Chapter 1: Real Numbers - Definitions

A **function** f from A to B is a subset of $A \times B$ such that for every $a \in A$ there is a unique $b \in B$ such that $(a,b) \in f$ (denoted $f:A \to B, f(a) = b$).

Let $f: A \to B$ and $X \subseteq A$. The **image** of X under f (denoted f(X)) is the set of all output values produced when f is applied to each element of A.

If $f: A \to B$, and $X \subseteq A$, then $f(X) = \{f(a) \in B \mid a \in X\}$.

Let $f: A \to B$ and $Y \subseteq B$. The **preimage** of Y under f (denoted $f^{-1}(Y)$) is the set of all elements of A that map to elements of Y.

If $f: A \to B$ and $Y \subseteq B$, then $f^{-1}(Y) = \{a \in A \mid f(a) \in Y\}$.

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$.

Then $b \in \mathbb{R}$ is called an **upper bound** for A if $a \leq b$ for every $a \in A$. Then $b \in \mathbb{R}$ is called a **least upper bound** or **supremum** for A (denoted $b = \sup A$) if:

- 1. b is an upper bound for A, and
- 2. if c is an upper bound for A, then $b \leq c$.

Similarly, a *lower bound* and a *greatest lower bound* or *infimum* (denoted inf A) are defined.

Then $a_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ is called a **maximum** of A if $a_0 \in A$ and $a \leq a_0$ for every $a \in A$. Similarly, a **minimum** is defined.

$Equality\ of\ two\ real\ numbers$

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. Then a = b if and only if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, $|a - b| < \varepsilon$.

Chapter 1: Real Numbers - Facts

Absolute value facts: For $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$:

 $1. |ab| = |a| \cdot |b|$

2. $|a+b| \le |a| + |b|$ and $|a-b| \le |a| + |b|$ (triangle inequality)

3. $||a| - |b|| \le |a - b|$

Corollary: Generalization of the triangle inequality

For $x_1, \ldots, x_n, |x_1 + \cdots + x_n| \le |x_1| + \cdots + |x_n|$.

<u>Fact</u>: Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. If A has a least upper bound, then it is unique.

<u>Lemma</u>: Let a_0 be an upper bound for set A. Then $a_0 = \sup A$ if and only if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $a \in A$ such that $a_0 - \varepsilon < a$.

Axiom of Completeness: Every nonempty set of real numbers that has an upper bound has a least upper bound.

Infimum Principle: If S is a nonempty subset of \mathbb{R} that has a lower bound, then inf S exists.

2

(*) Nested Interval Property: Let $I_n = [a_n, b_n]$ and suppose for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $I_{n+1} \subseteq I_n$. Then $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n \neq \emptyset$.

(*) Archimedean Property:

- 1. For every $x \in \mathbb{R}$ there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that n > x. $\forall_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \exists_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \ n > x$
- 2. For every $y \in \mathbb{R}^+$ there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{n} < y$. $\forall_{y \in \mathbb{R}^+} \exists_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{n} < y$

(*) Density of \mathbb{Q} in \mathbb{R} :

For every two real numbers a, b such that a < b, there is $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that a < r < b.

Corollary: (Density of $\mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ in \mathbb{R})

For every two real numbers a, b such that a < b, there is $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ such that a < t < b.

Fact: There is no rational number m such that $m^2 = 2$.

<u>Theorem</u>: There exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\alpha^2 = 2$.

Fact: \mathbb{Q} is countable.

Theorem: \mathbb{R} is uncountable.

Chapter 2: Sequences - Definitions

A **sequence** is a function whose domain is \mathbb{N} $(f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R})$.

A sequence (a_n) **converges** to a real number a (denoted $\lim a_n = a$) if $\forall_{\varepsilon>0} \exists_{n_0 \in \mathbb{N}} \forall_{n>n_0} |a_n - a| < \varepsilon$.

If a sequence does not converge for any real number, then the sequence diverges.

