

# A NOTE ON THE GAUSSIAN MAXIMAL FUNCTION - VERSION 20 OCTOBER 2013 + JVN ADDITIONS

JONAS TEUWEN

ABSTRACT. This note presents a proof that the non-tangential maximal function of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup is bounded almost surely by the Gaussian Hardy-Littlewood maximal function. In particular this entails improvement on a result by Pineda and Urbina [?] who proved a similar result for a ‘truncated’ version of the non-tangential maximal function. We actually obtain boundedness of the maximal function on non-tangential cones of arbitrary aperture.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Maximal functions are among the most studied objects in harmonic analysis. It is well known that the classical **non-tangential** maximal function associated with the heat semigroup is bounded almost everywhere by the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function,

$$(1) \quad \sup_{\substack{(y,t) \in \mathbf{R}^d \times \mathbf{R}_+ \\ |x-y| < t}} |e^{-t\Delta} u(y)| \lesssim \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{|B_r(x)|} \int_{B_r(x)} |u| \, d\lambda.$$

Here the action of *heat semigroup*  $e^{-t\Delta} u = \rho_t * u$  is given by a convolution of  $u$  with the *heat kernel*

$$\rho_t(s) := \frac{e^{-|s|^2/4t}}{(4\pi t)^{\frac{d}{2}}}.$$

In this note we are interested in its Gaussian counterpart. The change from Lebesgue measure to the Gaussian measure

$$(2) \quad d\gamma(x) := \pi^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-|x|^2} \, dx$$

introduces quite some intricate technical and conceptual difficulties which are caused by the fact that the Gaussian measure is non-doubling. The Gaussian analogue to the Laplacian is the *Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator*  $L$ ,

$$(3) \quad L := -\frac{1}{2}\Delta + \langle x, \nabla \rangle = \frac{1}{2}\nabla_\gamma^* \nabla_\gamma,$$

where  $\nabla_\gamma$  denotes the realisation of the gradient in  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d, \gamma)$ . Our main result, to be proved in Theorem 1, is the following Gaussian analogue of (1):

$$(4) \quad \sup_{(y,t) \in \Gamma_x^{(A,a)}} |e^{-t^2 L} u(y)| \lesssim \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{\gamma(B_r(x))} \int_{B_r(x)} |u| \, d\gamma.$$

---

*Date:* October 20, 2013.

Here,

$$(5) \quad \Gamma_x^{(A,a)} := \Gamma_x^{(A,a)}(\gamma) := \{(y, t) \in \mathbf{R}^d \times \mathbf{R}_+ : |x - y| < At \text{ and } t \leq am(x)\}$$

is the Gaussian cone with aperture  $A$  and cut-off parameter  $a$ , and

$$(6) \quad m(x) := \min\left\{1, \frac{1}{|x|}\right\}.$$

A slightly weaker version of the inequality (4) has been proved by Pineda and Urbina [?] who showed that

$$\sup_{(y,t) \in \tilde{\Gamma}_x} |e^{-t^2 L} u(y)| \lesssim \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{\gamma(B_r(x))} \int_{B_r(x)} |u| d\gamma,$$

where

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_x(x) = \{(y, t) \in \mathbf{R}_+^d : |x - y| < t \leq \tilde{m}(x)\}$$

is the ‘reduced’ Gaussian cone corresponding to the function

$$\tilde{m}(x) = \min\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{|x|}\right\}.$$

Their proof does not seem to easily generalize the range of  $t$  from  $\frac{1}{2}$  up to 1.

<sup>1</sup> Our proof of (4) is different and, we believe, more transparent than the one presented in [?]. It has the further advantage of allowing the extension to cones with arbitrary aperture  $A > 0$  and cut-off parameter  $a > 0$ . This additional generality is very important and has already been used by Portal (cf. the claim made in [?, discussion preceding Lemma 2.3]) to prove the  $H^1$ -boundedness of the Riesz transform associated with  $L$ .

Before we continue, let us fix some notation. The number  $d$  is a positive integer. To avoid possible confusion, we define the *positive integers* as the set  $\mathbf{Z}_+ = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ .

## 2. THE MEHLER KERNEL

The Mehler kernel (see e.g., [?]) is the Schwartz kernel associated to the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup  $(e^{-tL})_{t \geq 0}$ , that is,

$$(7) \quad e^{-tL} u(x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} M_t(x, \cdot) u d\gamma.$$

There is an abundance of literature on the Mehler kernel and its properties. We shall only use the fact, proved e.g. in the review paper [?], that the Mehler kernel is given explicitly by

$$M_t(x, y) = (\text{formule geven})$$

Note that  $M_t(x, y)$  is *symmetric in  $x$  and  $y$* . A formula for  $M_t$  which honors this observation is:

$$(8) \quad M_t(x, y) = \frac{\exp\left(-e^{-2t} \frac{|x - y|^2}{1 - e^{-2t}}\right) \exp\left(2e^{-t} \frac{\langle x, y \rangle}{1 + e^{-t}}\right)}{(1 - e^{-t})^{\frac{d}{2}} (1 + e^{-t})^{\frac{d}{2}}}.$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Pierre beweert van wel

## 3. SOME LEMMATA

We use  $m$  as defined in (6) in our next lemma, which is taken from [?].

**1. Lemma.** *Let  $a, A$  be strictly positive real numbers and  $t > 0$ . We have for  $x, y \in \mathbf{R}^d$  that:*

- (1) *If  $|x - y| < At$  and  $t \leq am(x)$ , then  $t \leq (1 + aA)m(y)$ ;*
- (2) *If  $|x - y| < Am(x)$ , then  $m(x) \leq (1 + A)m(y)$  and  $m(y) \leq 2(1 + A)m(x)$ .*

The next lemma will come useful when we want to cancel exponential growth in one variable with exponential decay in the other as long both variables are in a Gaussian cone.

**2. Lemma.** *Let  $\alpha > 0$  and  $|x - y| \leq \alpha m(x)$ . Then:*

$$e^{-\alpha^2 - 2\alpha|y|^2} \leq e^{|x|^2} \leq e^{\alpha^2(1+\alpha)^2 + 2\alpha(1+\alpha)|y|^2}.$$

*Proof.* By the inverse triangle inequality and  $m(x)|x| \leq 1$  we get,

$$|y|^2 \leq (\alpha m(x) + |x|)^2 \leq \alpha^2 + 2\alpha + |x|^2.$$

This gives the first inequality. For the second we use Lemma 1 to infer  $m(x) \leq (1 + \alpha)m(y)$ . Proceeding as before we obtain:

$$|x|^2 \leq \alpha^2(1 + \alpha)^2 + 2\alpha(1 + \alpha) + |y|^2.$$

As required. ■

**3.1. An estimate on Gaussian balls.** Let  $B = B_t(x)$  be the open Euclidean ball with radius  $t$  and center  $x$  and let  $\gamma$  be the Gaussian measure as defined by (2). We shall denote by  $S_d$  the surface area of the unit sphere in  $\mathbf{R}^d$ .

**3. Lemma.** *For all  $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$  and  $t > 0$  we have the inequality:*

$$(9) \quad \gamma(B_t(x)) \leq \frac{1}{2} S_d t^d e^{2t|x|} e^{-|x|^2}.$$

*Proof.* Remark that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_B e^{-|\xi|^2} d\xi &= e^{-|x|^2} \int_B e^{-|\xi-x|^2} e^{-2\langle x, \xi-x \rangle} d\xi \\ &\leq e^{-|x|^2} \int_B e^{-|\xi-x|^2} e^{2|x||\xi-x|} d\xi \\ &\leq e^{-|x|^2} e^{2|x|t} \int_B e^{-|\xi-x|^2} d\xi \\ &= \pi^{\frac{d}{2}} e^{-|x|^2} e^{2t|x|} \gamma(B_t(0)). \end{aligned}$$

So, there holds that

$$(10) \quad \gamma(B_t(x)) \leq e^{-|x|^2} e^{2a} \gamma(B_t(0)).$$

We will estimate the Gaussian volume of the ball  $B_t(0)$ . Using polar coordinates we proceed by:

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma(B_t(0)) &= \pi^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int_{B_t(0)} e^{-|\xi|^2} d\xi \\
&= \pi^{-\frac{d}{2}} S_d \int_0^t e^{-r^2} r^{d-1} dr \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \pi^{-\frac{d}{2}} S_d t^{d-2} \int_0^t 2r e^{-r^2} dr \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \pi^{-\frac{d}{2}} S_d t^{d-2} (1 - e^{-t^2}) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \pi^{-\frac{d}{2}} S_d t^d,
\end{aligned}$$

where the last step uses  $1 - e^{-x} \leq x$  for  $x \geq 0$ . Upon combining this result with (10) we obtain (9).  $\blacksquare$

**3.2. On-diagonal kernel estimates on annuli.** As is common in harmonic analysis, we often wish to decompose  $\mathbf{R}^d$  into sets on which certain phenomena are easier to handle. Here we will decompose the space into disjoint annuli.

