

# BIFURCATION OF WEAKLY DISPERSIVE PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Jonas P. Vean

Norwegian University of Science and Technology, NTNU

24th of June 2020

# Introduction

Things that will be needed along the way:

- (i) Aspects of local bifurcation theory and Banach space calculus (Kielhöfer and Buffoni–Toland respectively)
- (ii) Some functional analysis, distribution theory and general information about function spaces like Hölder spaces and spaces of classical symbols  $S_{1,0}^m(\mathbb{R})$ .

With all of this background, we shall be able to prove existence of small-amplitude traveling solutions to the partial differential equation

$$\partial_t u + L \partial_x u + \partial_x(u^{p+1}) = 0, \quad p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$$

where  $L$  is a Fourier multiplier of a Bessel symbol

$$m(\xi) = (1 + \xi^2)^{\frac{s}{2}} \text{ for } s < 0.$$

# Some Banach space calculus

Continuity of maps between Banach spaces:

## Definition

Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$  and  $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$  be Banach spaces and  $U \subseteq X$  open. A map  $F: U \rightarrow Y$  is called *continuous at*  $x \in U$  if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that for every  $y \in U$  with  $\|x - y\|_X < \delta$  we have  $\|F(x) - F(y)\|_Y < \varepsilon$ . If  $F$  is continuous at each and every point  $x \in U$  we simply call  $F$  continuous. In this case we may write  $F \in C(U, Y)$  or  $F \in C^0(U, Y)$ .

# Differentiability of maps of Banach spaces

Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$  and  $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$  be Banach spaces and  $U \subseteq X$  open. We say that a map  $F: U \rightarrow Y$  is *Fréchet differentiable at*  $x_0 \in U$  if there exists a linear map  $A \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  such that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{\|F(x) - F(x_0) - A(x - x_0)\|_Y}{\|x - x_0\|_X} = 0.$$

In this case we call  $A$  the Fréchet derivative of  $F$  at  $x_0$  and write  $A = dF[x_0]$ . If  $F$  is Fréchet differentiable at every point in  $X$ , then the map

$$dF: X \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X, Y); x \mapsto dF[x]$$

is well-defined and the evaluation  $dF[x_0](x)$  acts as a directional derivative of  $F$  at  $x_0$  “along” the vector  $x \in X$ .

# Partial derivatives of maps of Banach spaces

## Definition

Let  $X$ ,  $Y$  and  $Z$  be Banach spaces,  $U \subseteq X \times Y$  be open in the product topology, and  $F: U \rightarrow Z$  a function. Consider the projection maps  $\pi_X(x, y) = x$ ,  $\pi_Y(x, y) = y$ , then set  $U_{x_0} = \pi_X^{-1}(x_0) \cap U$  and  $U_{y_0} = \pi_Y^{-1}(y_0) \cap U$  for  $(x_0, y_0) \in U$ . If  $F(\cdot, y_0)$  has a Fréchet derivative at  $x_0$  on  $U_{y_0}$  we denote it by  $\partial_x F[(x_0, y_0)] \in \mathcal{L}(X, Z)$  and call it the *partial derivative* of  $F$  with respect to  $x$  at  $(x_0, y_0) \in U$ . Similarly for  $y_0 \in U_{x_0}$ , where  $F$  is Fréchet differentiable on  $U_{x_0}$  with  $\partial_y F[(x_0, y_0)] \in \mathcal{L}(Y, Z)$ .

## A note on higher order derivatives

It is possible to define higher order derivatives multi-linearly on Banach spaces.

### Definition

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Banach spaces, suppose that  $F: U \rightarrow Y$ ,  $U \subseteq X$  open, is continuously Fréchet differentiable on  $U$ . If  $dF: U \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  is itself differentiable at  $x_0 \in U$ , then we say that the *second (order) Fréchet derivative* exists and is denoted by  $d(dF)[x_0] \in \mathcal{L}(X, \mathcal{L}(X, Y))$ . Higher  $k$ -order Fréchet derivatives are defined similarly when the previous order is defined and continuously differentiable, namely through a  $k$ -fold multilinear scheme:  $d(d \cdots (dF))[x_0] \in \mathcal{L}(X, \mathcal{L}(\cdots \mathcal{L}(X, Y)))$ . A function that is  $k$  times continuously Fréchet differentiable on  $U \subseteq X$  is said to be of class  $C^k(U, Y)$ .

