

cellular_raza: Cellular Agent-based Modeling from a Clean Slate

Jonas Pleyer¹ and Christian Fleck¹

¹ Freiburg Center for Data-Analysis and Modeling

DOI: [10.xxxxxx/draft](https://doi.org/10.xxxxxx/draft)

Software

- [Review](#)
- [Repository](#)
- [Archive](#)

Editor: [Open Journals](#)

Reviewers:

- [@openjournals](#)

Submitted: 01 January 1970

Published: unpublished

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC BY 4.0](#)).

Summary

cellular_raza is a cellular agent-based modeling framework which allows researchers to construct models from a clean slate. In contrast to other agent-based modeling toolkits, cellular_raza was designed to be free of assumptions about the underlying cellular representation. This enables researchers to build up complex models while retaining full control over every parameter introduced. It comes with predefined building blocks for agents and their physical domain to quickly construct new simulations bottom-up. Furthermore, cellular_raza can be used with the `pyo3` and `maturin` packages and thus act as a numerical backend to a python package.

Statement of Need

Agent-based models have become popular in cellular biology (Cess & Finley, 2022; Delile et al., 2017a, 2017b; Mogilner & Manhart, 2016). While these tools have proven to be effective for targeted research questions, they often lack the ability to be applied for multiple distinct use-cases in a more generic context. Nevertheless, core functionalities such as numerical solvers, storage solutions, domain decomposition methods and functions to construct these simulations could be shared between models if written generically. In order to address this issue and construct models from first principles without any assumptions regarding the underlying complexity or abstraction level, we developed cellular_raza.

State of Field

General-Purpose Agent-Based Modeling Toolkits

General-purpose agent-based toolkits are often designed without specific applications in mind (Abar et al., 2017; Datseris et al., 2022; Wilensky, 1999). They are often able to define agents bottom-up and can be a good choice if they allow for the desired cellular representation. However, they lack the explicit forethought to be applied in cellular systems. Since they are required to solve a wider range of problems they are not able to make assumptions on the type of agent or the nature of their interactions and thus miss out on possible performance optimizations and advanced numerical solvers.

Cellular Agent-Based Frameworks

In our previous efforts (Pleyer & Fleck, 2023), we assessed the overall state of modelling toolkits for individual-based cellular simulations. The frameworks reviewed are all designed for specific use cases and often require a large number of parameters which are often unknown in practice and difficult to determine experimentally. This is an inherent problem for the applicability of the software and the ability to properly interpret results. Few modelling frameworks exist

that provide a significant degree of flexibility and customisation in the definition of cell agents. Chaste (Cooper et al., 2020) allows reuse of individual components of its simulation code, such as ODE and PDE solvers, but is only partially cell-based. Biocellion (Kang et al., 2014) has support for different cell shapes such as spheres and cylinders, but admits that their current approach lacks flexibility in the subcellular description. BioDynaMo (Breitwieser et al., 2021) offers some modularity in the choice of components for cellular agents, but cannot freely customise the cellular representation.

cellular_raza


We distinguish between different simulation aspects (i.e. mechanics, cell-cycle or cell-cycle) which are directly related to properties of the cells, domain or other external interactions. The user choses a cellular representation which can be built from pre-existing building blocks or fully-customized bottom-up if desired. `cellular_raza` uses macros to generate code depending on which simulation aspects are being numerically solved. It is then compiled and builds up a complete numerical simulation. In contrast to other simulation tools, `cellular_raza` makes extensive use of generics and provides abstract numerical solvers. Users are thus able to fully and deeply customize the representation and behaviour of their agents. This inherent complexity is hidden from the user but can be modified with additional keyword arguments in the macros. Each `simulation aspect` formulated as a trait in Rust's type system which provide the necessary abstractions. The cellular-raza.com/guides/getting-started guide provides a good entry point and explains every step from building, running to visualizing.

Examples

In the following, we present four different examples how to use `cellular_raza` (see cellular-raza.com/showcase).

Cell Sorting

Cell sorting is a naturally occurring phenomenon (Graner & Glazier, 1992; Steinberg, 1963). While the underlying biological reality can be quite complex, it is rather simple to describe such a system in its most basic form. Fundamentally, any cellular Interaction is specific to their species. We consider two distinct species represented by soft spheres which physically attract each other at close proximity if their species is identical. Cells are placed randomly inside a cube with reflective boundary conditions. In the final snapshot, we can clearly see the phase-separation between the different species.