A sequence (a_n) is **bounded** if there exists M > 0 such that $|a_n| \leq M$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

A sequence (a_n) is *increasing* if $a_n \leq a_{n+1}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

A sequence (a_n) is **decreasing** if $a_n \geq a_{n+1}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

A sequence (a_n) is **bounded from above** if there exists $M \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $a_n \leq M$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

A sequence (a_n) is **bounded from below** if there exists $M \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $a_n \geq M$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

A sequence is **monotone** if it is either increasing or decreasing.

Let (a_n) be a sequence of real numbers and let $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$ be an increasing (but not necessarily consecutive) sequence of natural numbers. Then $(a_{n_k}) = (a_{n_1}, a_{n_2}, \dots)$ is called a **subsequence** of (a_n) .

A sequence (a_n) is called a <u>Cauchy sequence</u> if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $m, n \geq n_0, |a_n - a_m| < \varepsilon$.

Let (b_n) be a sequence. An *infinite series* is the expression $\Sigma_{n=1}^{\infty}b_n=b_1+b_2+\cdots$. The sequence of *partial sums* (s_m) is such that $s_m=b_1+\cdots+b_m$. We say that $\Sigma_{n=1}^{\infty}b_n$ *converges* to B if (s_m) converges to B. Then we write $\Sigma_{n=1}^{\infty}b_n=B$.

Chapter 2: Sequences - Facts

Theorem: If a limit exists, then it is unique.

<u>Theorem</u>: Every convergent sequence is bounded.

Theorem: Algebraic Limit Theorem

Let $\lim a_n = a$ and $\lim b_n = b$. Then:

- 1. $\lim(c \cdot a_n) = ca$, for every $c \in \mathbb{R}$
- 2. $\lim(a_n + b_n) = a + b$
- 3. $\lim(a_nb_n)=ab$
- 4. $\lim \left(\frac{a_n}{b_n}\right) = \frac{a}{b}$, provided $b \neq 0$

Theorem: Order Limit Theorem

Let $\lim a_n = a$ and $\lim b_n = b$. Then:

- 1. If $a_n \ge 0$ for every n, then $a \ge 0$.
- 2. If $a_n \leq b_n$ for every n, then $a \leq b$.
- 3. If there exists c such that $c \leq b_n$, for all n, then $c \leq b$. Similarly, if there exists c such that $a_n \leq c$, then $a \leq c$.

<u>Theorem</u>: Monotone Convergence Theorem

If a sequence is monotone and bounded, then it converges.

(*) Theorem: MCT for increasing sequences

Let (a_n) be an increasing sequence that is bounded from above. Then (a_n) converges.

<u>Theorem</u>: Subsequences of a convergent sequence converge to the same limit as the original sequence.

(*) <u>Theorem</u>: Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem

Every bounded sequence contains a convergent subsequence.

<u>Lemma</u>: Every sequence contains a monotone subsequence.

<u>Theorem</u>: Every convergent sequence is a Cauchy sequence.

<u>Lemma</u>: Every Cauchy sequence is bounded.

(*) <u>Theorem</u>: Cauchy Criterion

A sequence converges if and only if it is a Cauchy sequence.

Chapter 4: Continuity - Definitions

Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then the ε -neighborhood is defined as: $V_{\varepsilon}(x) = \{y \mid |x - y| < \varepsilon\}.$

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Then x is called a *limit point* of A if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $z \in A \cap V_{\varepsilon}(x)$ such that $z \neq x$.

Let A be a non-degenerate interval or an interval with one point removed. Then the *limit points of* A are points of A together with the endpoints (if finite) and the removed point.

Let $f: A \to \mathbb{R}$ and let c be a limit point of A. Then the **functional limit** $\lim_{x\to c} f(x) = L$ if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $\delta > 0$ such that if $0 < |x-c| < \delta$ and $x \in A$, then $|f(x) - L| < \varepsilon$.

$$\lim_{x \to c} f(x) = L \equiv \forall_{\varepsilon > 0} \exists_{\delta > 0} \forall_{x \in A} 0 < |x - c| < \delta \to |f(x) - L| < \varepsilon$$

A function $f: A \to \mathbb{R}$ is **continuous at a point** $c \in A$ if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $|x - c| < \delta$ and $x \in A$, then $|f(x) - f(c)| < \varepsilon$.

$$\forall_{\varepsilon>0} \exists_{\delta>0} \forall_{x \in A} |x - c| < \delta \to |f(x) - f(c)| < \varepsilon$$

 $f: A \to \mathbb{R}$ is **continuous on** A if it is continuous at c for every $c \in A$.