# Classifications of mappings

Throughout we will use some terms more commonly used in differential topology or similar fields.

## Definition

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Banach spaces,  $U \subseteq X$  open,  $F: U \rightarrow Y$  a continuous function. The function  $F$  is called a *homeomorphism* if it is bijective and if  $F^{-1}$  is continuous on  $Y$ . Furthermore, if  $F \in C^k(U, Y)$  is  $k$  times continuously Fréchet differentiable and bijective with  $F^{-1} \in C^k(Y, U)$ , then we say that  $F$  is a  $C^k$ -diffeomorphism.

# Inverse Function Theorem

## Theorem

*Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Banach spaces,  $x_0 \in U$  be an open neighborhood of  $U \subseteq X$  and let  $F \in C^1(U, Y)$  such that the Fréchet derivative  $dF[x_0] \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  is a homeomorphism. Then there exists a connected open set  $\tilde{U} \subset U$  with  $x_0 \in \tilde{U}$  such that  $F|_{\tilde{U}}: \tilde{U} \rightarrow V$  for some  $V \subseteq Y$  open with  $F(x_0) \in V$  is a local  $C^1$ -diffeomorphism.*

## Remark

If one instead assumes  $F \in C^k(U, Y)$ , then  $F$  with the above assumptions becomes a local  $C^k$ -diffeomorphism.



# Implicit Function Theorem

## Theorem

*Let  $X$ ,  $Y$  and  $Z$  be Banach spaces and let  $U \subseteq X \times Y$  be open in the product topology. Let  $(x_0, y_0) \in U$ . Assume  $F: U \rightarrow Z$  is of class  $F \in C^k(U, Z)$  such that  $F(x_0, y_0) = 0$  and  $\partial_x F[(x_0, y_0)] \in \mathcal{L}(X, Z)$  is a homeomorphism. Then there exists an open ball  $B(y_0; r)$ ,  $r > 0$ , and a connected open set  $V \subseteq U$  and a mapping  $\phi \in C^k(B(y_0; r), X)$  such that*

$$(x_0, y_0) \in V \text{ and } F(\phi(y), y) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in B(y_0; r).$$

# Local Bifurcations

Our problem will go along the lines of the following:

- Want solutions  $x \in X$ , for a Banach space  $X$ , to  $F(\lambda, x) = 0$  given that we know  $F(\lambda, 0) = 0$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- Need to have a special kind of function  $F$  as in the problem above to say anything constructive about the behaviour of the solutions  $x \in X$ .

## Definition

(Nonlinear Fredholm Operators)

Let  $X$  and  $Z$  be Banach spaces,  $U \subset X$  open,  $F: U \rightarrow Z$  Fréchet differentiable. Assume furthermore that  $dF[x]$ ,  $x \in U$  satisfies

- (i)  $\dim \ker(dF[x]) < \infty$ , the kernel is finite dimensional
- (ii)  $\operatorname{codim} \operatorname{im}(dF[x]) < \infty$
- (iii) the image  $\operatorname{im}(dF[x])$  is closed in  $Z$

then we call  $F$  a *nonlinear Fredholm operator* with *Fredholm index* given by the integer  $\dim \ker(dF[x]) - \operatorname{codim} \operatorname{im}(dF[x])$ .

# Lyapunov–Schmidt Reduction

Considering the function  $F: U \rightarrow Z$  for  $U \subset X \times Y$  open, we consider the conditions  $F(x_0, y_0) = 0$ ,  $F \in C(U, Z)$  and  $\partial_x F \in C(U, \mathcal{L}(X, Z))$ . Furthermore, we assume that  $F(\cdot, y_0)$  is a nonlinear Fredholm operator with respect to  $x$  for some  $y_0 \in V$ .

We may decompose the Banach spaces  $X$  and  $Z$  into

$$X = \ker(\partial_x F[(x_0, y_0)]) \oplus X_0 \quad \text{and} \quad Z = \text{im}(\partial_x F[(x_0, y_0)]) \oplus Z_0.$$

We define projections  $P: X \rightarrow \ker(\partial_x F[(x_0, y_0)])$  and  $Q: Z \rightarrow Z_0$  in the natural way.

