3.3: Proofs Involving Quantifiers

Exercise 1:

Proof: Suppose $\exists x (P(x) \to Q(x))$. Thus, $P(x_0) \to Q(x_0)$ for some X_0 . Suppose $\forall x P(x)$. Therefore, $P(x_0)$. Through moduly powers, $Q(x_0)$. Therefore $\exists x Q(x)$, horely X_0 .

Exercise 2:

Proof: Suppose that A and BIC are disjoint. Suppose further that X & A OB

For carbitrary X. Since A and BIC are disjoint, X & A, DV X & BIC.

That is, X & A, or X & B or X & C. But X & A and X & B. It follows

that X & C. Since X is carbitrary, AOB & C.

Exercise 3:

Preof: Suppose $A \subseteq B \setminus C$. Let x be arbitrary, and let $x \in A$. Now suppose (for contradiction) that A and C are mot disjoint. Thus there is some element, x_0 , such that $x_0 \in A$ and $x_0 \in C$. Since $A \subseteq B \setminus C$, $x_0 \in B \setminus C$, that is, $x_0 \in B$ and $x_0 \notin C$. This contradicts the fact that $x_0 \in C$. Therefore, A and C must be disjoint.

Exercise 4:

Proof: Suppose $A \subseteq \mathcal{F}(A)$. Let x be arbitrary, and let $x \in \mathcal{F}(A)$. That is, $x \subseteq A$. Since $x \subseteq A \subseteq \mathcal{F}(A)$, $x \subseteq \mathcal{F}(A)$. Thus, $x \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}(A))$. Since x is arbitrary, $\mathcal{F}(A) \subseteq \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}(A))$.

Exercise 5:

@ {Ø}

([ø] (ø] (g)

Exercise 6:

- @ Proof: Let x be any real number except 1. Let $y = \frac{-2x-1}{1-x}$. So y(1-x) = -2x-1, or y yx = -2x-1. That is, y = -2x + yx 1, and so y + 1 = x(-2+y). Finally, we can see that $\frac{y+1}{y-2} = x$. Therefore, there is a real number y such that $\frac{y+1}{y-2} = x$, namely $\frac{-2x-1}{1-x}$.
- (for contradiction), that x=1. So: y=1, or y+1=y-2. Thus, y=y-3.

 This means that $y \neq y$. But we know that y=y. Thus, $x\neq 1$.

Exercise 7:

Proof: Let x be any real number and suppose that $x \ge 2$. Let $y = \frac{x + 4x^2 - 4}{2}$ (this is fine since $x \ge 2$). Consider $y + \frac{1}{y}$, or $\frac{x + 4x^2 - 4}{2} + \frac{2}{x + 4x^2 - 4}$. Finding accommon denominator yields: $\frac{2x^2 + 3x + 4x - 4y}{2(x + 4x + 2y)} + \frac{3}{2(x + 4x + 2y)}$, or $\frac{3x^2 + 3x + 4x - 4y}{2(x + 4x + 2y)}$. Simplification yields x. Therefore, there is a real number y such that $y + \frac{1}{y} = x$.

Exercise 8:

Proof: Suppose that F is a family of sets and let A be any set such that A & F.

Consider any x such that x & A. Because x & A dnd A & F, there is some set in

F that itself contains x (namely A). Thus, X & UF. Because x is arbitrary,

A \subsection UF.

Exercise 9:

Proof: Suppose that I is a family of sets and let A be any set such that $A \in \mathcal{F}$.

Consider any x such that $x \in \mathbb{NF}$. Because $x \in \mathbb{NF}$ and $A \in \mathcal{F}$, $x \in A$. Thus, be course x is arbitrary, $\mathbb{NF} \subseteq A$.

Exercise 10:

Proof: Suppose that \mathcal{F} is a nonempty family of sets, \mathcal{B} is a set, and $\forall A \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{B} \subseteq A)$. Consider any x such that $x \in \mathcal{B}$. Note that $\mathcal{B} \subseteq A$ for every $\mathcal{B} \cap A$, in \mathcal{F} . Thus, $x \in A$ for all A in \mathcal{F} . Since A is any set in \mathcal{F} , it follows that $x \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{F}$. Therefore $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{F}$.

{ { } }

Exercise !!

Proof: Suppose that F is a family of sets and & E.F. Consider any X such, that KEMF. Thus, X is in every set of F. But DEF and & has no elements. Therefore $M = \emptyset$.

Garcise 12:

Proof: Suppose that F and G are families of sets and F = G. Consider any x such that X = UF. Thus, x is in some set A in F. Since A = F, and F = G. Thus, there is some set (A) in G such 9km x is in 9km set Therefore X = UG, and so UF = UG.

Problem 13:

Proof: Suppose that F and G are nonempty foreiber of rets and F = G. Consider any x such that x eng. Thus, for all A & G, x-eA. Since all sets in F one in G, it follows that for all A & F, x eA. Therefore x e NF, and so NG = NF.

