

Agile Software Development Assessment One Rubric

Introduction:	Clear view of the purpose of the paper / review. Demonstrate the importance of the topic
Balanced Viewpoint:	Objective, balanced view from various perspectives. "Flows" well.
Coherent Theme:	Cited studies relate to the topic and / or each other.
Depth and Breadth:	A variety of studies are cited and appropriate attention to detail is provided where necessary.
Analysis:	Cited studies are analysed for similarities (commonalities) and / or differences with the topic under investigation.
Conclusion & Synthesis	Information synthesised and brought to a logical conclusion (which might include identifying further research)
Organisation : / Alignment	Information logically organised with good flow. Issues threaded throughout the paper / review.
Mechanics:	Correct spelling, punctuation, sentence structure and word usage. Full adherence to any formatting guidelines provided.
References:	Correct structure (Harvard or IEEE); in-text citation and bibliography formatted correctly. Typed correctly. Appropriate number and quality (of reference articles).

Weighted Total:

Introduction = 5%
Balanced = 15%
Coherent = 15%
Depth = 10%
Analysis = 15%

Conclusion & Synthesis = 10%
Organisation = 10%
Mechanics = 10%
References = 10%

= 100%

In all categories, the student is scored out of 10 (but not all categories are weighted equally):

Scoring:

- 0 - 3:** Not delivering on basic expectation
- 4 - 5:** Meeting basic expectation
- 6 - 7:** Tending to exceed expectation (2 or less significant errors in this particular category)
- 8 - 9:** Exceeding expectations, no errors in this category, likely to be published without much modification
- 10:** Exemplary in this category, ready for publication without any modification