# Lyapunov–Schmidt Reduction (cont.)

## Theorem

*(Lyapunov–Schmidt Reduction)*

*Let  $X$ ,  $Y$  and  $Z$  be Banach spaces,  $F: U \rightarrow Z$  as before with  $U \subset X \times Y$  open, and  $P$ ,  $Q$  projections onto  $\ker(\partial_x F[(x_0, y_0)])$  and  $Z_0$  respectively. Then there is an open neighborhood  $\tilde{U}$  of  $(x_0, y_0)$  in  $U \subset X \times Y$  such that our problem  $F(x, y) = 0$  with  $(x, y) \in \tilde{U}$  is equivalent to a finite-dimensional problem*

$$\Phi(\xi, y) = 0 \quad (\xi, y) \in U_0 \times V \subset \ker(\partial_x F[(x_0, y_0)]) \times Y$$

*where  $\Phi: U_0 \times V \rightarrow Z_0$  is continuous with  $\Phi(\xi_0, y_0) = 0$ .*

Furthermore, we have that if  $F: U \rightarrow Z$  has regularity  $F \in C^k(U, Z)$ , then for the function  $\Phi$  we have  $\Phi \in C^k(U_0 \times V, Z_0)$ . Given this, we also have

$$\partial_\xi \Phi[(\xi_0, y_0)] = 0.$$

# Notes on the proof of Lyapunov–Schmidt

- Define a function  $G$  based (cleverly) on the projection maps  $P$  and  $Q$  and our Fredholm operator  $F$ ;
- show  $G$  is bilinear, continuous both ways and therefore a homeomorphism;
- due to the implicit function theorem on  $G$  we obtain our result.

The choice  $G = (I - Q)F(Px + (I - P)x, y)$  happens to give us the resulting bifurcation function and solution curves as seen in the theorem.

# The Crandall–Rabinowitz theorem

## Theorem

*Assume  $F \in C^2(V \times U, Z)$  is a nonlinear Fredholm operator (satisfying the Lyapunov–Schmidt conditions) for  $0 \in U \subset X$  and  $\lambda_0 \in V \subset \mathbb{R}$  open, along with the normalized assumptions as outlined above. Furthermore, assume that*

$$\ker(\partial_x F[(\lambda_0, 0)]) = \text{span}\{v_0\}, \quad v_0 \in X, \quad \|v_0\|_X = 1$$

*and that the second mixed partial derivatives commute and satisfy*

$$\partial_{x\lambda}^2 F[(\lambda_0, 0)]v_0 \notin \text{im}(\partial_x F[(\lambda_0, 0)]).$$

*Then there is a second, distinct solution curve  $\gamma: (-\delta, \delta) \rightarrow V \times U$  through  $\gamma(0) = (\lambda_0, 0)$  which is continuously differentiable and solves  $F(\gamma(s)) = 0$  for all  $s \in (-\delta, \delta)$ . Finally, there are only two solutions intersecting at the bifurcation point  $(\lambda_0, 0)$ , namely the trivial solution line curve and  $\gamma$  as above.*

# The Korteweg–de Vries and Whitham equations

The Korteweg–de Vries (KdV) equation is a nonlinear PDE given by

$$\partial_t \eta + c_0 \partial_x \eta + \frac{3}{2} \frac{c_0}{h_0} \eta \partial_x \eta + \frac{1}{6} c_0 h_0^2 \partial_x^3 \eta = 0$$

where  $h_0, c_0$  are constants determined by the physical constraints of the problem considered. A modified version of this equation was put forward by Gerald B. Whitham and remedies peaking and wave breaking behaviours of KdV, taking the form

$$\partial_t \eta + \frac{3}{2} \frac{c_0}{h_0} \eta \partial_x \eta + K_{\text{Whitham}} * \partial_x \eta = 0$$

where  $K_{\text{Whitham}}$  is a convolution kernel given by

$$K_{\text{Whitham}} = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left( \sqrt{\frac{g \tanh h_0 \xi}{\xi}} \right).$$



# Schwartz space, Distributions and Tempered distributions

Given what may be established about the Schwartz space, distributions and tempered distributions, consider these definitions:

## Definition

(Fourier transform of tempered distributions)

Let  $T \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then the Fourier transform of  $T$  denoted  $\mathcal{F} T$  is defined formally by

$$\mathcal{F} T(\varphi) = T(\mathcal{F}\varphi), \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

## Definition

(Convolutions on Tempered Distributions)

Given  $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  we define the distribution  $\psi * f$  by

$$\langle \psi * f, \varphi \rangle = \langle f, \tilde{\psi} * \varphi \rangle \quad \text{for } \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

where  $\tilde{\psi}(x) = \psi(-x)$ .

