## Analysis of Algorithms Homework 1

## Joseph Petitti

## November 7, 2019

- 1. Functions ordered by ascending order of growth rate (*i.e.* the last item grows the fastest):
  - $f_7(n) = n^{1/\log n}$
  - $f_2(n) = \sqrt{2n}$
  - $f_3(n) = 10 + n$
  - $f_6(n) = n^2 \log(n)$
  - $f_1(n) = n^{2.5}$
  - $f_4(n) = 10^n$
  - $f_5(n) = 10^{2n}$
- 2. Proof that G being a tree implies that it has exactly n-1 edges:

If G has fewer than n-1 edges then it cannot be connected by the hand-shake lemma. If G has more than n-1 edges:

- Suppose G has more then n-1 edges but is not a tree.
- $\bullet$  Because G is connected but not a tree, it must contain a cycle.
- Remove an edge from the cycle. We are left with a connected graph with n-2 edges, which is impossible by the handshake lemma.

Proof that G being connected and having exactly n-1 edges implies it is a tree:

- Suppose G is connected and has exactly n-1 edges but is not a tree.
- $\bullet$  We know G is connected, so to not be a tree it must contain a cycle.
- In any connected graph with a cycle, you can remove one edge from the cycle and the graph will still be connected.
- Remove one edge from the cycle in G. We are left with a connected graph with n-2 edges, which is impossible by the handshake lemma.
- 3. **Efficient Algorithm**: Breadth-first search (assume the graph is given in the adjacency list representation):

```
Choose a starting node s  \begin{array}{l} \text{Set Discovered} \, [\, s \,] \, = \, true \\ \text{Set Discovered} \, [\, v \,] \, = \, false \, \, for \, \, all \, \, other \, \, v \, \, in \, \, G \\ \text{Initialize L} \, [\, 0 \,] \, \, to \, \, consist \, \, of \, \, the \, \, single \, \, element \, \, s \\ \text{Set the layer counter} \, \, i \, = \, 0 \\ \end{array}
```

```
While L[i] is not empty
Initialize an empty list L[i+1]
For each node u in L[i]

Consider each edge (u, v) incident to u

If Discovered[v] = false then

Set Discovered[v] = true

Add v to the list L[i+1]

Else

Return true

Endif

EndFor

Increment the layer counter i by i

endWhile

Return false
```

**Proof of Correctness:** This algorithm, which is essentially just breadth-first search, goes through each node in G by "layer," where each layer n is the set of nodes exactly n distance away from starting node s. This algorithm always moves "down" the graph, if you encounter a node twice it means there is a loop.

Analysis of Running Time: This algorithm runs in O(m+n) time, because it is really just breadth-first search. There are m edges and n nodes, and in the worst case you iterate over each of them once.

- 4. (a) Assuming there is a node  $v \in V$  with degree(v) = 1 (i.e. v is a leaf node):
  - Because the graph is connected, the one node that v is connected to is also connected to the rest of the graph.
  - Therefore if you remove v the rest of the graph will still be connected.

If there is no node  $v \in V$  with degree(v) = 1 (i.e. no leaf nodes):

- If all nodes  $v \in V$  have degree(v) > 1 then the graph must contain a cycle.
- In a set of nodes S, where S is a cycle, removing a single node will result in a sequence of connected nodes.
- Therefore, you can remove any node from the cycle and the graph will still be connected.
- (b) Proof that for every strongly connected directed graph G=(V,E) there exists a vertex  $v\in V$  such that removing v from G results with a strongly connected graph.
  - In a strongly connected graph, every node is reachable from every other node by definition.
  - Let S be the set of set of all vertices in G except for v.
  - All nodes in S are reachable by all other nodes in S, because S is a subset of G.
  - If v is removed from the G, you are left with S, which is still strongly connected.

- 5. Proof that the existence of an edge that crosses every cut (S,T) implies that G is connected:
  - Suppose G is connected, and there exists a cut (S,T) with no edge  $e \in E$  that crosses it.
  - Therefore no edge connects a node in S with a node in T.
  - If none of the nodes in S are connected to any node in T then there must exist some node  $s \in S$  that is not connected to some node  $t \in T$ .
  - $\bullet$  Therefore G is not connected, contradicting our assumption.

Proof that G being connected implies an edge crosses (S, T):

- If G is connected, then there are no two disjoint subsets of nodes in G that are not reachable from each other.
- Therefore, for any cut (S,T) that separates G into two disjoint subsets, some node  $s \in S$  must be able to reach some node  $t \in T$ .
- Therefore the cut must cross the edge between s and t.