CS 188: Artificial Intelligence
Fall 2009

Lecture 22: Naïve Bayes

11/12/2009

Dan Klein – UC Berkeley

Announcements

- Written assignment 3 due today
- Project 4 due next Thursday
- Contest results:



Nightly Round Robin Tournament Results: Mon Nov 9 *[all results]*

Results By Team, Ordered By Number of Matches Won

+ Rank 1 *BasicStaffAgents*: 1 matches won

+ Rank 2 *BaselineAgents*: 0 matches won

Results By Match Number

Match 1: BaselineAgents vs. BasicStaffAgents [0 - 3]

Machine Learning

- Up until now: how to reason in a model and how to make optimal decisions
- Machine learning: how to acquire a model on the basis of data / experience
  - Learning parameters (e.g. probabilities)
  - Learning structure (e.g. BN graphs)
  - Learning hidden concepts (e.g. clustering)

Example: Spam Filter

- Input: email
- Output: spam/ham
- Setup:
  - Get a large collection of example emails, each labeled "spam" or "ham"
  - Note: someone has to hand label all this data!
  - Want to learn to predict labels of new, future emails
- Features: The attributes used to make the ham / spam decision
  - Words: FREE!
  - Text Patterns: $dd, CAPS
  - Non-text: SenderInContacts
  - …

✗

> Dear Sir.
>
> First, I must solicit your confidence in this transaction, this is by virture of its nature as being utterly confidencial and top secret. …

✗

> TO BE REMOVED FROM FUTURE MAILINGS, SIMPLY REPLY TO THIS MESSAGE AND PUT "REMOVE" IN THE SUBJECT.
>
> 99 MILLION EMAIL ADDRESSES
> FOR ONLY $99

✓

> Ok, Iknow this is blatantly OT but I'm beginning to go insane. Had an old Dell Dimension XPS sitting in the corner and decided to put it to use, I know it was working pre being stuck in the corner, but when I plugged it in, hit the power nothing happened.

Example: Digit Recognition

- Input: images / pixel grids
- Output: a digit 0-9
- Setup:
  - Get a large collection of example images, each labeled with a digit
  - Note: someone has to hand label all this data!
  - Want to learn to predict labels of new, future digit images
- Features: The attributes used to make the digit decision
  - Pixels: (6,8)=ON
  - Shape Patterns: NumComponents, AspectRatio, NumLoops
  - …



Other Classification Tasks

- In classification, we predict labels y (classes) for inputs x
- Examples:
  - Spam detection (input: document, classes: spam / ham)
  - OCR (input: images, classes: characters)
  - Medical diagnosis (input: symptoms, classes: diseases)
  - Automatic essay grader (input: document, classes: grades)
  - Fraud detection (input: account activity, classes: fraud / no fraud)
  - Customer service email routing
  - … many more
- Classification is an important commercial technology!

Important Concepts

- Data: labeled instances, e.g. emails marked spam/ham
  - Training set
  - Held out set
  - Test set
- Features: attribute-value pairs which characterize each x
- Experimentation cycle
  - Learn parameters (e.g. model probabilities) on training set
  - (Tune hyperparameters on held-out set)
  - Compute accuracy of test set
  - Very important: never “peek” at the test set!
- Evaluation
  - Accuracy: fraction of instances predicted correctly
- Overfitting and generalization
  - Want a classifier which does well on *test* data
  - Overfitting: fitting the training data very closely, but not generalizing well
  - We’ll investigate overfitting and generalization formally in a few lectures



Bayes Nets for Classification

- One method of classification:
  - Use a probabilistic model!
  - Features are observed random variables F_i
  - Y is the query variable
  - Use probabilistic inference to compute most likely Y

$$y = \text{argmax}_y \; P(y|f_1 \ldots f_n)$$

- You already know how to do this inference

Simple Classification

- Simple example: two binary features



$$P(m|s,f) \longleftarrow$$ direct estimate

$$P(m|s,f) = \frac{P(s,f|m)P(m)}{P(s,f)} \longleftarrow$$ Bayes estimate (no assumptions)

$$P(m|s,f) = \frac{P(s|m)P(f|m)P(m)}{P(s,f)} \longleftarrow$$ Conditional independence

+

$$\begin{cases} P(+m,s,f) = P(s|+m)P(f|+m)P(+m) \\ P(-m,s,f) = P(s|-m)P(f|-m)P(-m) \end{cases}$$

General Naïve Bayes

- A general *naive Bayes* model:

$|Y| \times |F|^n$ parameters

$$P(\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{F}_1 \ldots \mathrm{F}_n) =$$

$$P(\mathrm{Y}) \prod_i P(\mathrm{F}_i|\mathrm{Y})$$

|Y| parameters

n x |F| x |Y| parameters



- We only specify how each feature depends on the class
- Total number of parameters is *linear* in n

Inference for Naïve Bayes

- Goal: compute posterior over causes
  - Step 1: get joint probability of causes and evidence

$$P(Y, f_1 \ldots f_n) =$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} P(y_1, f_1 \ldots f_n) \\ P(y_2, f_1 \ldots f_n) \\ \vdots \\ P(y_k, f_1 \ldots f_n) \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} P(f_1) \prod_i P(f_i|c_1) \\ P(f_2) \prod_i P(f_i|c_2) \\ \vdots \\ P(f_k) \prod_i P(f_i|c_k) \end{bmatrix}$$

  - Step 2: get probability of evidence

+

$$P(f_1 \ldots f_n)$$

  - Step 3: renormalize

$$\Downarrow$$

$$P(Y|f_1 \ldots f_n)$$

General Naïve Bayes

- What do we need in order to use naïve Bayes?
  - Inference (you know this part)
    - Start with a bunch of conditionals, P(Y) and the $P(F_i|Y)$ tables
    - Use standard inference to compute $P(Y|F_1 \ldots F_n)$
    - Nothing new here
  - Estimates of local conditional probability tables
    - P(Y), the prior over labels
    - $P(F_i|Y)$ for each feature (evidence variable)
    - These probabilities are collectively called the *parameters* of the model and denoted by θ
    - Up until now, we assumed these appeared by magic, but…
    - …they typically come from training data: we’ll look at this now

A Digit Recognizer

- Input: pixel grids



- Output: a digit 0-9

Naïve Bayes for Digits

- Simple version:
  - One feature F_{ij} for each grid position <i,j>
  - Possible feature values are on / off, based on whether intensity is more or less than 0.5 in underlying image
  - Each input maps to a feature vector, e.g.

$$\rightarrow \langle F_{0,0} = 0 \;\; F_{0,1} = 0 \;\; F_{0,2} = 1 \;\; F_{0,3} = 1 \;\; F_{0,4} = 0 \;\; \ldots F_{15,15} = 0 \rangle$$

  - Here: lots of features, each is binary valued
- Naïve Bayes model:

$$P(Y|F_{0,0} \ldots F_{15,15}) \propto P(Y) \prod_{i,j} P(F_{i,j}|Y)$$

- What do we need to learn?

Examples: CPTs

$P(Y)$

1	0.1
2	0.1
3	0.1
4	0.1
5	0.1
6	0.1
7	0.1
8	0.1
9	0.1
0	0.1



$P(F_{3,1} = on|Y)$

1	0.01
2	0.05
3	0.05
4	0.30
5	0.80
6	0.90
7	0.05
8	0.60
9	0.50
0	0.80

$P(F_{5,5} = on|Y)$

1	0.05
2	0.01
3	0.90
4	0.80
5	0.90
6	0.90
7	0.25
8	0.85
9	0.60
0	0.80

Parameter Estimation

- Estimating distribution of random variables like X or X | Y
- *Empirically:* use training data
  - For each outcome x, look at the *empirical rate* of that value:

$$P_{\text{ML}}(x) = \frac{\text{count}(x)}{\text{total samples}}$$

r g g

$$P_{\text{ML}}(\text{r}) = 1/3$$

  - This is the estimate that maximizes the *likelihood of the data*

$$L(x, \theta) = \prod_i P_\theta(x_i)$$

- *Elicitation:* ask a human!
  - Usually need domain experts, and sophisticated ways of eliciting probabilities (e.g. betting games)
  - Trouble calibrating

A Spam Filter

- Naïve Bayes spam filter
- Data:
  - Collection of emails, labeled spam or ham
  - Note: someone has to hand label all this data!
  - Split into training, held-out, test sets
- Classifiers
  - Learn on the training set
  - (Tune it on a held-out set)
  - Test it on new emails

✗

Dear Sir.

First, I must solicit your confidence in this transaction, this is by virture of its nature as being utterly confidencial and top secret. …

✗

TO BE REMOVED FROM FUTURE MAILINGS, SIMPLY REPLY TO THIS MESSAGE AND PUT "REMOVE" IN THE SUBJECT.

99 MILLION EMAIL ADDRESSES
FOR ONLY $99

✓

Ok, Iknow this is blatantly OT but I'm beginning to go insane. Had an old Dell Dimension XPS sitting in the corner and decided to put it to use, I know it was working pre being stuck in the corner, but when I plugged it in, hit the power nothing happened.

