

Smart TASEP

Deep Q-Learning in Intelligent Matter Simulations

Jonas Märtens


February 25, 2024

LMU Munich

Introduction


Smart TASEP: Deep Q-Learning in Intelligent Matter Simulations

- TASEP: Totally Asymmetric Simple Exclusion Process
- Smart TASEP: TASEP with intelligent agents
→ Intelligent Matter Simulations
- Deep Q-Learning: Reinforcement Learning with Neural Networks




TASEP: Totally Asymmetric Simple Exclusion Process

- Stochastic Process on a grid lattice




ASEP with periodic boundary conditions




ASEP with open boundary conditions

TASEP: Totally Asymmetric Simple Exclusion Process

- Stochastic Process on a grid lattice
- Exclusion: Only one particle per site




ASEP with periodic boundary conditions




ASEP with open boundary conditions

TASEP: Totally Asymmetric **Simple** Exclusion Process

- Stochastic Process on a grid lattice
- Exclusion: Only one particle per site
- Simple: Jumps only one cell far




ASEP with periodic boundary conditions




ASEP with open boundary conditions

TASEP: **Totally Asymmetric** Simple Exclusion Process

- Stochastic Process on a grid lattice
- Exclusion: Only one particle per site
- Simple: Jumps only one cell far
- Totally Asymmetric: $p = 1, q = 0$



ASEP with periodic boundary conditions




ASEP with open boundary conditions

TASEP: Totally Asymmetric Simple Exclusion Process


- Stochastic Process on a grid lattice
- Exclusion: Only one particle per site
- Simple: Jumps only one cell far
- Totally Asymmetric: $p = 1, q = 0$

Possible with either

- Periodic boundary conditions
- Open boundary conditions



ASEP with periodic boundary conditions




ASEP with open boundary conditions

Modifications

Two modifications (before smarticles)

2D (T)ASEP

- Symmetric in one direction and totally asymmetric in the other




2D ASEP

Modifications


Two modifications (before smarticles)

2D (T)ASEP

- Symmetric in one direction and totally asymmetric in the other
- Directed flow with multiple lanes
- compare traffic flow, kinesin transport
- motivates transport optimization



2D ASEP



Intracellular transport

Modifications


Two modifications (before smarticles)

2D (T)ASEP


- Symmetric in one direction and totally asymmetric in the other
- Directed flow with multiple lanes
- compare traffic flow, kinesin transport
- motivates transport optimization

Speeds

- Each particle has a speed
- Speed is probability to jump
- Drawn from a distribution
- If different, jams



2D ASEP



Intracellular transport


Make it Smart!

Smart TASEP: TASEP with intelligent agents

- have a goal (e.g. optimize transport)
- sense the environment
- act according to the goal and the environment
- learn from the environment themselves

→ Intelligent Matter Simulation

→ Reinforcement Learning - reward based



Deep Q-Learning

Deep Q-Learning Algorithm

- Reinforcement learning with deep neural networks
- Model-free: No knowledge of the environment
- Q-Learning: Value-based method
- Off-policy: Learns from old experiences

Deep Q Learning

Policy Network




Target Network




Replay Buffer



Environment




Deep Q-Learning: The policy



How does the network learn?

- Neural Network \rightarrow backpropagation on loss
- Problem: no target value for Q-Learning
- Solution: Use current best guess as target:
$$y = r + \gamma \max_{a'} \hat{Q}(s', a')$$
- Target network with soft updates to stabilize learning
- Use gradients for AdamW optimizer
- Replay buffer
 - Sample efficiency
 - Break correlation



Implementation

The smarttasep package

Features

- Easy installation with pip
- Fully Customizable
 - Environment size
 - Reward function
 - Network architecture
 - Hyperparameters
 - Training parameters
 - Algorithm choices
- Real-time visualization
- Interactive evaluation
- Management of experiments

smarttasep components

- `smarttasep.GridEnv`: The 2D (T)ASEP environment
- `smarttasep.DQN`: The neural network
- `torchrl.ReplayBuffer`: Efficient, tensor-based replay buffer
- `smarttasep.Trainer`: Wrapper class for training, simulation and experiment management
- `smarttasep.Playground`: Real-time interactive evaluation of trained agents
- `smarttasep.EnvParams`,
`smarttasep.Hyperparams`: Configuration classes


Hyperparameter Optimization

For each experiment, the Hyperparameters should be optimized.

Parameters

- **Learning rate** of the AdamW optimizer.
- **Discount factor** γ .
- **Replay buffer size**.
- **Batch size** for training.
- **Target network update rate** τ .
- **Exploration-exploitation tradeoff** via the decay constant η of the exploration rate $\epsilon(t) = \epsilon_{\text{end}} + (\epsilon_{\text{start}} - \epsilon_{\text{end}})e^{-n_{\text{steps}}/\eta}$.
- **Neural network architecture** (number of hidden layers and neurons per layer).
- **Activation function** of the neural network.

Hyperparameter Optimization: Example



Example of a hyperparameter optimization for the hidden layer sizes.

Experiments

Overview of Experiments

Goals

- Current optimization
- General insights into intelligent matter simulations

Experiments


1. **Baseline:** Classical 2D TASEP with speeds
2. **Naive current optimization:** Hard-coded agents
3. **Smart TASEP:** Deep Q-Learning agents with slightly different goals
 - **Simple reward:** Go forward
 - **Complex reward:** Go forward while forming lanes

Setup

- Classical 2D TASEP
- Periodic boundary conditions
- Checkerboard configuration

Setup


- Classical 2D TASEP
- Periodic boundary conditions
- Checkerboard configuration
- Normally distributed speeds



Speeds of particles in the baseline experiment.

