

# It's About Time: Health Disparities Among US Women Through an Age, Period, and Cohort Lens, 1978–2018

Jolene Tan<sup>1</sup>, Beza Taddess<sup>2</sup>, Sanyu Mojola<sup>1,2,3</sup>

1. Office of Population Research, Princeton University; 2. Department of Sociology, Princeton University; 3. School of Public and International Affairs, Princeton University




## Summary

- This study uses a temporal framework to recontextualize women's health disparities.
- We analyze the biosocial accumulation of disadvantage (*age effects*), institutional and policy changes (*period effects*), and sociocultural transmission of health patterns (*cohort effects*).
- The findings collectively suggest that health disparities are situationally, historically, and culturally grounded in time.


## Background

- Three key frameworks of diminished health returns explain how socioeconomic status impacts health differently by race/ethnicity:
  - (1) **Biosocial**: Individual experiences that become biologically embedded over the life course
  - (2) **Institutional**: Policies, healthcare systems, and structural barriers that affect health
  - (3) **Sociocultural**: Cultural norms and generational patterns that influence health behaviors and outcomes
- We contribute a fourth dimension—**time**—to make sense of the links between race/ethnicity, socioeconomic status, and health disparities.



Source: Adapted from Lehman, David & Gruber (2017).

## An APC Perspective on the Health Returns of Socioeconomic Status



Note: Separate models are run for age, period, and cohort, each with random effects. This figure shows differences in estimated coefficients between higher and lower socioeconomic categories by race/ethnicity, adjusting for other socioeconomic indicators, time dimensions, marital status, number of children, region, and sample weights.

## Methods

**Hierarchical age–period–cohort (APC)** model (Bell & Jones, 2015; Yang & Land, 2006) to examine temporal patterns in how income, education, and employment impact health.

### Data

- National Health Interview Survey (NHIS), 1978–2018 (N = 1,416,652)

### Outcome

- Self-rated health (1 = poor to 5 = excellent)

### Key predictors

- Race/ethnicity
  1. White
  2. Black/African American
  3. Hispanic
  4. Asian/Pacific Islander
  5. American Indian/Alaska Native
- Socioeconomic status
  1. Income-to-poverty ratio
  2. Education
  3. Employment

### Time dimensions

- Age group
  1. Younger (18–30)
  2. Middle-aged (31–59)
  3. Older (60+)
- Period
  1. Carter (1978–1980)
  2. Reagan (1981–1988)
  3. Bush Sr. (1989–1992)
  4. Clinton (1993–2000)
  5. Bush Jr. (2001–2008)
  6. Obama (2009–2016)
  7. Trump (2017–2018)
- Cohort
  1. Greatest Generation (1901–1927)
  2. Silent Generation (1928–1945)
  3. Baby Boomers (1946–1964)
  4. Generation X (1965–1980)
  5. Millennials (1981–1996)

### Controls

- Marital status (never married, married, separated/divorced, or widowed)
- Number of children in the household (0, 1, 2, or 3+)
- Geographical region (Northeast, Midwest, South, or West)

## Conclusions

- A temporal framework helps examine microlevel processes alongside macrolevel structural and cultural factors.
- Different time dimensions may be more relevant in specific contexts, such as the weathering experienced by minoritized groups.
- Time is fluid and multidimensional; individuals navigate multiple time dimensions shaped by their social contexts.
- The time element helps clarify the impacts of multiple intersecting individual, institutional, and cultural contexts on health inequities.