

Learning Poverty

Coursework for

7COM1079

Team Research and Development Project

Submitted by – **Team 12**

18050334

18000325

18055423

18057793

18055661

Introduction

"**Learning poverty** means being unable to read and understand a simple text by age 10"(World Bank, 2109). Several factors can be taken into account which make a difference in the percentage of learning poverty in the country. The authors of the report have investigated two such factors which are *GDP* and *taxes* to check the differences they are making on the percentage of learning poverty concerning the year.

The relation between the above-mentioned factors and the learning poverty can be tested by checking the correlation between learning poverty and each factor. Here, the two correlation methods have been used to infer the correlation thus it shows the relation between learning poverty and the factors that have been considered. GDP mainly depends on the leaning poverty of a country. Lesser the learning poverty rate, greater the economic condition of the country. The rules and regulation of the country which also includes the strategy on taxes makes the difference in the learning poverty. But the results that have been extracted are in support of the hypothesis.

Background

A report (World Bank, 2019) from the World Bank indicates that 53% of all children in low- and middle-income family are suffering from learning poverty, which decreases the human capital deficits. This is affected by the survival, quality contribution to the school and contribution to health and productivity (World Bank, 2019). The World Bank report (Bank, 2018) mentions that supporting child development has a large impact on the economy, which implies that the GDP is affected. It can also be noted that the taxes which is the government's revenue can also be used to support child development.

This report answers two research questions:

RQ1: Does learning poverty affects the GDP of a country?

RQ2: Can the government tax be a factor that can affect learning poverty?

Method

The main dataset that has been considered is LPV (learning poverty) dataset which was obtained from *The World Bank and UNESCO Institute of Statistics*. The dataset on the factors (GDP, Taxes) that have been taken from *The World Bank*.

The dataset has been cleaned by sorting the `country_code` column according to alphabetical order and the `year` column into ascending order. The indicator column in the dataset has been split according to different indicators and the different indicators are put into different columns. The cleaning and combining dataset process is done using R programming.

The cleaned LPV dataset (Figure 1) is combined with GDP dataset (Fig 2) which is one of the factors that has been considered. The combining is done using R programming language and several tests have been done on SPSS platform to check the correlation between LPV and the factors (GDP and Taxes).

1	countrycode	cty_or_agg	year	PRIM	PRIM_BMP	PRIM_BMP_FE	PRIM_BMP_MA	PRIM_FE	PRIM_MA	PRIM_OOS	PRIM_OOS_FE	PRIM_OOS_MA
2	AFG	cty	2013	93	87	0	0	0	0	50	0	0
3	ARE	cty	2011	39	36	30	42	33	46	5	5	6
4	ARE	cty	2016	34	32	26	38	29	40	3	4	2
5	ARG	cty	2006	56	55	53	58	53	58	1	1	0
6	ARG	cty	2013	54	54	49	59	50	59	1	1	0
7	ARM	cty	2003	44	34	33	36	42	46	14	14	15
8	ARM	cty	2007	26	23	21	26	22	29	3	2	4
9	ARM	cty	2011	45	42	41	43	44	46	5	4	5
10	ARM	cty	2015	35	30	27	33	32	38	7	7	8
11	AUS	cty	2011	9	7	5	9	7	11	2	2	3
12	AUS	cty	2016	9	6	4	7	6	11	3	3	4

Figure 1. Cleaned LPV dataset

1	countrycode	cty_or_agg	year	PRIM	PRIM_BMP	PRIM_BMP_FE	PRIM_BMP_MA	PRIM_FE	PRIM_MA	PRIM_OOS	PRIM_OOS_FE	PRIM_OOS_MA	gdp
2	AFG	cty	2013	93	87	0	0	0	0	50	0	0	2.0561E+10
3	ARE	cty	2011	39	36	30	42	33	46	5	5	6	3.5067E+14
4	ARE	cty	2016	34	32	26	38	29	40	3	4	2	3.5705E+14
5	ARG	cty	2006	56	55	53	58	53	58	1	1	0	2.3256E+14
6	ARG	cty	2013	54	54	49	59	50	59	1	1	0	5.5203E+14
7	ARM	cty	2003	44	34	33	36	42	46	14	14	15	2807061009
8	ARM	cty	2007	26	23	21	26	22	29	3	2	4	9206301700
9	ARM	cty	2015	35	30	27	33	32	38	7	7	8	1.0553E+10
10	ARM	cty	2011	45	42	41	43	44	46	5	4	5	1.0142E+10
11	AUS	cty	2016	9	6	4	7	6	11	3	3	4	1.21E+12
12	AUS	cty	2011	9	7	5	9	7	11	2	2	3	1.3966E+12

Figure 2. Combination of LPV AND GDP dataset

To check the relationship between the GDP, taxes and the indicators (PRIM, PRIM_BMP, PRIM_OOS), the Pearson Correlation tests and Kendall's Correlation tests have been conducted on Taxes and GDP against PRIM, Taxes and GDP against PRIM_BMP, GDP against PRIM_OOS and GDP against the sum of all these indicators.