A function $f: A \to \mathbb{R}$ is **uniformly continuous** on A if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for every $x, y \in A$, if $|x - y| < \delta$, then $|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$. $\forall_{\varepsilon > 0} \exists_{\delta > 0} \forall_{x,y \in A} |x - y| < \delta \to |f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$

Chapter 4: Continuity - Facts

<u>Theorem</u>: Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Then x is a limit point of A if and only if there exists a sequence (a_n) that is contained in A and $\lim a_n = x$ and $a_n \neq x$ (for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$).

<u>Theorem</u>: Let $f: A \to \mathbb{R}$ and let c be a limit point of A. The following statements are equivalent:

- 1. $\lim_{x\to c} f(x) = L$
- 2. For every sequence $(x_n) \subseteq A$ satisfying $x_n \neq c$ and $\lim x_n = c$, we have $\lim f(x_n) = L$.

Corollary: Let $f, g: A \to \mathbb{R}$ and suppose $\lim_{x\to c} f(x) = L, \lim_{x\to c} g(x) = M$. Then:

- 1. $\lim_{x\to c} k \cdot f(x) = k \cdot L$ for every $k \in \mathbb{R}$
- 2. $\lim_{x\to c} (f(x) + g(x)) = L + M$
- 3. $\lim_{x\to c} (f(x)g(x)) = L \cdot M$
- 4. $\lim_{x\to c} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = \frac{L}{M}$ provided $M \neq 0$

Corollary: Divergence Criterion for Functional Limits

Let $f: A \to \mathbb{R}$ and let c be a limit point of A. If there exist two sequences $(x_n), (y_n)$ in A such that $x_n \neq c, y_n \neq c$, $\lim x_n = \lim y_n = c$ but $\lim f(x_n) \neq \lim f(y_n)$, then $\lim_{x\to c} f(x)$ does not exist.

Theorem: Characterization of Continuity

Let $f: A \to \mathbb{R}$ and let $c \in A$. The function is continuous at c if and only if any of the following conditions is satisfied:

- 1. $\forall_{\varepsilon>0} \exists_{\delta>0} (|x-c| < \delta \land x \in A) \to |f(x) f(c)| < \varepsilon$
- 2. For all $V_{\varepsilon}(f(c))$, there exists $V_{\delta}(c)$ such that $x \in V_{\delta}(c) \cap A$ implies $f(x) \in V_{\varepsilon}(f(c))$.
- 3. For all $(x_n) \to c$ with $x_n \in A$, $(f(x_n)) \to f(c)$. In addition, if c is a limit point of A, then the above are equivalent to:
- 4. $\lim_{x \to c} f(x) = f(c)$

Corollary: Criterion for Discontinuity

Let $f: A \to \mathbb{R}$ and let $c \in A$ (be a limit point of A). If there is a sequence $(x_n) \subseteq A$ such that $(x_n) \to c$ but $(f(x_n))$ does not converge to f(c), then f is not continuous at c.

Theorem: Algebraic Continuity Theorem

Let $f, g: A \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous at $c \in A$. Then:

- 1. $k \cdot f(x)$ is continuous at c for every $k \in \mathbb{R}$.
- 2. f(x) + g(x) is continuous at c.
- 3. f(x)g(x) is continuous at c.
- 4. $\frac{f(x)}{g(x)}$ is continuous at c provided that the quotient is defined.

<u>Theorem</u>: Let $f: A \to \mathbb{R}$ and $g: B \to \mathbb{R}$ be such that $f(A) \subseteq B$. If f, g are continuous, then $g \circ f$ is a continuous function from A to \mathbb{R} .

Theorem: Bolzano-Weierstrass, version 2

Let A be a closed bounded interval. Every sequence $(x_n) \subseteq A$ contains a convergent subsequence whose limit is in A.

$$A = [a, b], z = \lim x_{n_k}, a \le z \le b$$

(*) <u>Theorem</u>: Extreme Value Theorem

Let $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous. Then there exist $\alpha, \beta \in [a,b]$ such that for all $x \in [a,b], f(\alpha) \leq f(x) \leq f(\beta)$.