69

Figure 1: The initial random placement of cells reorders into a phase-separated spatial pattern.

Bacterial Rods

Bacteria come in various forms (Young, 2006; Zapun et al., 2008) such as elongated shapes (Billaudeau et al., 2017) which grows asymmetrically in the direction of elongation. Our model describes the physical mechanics of one cell as a collection of multiple vertices \vec{v}_i which are connected by springs. Their relative angle at each connecting vertex introduces a stiffening force which is proportional to the angle difference $\alpha - 180^\circ$. Cells interact via a soft-sphere force potential with short-ranged attraction. Multiple contributions are calculated between every vertex and the closest point on the other cells edges. In addition, the cell cycle introduces growth of the bacteria until it divides in the middle into two new cells. This growth is downregulated by an increasing number of neighboring cells which is a phenomenological but effective choice for the transition into the stationary phase of the bacterial colony. Cells are placed inside the left-hand side of an elongated box with reflective boundary conditions. Their colors range from green for fast growth to blue for dormant cells.




Figure 2: The bacteria extend from the initial placement in the left side towards the right side. Their elongated shape and the confined space favour the orientation facing along the growth direction.

Branching of *Bacillus Subtilis*

Spatio-temporal patterns of bacterial growth such as in *Bacillus Subtilis* have been studied for numerous years (Kawasaki et al., 1997; Matsushita et al., 1998). Cells are modeled by soft spheres which interact with the domain by taking up nutrients. By consuming intracellular nutrients, the cell grows continuously and divides upon reaching a threshold. The initial placement of the cells is inside of a centered square. From there, cells start consuming nutrients and growing outwards towards the nutrient-rich area. Cells are colored bright purple while they are actively growing and dividing while dark cells are not subject to growth anymore. The outer domain is colored by the intensity of present nutrients. A lighter color indicates that more nutrients are available while a dark color signifies a lack thereof.




Figure 3: The bacterial colony grows outwards towards the nutrient-rich parts of the domain thus forming branches in the process.

Semi-Vertex Model for Epithelial and Plant Cells

Vertex models are a very popular choice in describing multicellular systems. They are actively being used in great variety such as to describe mechanical properties of plant cells (Merks et al., 2011) or organoid structures of epithelial cells (Barton et al., 2017; Fletcher et al., 2014).

We represent cells by a polygonal collection of vertices connected by springs. An inside pressure pushes vertices in an outwards direction. These two mechanisms by themselves create perfect hexagonal cells. Cells are attracting each other but in the case where two polygons overlap, a repulsive force acts between them. Cells are placed in a perfect hexagonal grid such that edges and vertices align. Their growth rates are chosen from a uniform distribution.




Figure 4: During growth the cells push on each other thus creating small spaces in between them as the collection expands. These forces also lead to deviations in the otherwise perfect hexagonal shape.

Acknowledgements

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. JP and CF received funding from FET-Open research and innovation actions grant under the European Union's Horizon 2020 (CyGenTiG; grant agreement 801041).

