This is the k-nearest neighbors workbook for ECE C147/C247 Assignment #2

Please follow the notebook linearly to implement k-nearest neighbors.

Please print out the workbook entirely when completed.

We thank Serena Yeung & Justin Johnson for permission to use code written for the CS 231n class (cs231n.stanford.edu). These are the functions in the cs231n folders and code in the jupyer notebook to preprocess and show the images. The classifiers used are based off of code prepared for CS 231n as well.

The goal of this workbook is to give you experience with the data, training and evaluating a simple classifier, k-fold cross validation, and as a Python refresher.

Import the appropriate libraries

```
In [1]:
         import numpy as np # for doing most of our calculations
         import matplotlib.pyplot as plt# for plotting
         from cs231n.data utils import load CIFAR10 # function to load the CIF
         # Load matplotlib images inline
         %matplotlib inline
         # These are important for reloading any code you write in external .p
         # see http://stackoverflow.com/questions/1907993/autoreload-of-module
         %load ext autoreload
         %autoreload 2
In [2]:
         # Set the path to the CIFAR-10 data
         cifar10 dir = 'cifar-10-batches-py' # You need to update this line
         X train, y train, X test, y test = load CIFAR10(cifar10 dir)
         # As a sanity check, we print out the size of the training and test d
         print('Training data shape: ', X_train.shape)
         print('Training labels shape: ', y train.shape)
         print('Test data shape: ', X_test.shape)
         print('Test labels shape: ', y_test.shape)
        Training data shape: (50000, 32, 32, 3)
        Training labels shape: (50000,)
        Test data shape: (10000, 32, 32, 3)
        Test labels shape: (10000,)
```

```
In [3]:
         # Visualize some examples from the dataset.
         # We show a few examples of training images from each class.
         classes = ['plane', 'car', 'bird', 'cat', 'deer', 'dog', 'frog', 'hor
         num classes = len(classes)
         samples_per_class = 7
         for y, cls in enumerate(classes):
             idxs = np.flatnonzero(y train == y)
             idxs = np.random.choice(idxs, samples per class, replace=False)
             for i, idx in enumerate(idxs):
                 plt idx = i * num classes + y + 1
                 plt.subplot(samples_per_class, num_classes, plt_idx)
                 plt.imshow(X train[idx].astype('uint8'))
                 plt.axis('off')
                 if i == 0:
                     plt.title(cls)
         plt.show()
```



```
# Subsample the data for more efficient code execution in this exerci
num_training = 5000
mask = list(range(num_training))
X_train = X_train[mask]
y_train = y_train[mask]

num_test = 500
mask = list(range(num_test))
X_test = X_test[mask]
y_test = y_test[mask]
# Reshape the image data into rows
X_train = np.reshape(X_train, (X_train.shape[0], -1))
X_test = np.reshape(X_test, (X_test.shape[0], -1))
print(X_train.shape, X_test.shape)
```

(5000, 3072) (500, 3072)

K-nearest neighbors

In the following cells, you will build a KNN classifier and choose hyperparameters via k-fold cross-validation.

```
In [5]: # Import the KNN class
    from nndl import KNN

In [6]: # Declare an instance of the knn class.
    knn = KNN()

# Train the classifier.
    # We have implemented the training of the KNN classifier.
    # Look at the train function in the KNN class to see what this does knn.train(X=X_train, y=y_train)
```

Questions

- (1) Describe what is going on in the function knn.train().
- (2) What are the pros and cons of this training step?

Answers

- (1) Put the training data into the knn class.
- (2) Pros: easy to implent. Cons: memory will be occupy from the very beginning and may take too much memory through out the whole training.

KNN prediction

In the following sections, you will implement the functions to calculate the distances of test points to training points, and from this information, predict the class of the KNN.

```
In [7]: # Implement the function compute_distances() in the KNN class.
# Do not worry about the input 'norm' for now; use the default defini
# in the code, which is the 2-norm.
# You should only have to fill out the clearly marked sections.

import time
time_start =time.time()

dists_L2 = knn.compute_distances(X=X_test)

print('Time to run code: {}'.format(time.time()-time_start))
print('Frobenius norm of L2 distances: {}'.format(np.linalg.norm(distances))
```

Time to run code: 42.47114324569702 Frobenius norm of L2 distances: 7906696.077040902

Really slow code

Note: This probably took a while. This is because we use two for loops. We could increase the speed via vectorization, removing the for loops.

If you implemented this correctly, evaluating np.linalg.norm(dists_L2, 'fro') should return: ~7906696

KNN vectorization

The above code took far too long to run. If we wanted to optimize hyperparameters, it would be time-expensive. Thus, we will speed up the code by vectorizing it, removing the for loops.

```
In [8]: # Implement the function compute_L2_distances_vectorized() in the KNN
# In this function, you ought to achieve the same L2 distance but WIT
# Note, this is SPECIFIC for the L2 norm.

time_start =time.time()
dists_L2_vectorized = knn.compute_L2_distances_vectorized(X=X_test)
print('Time to run code: {}'.format(time.time()-time_start))
print('Difference in L2 distances between your KNN implementations (s
```

Time to run code: 0.1988050937652588

Difference in L2 distances between your KNN implementations (should b e 0): 0.0

Speedup

Depending on your computer speed, you should see a 10-100x speed up from vectorization. On our computer, the vectorized form took 0.36 seconds while the naive implementation took 38.3 seconds.

