Assignments for week 12

Anders Deg
n Lapiki, Jacob Kjærulff Furberg, Jonas Ishøj Nielse
n19/11/2020

Contents

1	12.1	- green	2
_	1.1	12.1.1 - green	_
	1.2	12.1.2 - green	
		0	
	1.3	12.1.3 - green	
	1.4	12.1.4 - green	2
	1.5	12.1.5 - green	2
	1.6	12.1.6 - Yellow	2
2	12.2		
	2.1	12.2.1 - green	3
	2.2	12.2.2 - yellow	3
	2.3	12.2.3 - yellow	3
	2.4	12.2.4 - red	3
	2.5	12.2.5 - red	
	2.6	12.2.6 - red	1
3	12.3		1
	3.1	12.3.1 - red	1
	3.2	12.3.2 - red	
	3.3	12.3.3 - red	_
	0.0	12 3 4 - red	_

Course: Practical Concurrent and Parallel Programming, MSc CS (Autumn 2020)

KSPRCPP2KU

1 12.1 - green

1.1 12.1.1 - green

See function testBankParallel in file PicoBankTest.

The test shows the class is not thread safe, by starting x threads that, once the threads are ready they can open the barrier, and do 10.000/x bank transfers. The sum is guaranteed to only be computed once the cyclicBarrier once more has enough threads waiting including the main thread. This test achieves race conditions on only 2 simultaneous threads, and always succeeds on a single test.

1.2 12.1.2 - green

See class PicoBankSynchronised in file PicoBankTest.

The only method in the PicoBankSynchronized that needs to be synchronized is the transfer method. Balance doesn't need synchronization as it is only used sequentially and the calling thread doesn't have account in cache before that.

1.3 12.1.3 - green

Run each test in isolation, meaning with other tests commented out. 12.1.2 is slowest, 12.1.1 is fastest as expected.

1.4 12.1.4 - green

See class PicoBankLocks in file PicoBankTest.

1.5 12.1.5 - green

See class PicoBankAtomic in file PicoBankTest.

Using AtomicLong is a valid solution to prevent race conditions, since current balance never changes workflow.

It would fail in cases where: if ballance > 0: transfer 200 else transfer -200.

1.6 12.1.6 - Yellow

See class PicoBankAtomicAlt in file PicoBankTest.

We created an implementation with only get and then compareAndSet, this always worked. Even though it seemed like it shouldn't (what if the value changed between the operations).

Therefore we added a do while, to get the value again if it was changed between the get and the compareAndSet.

Course: Practical Concurrent and Parallel Programming, MSc CS (Autumn 2020)

KSPRCPP2KU

$2 \quad 12.2$

2.1 12.2.1 - green

Only tests for sequential correctness. Problems:

Only test one scenario(no property based testing), which leads to low coverage. Not easy to read and understand

Fix:

See function testAllMapsGood in TestStripedMap.java.

Put adds elements Remove removes elements PutIfAbsent doesn't overwrite

2.2 12.2.2 - yellow

See function testAllMapsConcurrent in TestStripedMap.java. StripedMap succeeds all tests. StripedWriteMap fails on put succeeds on rest.

2.3 12.2.3 - yellow

See function testAllMapsConcurrent in TestStripedMap.java. WrapConcurrentHashMap succeeds all tests.

2.4 12.2.4 - red

See function testAllMapsConcurrent in TestStripedMap.java.

2.5 12.2.5 - red

See function testMapCounting in TestStripedMap.java.

Assume error happens because of:

```
Thread1: contains(v) == true
Thread2: contains(v) == true
Thread1: remove(v)
Thread2: remove(v) // returns u
```

Could get it to work by synchronizing on our map, but that would remove concurrency test. Course: Practical Concurrent and Parallel Programming, MSc CS (Autumn 2020)

KSPRCPP2KU

2.6 12.2.6 - red

We appear to have tested adequately. Perhaps there could be a concurrent test for making sure the reallocation of buckets functions correctly.

3 12.3

3.1 12.3.1 - red

The test did not discover the lack of synchronization when removed from both containsKey and get. When removed from put and remove the tests found the lack of synchronization.

3.2 12.3.2 - red

It fails sometimes on remove and put, but succeeds on rest.

3.3 12.3.3 - red

Where we supposed to test this for StripedWriteMap?

3.4 12.3.4 - red

Where we supposed to test this for StripedWriteMap?