

Lecture 10 — The Uncertainty Principle & Problem-Solving Workshop

Reading: Engel 4th ed., Chapter 3 (Sections 3.7–3.8)

Learning Objectives

- Derive the generalized uncertainty principle from the postulates
 - Apply the Heisenberg uncertainty principle to physical problems
 - Verify the uncertainty principle using the particle in a box
 - Work through representative problems applying the postulates to the PIB
-

1. The Generalized Uncertainty Principle

Statement

For any two observables A and B :

$$\Delta A \cdot \Delta B \geq \frac{1}{2} \left| \langle [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] \rangle \right|$$

where $\Delta A = \sqrt{\langle A^2 \rangle - \langle A \rangle^2}$ is the standard deviation of measurements of A .

Derivation Sketch

1. Define $\hat{\alpha} = \hat{A} - \langle A \rangle$ and $\hat{\beta} = \hat{B} - \langle B \rangle$
2. Consider $I(\lambda) = \int |(\hat{\alpha} + i\lambda\hat{\beta})\Psi|^2 dx \geq 0$ for all real λ
3. Expand: $I(\lambda) = \langle \hat{\alpha}^2 \rangle + \lambda^2 \langle \hat{\beta}^2 \rangle + i\lambda \langle [\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}] \rangle \geq 0$
4. Since $[\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}] = [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]$, the discriminant condition gives the result

The Heisenberg Uncertainty Principle

For position and momentum: $[\hat{x}, \hat{p}] = i\hbar$

$$\Delta x \cdot \Delta p \geq \frac{\hbar}{2}$$

This is not about limitations of measurement apparatus — it is a fundamental property of nature arising from the wave-like character of matter.

[!NOTE] **Concept Check 10.1** Is it possible for a particle to be in a state where the uncertainty in its position (Δx) is exactly zero? If so, what does the uncertainty principle predict for the uncertainty in its momentum (Δp)?

Energy-Time Uncertainty

$$\Delta E \cdot \Delta t \geq \frac{\hbar}{2}$$

Here Δt is the time required for a significant change in the system, not an "uncertainty in time measurement." This relation explains:

- Natural linewidths of spectral lines
- Lifetimes of excited states
- Virtual particles in quantum field theory

2. Verifying Uncertainty with the Particle in a Box

Complete Calculation for the PIB Ground State

For $\psi_1(x) = \sqrt{2/a} \sin(\pi x/a)$:

Position:

$$\langle x \rangle = \frac{2}{a} \int_0^a x \sin^2 \left(\frac{\pi x}{a} \right) dx = \frac{a}{2}$$

$$\langle x^2 \rangle = \frac{2}{a} \int_0^a x^2 \sin^2 \left(\frac{\pi x}{a} \right) dx = a^2 \left(\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \right)$$

$$\Delta x = \sqrt{\langle x^2 \rangle - \langle x \rangle^2} = a \sqrt{\frac{1}{12} - \frac{1}{2\pi^2}} \approx 0.181a$$

Momentum:

$$\langle p \rangle = \frac{2}{a} \int_0^a \sin \left(\frac{\pi x}{a} \right) \left(-i\hbar \frac{d}{dx} \right) \sin \left(\frac{\pi x}{a} \right) dx = 0$$

$$\langle p^2 \rangle = \frac{2}{a} \int_0^a \sin \left(\frac{\pi x}{a} \right) \left(-\hbar^2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \right) \sin \left(\frac{\pi x}{a} \right) dx = \frac{\pi^2 \hbar^2}{a^2}$$

$$\Delta p = \sqrt{\langle p^2 \rangle - \langle p \rangle^2} = \frac{\pi \hbar}{a}$$

Verification:

$$\Delta x \cdot \Delta p = \pi \hbar \sqrt{\frac{1}{12} - \frac{1}{2\pi^2}} \approx 0.568 \hbar > \frac{\hbar}{2} \quad \checkmark$$

The PIB ground state satisfies the uncertainty principle, but does *not* saturate the bound (only a Gaussian wave packet achieves exact equality).

[!NOTE] **Concept Check 10.2** For the $n = 2$ PIB state, would you expect Δx to be larger or smaller than for $n = 1$? What about Δp ? (Hint: think about the shape of $|\psi_2|^2$ — it has two peaks vs. one.)

3. Physical Consequences

Zero-Point Energy from Uncertainty

The uncertainty principle forbids a particle from being simultaneously at rest ($p = 0$) and at a definite position ($\Delta x = 0$). Confined particles always have nonzero kinetic energy — the **zero-point energy**.

Quick estimate for the PIB:

$$\Delta x \sim a, \quad \Delta p \gtrsim \frac{\hbar}{2a}, \quad E_{\min} \sim \frac{(\Delta p)^2}{2m} \sim \frac{\hbar^2}{8ma^2}$$

Compare to the exact ground-state energy: $E_1 = \frac{\pi^2 \hbar^2}{2ma^2} = \frac{h^2}{8ma^2}$ — the same order of magnitude!

Stability of Atoms





Classical electrodynamics predicts that an orbiting electron should radiate and spiral into the nucleus. The uncertainty principle prevents this: confining the electron to a smaller region (Δx decreases) increases the momentum (Δp increases), raising the kinetic energy. The atom reaches a stable minimum-energy configuration.

Quick estimate for hydrogen:

$$E(\Delta x) \approx \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_e(\Delta x)^2} - \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 \Delta x}$$

Minimizing: $\Delta x_{\min} \approx a_0$ (the Bohr radius), $E_{\min} \approx -13.6$ eV. The uncertainty principle gives the correct order of magnitude!