# Hölder spaces

## Definition

Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be open, and denote the space of bounded, continuous functions over  $\Omega$  as  $BC(\Omega)$ , and likewise with  $BC^k(\Omega)$  for  $k$ -times differentiable, bounded continuous functions. We say a function  $f \in BC^k(\Omega)$  is *Hölder  $k$ -times continuously differentiable with exponent*  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$  if each derivative of  $f$  up to order  $k$  has finite  $C^{0,\alpha}$ -norm given by

$$\|f\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\Omega)} := \sup_{x \in \Omega} |f(x)| + \sup_{\substack{x, y \in \Omega \\ x \neq y}} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{\|x - y\|^\alpha}$$
$$[f]_\alpha := \sup_{\substack{x, y \in \Omega \\ x \neq y}} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{\|x - y\|^\alpha}.$$

## Hölder spaces (cont.)

Furthermore, the norm of  $C^{k,\alpha}(\Omega)$  is given by

$$\|f\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(\Omega)} = \sum_{|\beta| \leq k} \|\partial^\beta f\|_{BC(\Omega)} + \sum_{|\beta|=k} [\partial^\beta f]_\alpha.$$

The space of all Hölder continuous functions over  $\Omega$  with exponent  $\alpha$  is then the Hölder space

$$C^{0,\alpha}(\Omega) = \{f \in BC(\Omega) \mid \|f\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\Omega)} < \infty\}.$$

This will be the main space we consider for bifurcations.

Exponents  $\alpha$  strictly larger than 1 are not interesting for us.

# Symbol classes

## Definition

### (Symbol Classes)

Let  $\Omega$  be an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . If  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $0 \leq \delta < \rho \leq 1$  we let  $S_{\rho,\delta}^s(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n)$  be the set of all functions  $a(x, \xi)$  such that for any compact  $K \subset \Omega$  and multi-indices  $\alpha, \beta$  there exists constants  $C_{K,\alpha,\beta} > 0$  such that for all  $x \in K$  and  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$  one has

$$|\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_x^\beta a(x, \xi)| \leq C_{K,\alpha,\beta} (1 + |\xi|)^{s - \rho|\alpha| + \delta|\beta|}.$$

We call  $S_{\rho,\delta}^s(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n)$  the *symbol class of order  $s$* .

Of particular interest to us are the *classical symbols* given by  $S_{1,0}^s(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ . An important family of such symbols are the *Bessel symbols* given by

$$m(\xi) = (1 + \xi^2)^{\frac{s}{2}}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}.$$

## Back to the problem at hand

Our main focus will be the family of equations given by

$$\partial_t u + L \partial_x u + \partial_x(u^{p+1}) = 0, \quad p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}.$$

Here, the Fourier multiplier  $L$  will be assumed to be a Bessel symbol on the Fourier side

$$m(\xi) = (1 + \xi^2)^{\frac{s}{2}}, \quad s < 0.$$

This is a classical symbol. Note that it is also real and symmetric as a function.

We furthermore use the ansatz of traveling solutions  $u(t, x) = \eta(x - ct)$  and get

$$-c \eta' + L\eta' + \eta^p \eta' = 0$$

which after integrating and normalizing becomes

$$-c \eta + L\eta + \eta^{p+1} = 0.$$

The wave-speed parameter  $c > 0$  will be our bifurcation parameter in the analysis that follows.