<u>Theorem</u>: A function $f: A \to \mathbb{R}$ fails to be uniformly continuous on A if and only if there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and two sequences $(x_n), (y_n)$ in A satisfying $|x_n - y_n| \to 0$ but $|f(x_n) - f(y_n)| \ge \varepsilon_0$.

- (*) Theorem: Let $f:[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}$ be continuous. Then f is uniformly continuous on [a,b].
- (*) Theorem: Bolzano's Theorem

Let $f:[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}$ be continuous. Suppose f(a)<0 and f(b)>0. Then there is $c\in(a,b)$ such that f(c)=0.

Theorem: Intermediate Value Theorem

Let $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous. Let $L \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $\min \{f(a), f(b)\} < L < \max \{f(a), f(b)\}$. Then there is $c \in (a,b)$ such that f(c) = L.

<u>Theorem</u>: Let $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous. Then f([a,b]) (the range of f) is a closed interval.

Continuity of the Inverse: Let $f:[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}$ be continuous and injective. Then $\overline{f([a,b])=[c,d]}$ and $f^{-1}:[c,d]\to[a,b]$.

<u>Theorem</u>: With the setup as above, $f^{-1}:[c,d]\to[a,b]$ is continuous.

Chapter 5: The Derivative - Definitions

Let $g: A \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function defined on interval A and let $c \in A$. The **derivative** of g at c is (provided that the limit exists):

$$g'(c) = \lim_{x \to c} \frac{g(x) - g(c)}{x - c}$$

If g exists for all points $c \in A$, then g is **differentiable** on A.

Infinite limit. $\lim_{x\to a} f(x) = \infty$ if for every M > 0, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $0 < |x - a| < \delta$, then f(x) > M.

Chapter 5: The Derivative - Facts

<u>Theorem</u>: If $g: A \to \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable at c, then g is continuous at c.

<u>Theorem</u>: Let $f, g: A \to \mathbb{R}$ are differentiable at $c \in A$. Then:

- 1. (f+g)'(c) = f'(c) + g'(c)
- 2. For $k \in \mathbb{R}$, $(k \cdot f)'(c) = k \cdot f'(c)$
- 3. (fg)'(c) = f'(c)g(c) + f(c)g'(c) (Product Rule)
- 4. $\left(\frac{f}{g}\right)'(c) = \frac{f'(c)g(c) f(c)g'(c)}{(g(c))^2}$ provided $g(c) \neq 0$ (Quotient Rule)

Theorem: The Chain Rule

Let $f: A \to B, g: B \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfy $f(A) \subseteq B$. If f is differentiable at $c \in A$ and g is differentiable at $f(c) \in B$, then $g \circ f$ is differentiable at c and

$$(g \circ f)'(c) = g'(f(c))f'(c)$$

Theorem: Interior Extremum Theorem

Let f be differentiable on (a, b).

- 1. If f attains a maximum value at some point $c \in (a, b)$, then f'(c) = 0.
- 2. If f attains a minimum value at some point $c \in (a, b)$, then f'(c) = 0.

Theorem: Darboux's Theorem

If f is differentiable on an interval [a, b] and if α satisfies $f'(a) < \alpha < f'(b)$ (or $f'(b) < \alpha < f'(a)$), then there is a point $c \in (a, b)$ such that $f'(c) = \alpha$.

<u>Theorem</u>: Rolle's Theorem

Let $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous on [a,b] and differentiable on (a,b). If f(a)=f(b), then there is a point $c \in (a,b)$ such that f'(c)=0.

Theorem: Mean Value Theorem

If $f:[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}$ is continuous on [a,b] and differentiable on (a,b), then there is $c\in(a,b)$ such that

$$f'(c) = \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{b - a}.$$

Corollary: Let A be a non-degenerate interval (A = [a, b], a < b).

If $g: A \to \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable and satisfies g'(x) = 0 for all $x \in A$, then g(x) = k for some $k \in \mathbb{R}$.