Naïve Bayes for Text

- Bag-of-Words Naïve Bayes:
  - Predict unknown class label (spam vs. ham)
  - Assume evidence features (e.g. the words) are independent
  - Warning: subtly different assumptions than before!
- Generative model

$$P(C, W_1 \ldots W_n) = P(C) \prod_i P(W_i|C)$$

Word at position i, not i^{th} word in the dictionary!

- Tied distributions and bag-of-words
  - Usually, each variable gets its own conditional probability distribution P(F|Y)
  - In a bag-of-words model
    - Each position is identically distributed
    - All positions share the same conditional probs P(W|C)
    - Why make this assumption?

Example: Spam Filtering

- Model: $P(C, W_1 \ldots W_n) = P(C) \prod_i P(W_i|C)$
- What are the parameters?

$P(C)$

ham	0.66
spam	0.33

$P(W|\text{spam})$

the	0.0156
to	0.0153
and	0.0115
of	0.0095
you	0.0093
a	0.0086
with	0.0080
from	0.0075
...	

$P(W|\text{ham})$

the	0.0210
to	0.0133
of	0.0119
2002	0.0110
with	0.0108
from	0.0107
and	0.0105
a	0.0100
...	

- Where do these tables come from?

Spam Example

Word	P(w|spam)	P(w|ham)	Tot Spam	Tot Ham
(prior)	0.33333	0.66666	-1.1	-0.4

P(spam | w) = 98.9

Example: Overfitting

$P(\text{features}, C = 2)$

$P(C = 2) = 0.1$

$P(\text{on}|C = 2) = 0.8$

$P(\text{on}|C = 2) = 0.1$

$P(\text{off}|C = 2) = 0.1$

$P(\text{on}|C = 2) = 0.01$



$P(\text{features}, C = 3)$

$P(C = 3) = 0.1$

$P(\text{on}|C = 3) = 0.8$

$P(\text{on}|C = 3) = 0.9$

$P(\text{off}|C = 3) = 0.7$

$P(\text{on}|C = 3) = 0.0$

2 wins!!

Example: Overfitting

- Posteriors determined by *relative* probabilities (odds ratios):

$$\frac{P(W|\text{ham})}{P(W|\text{spam})}$$

south-west	inf
nation	inf
morally	inf
nicely	inf
extent	inf
seriously	inf
...	

$$\frac{P(W|\text{spam})}{P(W|\text{ham})}$$

screens	inf
minute	inf
guaranteed	inf
$205.00	inf
delivery	inf
signature	inf
...	

What went wrong here?

Generalization and Overfitting

- Relative frequency parameters will overfit the training data!
  - Just because we never saw a 3 with pixel (15,15) on during training doesn't mean we won't see it at test time
  - Unlikely that every occurrence of "minute" is 100% spam
  - Unlikely that every occurrence of "seriously" is 100% ham
  - What about all the words that don't occur in the training set at all?
  - In general, we can't go around giving unseen events zero probability
- As an extreme case, imagine using the entire email as the only feature
  - Would get the training data perfect (if deterministic labeling)
  - Wouldn't *generalize* at all
  - Just making the bag-of-words assumption gives us some generalization, but isn't enough
- To generalize better: we need to smooth or regularize the estimates

Estimation: Smoothing

- Problems with maximum likelihood estimates:
  - If I flip a coin once, and it's heads, what's the estimate for P(heads)?
  - What if I flip 10 times with 8 heads?
  - What if I flip 10M times with 8M heads?
- Basic idea:
  - We have some prior expectation about parameters (here, the probability of heads)
  - Given little evidence, we should skew towards our prior
  - Given a lot of evidence, we should listen to the data

Estimation: Smoothing

- Relative frequencies are the maximum likelihood estimates

$$\theta_{ML} = \arg\max_{\theta} P(\mathbf{X}|\theta) = \arg\max_{\theta} \prod_i P_\theta(X_i)$$

⇒ $P_{\text{ML}}(x) = \frac{\text{count}(x)}{\text{total samples}}$

- In Bayesian statistics, we think of the parameters as just another random variable, with its own distribution

$$\theta_{MAP} = \arg\max_{\theta} P(\theta|\mathbf{X})$$
$$= \arg\max_{\theta} P(\mathbf{X}|\theta)P(\theta)/P(\mathbf{X})$$
$$= \arg\max_{\theta} P(\mathbf{X}|\theta)P(\theta)$$

⇒ ????

