Pathfinding for Persons with Reduced Mobility Comparing the performance of routing-algorithms given physical and environmental restrictions

Final Report for CSM6960 Major Project

Author: Jostein Kristiansen (jok13@aber.ac.uk)

Supervisor: Dr. Myra Scott Wilson (mxw@aber.ac.uk)

September 10, 2016 Version: 1.1 (draft)

This report was submitted as partial fulfilment of a MSc degree in Intelligent Systems (G496)

Department of Computer Science Aberystwyth University Aberystwyth Ceredigion SY23 3DB Wales, UK

Declaration of originality

In signing below, I confirm that this work has not previously been accepted in substance for any degree and is not being concurrently submitted in candidature for any degree.

Signature (candidate)			
Date			
Statement			
This work is the result of my own investigations, except where otherwise stated. Where *correction services have been used, the extent and nature of the correction is marked in a footnote(s). Other sources are acknowledged(e.g. by footnotes and explicit references). A bibliography is appended.			
Signature (candidate)			
Date			
[*this refers to the extent to which the text has been corrected by others]			
Consent to share this work			
In signing below, I hereby give consent for my work, if accepted, to be available for photocopying and for interlibrary loan, and for the title and summary to be made available to outside organisations.			
Signature (candidate)			
Date			

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my supervisor, Dr. Myra Wilson, for her invaluable technical and moral support during the duration of this project.

I would also like to thank Dr. Edel Sherratt for her continuous support during the entirety of my MSc degree. She has helped me resolve a wide array of issues – many of which were not insignificant.

I would also like to thank Stefan Klaus MSc for helping me structure this document and make sure that it complies with the requirements set for MSc dissertations.

And finally: I would like to thank Dr. Angharad Shaw for visiting my high school back in Norway and recruiting me to Aberystwyth University. She has not been directly involved in this project, but as she is the reason why I applied for my BSc, I recognise that I would not be where I am today without her.

Abstract

Route-planning applications are designed to help their users find good paths between two or more locations on a map. These systems are useful to a wide variety of people – like tourists travelling to unfamiliar areas, or companies wanting to minimise fuel-costs and/or travel-time for their delivery vehicles. Route-planning can even be a useful tool on planes and boats, as it can help guide vessels into areas where the forces of nature give less resistance, or away from restricted/dangerous areas, but that is outside the scope of this project.

Conventional route-planning software is often aimed at one or more large groups of specific users – like motorists (commercial and/or private), pedestrians, cyclists, etc. But very few of these systems are able to plan good – or even practical – routes for Persons with Reduced Mobility (*PRM*), as these users are often simply grouped together with pedestrians or cyclists; with no special consideration taken with respect to their physical limitations.

Built-up areas pose particularly difficult environments to navigate for PRMs, as many commonly encountered obstacles like stairs, non-automatic doors, curbs, and steep slopes are effectively impassable for people with certain physical limitations. Without the aid of a route-planner, PRMs would need to rethink their planned route to a location on the fly whenever they encounter an obstacle, which can often prove quite challenging to do in an unknown area.

The route-planning application described in this paper tries to address the aforementioned issues by identifying and avoiding inaccessible areas, and using accessible buildings as shortcuts in an attempt to shorten the route returned to the user. A number of different pathfinding algorithms have been tested, each of which has its own advantages and disadvantages.

CONTENTS

1	Intro	oduction, Background & Objectives		
	1.1	Introduction		
	1.2	Project aims		
	1.3	Objectives		
2	Design			
	2.1	Overall Architecture		
	2.2	Classes and methods		
	2.3	tests		
	2.4	Database		
	2.5	Algorithms		
	2.6	maps and coordinates		
	2.7	Programming		
3	Impl	ementation		
	3.1	Database		
	3.2	Search		
	3.3	Fulfilment of requirements		
4	Testing			
	4.1	Overall Approach to Testing		
	4.2	Automated Testing		
	4.3	Integration Testing		
	4.4	User Testing		
5	Eval	uation		
	5.1	Completed work		
	5.2	Future work		
Ap	pend	ices		
A	Thir	d-Party Code and Libraries		
		e samples		
D	2.1	Examples of test-classes		
An	notat	ed Bibliography		