What the Uncertainty Principle Does NOT Say

-  It does NOT say measurements are imprecise due to crude instruments
-  It does NOT say the act of measurement always disturbs the system (though it can)
-  It DOES say that conjugate variables cannot simultaneously have well-defined values
-  It IS a property of the wave nature of quantum states

4. Compatible and Incompatible Observables

Property	Compatible ($[\hat{A}, \hat{B}] = 0$)	Incompatible ($[\hat{A}, \hat{B}] \neq 0$)
Simultaneous eigenstates?	Yes	No
Measured simultaneously?	Yes, to arbitrary precision	No; $\Delta A \cdot \Delta B \geq \frac{1}{2} \langle [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] \rangle $
Example	\hat{L}^2 and \hat{L}_z	\hat{x} and \hat{p}

PIB connection: The PIB eigenstates are eigenstates of \hat{H} and \hat{p}^2 simultaneously (since $\hat{H} = \hat{p}^2/(2m)$ inside the box, so $[\hat{H}, \hat{p}^2] = 0$). But they are *not* eigenstates of \hat{p} or \hat{x} individually.

5. Problem-Solving Workshop

Worked Problem 1: Eigenvalue Verification

Is $\psi = Axe^{-\alpha x}$ (for $x \geq 0$) an eigenfunction of the kinetic energy operator?

Apply $\hat{T} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{d^2}{dx^2}$:

$$\frac{d\psi}{dx} = A(1 - \alpha x)e^{-\alpha x}$$

$$\frac{d^2\psi}{dx^2} = A(-2\alpha + \alpha^2 x)e^{-\alpha x}$$

$$\hat{T}\psi = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} A(\alpha^2 x - 2\alpha)e^{-\alpha x}$$

This is NOT proportional to $\psi = Axe^{-\alpha x}$ (additional constant term in the parentheses), so ψ is **not** an eigenfunction of \hat{T} .

Worked Problem 2: Commutator Practice

Evaluate $[\hat{x}, \hat{p}^2]$.

Using the identity $[\hat{A}, \hat{B}\hat{C}] = [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]\hat{C} + \hat{B}[\hat{A}, \hat{C}]$:

$$[\hat{x}, \hat{p}^2] = [\hat{x}, \hat{p}]\hat{p} + \hat{p}[\hat{x}, \hat{p}] = i\hbar\hat{p} + \hat{p}(i\hbar) = 2i\hbar\hat{p}$$

Worked Problem 3: PIB Transition Wavelength

Conjugated dye molecules can be modeled as a PIB. β -carotene has 11 conjugated double bonds spanning $a \approx 2.4$ nm, with 22 π -electrons filling levels $n = 1$ through $n = 11$. Calculate the wavelength of the lowest-energy electronic transition ($n = 11 \rightarrow 12$).

$$\Delta E = (12^2 - 11^2) \frac{h^2}{8m_e a^2} = 23 \cdot \frac{(6.626 \times 10^{-34})^2}{8(9.109 \times 10^{-31})(2.4 \times 10^{-9})^2}$$

$$\Delta E \approx 3.8 \times 10^{-19} \text{ J}, \quad \lambda = \frac{hc}{\Delta E} \approx 520 \text{ nm (green)}$$

This correctly predicts that β -carotene absorbs blue-green light, making it appear orange-red!

Key Equations Summary

Equation	Expression
Generalized uncertainty	$\Delta A \cdot \Delta B \geq \frac{1}{2} \ \langle [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] \rangle\ $
Position-momentum	$\Delta x \cdot \Delta p \geq \hbar/2$
Energy-time	$\Delta E \cdot \Delta t \geq \hbar/2$
Commutator identity	$[\hat{A}, \hat{B}\hat{C}] = [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]\hat{C} + \hat{B}[\hat{A}, \hat{C}]$
PIB Δx (ground state)	$a\sqrt{1/12 - 1/(2\pi^2)} \approx 0.181a$
PIB Δp (ground state)	$\pi\hbar/a$

Recent Literature Spotlight

"Fragment Molecular Orbital-Based Variational Quantum Eigensolver for Quantum Chemistry in the Age of Quantum Computing" *H. Yoshida, T. Takahashi, H. C.*

Watanabe, et al., Scientific Reports, **2024**, 14, 2564. [DOI](#)

This paper uses the variational principle on a quantum computer to find ground-state energies of molecules. The FMO/VQE algorithm works by minimizing $\langle \Psi_{\text{trial}} | \hat{H} | \Psi_{\text{trial}} \rangle$ with respect to parameterized trial wavefunctions — exactly like our uncertainty-principle-based energy estimation, but using a quantum computer to handle many-electron systems. The uncertainty principle sets the fundamental limits on what can be simultaneously known about these molecular systems.

Practice Problems

1. **Estimation.** Use the uncertainty principle to estimate the ground-state energy of a harmonic oscillator ($V = \frac{1}{2}kx^2$). Compare to the exact result $E_0 = \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega$.
 2. **Spectral linewidths.** An excited state has a lifetime of $\tau = 10^{-8}$ s. Estimate the minimum uncertainty in the energy of the emitted photon and the corresponding natural linewidth $\Delta\nu$.
 3. **PIB uncertainty for $n = 2$.** Calculate Δx and Δp for the $n = 2$ PIB state and verify $\Delta x \cdot \Delta p \geq \hbar/2$.
-

Next lecture: Particle in 2-D and 3-D Boxes — Degeneracy