

② EM Algorithm and Factor Analysis

② $\hat{q}_i(h_i) = p_i(h_i | x_i; \theta)$

Apply Bayes Rule:

$$p_i(h_i | x_i; \theta) = \frac{p_i(x_i | h_i; \theta) \cdot p_i(h_i)}{p_i(x_i | \theta)}$$

$$= \frac{\cancel{p_i(x_i | \theta)} \cdot \mathcal{N}_{x_i}(\mu + \phi h_i, \Sigma) \cdot \mathcal{N}_{h_i}(0, \mathbf{I})}{p_i(x_i | \theta)}$$

$p_i(x_i | \theta)$ is independent of h_i , hence can be treated as constant.

Hence, ~~proportional to~~ $\hat{q}_i(h_i) = k \mathcal{N}_{x_i}(\mu + \phi h_i, \Sigma) \mathcal{N}_{h_i}(0, \mathbf{I})$ — ①

Change of variable in Normal Distribution:

$$\text{Norm}_x[Ax + B, \Sigma] = k \text{Norm}_y[A'y + B', \Sigma']$$

where

$$A' = -(A^T \Sigma^{-1} A)^{-1} A^T \Sigma^{-1}$$

$$B' = -(A^T \Sigma^{-1} A)^{-1} A^T \Sigma^{-1} B$$

$$\Sigma' = -(A^T \Sigma^{-1} A)^{-1} A^T \Sigma^{-1} B$$

Applying this in equation ①, we get:

$$\hat{q}_i(h_i) = \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{constant} \\ \text{term.}}}{k_i} \mathcal{N}_{h_i} \left((\phi^T \Sigma^{-1} \phi)^{-1} \phi^T \Sigma^{-1} (x_i - \mu), (\phi^T \Sigma^{-1} \phi)^{-1} \right) \mathcal{N}_{h_i}(0, \mathbf{I})$$

Product of 2 Normal distributions

is proportional to a 3rd Normal Distribution.

$$\text{Norm}_x[a, A] \cdot \text{Norm}_x[b, B] = k \text{Norm}_x \left[(A^{-1} + B^{-1})^{-1} (A^{-1}a + B^{-1}b), (A^{-1} + B^{-1})^{-1} \right]$$

Putting this in equation (2), we get:

$$\hat{g}_i(h_i) = k_2 \mathcal{N}_{h_i} \left((\phi^T \Sigma^{-1} \phi + \mathbf{I})^{-1} \phi^T \Sigma^{-1} (x_i - \mu), \right. \\ \left. (\phi^T \Sigma^{-1} \phi + \mathbf{I})^{-1} \right)$$

↑
constant k_2

can be ignored.

(b)

Step 1

Take the log-likelihood function:

$$L(\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\Sigma}) = \sum_{i=1}^I E[-\log |\tilde{\Sigma}| - (x_i - \tilde{\mu} - \tilde{\phi} h_i)^T \tilde{\Sigma}^{-1} \\ (x_i - \tilde{\mu} - \tilde{\phi} h_i)] \\ = \sum_{i=1}^I [-\log |\tilde{\Sigma}| - x_i^T \tilde{\Sigma}^{-1} x_i - \tilde{\mu}^T \tilde{\Sigma}^{-1} \tilde{\mu} - \\ E(h_i^T \tilde{\phi}^T \tilde{\Sigma}^{-1} \tilde{\phi} h_i) + 2 \tilde{\mu}^T \tilde{\Sigma}^{-1} x_i \\ - 2 \tilde{\mu}^T \tilde{\Sigma}^{-1} \tilde{\phi} E(h_i) + 2 x_i^T \tilde{\Sigma}^{-1} \tilde{\phi} E(h_i)]$$

Step 2

~~Der~~ Differentiating $L(\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\Sigma})$, partially w.r.t. the parameters: (and equating it to 0)

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \tilde{\mu}} = \sum_{i=1}^I [-2 \tilde{\Sigma}^{-1} \tilde{\mu} + 2 \tilde{\Sigma}^{-1} x_i - 2 \tilde{\Sigma}^{-1} \tilde{\phi} E(h_i)] = 0$$

$$\text{or, } \sum_{i=1}^I (-2 \tilde{\mu} + 2 x_i - 2 \tilde{\phi} E(h_i)) = 0 \quad \left[\begin{array}{l} \text{multiply by} \\ \tilde{\Sigma}, \text{ on} \\ \text{both sides} \end{array} \right]$$

$$\text{or, } \tilde{\mu} = \frac{1}{I} \sum_{i=1}^I (x_i - \tilde{\phi} E(h_i)) \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \tilde{\Phi}} = \sum_{i=1}^I (-2 \tilde{\Sigma}^{-1} \tilde{\Phi} E(h_i h_i^T) - 2 \tilde{\Sigma}^{-1} \tilde{\mu} E(h_i)^T + 2 \tilde{\Sigma}^{-1} x_i E(h_i)^T) = 0$$