Throughout this subsection we fix  $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$ , constants  $A, a > 0$ , a pair  $(y, t) \in \Gamma_x^{(A,a)}$ , and we put  $\alpha := Aa$ . We use the notation  $mB$  to mean the ball obtained from the ball  $B$  by multiplying its radius by  $m$ .

The annuli  $C_k$  are given by:

$$(11) \quad C_k := (2^{k+1} - 1)B_{\alpha t}(x) \setminus (2^k - 1)B_{\alpha t}(x), \quad k \geq 0.$$

**Note that  $C_0 = B_{\alpha t}(x)$ .** Whenever  $\xi$  is in  $C_k$ , we get for  $k \geq 0$ :

$$(12) \quad (2^k - 1)\alpha t < |y - \xi| \leq (2^{k+1} - 1)\alpha t.$$

On  $C_k$  we have the following bound for  $M_{t^2}(y, \cdot)$ :

**4. Lemma.** *For all  $\xi \in C_k$  we have:*

$$(13) \quad M_{t^2}(y, \xi) \leq \frac{e^{|y|^2}}{(1 - e^{-2t^2})^{\frac{d}{2}}} \exp((2^{k+1} - 1)\alpha t |y|) \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha^2}{2e^{2a^2}}(2^k - 1)^2\right),$$

*Proof.* Considering the first exponential which occurs in the Mehler kernel (8) together with (12) gives for  $k \geq 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\exp\left(-e^{-2t^2} \frac{|y - \xi|^2}{1 - e^{-2t^2}}\right) &\leq \exp\left(-e^{-2t^2} \frac{(2^k - 1)^2 \alpha^2 t^2}{1 - e^{-2t^2}}\right) \\
&\stackrel{(\dagger)}{\leq} \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha^2}{2e^{2t^2}}(2^k - 1)^2\right) \stackrel{(\dagger\dagger)}{\leq} \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha^2}{2e^{2a^2}}(2^k - 1)^2\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $(\dagger)$  follows from  $1 - e^{-x} \leq x$  for  $x \geq 0$ , and  $(\dagger\dagger)$  uses that  $t \leq am(x) \leq a$ . Using the estimate  $1 + x \geq 2x$  for  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ , for the second exponential in the Mehler kernel (8) we obtain, by (12):

$$\begin{aligned}
\exp\left(2e^{-t^2} \frac{\langle y, \xi \rangle}{1 + e^{-t^2}}\right) &\leq \exp(|\langle y, \xi \rangle|) \\
&\leq \exp(|\langle y, \xi - y \rangle|) e^{|y|^2} \\
&\leq \exp((2^{k+1} - 1)\alpha t |y|) e^{|y|^2}
\end{aligned}$$

Combining things, we obtain the estimate in the formulation of the lemma.  $\blacksquare$

#### 4. THE MAIN RESULT

Our theorem is a small modification of [?, lemma 1.1] with a new proof.

**1. Theorem.** *Let  $A, a > 0$ . For all  $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$  and all  $u \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d, \gamma)$  we have*

$$(14) \quad \sup_{(y,t) \in \Gamma_x^{(A,a)}} |e^{-t^2 L} u(y)| \lesssim \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{\gamma(B_r(x))} \int_{B_r(x)} |u| \, d\gamma.$$

*Proof.* We fix  $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$  and  $(y, t) \in \Gamma_x^{(A,a)}$ . Set  $\alpha = aA$ . We will prove (14) by splitting up the integration domain into the annuli  $C_k$  as defined by (11):

$$(15) \quad |e^{-t^2 L} u(y)| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} I_k(y), \text{ where } I_k(y) := \int_{C_k} M_{t^2}(y, \cdot) |u(\cdot)| \, d\gamma.$$

