

Query Expansion

Assignment 2

CT4100

Liselotte Lichtenstein (24240597)

Jonas Michel(24238749)

25th November 2024

1 Question 1 (10 Marks)

Given a query that a user submits to an IR system and the top N documents that are returned as relevant by the system, devise an approach (high-level algorithmic steps will suffice)

to suggest query terms to add to the query. Typically, we wish to give a large range of suggestions to the users capturing potential intended query needs, i.e., high diversity of terms that may capture the intended query context/content.

The goal of the here designed query expansion (QE) heuristic is to enhance search results by adding terms that increase the specificity of a query, by proposing both relevant and diverse terms for the user to select from. The objective is to design an interactive QE technique, by suggesting a range of terms, the user can then add to the query to refine the search results. Our solution integrates a global concept-based technique with a local pseudo-relevance feedback (PRF), combining relevance and diversity to generate more effective query suggestions [azad2019query]. Our approach is defined in high-level algorithmic steps below in Algorithm 1, and described in detail in the following.

Step 0 (Input and Output):

As prerequisite the document collection is preprocessed to have embeddings and clustering for the terms in the collection. This can be done offline to reduce runtime complexity. The input to the algorithm is the query terms q_{terms} and the document collection with embeddings and clustering. It has to be noted that during the algorithm we have to embed the individual query terms as well, so the embedding models has to be available. The output is a predefined number of k terms that can be used to expand the original query.

Step 1 (Query Embedding):

The query needs to be embedded in order to further process it. This is done by embedding the whole query in the same space as the terms in the document collection. In our case we want to find later on terms similar to the whole query instead of just similar to the individual query terms. Therefore we get the embedding for each individual query term and apply mean pooling to get the embedding for the whole query [chen2018enhancing].

Step 2 (Get Relevant Terms):

After embedding the query terms, the next step is to extract relevant terms r_{terms} . There are two types of relevant terms, the most similar terms from the embedding space and the co-occurrence terms from the top N returned documents on the original query. This is done to ensure that we have semantically similar terms as well as terms that co-occur with the original query terms. The semantically similar terms are selected from the embedding space to ensure a search within the entire vocabulary and therefore enhance diversity. The co-occurrence terms are selected from the top N returned documents on the original query, utilizing a pseudo-feedback (PRF) approach [azad2019query]. This allowed us to add relevant terms that might occur in the same documents but are not semantically similar. Each of the candidate terms is assigned a weight based on the co-occurrence with the original query terms and then the top m terms are selected as co-occurrence terms

[azad2019query].

Step 3 (Get Corresponding Clusters):

As we stated in Step 0, the collection vocabulary has to be clustered. After getting the relevant terms, we can now get the corresponding clusters for these terms. This is done by selecting all clusters that contain the terms in r_{terms} .

Step 4 (Select Top k Clusters):

Since Step 3 can give us back a large number of clusters, we have to select a certain subset of k clusters to extract suggesting terms from. We want to select large clusters, since they seem relevant. But the clusters should also be spread out in the embedding space to ensure diversity. These two measures are balanced in a score to rank the clusters as defined by:

$$score = \alpha \cdot s + \beta \cdot d$$

where s is the normalised size of the cluster and d is the distance of the cluster centroid to the other cluster centroids. The parameters α and β can be used to adjust the importance of size and distance. Based on this score the top k clusters are selected.

Step 5 (Extract Terms from Selected Clusters):

The selected top k clusters represent now the most relevant and diverse topics relating to the original query. In order for the user to select one of these topics we have to propose one term that is descriptive for the cluster. This is done by selecting the term that is closest to the centroid of the cluster [rossiello2017centroid, khennak2019clustering]. If this selected term is already in the original query, the next closest term is selected.

Step 6 (Return QE Suggestions):

The terms that are selected in Step 5 are then returned as the query expansion suggestions. The number of returned terms is defined by the number of top clusters k . The number k is a restriction to ensure that the user has a limited number of terms to select from to be not overwhelmed with suggestions.