References

- Abar, S., Theodoropoulos, G. K., Lemarinier, P., & O'Hare, G. M. P. (2017). Agent based modelling and simulation tools: A review of the state-of-art software. *Computer Science Review*, 24, 13–33. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosrev.2017.03.001>
- Barton, D. L., Henkes, S., Weijer, C. J., & Sknepnek, R. (2017). Active vertex model for cell-resolution description of epithelial tissue mechanics. *PLOS Computational Biology*, 13(6), e1005569. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005569>
- Billaudeau, C., Chastanet, A., Yao, Z., Cornilleau, C., Mirouze, N., Fromion, V., & Carballido-López, R. (2017). Contrasting mechanisms of growth in two model rod-shaped bacteria. *Nature Communications*, 8(1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms15370>
- Breitwieser, L., Hesam, A., Montigny, J. de, Vavourakis, V., Iosif, A., Jennings, J., Kaiser, M., Manca, M., Di Meglio, A., Al-Ars, Z., Rademakers, F., Mutlu, O., & Bauer, R. (2021). BioDynaMo: a modular platform for high-performance agent-based simulation. *Bioinformatics*, 38(2), 453–460. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btab649>
- Cess, C. G., & Finley, S. D. (2022). Multiscale modeling of tumor adaption and invasion following anti-angiogenic therapy. *Computational and Systems Oncology*, 2(1). <https://doi.org/10.1002/cso2.1032>
- Cooper, F., Baker, R., Bernabeu, M., Bordas, R., Bowler, L., Bueno-Orovio, A., Byrne, H., Carapella, V., Cardone-Noott, L., Cooper, J., Dutta, S., Evans, B., Fletcher, A., Grogan, J., Guo, W., Harvey, D., Hendrix, M., Kay, D., Kursawe, J., ... Gavaghan, D. (2020). Chaste: Cancer, heart and soft tissue environment. *Journal of Open Source Software*, 5(47), 1848. <https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.01848>
- Datseris, G., Vahdati, A. R., & DuBois, T. C. (2022). Agents.jl: A performant and feature-full agent-based modeling software of minimal code complexity. *SIMULATION*, 003754972110688. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00375497211068820>
- Delile, J., Herrmann, M., Peyri  ras, N., & Doursat, R. (2017a). A cell-based computational model of early embryogenesis coupling mechanical behaviour and gene regulation. *Nature Communications*, 8(1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms13929>
- Delile, J., Herrmann, M., Peyri  ras, N., & Doursat, R. (2017b). A cell-based computational model of early embryogenesis coupling mechanical behaviour and gene regulation. In *Nature Communications* (No. 1; Vol. 8). Springer Science; Business Media LLC. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms13929>
- Fletcher, A. G., Osterfield, M., Baker, R. E., & Shvartsman, S. Y. (2014). Vertex models of epithelial morphogenesis. *Biophysical Journal*, 106(11), 2291–2304. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2013.11.4498>
- Graner, F., & Glazier, J. A. (1992). Simulation of biological cell sorting using a two-dimensional extended potts model. *Physical Review Letters*, 69(13), 2013–2016. <https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.69.2013>
- Kang, S., Kahan, S., McDermott, J., Flann, N., & Shmulevich, I. (2014). Biocellion : Accelerating computer simulation of multicellular biological system models. *Bioinformatics*, 30(21), 3101–3108. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu498>

- 150 Kawasaki, K., Mochizuki, A., Matsushita, M., Umeda, T., & Shigesada, N. (1997). *Modeling*
151 *Spatio-Temporal Patterns Generated by Bacillus subtilis*. [https://doi.org/10.1006/jtbi.1997.](https://doi.org/10.1006/jtbi.1997.0462)
152 [0462](https://doi.org/10.1006/jtbi.1997.0462)
- 153 Matsushita, M., Wakita, J., Itoh, H., Ràfols, I., Matsuyama, T., Sakaguchi, H., & Mimura, M.
154 (1998). *Interface growth and pattern formation in bacterial colonies*. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4371(97)00511-6)
155 [1016/S0378-4371\(97\)00511-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4371(97)00511-6)
- 156 Merks, R. M. H., Guravage, M., Inzé, D., & Beemster, G. T. S. (2011). VirtualLeaf: An
157 open-source framework for cell-based modeling of plant tissue growth and development.
158 *Plant Physiology*, 155(2), 656–666. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.110.167619>
- 159 Mogilner, A., & Manhart, A. (2016). Agent-based modeling: Case study in cleavage furrow
160 models. *Molecular Biology of the Cell*, 27(22), 3379–3384. [https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.](https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.e16-01-0013)
161 [e16-01-0013](https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.e16-01-0013)
- 162 Pleyer, J., & Fleck, C. (2023). Agent-based models in cellular systems. *Frontiers in Physics*,
163 10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphy.2022.968409>
- 164 Steinberg, M. S. (1963). Reconstruction of tissues by dissociated cells: Some morphogenetic
165 tissue movements and the sorting out of embryonic cells may have a common explanation.
166 *Science*, 141(3579), 401–408. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.141.3579.401>
- 167 Wilensky, U. (1999). *NetLogo* [Http://ccl.northwestern.edu/netlogo/]. Center for Connected
168 Learning; Computer-Based Modeling. <http://ccl.northwestern.edu/netlogo/>
- 169 Young, K. D. (2006). The selective value of bacterial shape. *Microbiology and Molecular*
170 *Biology Reviews*, 70(3), 660–703. <https://doi.org/10.1128/mmbr.00001-06>
- 171 Zapun, A., Vernet, T., & Pinho, M. G. (2008). The different shapes of cocci. *FEMS*
172 *Microbiology Reviews*, 32(2), 345–360. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.2007.00098.x>