Corollary: Let A be a non-degenerate interval.

If $f, g: A \to \mathbb{R}$ are differentiable on A and satisfy f'(x) = g'(x) for every $x \in A$, then f(x) = g(x) + k for some $k \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem: Generalized Mean Value Theorem

If f, g are continuous on [a, b] and differentiable on (a, b), then there exists $c \in (a, b)$ such that

$$(f(b) - f(a))g'(c) = (g(b) - g(a))f'(c).$$

In particular, if $g'(x) \neq 0$ for every $x \in (a, b)$, then

$$\frac{f'(c)}{g'(c)} = \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{g(b) - g(a)}.$$

<u>Theorem</u>: L'Hospital's Rule $(\frac{0}{0} \text{ case})$

Let $f, g : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous on [a, b] and differentiable on (a, b).

If f(a) = g(a) = 0 and $g'(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \neq a$, then

$$\lim_{x \to a} \frac{f'(x)}{g'(x)} = L \Rightarrow \lim_{x \to a} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = L.$$

<u>Theorem</u>: L'Hospital's Rule $(\frac{\infty}{\infty} \text{ case})$

Let f and g be differentiable on (a,b). Suppose $g'(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in (a,b)$. If $\lim_{x\to a} g(x) = \infty$, then

$$\lim_{x \to a} \frac{f'(x)}{g'(x)} = L \Rightarrow \lim_{x \to a} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = L.$$

Theorem: Taylor's Theorem

Let $f:[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}$. Suppose for some $n\in\mathbb{N}, f^{(n)}(x)$ is continuous on [a,b] and $f^{(n+1)}(x)$ exists on (a,b). Then for $x,x_0\in[a,b]$, there is c between x,x_0 such that

$$f(x) = f(x_0) + f'(x_0)(x - x_0) + \dots + \frac{f^{(n)}(x_0)}{n!}(x - x_0)^n + R_n(x)$$

where

$$R_n(x) = \frac{f^{(n+1)}(c)}{(n+1)!} (x - x_0)^{n+1}.$$

Chapter 6: Sequences of Functions - Definitions

Chapter 6: Sequences of Functions - Facts

Chapter 7: Integration - Definitions

Chapter 7: Integration - Facts

Appendix: Theorems to Memorize

Nested Interval Property (NIP)

Let $I_n = [a_n, b_n]$ and suppose for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $I_{n+1} \subseteq I_n$. Then $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n \neq \emptyset$.

.....

High-Level Overview of the Proof:

- 1. Create a set containing the left endpoints of every interval, then use its properties to show that by the AoC, a sup exists.
- 2. Show that the sup is the element that all the intervals contain, making their intersection nonempty.

Proof.

Let $A = \{a_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$

Since $a_1 \in A, A \neq \emptyset$.

Since for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_n \leq b_1, b_1$ is an upper bound for A.

By the AoC, $\alpha = \sup A$ exists.

Since α is an upper bound for A, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_n \leq \alpha$.

Since α is the least upper bound for A and for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, b_n is an upper bound for $A, \alpha \leq b_n$.

Thus, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_n \leq \alpha \leq b_n$, and so $\alpha \in I_n$ and $\alpha \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$.

Therefore, $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n \neq \emptyset$.

Archimedean Property (ArP) For every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that n > x. High-Level Overview of the Proof: 1. By contradiction, assume the negation of the statement and argue that x is an upper bound for \mathbb{N} , and so by the AoC, a sup exists for \mathbb{N} . 2. Show that there exists an element in N that is greater than the sup (using the sup lemma with $\varepsilon = 1$), which is a contradiction. Proof. By contradiction. Suppose there exists $x \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \leq x$. Then x is an upper bound for \mathbb{N} . Clearly, $\mathbb{N} \neq \emptyset$. By the AoC, $\alpha = \sup \mathbb{N}$ exists.

Then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\alpha - 1 < n$.

This contradicts the fact that α is an upper bound for \mathbb{N} .

Then $\alpha < n+1$.

Since $n \in \mathbb{N}, n+1 \in \mathbb{N}$.