Reflection:

By precomputing the vocabulary embedding and clustering as well as the embedding model for the query runtime complexity is significantly reduced. Which allows for a fast response time for the user.

In the case our query and the resulting relevant terms are so specific that we only get one high-level cluster (e.g., “jaguar engine horsepower” → cluster: “cars”). In that case we might want low-level clusters like the different engines or jaguar models. As a solution instead of just precomputing one clustering, multi-resolution clustering can be used to address different levels of specificity in the query [lutov2019accuracy]. The level of specificity would need to be selected during runtime within our algorithm before Step 3.

In general a lot of hyperparameters in our algorithm can be adjusted to the specific use case and also need to be examined in general studies to find the best values for them. Possible tunable parameters are, the number of similar terms n and co-occurrence terms

Algorithm 1 Query Expansion (QE) Suggestions

- 1: **Input:** Query terms q_{terms} and document collection with embeddings and clustering
 - 2: **Output:** QE suggestion terms
 - 3:
 - 4: **Step 1:** Get query embedding from q_{terms} (mean pooling)
 - 5: **Step 2:** Get r_{terms} relevant terms (union of s_{terms} and c_{terms})
 - 6: **Step 2.1:** Get n most similar terms from embedding space (s_{terms})
 - 7: **Step 2.2:** Get m co-occurrence terms from top N returned documents on the original query (c_{terms})
 - 8: **Step 3:** Get corresponding clusters for r_{terms}
 - 9: **Step 4:** Select top k clusters (weighted with size and centroid distance)
 - 10: **Step 5:** Get one descriptive term for each cluster (e.g., closest to centroid)
 - 11: **Step 5.1:** Check that the descriptive term is not in the original query
 - 12: **Step 6:** Return the descriptive terms as QE suggestions
-

m to select, the number of clusters k to select, the weighting parameters α and β for the cluster selection, and the level of specificity for the possible multi-resolution clustering.

2 Question 2 (10 Marks)

Score that consists of three components, one based on the users search history, one based on the search history of similar users and one based on the global search history. By adjusting the weights of these components the score of the term can be adjusted.

$$score(t) = \alpha \cdot user_history(t) + \beta \cdot similar_users(t) + \gamma \cdot global_history(t)$$

where α , β and γ are the weights for the three components and the three scores are based on the frequency a term occurs given the entered query terms in the respective context. These individual term scores for each context are calculated as follows:

- absolute frequency of the term in combination with entered query terms (t_q)
- proportion of the term in the context of (t_q)
- ...

Since the contains the first and second as well, occurrences in the own users history and similar users history get an additional boost. This third component adds a global context to the term score and depending on its weight can give 'new to the user' terms a chance to be suggested. It also provides a fallback for the case that a user enters unusual terms that are not in the history of the user or similar users or the case of a user being new and having no or very limited history.

Two users are considered similar if they not only use the same search terms but also use them in similar combinations - have similar queries. For each two users a score is calculated as the average query similarity between two users.

$$UserSimilarity(A, B) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m w_i \cdot v_j \cdot QuerySimilarity(q_{Ai}, q_{Bj})}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m w_i \cdot v_j}$$

Users that surpass a certain threshold are considered similar users. The threshold can be adjusted to the specific use case. The weights w_i and v_j are used to adjust the importance of the individual queries in the calculation of the similarity score. The QuerySimilarity function is used to calculate the similarity between two queries and can be calculated by applying metrics like the Jaccard similarity or cosine similarity on the query representations. The similarity score is then normalised by the length of the queries.

two users are considered similar if they are part of the same cluster. In order to cluster the users, they are represented as a vector of:

- the embedding of all used terms set (loses query information)
- the frequency of the terms in the user log (loses order information) (different frequency metrics (e.g. number of queries, overall num of uses, normalised frequencies, ...))

- the combined (pooling/concatenation/...) query embeddings (query embeddings could be sentence based or pooling of term based)
- ...

These vector representations of the users are then clustered using a clustering algorithm like k-means or hierarchical clustering. The number of clusters can be adjusted to the specific use case. The term score is then calculated in the context of the user logs of users that share the same cluster as the user that entered the query.