# Main theorem

## Theorem

*For a given  $L > 0$  there exists a local bifurcation curve consisting of  $2L$ -periodic, even and continuous solutions to the weak normalized equation. Furthermore, owing to the dispersion relation  $m(\xi)$  of the equation, the wave speed at the bifurcation point is given by*

$$c^* = \left(1 + \frac{\pi^2}{L^2}\right)^{\frac{s}{2}}$$

*where in particular as  $L \rightarrow \infty$  one has  $c^* \rightarrow 1$ .*

## Crandall-Rabinowitz revisited

Let  $W$  be a Banach algebra, and let  $c \in (0, 1)$  be a parameter. Let  $\mathcal{L}: W \rightarrow W$  be the Fréchet derivative at  $0 \in W$  with respect to the function  $u$  of the map

$$\mathcal{J}: u \longmapsto -cu + Lu + u^{p+1}.$$

Suppose also that both  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\partial_c \mathcal{L}$  exist and are continuous on and onto  $W$ , and that for some specific parameter  $c^* \in (0, 1)$  the following conditions hold:

- (i)  $\dim \ker(\mathcal{L}) = 1$ ;
- (ii)  $W = \ker(\mathcal{L}) \oplus \operatorname{im}(\mathcal{L})$ ;
- (iii)  $(\partial_c \mathcal{L}) \ker(\mathcal{L}) \cap \operatorname{im}(\mathcal{L}) = 0$ .

Then there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a continuous bifurcation curve  $\{(c_s, \phi_s) \mid |s| < \varepsilon\}$  with  $c_s|_{s=0} = c^*$ . Furthermore  $\phi_0$  is the vanishing solution of the normalized equation and  $\{\phi_s\}_s$  are nontrivial solutions to the normalized equation with corresponding wave speeds  $\{c_s\}_s$ . In addition to all of this, we have for all solutions  $\phi_s \in W$  that

$$\operatorname{dist}(\phi_s, \ker(\mathcal{L})) = o(s).$$



# Notes on the proof

As soon as we show that the maps  $\mathcal{L}$ ,  $\partial_c \mathcal{L}$  have the listed properties and the existence of  $c^* \in (0, 1)$  are established, then the existence of  $\{\phi_s\}_s$  is guaranteed immediately by Crandall-Rabinowitz as stated before.

# Notes on the proof

Linearization of the normalized equation gives, assuming

$$L\psi = K * \psi$$

$$\mathcal{L}\psi := \psi - \frac{1}{c}K * \psi = 0$$

where if  $\psi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  we see that in the distributional sense we have

$$\hat{\psi} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{c}m(\xi) \right) = 0.$$

Note that  $\hat{\psi}$ ,  $\widehat{\frac{1}{c}K * \psi}$  and  $\frac{1}{c}\hat{K}$  all exist as tempered distributions in the space  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$ . Furthermore, one may establish that

$$\frac{1}{c}\widehat{K * \psi}(\varphi) = \frac{1}{c}(\hat{\psi}\hat{K})(\varphi), \quad \text{for any } \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}).$$

as per the usual convolution theorem.

## Notes on the proof

Given our equation as above, we start to examine whenever  $\hat{\psi}$  vanishes. Given  $c < 1$  we see that the equation

$$1 - \frac{1}{c}(1 + \xi^2)^{\frac{5}{2}} = 0$$

has two solutions  $\pm\xi_0$  since the Bessel function is in particular always decreasing and symmetric about  $\xi = 0$ . For  $c = 1$  we have only one solution, namely  $\xi = 0$ . Lastly, for  $c > 1$  we have no solutions to the above equation - which immediately implies that the distribution  $\hat{\psi}(\varphi)$  has to vanish for all  $\varphi$  when  $c > 1$ .

Then it turns out that the nontrivial solutions to the linearized equation are given by the functions

$$\begin{cases} \psi(x) = C, & c = 1, \\ \psi(x) = C \cos(\xi_0 x), & c < 1, \end{cases}$$

for constants  $C \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ .

# Notes on the proof

We then see that in the case of  $2L$ -periodic and even solutions to our linearized equation we have

$$\dim \ker(\mathcal{L}) = 1 \text{ if and only if } \xi_0 = k\pi/L \text{ for } k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}.$$

Now, choose the lowest mode of frequency  $k = 1$  as above. This ensures uniqueness of  $c$  in the dispersion relation of our equation, and also allows us to establish the proposed  $c^*$  as in the theorem.

The rest of the proof involves looking at how the maps  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\partial_c \mathcal{L}$  behave, which is unfortunately rather technical.

# Generalizing to arbitrary classical symbols

Things of note:

- the dispersion relation  $m(\xi)$  for the symbol has to be given explicitly in order to calculate  $c^*$ , thus existence of solutions have to be established per case
- given a regularizing classical symbol, there should be no problems regarding consistency of equations