

REVIEW PROTOCOL MARKS

Following are feedback and marks (not including bonus points) for your review protocol deliverables.

Far too many groups received low marks due to sloppiness and lack of attention to detail. Some couldn't even manage to copy and paste the search string from IEEEExplore's command pane to the review protocol document! Others clearly didn't test their search string, and still others ignored the instructions, or didn't attend the tutorial or practical sessions (or both!) and so submitted documents that did not meet requirements. These groups should beware: this kind of behavior will result in a failing grade.

On the other hand, groups that did attend class and follow instructions did well: over 30% of groups attained the equivalent of first-class marks.

Pay attention, start early, do the work; you don't want to be doing systematic literature reviews again this summer!

Section/+ Component		Mark	Score	Possible
Marks				
+	partA: <i>Submission file</i> OK.	Outstanding	10	of 10
+	partB: <i>Search String</i> <i>Is the reported number of papers close to the value shown in num_papers.txt?</i> No. your search string reveals over 400 papers, not 36! Number of matching papers reported is not correct.	Clear pass	19	of 35
+	partC: <i>Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria</i> Good.	Outstanding	33	of 35
+	partD: <i>Presentation</i> Good.	Outstanding	19	of 20
+	partE: <i>General comments</i> See also comments on specific components above.	0	0	of 0
Marks net score:			81	of 100
Total net score:			81	of 100 = 81%