# RESEARCH QUESTION MARKS

Following are your marks for the research question deliverable.

Following are your marks for the Research Question deliverable of the coursework.

Each component was assessed, then assigned a qualitative mark from the rubric on pp. 7-8 of the coursework specification.

The component's score was then computed by multiplying the component points by the percentage corresponding to the mark assigned to the component; the result was rounded to the nearest whole number.

The total net score is the sum of the component scores.

| | **Section/+** Component | Mark | Score | | Possible |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | **Marks** | | | | |
| + | partA: *Files* | **Outstanding** | 19 | of | 20 |
| + | partB: *Research Question* | **Good** | 20 | of | 30 |

*Is the question 'crisp' (doesn't use weasel words like 'influence,' 'efficient,' 'convenient,' etc.)?* No. Remove "well", "influence"

The question could be expressed more precisely.

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| + | partC: *Components* | **Marginal fail** | 14 | of | 30 |

*Does the document include a context in which the RQ should be interpreted and/or answered?* No. It's not radiography, unfortunately. It looks like your context \*should\* be malignant cell detection in cancer patients, or something similar.

*Is the population correct? Note: the sub-groups for comparison are selected from the population, which for some questions might be things like programs, news articles, text messages, etc.* No. Population is malignant cells (or potentially even just cells) as that is what you are comparing your interventions (the techniques) on.

*Is the intervention correct? Note: for some cases, the RQ is about finding the intervention. For example, What are the barriers to collaboration? The barriers are the interventions, collaboration is the outcome.* No. The intervention is image processing algorithms.

*Is the outcome correct?* Yes. If accuracy in detection is what you are looking for, then make it explicitely clear in your RQ.

Comparison is missing.

Context is missing.

Intervention etc. incorrect.

Population etc. incorrect.

| + | partD: *Presentation* | **Outstanding** | 19 | of | 20 |
| + | partE: *General comments* | **0** | 0 | of | 0 |

See also comments on specific components above.

| | Marks net score: | | 72 | of | 100 |

| | Total net score: | | 72 | of | 100 = 72% |