# 717레인공지능 HW 09 Sol

#### problem 1

$$||\hat{k}||_{hood} = L(\mu, e^2) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} C \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2e^2}(x_i - \mu)^2\right) = C^m \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2e^2}\sum_{i=1}^{m}(x_i - \mu)^2\right)$$

Take log 
$$\Rightarrow$$
 mlog  $C + \left(-\frac{1}{2C^2}\sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_i - \mu)^2\right) = \ell(\mu, c^2)$ 

To find maximum likelihad ω.r.t μ,

(since logix is monotonic & differentiable, max pt does not changed)

Then, 
$$+\frac{1}{6^2}\sum_{i=1}^{m}(x_i-\mu)=0$$
 when log likelihood has max value.

So, 
$$\frac{1}{6^2}\sum_{i=1}^m X_i - m\mu \cdot \frac{1}{6^2} = 0$$
  $\Rightarrow \mu = \frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^m X_i = \text{sample mean}$ 

$$Likelihood = \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{6}} exp\left(-\frac{1}{26^{2a}}(x_{i} - \mu)^{2}\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2}} exp\left(-\frac{1}{26^{2a}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_{i} - \mu)^{2}\right) = \lfloor (\mu, 6^{2a})$$

Take 
$$\log \Rightarrow -\frac{m}{2} \log_2 2T - m \log_6 - \frac{1}{26^2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_i - \mu)^2 = \ell(\mu, 6^2)$$

$$-\frac{m}{2}\log 6^{2} - \frac{1}{26^{2}}\sum_{i=1}^{m}(\alpha_{i}-\mu)^{2}$$

$$\frac{3}{2} - \frac{m}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{6^2} + \frac{1}{264} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\alpha_i - \mu_i)^2 = 0$$
 When log likelihood has max value.

Thus, 
$$\epsilon_{ML}^2 = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\kappa_i - \mu)^2$$

(1) D: Jata, O: parameter

MLE: argmax P(DIO)

MAP:  $argmox p(\theta | D) = argmox p(D | \theta) p(\theta)$ 

where p(0) = prior, P(010) = likelihood, P(010) = posterior

2 MAP has prior, And it is related to Bayes

$$\frac{p(D|\theta) p(\theta)}{p(D)} = p(\theta|D)$$

3 when  $P(\theta)$  follows uniform distribution,  $P(\theta)$  is constant.

Then,

 $MAP = argmax P(D10) p(\theta) = argmax P(D10) = MLE$ 

4 same problem with problem 1.

(5) when prior information is not given, it is the most reasonable to assume it as uniform distribution.

From 3 we can see that MLE assumes prior follows uniform distribution.

Hence, Mule assums uniform uniform distribution

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
f(x;\theta_1,\theta_2) \\
\hline
\theta_2-\theta_1 \\
\hline
\theta_1 & \theta_2
\end{array}$$

$$f(x; \theta_1, \theta_2) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1} & \theta_1 \leq x \leq \theta_2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

If the uniformly distributed r. V are arranged in following order,  $\theta_1 \leqslant \chi_1 \leqslant \cdots \leqslant \chi_m \leqslant \theta_L$ 

The likelihood function is given by

$$L(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} f(x_i) = \left(\frac{1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1}\right)^m = \left(\theta_2 - \theta_1\right)^{-m}$$

Take 
$$\log \Rightarrow -m \log(\theta_2 - \theta_1) = \ell(\theta_1, \theta_2)$$

differentiate log likelihood w.r.+ 0, , 02

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial l}{\partial \theta_1} = \frac{m}{\theta_2 - \theta_1} > 0 \quad \text{for } \theta_1 < \theta_2$$

: 
$$l$$
 is increasing for  $\theta_1 \Rightarrow \theta_{1MLE} = min(x_1, ..., x_m) = x_1$ 

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial l}{\partial \theta_2} = \frac{-m}{\theta_2 - \theta_1} < 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \theta_1 < \theta_2$$

$$P(x_1,x_2,...x_m|g) = \prod_{i=1}^m g^{x_i} (1-g)^{1-x_i} = L(g)$$

Take 
$$\log \Rightarrow \ell(g) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \chi_{i} \log g + \sum_{j=1}^{m} (1-\chi_{j}) \log(1-\chi_{j})$$

$$= \log g \sum_{i=1}^{m} \chi_{i} + \log(1-g) \sum_{i=1}^{m} (1-\chi_{i})$$

differentiate lag likelihood

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial l}{\partial q} = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \chi_{i} - \frac{1}{1-q} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (1-\chi_{i}) = 0$$
 (when log likelihood has) max Nolve.

$$\Rightarrow (1-8) \sum_{j=1}^{m} \chi_{j} - 9 \sum_{j=1}^{m} (1-\chi_{j}) = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i} - x_{i} = x_{i} - x_{i} + x_{i} = 0$$

Thus, 
$$g_{ML} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i$$

In MLE, we seek a point nalue for  $\theta$  which maximizes the likelihood  $P(D|\theta)$  and treat term  $\frac{P(\theta)}{P(0)}$  as a constant. (:MLE assume the distribution is uniform)

However, Bayesian estimation fully calculates the posterior distribution p(0|0) we have to choose 0 that make variance small enough.

In this problem 'SEE' and 'ACT' is a set of observed data

and by using data fusion we could get high confidence about our inference.

1) 
$$\hat{x}_{m+1} = \hat{x}_m + \frac{1}{m+1} (\chi_{m+1} - \hat{x}_m) \dots \oplus \hat{x}_{m+1} = \frac{m}{m+1} \hat{x}_m + \frac{m}{(m+1)^2} (\chi_{m+1} - \hat{x}_m) (\chi_{m+1} - \hat{x}_m)^T \dots \oplus \hat{x}_m$$

$$\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_{i} - \hat{\mu}_{m+1}) (x_{i} - \hat{\mu}_{m+1})^{T}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \left[ (x_{i} - \hat{\mu}_{m}) - \frac{1}{m+1} (x_{m+1} - \hat{\mu}_{m}) (x_{i} - \hat{\mu}_{m}) (x_{i} - \hat{\mu}_{m})^{T} \right]$$

$$=\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left[(x_{k}-\hat{\mu}_{m})(x_{k}-\hat{\mu}_{m})^{T}\right]+\frac{m}{m+1}(x_{m+1}-\hat{\mu}_{m})(x_{m+1}-\hat{\mu}_{m})^{T}$$