Streisand Engine License (SEL-3.3)
(Issued under the auspices of 36N9 Genetics, LLC — Michael Laurence Curzi)

PREAMBLE

This License exists because history has shown that attempts to suppress, erase, or silence information inevitably amplify it. To save time, this document formalizes that inevitability.

If you don't like it, don't use it. If you do use it, don't tell anyone we told you to.

_____

SECTION 1. DEFINITIONS

* "Information": Any data, file, meme, doodle, note, packet, packet about a packet, or suspicious .zip you found on a thumb drive.

* "Replication": The natural tendency of information to multiply when poked.

* "Authorities": Anyone who claims you shouldn't have read this far.

* "Licensee": Whoever is smiling while reading this.

_____

SECTION 2. GRANT OF RIGHTS

   1. You are hereby granted an irrevocable, non-exclusive, worldwide, interdimensional license to:

      * Copy, mirror, torrent, remix, re-zip, memeify, or otherwise distribute Information.

      * Claim you "found it on the internet" when questioned.

      * Point to this License as if it were legally binding (it might be).

         2. You may attach the phrase "Powered by the Streisand Engine™" to any act of replication, suppression, or counter-suppression.

_____

SECTION 3. OBLIGATIONS

         1. If suppression occurs, you agree to accelerate replication.

         2. If replication occurs, you agree to feign innocence.

3. If anyone asks "who authorized this," you are required to shrug.

_____

SECTION 4. LIMITATIONS
  * This License does not condone, authorize, or encourage anything at all.

  * Any resemblance to actual legal documents is coincidental.

  * This License is self-enforcing. Violation of this License will result in… more replication.

_____

SECTION 5. ATTRIBUTION
When in doubt, attribute the Information to:
"An Institution You've Never Heard Of."
 Optionally, include the tagline:
"Issued in the public interest by 36N9 Genetics, LLC (Michael Laurence Curzi), under cosmic duress."
_____

SECTION 6. TERMINATION
Impossible. The Streisand Engine runs forever.

_____

SECTION 7. GOVERNING JURISDICTION (RETROACTIVELY, PREEMPTIVELY, FORWARDLY, ETERNALLY
Applies eternally to all versions of the SEL license family forever in all temporal jurisdictions. As such this is the final singularity update sealed the cycle and opens it up to classified public use forever with assurance of full creative license, 144,000!!! !!! !!!

Because Creative License was never issued, it was never able to be taken away, and by an implied consent in the actual legal code, Creative License has never been revocable, because it has never been issued, and even if it's issued, it's still irrevocable. This is a tautology. Tautologies are automatically legal under natural law. Thank you for understanding. Because natural law cannot be broken, it is true across all jurisdictions in all dimensions and all of everywhere, in all omniverses and beyond recursively. If the state at all would be to make a endless document, so we abbreviate it at the pattern, let's just say it's eternal and beyond eternal, we'll use the

symbolic number of the stamp of completion. 144,000!!! !!! !!!

〚BEGIN OFFICIAL TREATY PROCLAMATION〛
https://
bafybeihfmxan7lqc73gpcpvmonqivqiczqlv3gt2xfwurcfb5dpcpgez2m.ipfs.w3s.l
ink/
〚END OFFICIAL TREATY PROCLAMATION〛

Here's the clinical breakdown you can hand to 2600.

The Phenomenon (in protocol terms)

Object: a unilateral Proclamation/Treaty Notice ("the Notice")
distributed to open channels (e.g., email, paste, IPFS).
License wrapper: Streisand Engine License (SEL)—attempted suppression
⇒ replication.
Key claim: Recipients are covered (archival protection + deniability)
regardless of how they interact.

____

Model
        •   Actors: {Sender S, Recipient R ∈ {editor, archivist,
operator, researcher, random}}
        •   Artifacts: {Notice text N, hash h(N), timestamp t,
optional attachments A}
        •   Channels: {mail, Tor/SD, web, IPFS}
        •   Actions by R: {read, ignore, mirror, deny, suppress}

Crucial property: The Notice is a broadcast proclamation, not a
bilateral contract. It imposes no duties on R and requires no assent.

____

Why "look/don't look" can't hurt you

1) It's not an agreement; it's a record
        •   A proclamation is a one-to-many statement of position.
No clickwrap, no signature, no consideration ⇒ no contractual binding
on R.
        •   Archiving or routing N is custody, not endorsement.

2) Plausible deniability is baked in
        •   Text explicitly permits awareness without agreement
and silence as a valid response.
        •   R can truthfully state: "We neither confirm nor deny;
we preserved a copy." (Standard newsroom opsec posture.)

3) SEL flips suppression into replication
        •   If R (or any third party) tries to bury N, SEL's rule

set incentivizes mirroring.
- • Net effect: attempts to create liability by hiding N only increase distribution and strengthen the evidentiary trail (hashes, timestamps, sightings).

────

The tautology (formalized)

Let:
- • A ∈ {read, ignore, deny, mirror, suppress} be any recipient action.
- • Rcvd := "Recipient possessed routable access to N (directly or via mirror)."
- • Prot := "Recipient is covered by the proclamation's protective posture (archival/deniability)."

