A note on the Gaussian maximal function - Version 17 October 2013 + JvN additions

Jonas Teuwen

ABSTRACT. This note presents a proof that the non-tangential maximal function of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup is bounded almost surely by the Gaussian Hardy-Littlewood maximal function. In particular this entails improvement on a result by Pineda and Urbina [3] who proved a similar result for a 'trunctated' version of the non-tangential maximal function. We actually obtain boundedness of the maximal function on non-tangential cones of arbitrary aperture.

1. Introduction

Maximal functions are among the most studied objects in harmonic analysis. It is well known that the classical real-valued maximal function associated with the heat semigroup is bounded almost everywhere by the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function,

(1)
$$\sup_{(y,t)\in\Gamma_x} |\mathrm{e}^{-t\Delta}u(y)| \lesssim \sup_{r>0} \int_{B_r(x)} |u| \,\mathrm{d}\lambda.$$

Here the action of *heat semigroup* $e^{-t^2\Delta}u = \rho_t * u$ is given by a convolution of u with the *heat kernel*

$$\rho_t(s) := \frac{e^{-|s|^2/4t}}{\pi^{\frac{d}{2}}} \frac{1}{(4t)^{\frac{d}{2}}}.$$

In this note we are interested in its gaussian counterpart. The change from Lebesgue measure to the gaussian measure

(2)
$$d\gamma(x) := \pi^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-|x|^2} dx$$

introduces quite some intricate technical and conceptually difficulties which appears to be due to the fact that the Gaussian measure is non-doubling.

As an analogue to the Laplacian which is symmetric in L^2 with respect to the Lebesgue measure next we introduce the *Ornstein-Uhlenbeck* operator L which is symmetric with respect to the Gaussian measure:

(3)
$$L := -\frac{1}{2}\Delta + \langle x, \nabla \rangle = \frac{1}{2}\nabla_{\gamma}^* \nabla_{\gamma},$$

where ∇_{γ} denotes the realisation of the gradient in $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d, \gamma)$. Our main result, to be proved in (1), is the following gaussian analogue of (1):

(4)
$$\sup_{(y,t)\in \Gamma_x^{(A,a)}} |\mathrm{e}^{-tL}u(y)| \lesssim \sup_{r>0} \int_{B_r(x)} |u| \, \mathrm{d}\gamma.$$

Here, $\Gamma_{x}^{(A,a)}$ is the Gaussian cone defined by

(5)
$$\Gamma_x^{(A,a)} := \Gamma_x^{(A,a)}(\gamma) := \{ (y,t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^d : |x-y| < At \text{ and } t \le am(x) \},$$

where

(6)
$$m(x) := \min\left\{1, \frac{1}{|x|}\right\} = 1 \wedge \frac{1}{|x|}.$$

A slighly weaker version of the inequality (4) has been proved by Pineda and Urbina [3] who shows that

$$\sup_{(y,t)\in\widetilde{\Gamma}_x} |\mathrm{e}^{-t\Delta}u(y)| \lesssim \sup_{r>0} \int_{B_r(x)} |u| \,\mathrm{d}\gamma,$$

where

$$\widetilde{\Gamma}_{x}(x) = \{(y, t) \in \mathbf{R}^{d}_{\perp} : |x - y| < t \le \widetilde{m}(x)\}$$

is the 'reduced' gaussian cone corresponding to the function

$$\widetilde{m}(x) = \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{|x|} \right\}.$$

Their proof does not seem to easily generalize the range of t from $\frac{1}{2}$ up to 1. The proof of (4) is different and, we believe, more transparent than the one presented in [3]. It has the further virtue of allowing the extension to the cones with arbitrary aperture A > 0 and cut-off parameter a > 0. This additional generality is very important and has already been used by Portal (cf. the claim made by [4, discussion preceding Lemma 2.3]) to prove the H^1 -boundedness of the Riesz transform associated with L.

Before we continue, let us fix some notation. We will use without further reference notation such as \mathbf{Z}^d while we implicitly imply that d is a positive integer. To avoid possible confusion, we define the *positive integers* as the set $\mathbf{Z}_+ = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$.

1.0.1. *minimal function*. We recall the lemma from [1, lemma 2.3] which first –although implicitly– appeared in [2]. Nog materiaal toevoegen?