LIST OF FIGURES

LIST OF TABLES

Chapter 1

Introduction, Background & Objectives

- 1.1 Introduction
- 1.2 Project aims
- 1.3 Objectives

Chapter 2 Design

Chapter 2

Design

- 2.1 Overall Architecture
- 2.2 Classes and methods
- **2.3** tests
- 2.4 Database
- 2.5 Algorithms
- 2.6 maps and coordinates
- 2.7 Programming

Chapter 3 Implementation

Chapter 3

Implementation

- 3.1 Database
- 3.2 Search
- 3.3 Fulfilment of requirements

Chapter 4 Testing

Chapter 4

Testing

- 4.1 Overall Approach to Testing
- 4.2 Automated Testing
- **4.3** Integration Testing
- 4.4 User Testing

Chapter 5 Evaluation

Chapter 5

Evaluation

- 5.1 Completed work
- **5.2** Future work

Appendices

Appendix A

Third-Party Code and Libraries

Appendix B Code samples

Appendix B

Code samples

2.1 Examples of test-classes

Annotated Bibliography

- [1] "Cc by-nc-sa 3.0 de." [Online]. Available: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3. 0/de/deed.en
- [2] A. Botea, M. Müller, and J. Schaeffer, "Near Optimal Hierarchical Path-Finding," *Journal of Game Development*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 7–28, 2004.
- [3] Google. Google maps terms of service. [Online]. Available: https://developers.google.com/maps/terms
- [4] Google, "Google Maps," https://maps.google.com/, 2015.
- [5] A. Kishimoto, A. Fukunaga, and A. Botea, "Scalable, Parallel Best-First Search for Optimal Sequential Planning," in *Proceedings of the International Conference on Automated Scheduling and Planning ICAPS-09*, Thessaloniki, Greece, 2009, pp. 201–208.
- [6] National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency. Wgs84. [Online]. Available: http://earth-info.nga.mil/GandG/wgs84/
- [7] OpenStreetMap Foundation. Openstreetmap license. [Online]. Available: www. openstreetmap.org/copyright
- [8] —. Osm features. [Online]. Available: http://wiki.openstreetmap.org/wiki/Map_Features
- [9] —. Osm nodes. [Online]. Available: http://wiki.openstreetmap.org/wiki/Node
- [10] —. Osm relations. [Online]. Available: http://wiki.openstreetmap.org/wiki/Relation
- [11] —. Osm tags. [Online]. Available: http://wiki.openstreetmap.org/wiki/Tags
- [12] Osm ways. [Online]. Available: http://wiki.openstreetmap.org/wiki/Way
- [13] —. Osm-xml file format. [Online]. Available: http://wiki.openstreetmap.org/wiki/osm_xml
- [14] —. Wgs84 conversion in openstreetmap. [Online]. Available: wiki.openstreetmap.org/wiki/Converting_to_WGS84
- [15] —, "OpenStreetMap Homepage," http://wiki.osmfoundation.org/wiki/Main_Page, 2004.
- [16] Ordnance Survey. A guide to coordinate systems in great britain. [Online]. Available: http://www.ordnancesurvey.co.uk/docs/support/guide-coordinate-systems-great-britain.pdf

- [17] S. J. Russel and P. Norvig, *Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach*, 3rd ed. Pearson Custom Library, 2014, p. 1091, pearson New International Edition. 978-1-292-02420-2.
- [18] The Eclipse Foundation, "Eclipse public license v 1.0," www.eclipse.org/legal/epl-v10.
- [19] L. Vogel. Java and xml tutorial. [Online]. Available: www.vogella.com/tutorials/JavaXML/article.html
- [20] S. Yang and A. K. Mackworth, "Hierarchical shortest pathfinding applied to route-planning for wheelchair users," in *Proceedings of the Canadian Conference on Artificial Intelligence, CanadianAI 2007*, Montreal, PQ, May 2007, pp. 539–550.