Exercise 14:

Proof: Suppose that {AilitI} is an indexed family of sets and $x \in U_{i \in I} P(Ai)$. Let $x \in X$ in $P(A_1)$ where $t \in I$. Thus, $x \in P(A_1)$, and so $x \in A_1$. Thus, $x \in P(A_1)$ and so $x \in A_1$. Therefore, it $P(A_1) \subseteq P(A_1)$

Exercise 15:

Proof: Suppose that {Ai | i + I } is on indexed family of sets and I = D.

Note that * X & i e I P(Ai) the X & P(Ai) For all i & I; that is

X & Ai for all i & I. Consider any y such that y & i & Ai. That is, y & Ai for all i & I. Since y & Ai for all i & I. Thus,

y & Q P(Ai). Therefore i Ai & i & P(Ai).

Exercise 16:

Proof: Suppose F = P(B). Consider any x such that x e UF. Thus, for some A & F, x & A. Note that since A & F, A & P(B). That is A = B. Since XeA, and A = B, x & B. Thus, if x & UF then X & B, and so UF = B.

Exercise 17:

Proof: Suppose F and G are nonempty faulter of sets, and every demant of F is a subset of every element of G. Consider any x such that x & OF. That is, there is some A & F such that x & A. Note that A is a subset of every element of G. Consider any set B ruch Mad REG. Note that A = B. Since X = A, X = B as well since Bis an arbitrary set in G, it follows that x e NG, and so UF = NG.

Exercise 18:

- @ Proof: Suppose that a b and a C. Since a b, we can choose some arbitrary integer m such that ma=b. Similarly, since alb, we can choose some arbitrary integer n such that na= C. Note that b+ C = maina, or b+c= a (m+n). Since m and n are both Hegers, m+n is (A) Lyde an integer. Thus, a feb + c. of 1201/16 gold angle 1001
 - 1 Broof: Suppose that ac/bc and c+O. Since ac/be, we can choose some artitrary integer in such that mac = bc. That is, ma=b. Since m is an integer, alb.

is a major of the company of the

- George 19: 14 . Call my Jan 10 for the temperal has @ Proof: Let x and yo be any real humbers. Let $z = \frac{y-x}{2}$ and consider x+z. That is $x+\frac{y-x}{2}=\frac{2x}{2}+\frac{y-x}{2}=\frac{y+x}{2}=\frac{2y}{2}+\frac{y+x}{2}=\frac{y-x}{2}$ = y-z. Thus, for all real numbers, there is a real number 2 such that x+3=4-2.
 - De The above proof would not be correct it "real number" were changed to "integer" because we assume ze to be 2. If y and x were both 1, then z would be 1/2, which is not an integer.

Exercise 20:

It should be that there is at least one real hunter x such that x2<0.

by the Exercise 121: will find in a substitution of providing the first of and

@. The proof only proved that IxeB (x +0).

string or the store of more of services of the order of

with a wife of the should be the warren to the state of t

D Conterexample: Let $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $B = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$. Here, $\forall x \in A(x \neq 0)$ and $A \subseteq B$, but it is not the case that $\forall x \in B(x \neq 0)$.

1: 12/2/25

Exercise 22:

x needs to be introduced prior to y, but they are introduced of the some time.

Exercise 23:

@ The assumption should be suppose Fort G is not disjoint.

(B) Conferency [E: Let $UF = \{1\}$ and $UG = \{0\}$, and let $F = \{\{1,0\},\{1,2\}\}$ and $G = \{1,0\},\{2,0\}\}$. Note that UF and UG are disjoint, but F and G are not disjoint (they have $\{1,0\}$ in Common).

Exercise 24:

@ X and y are not arbitrary since x= t=y.

D Counterexample: Let x=1 and y=0. Here, $x^2 + xy + 2y^2 = 1 + 0 - 0 = 1$ $\neq 0$. Thus, the theorem is incomed.

successful to the court of the court

Exercise 25 in a grant sall which should be a forest of a fixty of the sales

Proof: Consider any orbitrary real number χ . Let y = 2x and consider arbitrary Z. Note that $y = 2x = \frac{2x}{z} = \frac{x^2 + 2xz + z^2 - x^2 \cdot 2z}{z} = \frac{(x+z)^2 - (x^2+z^2)}{z}$. That is, $y = (x+z)^2 - (x^2+z^2)$. Thus, for all x, there is any such that for all z, $y = (x+z)^2 - (x^2+z^2)$.

Exercise 26:

- (a) For a goal of $\forall x P(x)$, you must assorbe x is arbitrary and prove P(x).

 For a given of $\exists x P(x)$, you should let x = x o such that P(x) is true.

 In both cases, you may not make Forter assimptions about, or restrict x/x.

 For a goal of $\exists x P(x)$, you must find some a such that P(x) is true.

 For a given of $\forall x P(x)$, you may assorbe P(x) is true.

 In both cases, x must be assorted to be a specific value.
- (a) whom we have a goal $\forall x P(x)$, a proof by controllerin would require us to enume $\forall \forall x P(x)$, or $\exists x \forall P(x)$. Here, x = 0 with the unspecificate proceed when we have a goal $\exists x P(x)$, a proof by controlletton would require us to assume $\forall \exists x P(x)$, or $\forall x \forall P(x)$, Here to proceed, me need to let x = 0 be specific.