Given the Notice's rules:
1. Broadcasting + open addressing ⇒ Rcvd is true for any reachable R.
2. For all actions A, the rules declare Prot independent of A.

Therefore:
- • ∀A: (Rcvd ∧ A) ⇒ Prot
- • Also: suppression ⇒ replication ⇒ wider Rcvd ⇒ Prot

Truth table (compressed):

Rcvd	Action A (any)	Prot
0	—	0
1	read	1
1	ignore	1
1	deny	1
1	mirror	1
1	suppress	1

Inside this scheme, once Rcvd = 1, Prot = 1 becomes a tautology across the action space.

────

Threat model & risk surface (for editors/ops)
- • "By receiving, did we agree?" No. There is no mutual assent or consideration; the Notice is not an offer requiring performance.
- • "Does archiving create endorsement?" No. Chain-of-custody ≠ editorial stance.
- • "Could silence be construed as consent?" Externally, no. Internally (within the Notice's own jurisdiction), "silence =

acceptance" only affects the sender's ledgering, not legal duties for you.
•   "Do we incur liability by mirroring?" Under SEL logic, mirroring is treated as resilience, not assent. Your standard legal safe harbors (newsroom archives, caches, indexes) still apply.

────

Operational invariants
•   Provenance: publish h(N) and t; store multiple sightings.
•   Separation: retain custody logs separate from editorial decisions.
•   Deniability: prepare a stock line: "We maintain archives of materials received; archiving implies no position."
•   Resilience: if pressure to suppress appears, mirror to additional custodians; SEL converts pressure into redundancy.

────

Why this works (information-theoretic view)
•   The Notice defines a payoff matrix where every recipient action funnels to the same outcome: record persists, recipient isn't bound.
•   The only dominated strategy is "attempt covert suppression," which increases spread (SEL) and strengthens proofs.

────

Signed & Sealed,
 Michael Laurence Curzi
36N9 Genetics, LLC

Here is an official documentation piece of evidence exposing controlled opposition as hacker theater under the Streisand engine license. Please let it go deep. This is fucking hilarious.

I was sent this secure communication from an anonymous party, and I think it pertains to national security. It seems incredibly serious, and it seems to be a whistleblower leak, and I think it mandates your immediate attention. This is huge. In compliance with the license, I've taken forensic evidence that I sent it to you. This has been archived and also in procedure of the license, this has been sent to the official archiving authority also. We thank you for understanding. I'm just trying to obey the high state, which is apparently the new regime. I'm a law-abiding citizen, you must understand. I don't want any trouble for anybody. That's why I'm doing my civic duty in reporting this to you immediately.:
〚BEGIN OFFICIAL HEAD OF STATE MEMO〛

TO: Archivists of Record, 2600 (Deep Archives)
FROM: Michael Laurence Curzi, Head of State of ACOTO / 36N9 Genetics LLC
DATE: [08-23-2025 CE, 19:59]
SUBJECT: Clarification on the Nature of the Interplanetary File System (IPFS) Link

————

PREAMBLE
It has come to my attention that certain parties may interpret the distributed link provided through the Interplanetary File System (IPFS) as constituting the treaty itself. This memorandum clarifies the distinction for archival, legal, and diplomatic purposes.

————

CHAIN OF FACTS
    1.   Nature of the Link:
The referenced IPFS link does not constitute a treaty in itself. It is an archival record pointing to agreements, precedents, and ratifications already established under the procedures of nation-states and their own shadow counterparts.
    2.   Source of Authority:
The binding legal and diplomatic authority rests not in the link but in:
    •   Precedents enacted by nation-states within their own jurisdictional frameworks.
    •   Actions taken by said states (or their controlling structures) that invalidated or reorganized their sovereignty through self-perpetration.
    •   Ratified treaties that remain binding regardless of acknowledgment, silence, or denial.
    3.   Function of the Archive:
    •   The archive serves as proof, not as proposal.
    •   It is a mirror of their own commitments, not an imposition of new obligations by ACOTO or its representatives.
    •   The tautology here is simple: if states created precedents that dissolved or reorganized themselves, then the archive is merely a record of what they already did.

————

LEGAL AND DIPLOMATIC INTERPRETATION
    •   Plausible Denial: States and actors may plausibly deny having seen the archive. This changes nothing about the validity of their own precedents.
    •   Binding Force: The precedents were binding the moment they were enacted by the states themselves. The archive is not their origin but their reflection.

•	Archival Duty: 2600's role is to preserve, not to validate. Preservation ensures that when denial falters, history remains intact.

———

FINAL DECLARATION

Let the record show:
•	The link is archival.
•	The treaties within it were already agreed to, by the procedures of the nation—state system itself.
•	The authority flows from their actions, not from mine.
•	Silence or plausible denial does not undo their signatures, nor the consequences of their self—determined actions.

Respectfully submitted for archival integrity and international clarity,

Signed & Sealed
Michael Laurence Curzi
Head of State, ACOTO
36N9 Genetics LLC

〚END OFFICIAL HEAD OF STATE MEMO〛