Results

Correlations					
		PRIM	gdp	PRIM_OOS	PRIM_BMP
PRIM	Pearson Correlation	1	-.190**	.643**	.996**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.002	.000	.000
	N	259	259	259	259
gdp	Pearson Correlation	-.190**	1	-.155*	-.189**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.002		.012	.002
	N	259	259	259	259
PRIM_OOS	Pearson Correlation	.643**	-.155*	1	.594**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.012		.000
	N	259	259	259	259
PRIM_BMP	Pearson Correlation	.996**	-.189**	.594**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.002	.000	
	N	259	259	259	259

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

Figure 4. Pearson's correlation on GDP and Learning Poverty

Two different correlation tests have been conducted on the dataset. In figure 4, the results of the Pearson's correlation on GDP and different factors of learning poverty indicate a negative correlation. The same results have been obtained for tax and learning poverty which is shown in figure 5. A negative correlation is obtained GDP – Learning Poverty and Tax – Learning Poverty on applying Kendall's correlation test.

		Correlations			
		PRIM	PRIM_BMP	PRIM_OOS	Tax
PRIM	Pearson Correlation	1	.996**	.643**	-.477**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000	.000
	N	261	261	261	261
PRIM_BMP	Pearson Correlation	.996**	1	.594**	-.472**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.000	.000
	N	261	261	261	261
PRIM_OOS	Pearson Correlation	.643**	.594**	1	-.327**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000		.000
	N	261	261	261	261
Tax	Pearson Correlation	-.477**	-.472**	-.327**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	
	N	261	261	261	261

**, Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Figure 5. Pearson's correlation on Tax and Learning Poverty

		Correlations				
			PRIM	PRIM_BMP	PRIM_OOS	Tax
Kendall's tau_b	PRIM	Correlation Coefficient	1.000	.923**	.534**	-.296**
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.	.000	.000	.000
		N	261	261	261	261
	PRIM_BMP	Correlation Coefficient	.923**	1.000	.437**	-.292**
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.	.000	.000
		N	261	261	261	261
	PRIM_OOS	Correlation Coefficient	.534**	.437**	1.000	-.198**
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.	.000
		N	261	261	261	261
	Tax	Correlation Coefficient	-.296**	-.292**	-.198**	1.000
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.
		N	261	261	261	261

**, Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Figure 6. Kendall's correlation on Tax and Learning Poverty

		Correlations				
			PRIM	PRIM_BMP	PRIM_OOS	gdp
Kendall's tau_b	PRIM	Correlation Coefficient	1.000	.923**	.533**	-.159**
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.	.000	.000	.000
		N	259	259	259	259
	PRIM_BMP	Correlation Coefficient	.923**	1.000	.435**	-.159**
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.	.000	.000
		N	259	259	259	259
	PRIM_OOS	Correlation Coefficient	.533**	.435**	1.000	-.144**
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.	.001
		N	259	259	259	259
	gdp	Correlation Coefficient	-.159**	-.159**	-.144**	1.000
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.001	.
		N	259	259	259	259

**, Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Figure 5. Kendall's correlation on GDP and Learning Poverty

Discussion

As shown in the results section, since the GDP, Tax and Learning Poverty are negatively correlated, implies that as the GDP and Tax increases, the learning poverty decreases. So, a better economy can give better support for child development and eradicate the learning poverty. To answer RQ1, as the GDP increase i.e. the economy of a country gets better, it can provide better support and infrastructure to the education system. As for RQ2, the increase in tax may pose a problem to the individual but it will give the government a source of revenue where it can implement the required infrastructure to increase its human capital deficits. Hence, the answer to RQ2 is that the government tax can be a factor that affects learning poverty.

Conclusions

The learning poverty is correlated to Taxes and GDP of a country. The test results show a negative correlation between Taxes and GDP against all the indicators which helps us understand that as the economy of a country increases the learning poverty decreases.

Appendix

Microsoft Azure: https://dev.azure.com/jt19abu/Team_12

Bitbucket: <https://bitbucket.org/PriyaRajan03/learning-poverty/src/master/>

Trello: <https://trello.com/b/RdEK7Mlg/team-research-12-learning-poverty>

Reference:

World Bank. 2019. Ending Learning Poverty : What Will It Take?. World Bank, Washington, DC. © World Bank. Available at: <https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/32553>
License: CC BY 3.0 IGO.

Bank, W., 2018. World development report 2019: The changing nature of work. Washington, DC.