From  $t \leq am(x)$  we get  $t|x| \leq a$ , and by Lemma 1 we have  $t|y| \leq 1 + \alpha$ . From this and Lemma 4 we infer, for  $\xi \in C_k$ , that:

$$(16) \quad M_{t^2}(y, \xi) \leq \frac{e^{|y|^2}}{(1 - e^{-2t^2})^{\frac{d}{2}}} \exp((2^{k+1} - 1)\alpha(1 + \alpha)) \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha^2}{2e^{2a^2}}(2^k - 1)^2\right).$$

Combining (16) and Lemma 2, we obtain

$$M_{t^2}(y, \xi) \leq c_k \frac{e^{|x|^2}}{(1 - e^{-2t^2})^{\frac{d}{2}}}$$

where  $c_k$  depends only on  $A, a$ , and  $t$ . Also, by (12),

$$|x - \xi| \leq |x - y| + |\xi - y| \leq (A + 2^{k+1}\alpha)t.$$

It follows that  $C_k$  is contained in  $D_k := B_{(A+2^{k+1}\alpha)t}(x)$ .

Let us denote the supremum on right-hand side of (14) by  $M_\gamma u(x)$ . Using (16), we can bound the integral on the right-hand side of (15) by

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{C_k} M_{t^2}(y, \cdot) |u(\cdot)| \, d\gamma &\leq c_k \frac{e^{|x|^2}}{(1 - e^{-2t^2})^{\frac{d}{2}}} \int_{C_k} |u| \, d\gamma \\ &\leq c_k \frac{e^{|x|^2}}{(1 - e^{-2t^2})^{\frac{d}{2}}} \int_{D_k} |u| \, d\gamma \\ &\leq c_k \frac{e^{|x|^2}}{(1 - e^{-2t^2})^{\frac{d}{2}}} \gamma(D_k) M_\gamma u(x) \\ &\stackrel{(\dagger)}{\lesssim}_{A,a,d} c_k \frac{t^d}{(1 - e^{-2t^2})^{\frac{d}{2}}} e^{2((A+2^{k+1}\alpha)t)|x|} M_\gamma u(x) \\ &\stackrel{(\dagger\dagger)}{\lesssim}_{A,a,d} c_k e^{2^{k+2}\alpha a} M_\gamma u(x), \end{aligned}$$

where  $(\dagger)$  uses Lemma 3 applied to  $D_k$  and  $(\dagger\dagger)$  uses that  $t \leq am(x)$  implies  $t|x| \leq a$  and  $t \leq a$ , the latter implying

$$\left(\frac{t^2}{1 - e^{-t^2}}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \leq \left(\frac{a^2}{1 - e^{-a^2}}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}}$$

(note that  $x/(1 - e^{-x})$  is increasing).

Inserting the dependency of  $c_k$  upon  $k$  as coming from (16) and using that  $t \leq a$ , we can then bound the maximal function as follows:

$$|e^{-t^2 L} u(y)| = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} I_k \lesssim_{A,a,d} M_{\gamma} u(x) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{kd} e^{\alpha(1+\alpha)2^{k+1}} e^{-\frac{\alpha^2}{2e^{2a^2}} 4^k} e^{2^{k+2}\alpha a}$$

Evidently the sum on the right-hand side converges. ■

(Tot hier alles gecontroleerd)

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{kd} e^{-C4^k} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^{kd} e^{-Cx^{2k}}$$

Noting for  $x \geq 1$  that  $\exp(-Cx^{2k}) \leq \exp(-Ckx^2)$ , thus,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{kd} e^{-C4^k} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^{kd} (e^{-Cx^2})^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (x^d e^{-Cx^2})^k$$

Here  $x = 2$ , so

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{kd} e^{-C4^k} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2^d e^{-4C})^k$$

If  $2^d < e^{4C}$ , that is whenever  $d \log 2 < 4C$ , we can compute using the geometric series that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{kd} e^{-C4^k} \leq \frac{1}{1 - 2^d e^{-4C}} = \frac{e^{4C}}{e^{4C} - 2^d}$$

## REFERENCES

DELFT INSTITUTE OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, DELFT UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, P.O. BOX 5031, 2600 GA DELFT, THE NETHERLANDS  
*E-mail address:* j.j.b.teuwen@tudelft.nl  
*URL:* <http://fa.its.tudelft.nl/~teuwen/>