$$\text{or } \tilde{\Phi} \sum_{i=1}^I E(h_i h_i^T) = \sum_{i=1}^I (x_i - \tilde{\mu}) E(h_i)^T$$

$$\text{or } \tilde{\Phi} = \left[\sum_{i=1}^I (x_i - \tilde{\mu}) E(h_i)^T \right] \left[\sum_{i=1}^I E(h_i h_i^T) \right]^{-1} \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \tilde{\Sigma}^{-1}} &= \sum_{i=1}^I \text{diag} [\tilde{\Sigma} - x_i x_i^T - \tilde{\mu} \tilde{\mu}^T - \tilde{\Phi} E(h_i h_i^T) \tilde{\Phi}^T \\ &\quad + 2 x_i \tilde{\mu}^T - 2 \tilde{\mu} E(h_i)^T \tilde{\Phi}^T + 2 x_i E(h_i)^T \tilde{\Phi}^T] \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

~~Part 2: Deriving the MLE for the mean~~

$$\text{or } \sum_{i=1}^I \text{diag} [(x_i - \tilde{\mu})(x_i - \tilde{\mu})^T - x_i x_i^T - \tilde{\mu} \tilde{\mu}^T - \tilde{\Phi} E(h_i h_i^T) \tilde{\Phi}^T + 2 x_i \tilde{\mu}^T - 2 \tilde{\mu} E(h_i)^T \tilde{\Phi}^T + 2 x_i E(h_i)^T \tilde{\Phi}^T] = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{or } \text{I. } \tilde{\Sigma} &= \sum_{i=1}^I \text{diag} [(x_i - \tilde{\mu})(x_i - \tilde{\mu})^T + \tilde{\Phi} E(h_i h_i^T) \tilde{\Phi}^T - 2(x_i - \tilde{\mu}) E(h_i)^T \tilde{\Phi}^T] \\ &= \text{diag} \left[\sum_{i=1}^I (x_i - \tilde{\mu})(x_i - \tilde{\mu})^T + \tilde{\Phi} \sum_{i=1}^I E(h_i h_i^T) \tilde{\Phi}^T \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2 \sum_{i=1}^I (x_i - \tilde{\mu}) E(h_i)^T \tilde{\Phi}^T \right] \end{aligned}$$

--- (3)

Put the results of (2) in (2)

We get:

$$I. \tilde{\Sigma} = \text{diag} \left(\sum_{i=1}^I (x_i - \tilde{\mu})(x_i - \tilde{\mu})^T + \left[\sum_{i=1}^I (x_i - \tilde{\mu}) E(h_i)^T \right] \left[\sum_{i=1}^I E(h_i h_i^T) \right]^{-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^I E(h_i h_i^T) \right] \tilde{\phi}^T \right. \\ \left. - 2 \sum_{i=1}^I (x_i - \tilde{\mu}) E(h_i)^T \tilde{\phi}^T \right)$$

$$\boxed{\tilde{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{I} \sum_{i=1}^I \text{diag} \left[(x_i - \tilde{\mu})(x_i - \tilde{\mu})^T - \tilde{\phi} E(h_i)(x_i - \tilde{\mu})^T \right]}$$

— (4)

(c) If μ is initialized with the empirical

mean, $\boxed{\mu^{(0)} = \frac{1}{I} \sum_{i=1}^I x_i}$

$$\sum_{i=1}^I E(h_i) = \sum_{i=1}^I (\phi^T \Sigma^{-1} \phi + I)^{-1} \phi^T \Sigma^{-1} \left(x_i - \frac{1}{I} \sum_{j=1}^I x_j \right)$$

$$= (\phi^T \Sigma^{-1} \phi + I)^{-1} \phi^T \Sigma^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^I \left(x_i - \frac{1}{I} \sum_{j=1}^I x_j \right)$$

$$= (\phi^T \Sigma^{-1} \phi + I)^{-1} \phi^T \Sigma^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^I x_i - \frac{1}{I} \sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{j=1}^I x_j \right)$$

$$= (\phi^T \Sigma^{-1} \phi + I)^{-1} \phi^T \Sigma^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^I x_i - \sum_{j=1}^I x_j \right)$$

$$= 0$$

$$\text{So, for } \tilde{\mu} = \frac{1}{I} \sum_{i=1}^I (x_i - \tilde{\phi} E(h_i))$$

$$= \frac{1}{I} \sum_{i=1}^I x_i$$

It means that mean always remains its initial value.

(d)

If we initialize the model with diagonal co-variance, then $\tilde{\mu}$, $\tilde{\phi}$ and $\tilde{\Sigma}$ never change, and they remain in their initial values. Hence this is not beneficial.

$$\boxed{\begin{array}{l} \text{If } \phi^{(0)} = 0 \\ E(h_i) = 0 \end{array}}$$

Manzil Roy.

Dhananjay Bhandiwad.