2. The Mehler kernel

2.1. Setting. Recall that we work with the *Ornstein-Uhlenbeck* operator L as given by (3).

We define the Mehler kernel (see e.g., Sjögren [5]) as the Schwartz kernel associated to the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup (e^{-tL})_{$t \ge 0$}. More precisely, this means:

(7)
$$e^{-tL}u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} M_t(x,\cdot)u \, d\gamma.$$

It is often more convenient to use e^{-t^2L} instead of e^{-tL} as is done in e.g., Portal [4].

2.2. The Mehler kernel. There is an abundance of literature on the Mehler kernel an its properties available, but for the present purpose Sjögren [5] will suffice. For instance, the Mehler kernel M_t of (7) is computed there. In addition it offers related results with to the Hermite polynomials.

The kernel M_t is invariant under the permutation $x \longleftrightarrow y$. A formula for M_t which honors this observation is:

(8)
$$M_t(x,y) = \frac{\exp\left(-e^{-2t}\frac{|x-y|^2}{1-e^{-2t}}\right)}{(1-e^{-t})^{\frac{d}{2}}} \frac{\exp\left(2e^{-t}\frac{\langle x,y\rangle}{1+e^{-t}}\right)}{(1+e^{-t})^{\frac{d}{2}}}.$$

3

3. Some lemmata and definitions

We use m as defined in (6) in our next lemma.

- 1. Lemma. Let a, A be strictly positive real numbers and t > 0. We have for $x, y \in \mathbf{R}^d$ that:
 - (1) If |x y| < At and $t \le am(x)$, then $t \le (1 + aA)m(y)$;

(2) If
$$|x - y| < Am(x)$$
, then $m(x) \le (1 + A)m(y)$ and $m(y) \le 2(1 + A)m(x)$.

The next lemma will come useful when we want to cancel exponential growth in one variable with exponential decay in the other as long both variables are in a Gaussian cone.

2. Lemma. Let $\alpha > 0$ and $|x - y| \le \alpha m(x)$. We get the equivalence:

$$e^{-\alpha^2(1+\alpha)^2}e^{-2\alpha(1+\alpha)}e^{-|y|^2}\leqslant e^{-|x|^2}\leqslant e^{\alpha^2}e^{2\alpha}e^{-|y|^2}.$$

PROOF. By the inverse triangle inequality and $m(x)|x| \le 1$ we get,

(9)
$$|y|^2 \le (\alpha m(x) + |x|)^2 \le \alpha^2 + 2\alpha + |x|^2$$
.

For the reverse direction we use Lemma 1 to infer $m(x) \le (1 + \alpha)m(y)$. Proceeding as before we obtain:

$$|x|^2 \le \alpha^2 (1+\alpha)^2 + 2\alpha(1+\alpha) + |y|^2$$
.

Combining we get:

(10)
$$e^{-\alpha^2(1+\alpha)^2}e^{-2\alpha(1+\alpha)}e^{-|y|^2} \leqslant e^{-|x|^2} \leqslant e^{\alpha^2}e^{2\alpha}e^{-|y|^2}.$$

As required.

4. On-diagonal estimates

4.1. Kernel estimates. Before we proceed with the technicalities we define κ and μ as:

$$\kappa = 2\left(1 + \frac{1}{a}\right)^{-1}$$
, and $\mu = 2\left(1 - \frac{1}{a}\right)^{-1}$.

such that κ and μ are conjugate exponents, which means:

$$\frac{1}{\kappa} + \frac{1}{\mu} = 1.$$

We proceed with a simple technical lemma which is given here as it will be used on several occasions.

3. Lemma. Let t > 0 and $\alpha \ge 1$. Then,

(11)
$$\alpha e^{-2\frac{t}{\mu}} \leqslant \frac{1 - e^{-t}}{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\alpha}}} \leqslant \alpha,$$

(12)
$$0 \le \frac{1}{t} \left[\frac{e^{-\frac{t}{a}}}{1 + e^{-\frac{t}{a}}} - \frac{e^{-t}}{1 + e^{-t}} \right] \le \frac{1}{2\mu}.$$

PROOF. We start with (11) and apply the mean value theorem to the function $f(\xi) = \xi^{\alpha}$. For $0 < \xi < \xi'$ this gives that:

$$f(\xi) - f(\xi') = \alpha \hat{\xi}^{\alpha - 1}(\xi - \xi')$$
 for some $\hat{\xi}$ in $[\xi, \xi']$.