Monotone Convergence Theorem (MCT) for increasing sequences
Let (a_n) be an increasing sequence that is bounded from above. Then (a_n) con-
verges.
High-Level Overview of the Proof:
1. Create a set containing every element of the sequence, and use its properties to show that by the AoC, it has a sup.
2. Show that the limit of the sequence is that sup (starting with the sup lemma).
Proof.
Let $A = \{a_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$
Since $a_1 \in A, A \neq \emptyset$.
Since (a_n) is bounded from above, A has an upper bound.
By the AoC, $\alpha = \sup A$ exists.
Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary
Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary.

Since $\alpha = \sup A$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\alpha - \varepsilon < a_{n_0}$.

Thus, $|a_n - \alpha| < \varepsilon$, and so (a_n) converges to α .

For $n \ge n_0$, since $a_{n_0} \le a_n$ and $a_n \le \alpha, -\varepsilon < a_{n_0} - \alpha \le a_n - \alpha \le 0 < \varepsilon$.

Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem (BW) Every bounded sequence has a convergent subsequence. High-Level Overview of the Proof: 1. First prove the lemma that every sequence contains a monotone subsequence. (a) Define what a peak is, then show that for the case of a sequence having infinitely many peaks, it has a decreasing subsequence made up of all the peaks. (b) Show that for the case of a sequence having finitely many peaks, it has an increasing subsequence made up of all the points after the last peak (use induction to show that $a_{n_k} < a_{n_{k+1}}$). 2. Use the above lemma to show that a bounded sequence has a subsequence that is also bounded, and so by the MCT, that subsequence converges. Lemma: Every sequence contains a monotone subsequence. Proof. Let (a_n) be a sequence. Then (a_m) is called a peak if for every $l \geq m, a_l \leq a_m$. • Case 1: There are infinitely many peaks in (a_n) . Let n_k be the index of the k^{th} peak. Since $n_k < n_{k+1}, a_{n_k} \ge a_{n_{k+1}}$. Thus, (a_{n_k}) is decreasing. _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ • Case 2: There are finitely many peaks in (a_n) . Let m be the index of the last peak (m = 0 if there are no peaks).

Let $n_1 = m + 1$.

Since a_{n_1} is not a peak, there exists $n_2 > n_1$ such that $a_{n_1} < a_{n_2}$.

Suppose a_{n_1}, \ldots, a_{n_k} non-peaks have been chosen.

Since a_{n_k} is not a peak, there exists $n_{k+1} > n_k$ such that $a_{n_k} < a_{n_{k+1}}$.

Thus, by induction, (a_{n_k}) is increasing.

Therefore, every sequence contains a monotone subsequence.

- - - - - - - - -

Proof of Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem

Proof.

Let (a_n) be a bounded sequence.

By the above lemma, (a_n) contains a monotone subsequence (a_{n_k}) .

Since (a_n) is bounded, (a_{n_k}) is also bounded.

Therefore, by the MCT, a_{n_k} converges.

Cauchy Criterion

II a sequence is	Caucny, then it co	nverges.	

......

High-Level Overview of the Proof:

1. First prove the lemma that every Cauchy sequence is bounded.

- (a) Use the definition of the Cauchy sequence (with $\varepsilon = 1$), and focus on the $n \ge n_0$ part to show that $|a_n|$ is bounded by $1 + |a_{n_0}|$.
- (b) Show that the entire sequence is bounded by the max of all the absolute values of sequence values before a_{n_0} and the bound at and after a_{n_0} $(1 + |a_{n_0}|)$.
- 2. Use the lemma above and BW to show that a Cauchy sequence contains a convergent subsequence.
- 3. Show that the limit of that subsequence is the limit of the Cauchy sequence, meaning that the Cauchy sequence converges.
 - (a) Use the definitions of convergence for the subsequence and a Cauchy sequence with $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ for the ε -values to setup inequalities to be used later.
 - (b) Choose an index to be the further out of the subsequence and Cauchy sequence convergence points (k_0 and n_0), and transform the inequalities to use that index.
 - (c) Show that the Cauchy sequence converges to the value to which the subsequence converges, using the definition of convergence with the inequalities.