Estimation: Laplace Smoothing

- Laplace's estimate:
  - Pretend you saw every outcome once more than you actually did

H H T

$$P_{LAP}(x) = \frac{c(x)+1}{\sum_x[c(x)+1]} = \frac{c(x)+1}{N+|X|}$$

$P_{ML}(X) =$

$P_{LAP}(X) =$

  - Can derive this as a MAP estimate with *Dirichlet priors* (see cs281a)

Estimation: Laplace Smoothing

- Laplace's estimate (extended):
  - Pretend you saw every outcome k extra times

H H T

$$P_{LAP,k}(x) = \frac{c(x)+k}{N+k|X|}$$

$P_{LAP,0}(X) =$

  - What's Laplace with k = 0?
  - k is the strength of the prior

$P_{LAP,1}(X) =$

$P_{LAP,100}(X) =$

- Laplace for conditionals:
  - Smooth each condition independently:

$$P_{LAP,k}(x|y) = \frac{c(x,y)+k}{c(y)+k|X|}$$

Estimation: Linear Interpolation

- In practice, Laplace often performs poorly for P(X|Y):
  - When |X| is very large
  - When |Y| is very large
- Another option: linear interpolation
  - Also get P(X) from the data
  - Make sure the estimate of P(X|Y) isn't too different from P(X)

$$P_{LIN}(x|y) = \alpha\hat{P}(x|y) + (1.0-\alpha)\hat{P}(x)$$

  - What if α is 0? 1?
- For even better ways to estimate parameters, as well as details of the math see cs281a, cs288

Real NB: Smoothing

- For real classification problems, smoothing is critical
- New odds ratios:

$$\frac{P(W|\text{ham})}{P(W|\text{spam})} \qquad \frac{P(W|\text{spam})}{P(W|\text{ham})}$$

```
helvetica : 11.4
seems     : 10.8
group     : 10.2
ago       :  8.4
areas     :  8.3
...
```

```
verdana : 28.8
Credit  : 28.4
ORDER   : 27.2
<FONT>  : 26.9
money   : 26.5
...
```

Do these make more sense?

Tuning on Held-Out Data

- Now we've got two kinds of unknowns
  - Parameters: the probabilities P(Y|X), P(Y)
  - Hyperparameters, like the amount of smoothing to do: k, α
- Where to learn?
  - Learn parameters from training data
  - Must tune hyperparameters on different data
    - Why?
  - For each value of the hyperparameters, train and test on the held-out data
  - Choose the best value and do a final test on the test data



Baselines

- First step: get a baseline
  - Baselines are very simple “straw man” procedures
  - Help determine how hard the task is
  - Help know what a “good” accuracy is
- Weak baseline: most frequent label classifier
  - Gives all test instances whatever label was most common in the training set
  - E.g. for spam filtering, might label everything as ham
  - Accuracy might be very high if the problem is skewed
  - E.g. calling everything “ham” gets 66%, so a classifier that gets 70% isn’t very good…
- For real research, usually use previous work as a (strong) baseline

Confidences from a Classifier

- The confidence of a probabilistic classifier:
  - Posterior over the top label

$$\text{confidence}(x) = \max_y P(y|x)$$

  - Represents how sure the classifier is of the classification
  - Any probabilistic model will have confidences
  - No guarantee confidence is correct
- Calibration
  - Weak calibration: higher confidences mean higher accuracy
  - Strong calibration: confidence predicts accuracy rate
  - What’s the value of calibration?



Errors, and What to Do

- Examples of errors

> Dear GlobalSCAPE Customer,
>
> GlobalSCAPE has partnered with ScanSoft to offer you the latest version of OmniPage Pro, for just $99.99* - the regular list price is $499! The most common question we've received about this offer is - Is this genuine? We would like to assure you that this offer is authorized by ScanSoft, is genuine and valid. You can get the . . .

> . . . To receive your $30 Amazon.com promotional certificate, click through to
>
> http://www.amazon.com/apparel
>
> and see the prominent link for the $30 offer. All details are there. We hope you enjoyed receiving this message. However, if you'd rather not receive future e-mails announcing new store launches, please click . . .

What to Do About Errors?

- Need more features– words aren’t enough!
  - Have you emailed the sender before?
  - Have 1K other people just gotten the same email?
  - Is the sending information consistent?
  - Is the email in ALL CAPS?
  - Do inline URLs point where they say they point?
  - Does the email address you by (your) name?
- Can add these information sources as new variables in the NB model
- Next class we’ll talk about classifiers which let you easily add arbitrary features more easily

Summary

- Bayes rule lets us do diagnostic queries with causal probabilities
- The naïve Bayes assumption takes all features to be independent given the class label
- We can build classifiers out of a naïve Bayes model using training data
- Smoothing estimates is important in real systems
- Classifier confidences are useful, when you can get them