Picking $\xi = 1$ and $\xi' = e^{-\frac{t}{a}}$ yields:

(13)
$$\frac{1 - e^{-t}}{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\alpha}}} = \alpha \hat{\xi}^{\alpha - 1} \text{ for some } \hat{\xi} \text{ in } \left[e^{-\frac{t}{\alpha}}, 1 \right].$$

Combining this result with the monotonicity of $\xi \mapsto \alpha \xi^{\alpha-1}$ we obtain:

$$\alpha e^{-2\frac{t}{\mu}} \leqslant \frac{1 - e^{-t}}{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\alpha}}} \leqslant \alpha,$$

where the last bound follows from the monotonicity together with the limit as $t \downarrow 0$. We proceed with (12). Recalling that $\alpha \ge 1$ one can directly verify that the function

$$t \mapsto \frac{1}{t} \left[\frac{e^{-\frac{t}{\alpha}}}{1 + e^{-\frac{t}{\alpha}}} - \frac{e^{-t}}{1 + e^{-t}} \right]$$

is non-negative and decreasing. To find an upper bound we compute the limit as t goes to 0. That is:

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{t} \left[\frac{e^{-\frac{t}{\alpha}}}{1 + e^{-\frac{t}{\alpha}}} - \frac{e^{-t}}{1 + e^{-t}} \right] = \lim_{t \to 0} \left[\frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{e^{-2\frac{t}{\alpha}}}{(1 + e^{-\frac{t}{\alpha}})^2} - \frac{e^{-2t}}{(1 + e^{-t})^2} \right] \uparrow \frac{1}{2\mu}.$$

Which is as asserted and completes the proof.

The following lemma will be useful when transfering estimates from $M_{\frac{t}{a}}$ to M_t . It follows from the mean value theorem applied to $\xi \mapsto \xi^{\alpha}$.

4. Lemma. For $\alpha \ge 1$ and $0 < t \le T < \infty$ and all let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we have that:

(14)
$$\exp\left(-\frac{1}{e^{2\frac{t}{a}}}\frac{|x-y|^2}{1-e^{-2\frac{t}{a}}}\right) \le \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2e^{2\frac{t}{\kappa}}}\frac{|x-y|^2}{1-e^{-t}}\right).$$

PROOF. Noting that

$$1 - e^{-2t} = (1 - e^{-t})(1 + e^{-t})$$

we can quickly deduce the inequality:

$$\frac{e^{-2t}}{1 - e^{-2t}} \geqslant \frac{1}{2e^{2t}} \frac{1}{1 - e^{-t}}.$$

Which leads to:

$$\exp\left(-e^{-2t}\frac{|x-y|^2}{1-e^{-2t}}\right) \leqslant \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2e^{2t}}\frac{|x-y|^2}{1-e^{-t}}\right).$$

Using the substitution $t \to t/\alpha$ we remark that

$$\begin{split} \exp\biggl(-\mathrm{e}^{-2\frac{t}{\alpha}}\frac{|x-y|^2}{1-\mathrm{e}^{-2\frac{t}{\alpha}}}\biggr) \; \leqslant \; \exp\biggl(-\frac{1}{2\mathrm{e}^{2\frac{t}{\alpha}}}\frac{|x-y|^2}{1-\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{t}{\alpha}}}\biggr) \\ \; \leqslant \; \exp\biggl(-\frac{1}{2\mathrm{e}^{2\frac{t}{\alpha}}}\frac{1-\mathrm{e}^{-t}}{1-\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{t}{\alpha}}}\frac{|x-y|^2}{1-\mathrm{e}^{-t}}\biggr) \\ \stackrel{\text{(11)}}{\leqslant} \; \exp\biggl(-\frac{\alpha}{2\mathrm{e}^{2\frac{t}{\alpha}}}\frac{1}{\mathrm{e}^{2\frac{t}{\mu}}}\frac{|x-y|^2}{1-\mathrm{e}^{-t}}\biggr) \\ \leqslant \exp\biggl(-\frac{\alpha}{2\mathrm{e}^{2\frac{t}{\alpha}}}\frac{|x-y|^2}{1-\mathrm{e}^{-t}}\biggr), \end{split}$$

to finish the proof.

Our first proposition will be useful when we want transfer bounds on M_t for large values of t where the potential plays a smaller role compared to the diffusion to a bound on $M_{t'}$ for t' close to 0 where diffusion plays a much smaller role.