Implementing the prediction

Now that we have functions to calculate the distances from a test point to given training points, we now implement the function that will predict the test point labels.

```
In [9]:
     # Implement the function predict labels in the KNN class.
      # Calculate the training error (num_incorrect / total_samples)
      # from running knn.predict labels with k=1
      error = 1
      # YOUR CODE HERE:
       Calculate the error rate by calling predict_labels on the test
       data with k = 1. Store the error rate in the variable error.
      # ----- #
     predict = knn.predict labels(dists L2)
     error = np.sum(y_test != predict)/len(predict)
      # ============ #
      # END YOUR CODE HERE
      print(error)
```

0.726

If you implemented this correctly, the error should be: 0.726.

This means that the k-nearest neighbors classifier is right 27.4% of the time, which is not great, considering that chance levels are 10%.

Optimizing KNN hyperparameters

In this section, we'll take the KNN classifier that you have constructed and perform cross-validation to choose a best value of k, as well as a best choice of norm.

Create training and validation folds

First, we will create the training and validation folds for use in k-fold cross validation.

```
In [10...
       # Create the dataset folds for cross-valdiation.
       num folds = 5
       X train folds = []
       y_train_folds =
       # ----- #
       # YOUR CODE HERE:
          Split the training data into num folds (i.e., 5) folds.
          X train folds is a list, where X train folds[i] contains the
       #
             data points in fold i.
       #
         y train folds is also a list, where y train folds[i] contains
            the corresponding labels for the data in X train folds[i]
       # ----- #
       X train folds = np.split(X train, num folds)
       y train folds = np.split(y train, num folds)
```

Optimizing the number of nearest neighbors hyperparameter.


END YOUR CODE HERE

============

In this section, we select different numbers of nearest neighbors and assess which one has the lowest k-fold cross validation error.

```
In [11...
       time start =time.time()
       ks = [1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30]
       # =================== #
       # YOUR CODE HERE:
          Calculate the cross-validation error for each k in ks, testing
          the trained model on each of the 5 folds. Average these errors
          together and make a plot of k vs. cross-validation error. Since
          we are assuming L2 distance here, please use the vectorized code!
         Otherwise, you might be waiting a long time.
       # ----- #
       error = np.zeros([len(ks),num folds])
       for k in ks:
           for i in range(num folds):
              X train temp = []
              y train_temp = []
              for j in range(num folds):
                 if(j != i):
                     X_train_temp.append(X_train_folds[j])
                     y_train_temp.append(y_train_folds[j])
              knn temp = KNN()
              knn temp.train(np.concatenate(X train temp),np.concatenate(y
              dists temp = knn temp.compute L2 distances vectorized(X train
              pre_temp = knn_temp.predict_labels(dists_temp,k)
              error[ks.index(k),i] = np.sum(y train folds[i] != pre temp)/1
       # ----- #
       # END YOUR CODE HERE
       # ----- #
       print('Computation time: %.2f'%(time.time()-time start))
       Computation time: 30.46
In [12...
       # print out errors
       print(error.mean(axis=1))
       plt.plot(ks, error.mean(axis=1))
       plt.title("k vs error")
```

plt.show()



Questions:

- (1) What value of k is best amongst the tested k's?
- (2) What is the cross-validation error for this value of k?

Answers:


- (1) The error is lowest at k=10.
- (2) The cross-validation is 0.7186.

Optimizing the norm

Next, we test three different norms (the 1, 2, and infinity norms) and see which distance metric results in the best cross-validation performance.

```
In [13...
       time start =time.time()
       L1 norm = lambda x: np.linalg.norm(x, ord=1)
       L2 norm = lambda x: np.linalg.norm(x, ord=2)
       Linf norm = lambda x: np.linalg.norm(x, ord= np.inf)
       norms = [L1 norm, L2 norm, Linf norm]
       # YOUR CODE HERE:
           Calculate the cross-validation error for each norm in norms, test
           the trained model on each of the 5 folds. Average these errors
       #
           together and make a plot of the norm used vs the cross-validation
       #
       #
           Use the best cross-validation k from the previous part.
       #
       #
           Feel free to use the compute distances function. We're testing j
           three norms, but be advised that this could still take some time.
       #
       #
           You're welcome to write a vectorized form of the L1- and Linf- no
           to speed this up, but it is not necessary.
       # ============ #
       error = np.zeros([len(norms), num folds])
       for norm in norms:
           for i in range(num folds):
              X train_temp = []
              y train temp = []
              for j in range(num folds):
                  if(j != i):
                     X_train_temp.append(X_train_folds[j])
                     y train temp.append(y train folds[j])
              knn temp = KNN()
              knn temp.train(np.concatenate(X train temp),np.concatenate(y
              dists temp = knn temp.compute distances(X train folds[i],norm
              pre_temp = knn_temp.predict_labels(dists_temp)
              error[norms.index(norm),i] = np.sum(y train folds[i] != pre t
       # ============ #
       # END YOUR CODE HERE
       # ----- #
       print('Computation time: %.2f'%(time.time()-time start))
       Computation time: 862.35
       # print out errors
       print(error.mean(axis=1))
```

```
In [14...
         plt.bar(['L1','L2','Linf'], error.mean(axis=1))
         plt.title("norms vs error")
         plt.show()
```



Questions:

- (1) What norm has the best cross-validation error?
- (2) What is the cross-validation error for your given norm and k?

Answers:

- (1) L1 norm is the best.
- (2) The cross-validation error is 0.7038.

Evaluating the model on the testing dataset.

Now, given the optimal k and norm you found in earlier parts, evaluate the testing error of the k-nearest neighbors model.

In [15...

Error rate achieved: 0.714

Question:

How much did your error improve by cross-validation over naively choosing k=1 and using the L2-norm?

Answer:

Error improve from 0.726 to 0.714. It's a 1.65% improvement.