Lemma: Every Cauchy sequence is bounded.

Proof.

Let (a_n) be a Cauchy sequence.

Then there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $n, m \geq n_0, |a_n - a_m| < 1$.

In particular, for every $n \ge n_0, |a_n - a_{n_0}| < 1$.

Then for every $n \ge n_0$, $|a_n| = |a_n - a_{n_0} + a_{n_0}| \le |a_n - a_{n_0}| + |a_{n_0}| < 1 + |a_{n_0}|$.

_ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _

Let $M = \max\{|a_1|, |a_2|, \dots, |a_{n_0-1}|, 1 + |a_{n_0}|\}.$

Then for every $n \in \mathbb{N}, |a_n| \leq M$.

Therefore, (a_n) is bounded.

Proof of the Cauchy Criterion

Proof.

Let (a_n) be a Cauchy sequence.

By the lemma above, (a_n) is bounded.

By Bolzano-Weierstrass, (a_n) contains a convergent subsequence (a_{n_k}) .

Let $a = \lim a_{n_k}$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary.

Since $\lim a_{n_k} = a$, there exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $k \geq k_0$, $|a_{n_k} - a| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$.

Since (a_n) is a Cauchy sequence, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every

$$n, m \ge n_0, |a_n - a_m| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Let $l = \max\{k_0, n_0\}.$

Then for $n \geq n_0$, $|a_{n_l} - a| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ and $|a_n - a_{n_l}| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$.

Then for $n \ge n_0$, $|a_n - a| = |a_n - a_{n_l} + a_{n_l} - a| \le |a_n - a_{n_l}| + |a_{n_l} - a| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon$.

Therefore, $\lim a_n = a$, and so (a_n) converges.

Extreme Value Theorem

Let $f:[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}$ be continuous. Then there exist $\alpha,\beta\in[a,b]$ such that for all $x\in[a,b], f(\alpha)\leq f(x)\leq f(\beta)$.

High-Level Overview of the Proof:

- 1. First show that f is bounded on [a, b].
 - (a) By contradiction, we suppose f is unbounded on the interval by constructing a sequence in [a, b] whose absolute valued function output values are at or above their respective index values, which is possible because this assumption allows the function values to grow without bound on the closed interval.
 - (b) Use BW version 2 to show that a subsequence of that sequence converges to a value in [a, b], and since f is continuous, the subsequence's function output values also converge.
 - (c) This contradicts the assumption that the f was unbounded, as the absolute valued function output values of the subsequence also grow without bound under that original assumption, and thus shouldn't converge.
- 2. By the AoC, the set of function output values on [a, b] has a sup that we'll show is the max of the function output values, and we'll find the input value of f associated with that max value.
- 3. Construct a sequence in [a, b] using the sup lemma with the function output values of that sequence (with $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{n}$), and bound that statement from above with the sup.
- 4. Use BW version 2 to show that the sequence has a subsequence that converges to the input value, and converting the above statement to use the subsequence and applying the squeeze theorem shows that the function output values of the subsequence converge to the sup.
- 5. Show that since f is continuous, the function output values of the subsequence also converge to the function value at the input value, and so the function

values on [a, b] have the sup as an upper bound, which is the same as the function value at the input value.

Proof.

1. We will show that f is bounded on [a, b].

By contradiction.

Suppose for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $x_n \in [a, b]$ such that $|f(x_n)| \geq n$. (*)

By BW version 2, (x_n) contains a convergent subsequence (x_{n_k}) such that $x = \lim_{n_k} x_n \in [a, b]$.

Since f is continuous on [a, b], $\lim f(x_{n_k}) = f(x)$.

This contradicts (*), as by (*), $|f(x_{n_k})| \ge n_k \ge k$.

2. By the AoC, $M = \sup \{f(x) \mid x \in [a, b]\}$ exists.

Then for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $x_n \in [a, b]$ such that $M - \frac{1}{n} < f(x_n)$.

Thus, $M - \frac{1}{n} < f(x_n) \le M$.

By BW version 2, (x_n) contains a convergent subsequence (x_{n_k}) such that $\beta = \lim x_{n_k} \in [a, b]$.