4.1.1. Time-scaling of the Mehler kernel.

1. Proposition. Let $\alpha \ge 1$, and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Assume that $\alpha \ge 4e^{2\frac{t}{\kappa}}$, then:

$$(15) M_{\frac{t}{a}}(x,y) \leqslant \alpha^{\frac{d}{2}} \exp\left(\frac{t}{\mu} |\langle x,y \rangle|\right) \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha}{4e^{2\frac{t}{\kappa}}} \frac{|x-y|^2}{1-e^{-t}}\right) M_t(x,y).$$

PROOF. To prove the lemma we compute $M_{\pm}M_t^{-1}$. First recall that (11) gives

$$\alpha e^{-2\frac{t}{\mu}} \leqslant \frac{1 - e^{-t}}{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\alpha}}} \leqslant \alpha.$$

This implies that

$$\frac{(1 - e^{-t})^{\frac{d}{2}}}{(1 - e^{-\frac{t}{a}})^{\frac{d}{2}}} \leq \alpha^{\frac{d}{2}}.$$

Compute the bounds for the exponentials in the product $M_{\frac{t}{a}}M_t^{-1}$ separately.

$$\exp\left(2e^{-\frac{t}{a}}\frac{\langle x,y\rangle}{1+e^{-\frac{t}{a}}}\right)\exp\left(-2e^{-t}\frac{\langle x,y\rangle}{1+e^{-t}}\right)$$

$$=\exp\left(\frac{2}{t}\left[\frac{e^{-\frac{t}{a}}}{1+e^{-\frac{t}{a}}}-\frac{e^{-t}}{1+e^{-t}}\right]t\langle x,y\rangle\right)$$

$$\stackrel{(12)}{\leqslant}\exp\left(\frac{t}{u}|\langle x,y\rangle|\right).$$

We proceed using Lemma 4.

$$\begin{split} \frac{M_{\frac{t}{\alpha}}(x,y)}{M_t(x,y)} &\leqslant \ \alpha^{\frac{d}{2}} \exp\left(\frac{t}{\mu}|\langle x,y\rangle|\right) \exp\left(\mathrm{e}^{-2t}\frac{|x-y|^2}{1-\mathrm{e}^{-2t}}\right) \exp\left(-\mathrm{e}^{-2\frac{t}{\alpha}}\frac{|x-y|^2}{1-\mathrm{e}^{-2\frac{t}{\alpha}}}\right) \\ &\leqslant \ \alpha^{\frac{d}{2}} \exp\left(\frac{t}{\mu}|\langle x,y\rangle|\right) \exp\left(\left[1-\frac{\alpha}{4\mathrm{e}^{2\frac{t}{\kappa}}}\right]\frac{|x-y|^2}{1-\mathrm{e}^{-t}}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha}{4\mathrm{e}^{2\frac{t}{\kappa}}}\frac{|x-y|^2}{1-\mathrm{e}^{-t}}\right). \end{split}$$

Finally, we apply the assumption $\alpha \ge 4e^{2\frac{t}{\kappa}}$ to obtain:

$$\frac{M_{\frac{t}{\alpha}}(x,y)}{M_{t}(x,y)} \leqslant \alpha^{\frac{d}{2}} \exp\left(\frac{t}{\mu} |\langle x,y \rangle|\right) \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha}{4e^{2\frac{t}{\kappa}}} \frac{|x-y|^{2}}{1-e^{-t}}\right).$$

Which is as asserted. The assumption $\alpha \geqslant 4e^{2\frac{t}{\kappa}}$ can be rephrased as the requirement that $\frac{\kappa}{2}\log\left(\frac{\alpha}{4}\right)\geqslant t$.

4.2. An estimate on Gaussian balls.

5. LEMMA. Let $B_t(x)$ be the Euclidean ball with radius t and center x and let γ be the Gaussian measure (2). We have the inequality:

(16)
$$\frac{\gamma(B_t(x))}{V_d(t)} \le d\pi^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-(t-|x|)^2}.$$

PROOF. Remark that

$$\begin{split} \int_{B} e^{-|\xi|^{2}} d\xi &= e^{-|x|^{2}} \int_{B} e^{-|\xi - x|^{2}} e^{-2\langle x, \xi - x \rangle} d\xi \\ &\leqslant e^{-|x|^{2}} \int_{B} e^{-|\xi - x|^{2}} e^{2|x||\xi - x|} d\xi \\ &\leqslant e^{-|x|^{2}} e^{2|x|t} \int_{B} e^{-|\xi - x|^{2}} d\xi \\ &= \pi^{\frac{d}{2}} e^{-|x|^{2}} e^{2t|x|} \gamma(B_{t}(0)). \end{split}$$

So, for a ball $B := B_t(x)$ there holds that

(17)
$$\gamma(B_t(x)) \leq e^{-|x|^2} e^{2t|x|} \gamma(B_t(0)).$$

We will estimate the Gaussian volume of the ball $B_t(0)$. To save writing, let S_d and V_d be the surface area and volume respectively of the d-dimensional unit sphere. Using polar coordinates we proceed by:

$$\gamma(B_t(0)) = \pi^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int_{B_t(0)} e^{-|\xi|^2} d\xi
= S_d \pi^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int_0^t e^{-r^2} r^{d-1} dr
\leq S_d t^d \pi^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-t^2}
= dV_d(t) \pi^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-t^2}.$$

Upon combining this result with (17) we obtain (16), which is as promised.

