrow 1, \dots, n$:
- 4 randmax \leftarrow randmax + a_i
- 5 Wenn randmax > maxS:
- 6 maxS \leftarrow randmax
- 7 Wenn randmax < 0:
- 8 randmax $\leftarrow 0$
- 9 Gib maxS zurück.

Laufzeit: $O(n)$

Θ und Ω Notation

$O(f)$:= Menge aller Funktionen, die langsamer oder gleich schnell wachsen

$\Theta(f)$:= Menge aller Funktionen, die gleich schnell wachsen

$\Omega(f)$ = Menge aller Funktionen, die gleich schnell oder schneller wachsen

Mathematisch:

$$\Theta(f) := \{g: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \mid f \leq O(g) \text{ und } g \leq O(f)\} = O(f) \cap \Omega(f)$$

$$\Omega(f) := \{g: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \mid f \leq O(g)\}$$

Beweismethode für Summen \star

Show that $\sum_{i=1}^n i^3 = \Theta(n^4)$

$$\text{Upper Bound: } \sum_{i=1}^n i^3 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n n^3 = n^4 \leq O(n^4)$$

$$\text{Lower Bound: } \sum_{i=1}^n i^3 \geq \sum_{i=\frac{n}{2}}^n i^3 \geq \sum_{i=\frac{n}{2}}^n \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^3 = \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^4 = \frac{1}{16} n^4 \geq \Omega(n^4)$$

\hookrightarrow Wir nehmen nur die obere Hälfte der Summe

Show that $\sum_{i=1}^n \sqrt{i} = \Theta(n^{1.5})$

$$\text{Upper Bound: } \sum_{i=1}^n \sqrt{i} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \sqrt{n} = n \sqrt{n} = n^{1.5} \leq O(n^{1.5})$$

$$\text{Lower Bound: } \sum_{i=1}^n \sqrt{i} \geq \sum_{i=\frac{n}{2}}^n \sqrt{i} \geq \sum_{i=\frac{n}{2}}^n \sqrt{\frac{n}{2}} = \frac{n}{2} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{n}{2}} = \frac{n^{1.5}}{2\sqrt{2}} \geq \Omega(n^{1.5})$$

Counting function calls

Algorithm 3

```
i ← 0
while i ≤ 3n do
    f()
    i ← i + 1
```

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{\#calls} &= \sum_{i=0}^{3n} 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{n+5} 2 \\
 &= 3n+1 + 2(n+5) \\
 &= 3n+1 + 2n+10 \\
 &= 5n+11 \\
 &= \Theta(n)
 \end{aligned}$$

Algorithm 4

```
i ← 1
while i ≤ n do
    j ← 1
    while j ≤ n do
        f()
        j ← j + 1
    i ← i + 1
```

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{\#calls} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n 1 + \sum_{k=0}^{2n} 1 \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n n + 2n+1 \\
 &= n^2 + 2n + 1 \\
 &= \Theta(n^2)
 \end{aligned}$$