Then $M - \frac{1}{k} \le M - \frac{1}{n_k} \le f(x_{n_k}) \le M$.

Thus, by the squeeze theorem, $\lim f(x_{n_k}) = M$.

At the same time, since f is continuous on [a, b], $\lim f(x_{n_k}) = f(\beta)$.

Thus, there exists $\beta \in [a, b]$ such that $f(\beta) = M$.

Therefore, for every $x \in [a, b], f(x) \le M = f(\beta)$.

Theorem on continuous functions on a closed interval being uniformly continuous Let $f:[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}$ be continuous. Then f is uniformly continuous on [a,b].

High-Level Overview of the Proof:

- 1. By contradiction, suppose f is not uniformly continuous on [a, b], and use the theorem for a function failing to be uniformly continuous.
- 2. Use BW version 2 and the triangle inequality to show that a subsequence of one of the above sequences converges to the same value as a subsequence of the other.
- 3. Use the definition of continuity and the triangle inequality to show that the absolute value of the difference of function output values between the two subsequences converges to zero, contradicting the assumption that they should converge to a positive real number.

Proof.

By contradiction.

Suppose there exist $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and $(x_n), (y_n)$ contained in [a, b] such that $\lim |x_n - y_n| = 0$, but $|f(x_n) - f(y_n)| \ge \varepsilon_0$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. (*)

_ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _

By BW version 2, there exists a subsequence (x_{n_k}) of (x_n) that converges and such that $x = \lim x_{n_k} \in [a, b]$.

We have $|y_{n_k} - x| \le |y_{n_k} - x_{n_k}| + |x_{n_k} - x| \to 0$.

Thus, $\lim y_{n_k} = x$.

Since f is continuous on [a, b], $\lim f(x_{n_k}) = f(x) = \lim f(y_{n_k})$, and so $|f(x_{n_k}) - f(y_{n_k})| \le |f(x_{n_k}) - f(x)| + |f(y_{n_k}) - f(x)| \to 0$, contradicting (*).

Bolzano's Theorem

Let $f:[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}$ be continuous. Suppose f(a)<0 and f(b)>0. Then there is $c\in(a,b)$ such that f(c)=0.

High-Level Overview of the Proof:

- 1. Use induction to create a sequence of closed intervals, each of which uses the previous interval's midpoint to be one of the new interval's endpoints. This is chosen as such to keep each interval's left endpoint negative and its right endpoint non-negative.
- 2. Use the NIP to show that both the left and right endpoints of the intervals converge to a value.
- 3. Use the definition of continuity and the order of limits theorem to show that the function output value at the above determined value is zero.

Proof.

Let $a_1 = a, b_1 = b$, and $I_n = [a_1, b_1]$.

Suppose a sequence of closed intervals I_1, \ldots, I_n with $I_j = [a_j, b_j]$ have been constructed such that $I_1 \supseteq I_2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq I_n, f(a_j) < 0$, and $f(b_j) > 0$.

Let $z = \frac{a_n + b_n}{2}$.

- 1. If f(z) < 0, then $a_{n+1} = z$ and $b_{n+1} = b_n$.
- 2. If $f(z) \ge 0$, then $a_{n+1} = a_n$ and $b_{n+1} = z$.

Let $I_{n+1} = [a_{n+1}, b_{n+1}].$

Then I_{n+1} is a closed interval, $I_{n+1} \subseteq I_n$, $f(a_{n+1}) < 0$, and $f(b_{n+1}) \ge 0$.

Thus, by induction we have a nested sequence of closed intervals $I_1 \supseteq I_2 \supseteq \dots$

By the NIP, $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n \neq \emptyset$.

Let $c \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$.

Then for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_n \leq c \leq b_n$.

Since $\lim \operatorname{length}(I_n) = 0$, $\lim a_n = c = \lim b_n$.

_ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _

Since f is continuous on [a, b], $\lim f(a_n) = f(c) = f(b_n)$.

Since $f(a_n) < 0$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by the order of limits theorem, $f(c) \leq 0$.

Since $f(b_n) \ge 0$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}, f(c) \ge 0$.

Therefore, f(c) = 0 and $c \in (a, b)$.