Setup

- Classical 2D TASEP
- Periodic boundary conditions
- Checkerboard configuration
- Normally distributed speeds
- Waiting for steady state




Reaching the steady state in a 128×32 system.
800 runs and 5000 steps averaged.

Results: Baseline

Setup

- Classical 2D TASEP
- Periodic boundary conditions
- Checkerboard configuration
- Normally distributed speeds
- Waiting for steady state
- Measuring average current
- Consistent with theoretical value of 0.0625




Steady state current as a function of σ for different system sizes.
800 runs and 150k steps averaged.

Naive Policy

A Naive Policy

Just go forward?

- $p_{\text{fwd}} = 1, p_{\text{up/down}} = 0$
- starting in checkerboard configuration
- no messy mixing
- bad for high σ
- optimum for low σ ?



Naive policy


Smarticles

Smarticles: Uniform speed distribution

Simple reward components,

$\sigma = 10$

- Positive reward for going forward
- Negative reward for occupied destination
- Zero reward for going up or down or staying
- Small negative reward for blocking others proportional to speed




Screenshot of the second smarticle training

Smarticles: Uniform speed distribution

Resulting current

- $\langle J \rangle \approx 0.117$
- +125% compared to baseline (0.052)



Steady state current over time for the trained smarticles.


What did the smarticles learn? (Playground video)

Observation


- So far, the system still looks messy
- Only individual behavior
- Compare highway: Fast lane and slow lane
→ Could be beneficial also in TASEP

Potential

- Less jamming
- Directed flow without dispersion
- Inhomogeneous density



Screenshot of the simulation with the current policy




Separating fast and slow lanes increases the mean velocity

Smarticles: Hard-coded Lanes

Approach 1: Hard code the lanes, supply smarticles with lane information

$$\Delta y = 1 - a \cdot \left(\frac{1+a}{a} \right)^v + a$$




Learned smarticle behavior with the hard-coded lanes reward function (left), and the speed gradient mapping (right).

Smarticles: Hard-coded Lanes - Results

Resulting current

- $\langle J \rangle \approx 0.134$
- +157% compared to baseline (0.052)
- +15% compared to previous policy (0.117)
- even higher than naive policy for equal speeds
- long time to reach steady state



Current over time for the trained smarticles.

Absolute positioning for hard-coded lanes is not always possible.


Absolute positioning for hard-coded lanes is not always possible.

Approach 2: Let the smarticles learn the lanes themselves from local “interactions”

$$V(r, \Delta v) = \begin{cases} -0.125 \cdot r + 0.625 & \text{if } \Delta v < 0.5 \text{ and } 1.5 < r \leq 5 \\ -0.75 \cdot r^{-1.3} - 0.15 & \text{if } \Delta v \geq 0.5 \text{ and } r \leq 3.5 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Modifications

1. Binary speed distribution
2. Different neural networks



Visual representation of the lane reward function / “potential”


Smarticles: Self-organized Lanes - Results

Let's see it in action! (lane formation video)

Smarticles: Self-organized Lanes - Results

Resulting current

- $\langle J \rangle \approx 0.112$
- baseline
 $J = 0.4 \cdot (1 - 0.4) \cdot 0.6 \cdot 0.5 = 0.072$
- +56% compared to baseline
- lower than previous policies
- steady state reached quicker
- fluctuations seem higher than they are
- proof of concept, room for improvement



Current over time for the trained smarticles.

Conclusion and Outlook

Achievements and Insights

- Baseline: Theory and simulation match
- Established framework for intelligent matter simulations with interacting agents
- Intelligent matter in form of smarticles dramatically increases the current
- Large-scale structures and collective behavior emerge from local “interactions”

Future Research


- Modular smarttasep package for easy experimentation
- Generalize results:
 - Structure formation with more than two particle types
 - Different cluster densities for different speeds
 - Reduce the gap between the lanes
- Try different strategies other than lane formation
- Generalize results to more complex systems (e.g. higher dimensions, continuous space)

Backup Slides

Smarticles: Equal speeds

Simple reward

- Positive reward for going forward
- Negative reward for occupied destination
- Zero reward for going up or down or staying
- Small negative reward for blocking others




Screenshot of the first smarticle training

Smarticles: Equal speeds

Resulting current

- $\langle J \rangle \approx 0.152$
- +143% compared to baseline (0.0625)
- +22% compared to naive policy (0.125)



Steady state current over time for the trained smarticles.

The `smarttasep` package: Examples


Let's have a look at some examples! (show usage videos)

Results: Theory

Conditions for a forward move

1. randomly picked cell is occupied ($p_{\text{occ}} = \rho$)
2. speed allows move ($p_{\text{spd}} = \bar{v} = \mu$)
3. forward direction is picked ($p = 0.5$)
4. next cell is empty ($p_{\text{emp}} = 1 - \rho$)^{*}

$$\begin{aligned}\Rightarrow \langle J \rangle &= p \cdot \mu \cdot \rho \cdot (1 - \rho) \\ &= 0.0625\end{aligned}$$



Steady state current as a function of σ for different system sizes.


A Naive Policy

Just go forward?

- $p_{\text{fwd}} = 1, p_{\text{up/down}} = 0$
- starting in checkerboard configuration
- no messy mixing
- bad for high σ
- optimum for low σ

$$\begin{aligned}\max \langle J \rangle &= \max (p_{\text{occ}} \cdot p_{\text{spd}} \cdot p_{\text{fwd}} \cdot p_{\text{emp}}) \\ &\leq \rho \cdot \mu \cdot 1 \cdot \max(p_{\text{emp}})\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{and } 0.5 \leq \max(p_{\text{emp}}) < 1$$



Naive policy