4.3. On-diagonal kernel estimates on annuli. As is common in harmonic analysis, we often wish to decompose \mathbb{R}^d into sets on which certain phenomena are easier to handle. Here we will decompose the space into disjoint annuli C_k . For the sake of simplicity we will write $B := B_t(x)$ and mean that B is the closed ball with center x and radius t. Furthermore, we use notations such as 2B to mean the ball obtained from B by multiplying its radius by 2.

The C_k are given by,

(18)
$$C_k(B) := C_k = (2^{k+1} - 1)B \setminus (2^k - 1)B.$$

So, whenever ξ is in $C_k(B_t(x))$, we get for $k \ge 0$:

(19)
$$(2^k - 1)t < |y - \xi| \le (2^{k+1} - 1)t.$$

6. Lemma. Given $\alpha \ge 1$, let $B = B_{\alpha t}(y)$, $0 < t \le T < \infty$ and $\xi \in C_k$. Then we have:

$$M_{t^2}(y,\xi) \le \frac{e^{|y|^2}}{(1-e^{-t^2})^{\frac{d}{2}}} \exp((2^{k+1}-1)\alpha t|y|) \exp(-\beta (2^k-1)^2),$$

where $\beta = \frac{\alpha^2}{2e^{2T^2}}$.

PROOF. Let $B = B_{\alpha t}(y)$ and let C_k be as in (18). Considering the first exponential which occurs in the Mehler kernel (8) together with (19) gives for $k \ge 0$:

$$\begin{split} \exp\biggl(-e^{-2t^2}\frac{|y-\xi|^2}{1-e^{-2t^2}}\biggr) &\leqslant \exp\biggl(-e^{-2t^2}\frac{(2^k-1)^2\alpha^2t^2}{1-e^{-2t^2}}\biggr) \\ &\stackrel{(\dagger)}{\leqslant} \exp\biggl(-\frac{\alpha^2}{2e^{2t^2}}(2^k-1)^2\biggr). \end{split}$$

Where (†) follows from

$$\frac{t}{1 - \mathrm{e}^{-2t}} \geqslant \frac{1}{2}.$$

Before we consider the last exponential in the Mehler kernel we note that by Cauchy-Schwarz:

$$(20) |\langle y, \xi \rangle| \le |\langle y - \xi, y \rangle| + |\langle y, y \rangle| \le |y - \xi||y| + |y|^2.$$

Furthermore we have the estimate:

$$\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-t}}{1+\mathrm{e}^{-t}} \leqslant \frac{1}{2},$$

Using these we get for the last exponential in the Mehler kernel (8):

$$\exp\left(2e^{-t^2}\frac{\langle y,\xi\rangle}{1+e^{-t}}\right) \leq \exp(|\langle y,\xi\rangle|)$$

$$\stackrel{\text{(20)}}{\leq} \exp\left((2^{k+1}-1)\alpha t|y|\right)e^{|y|^2}$$

$$\leq \exp(|y-\xi||y|)e^{|y|^2}.$$

Gluing things, we thus can estimate the Mehler kernel (8) on C_k from above by:

$$M_{t^2}(y,\xi) \leq \frac{e^{|y|^2}}{(1-e^{-t^2})^{\frac{d}{2}}} \exp((2^{k+1}-1)\alpha t|y|) \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha^2}{2e^{2t^2}}(2^k-1)^2\right).$$

Setting $\beta = \frac{\alpha^2}{2\alpha^{2T^2}}$ and expanding the last exponential we get:

$$M_{t^2}(y,\xi) \leqslant \frac{\mathrm{e}^{|y|^2}}{(1-\mathrm{e}^{-t^2})^{\frac{d}{2}}} \exp((2^{k+1}-1)\alpha t|y|) \exp(-\beta(2^k-1)^2).$$

Which is as claimed.

5. The boundedness of some non-tangential maximal operators

Our theorem is a small modification of [3, lemma 1.1] with a new proof.

1. Theorem. Let A, a > 0. For all x in \mathbf{R}^d and all u in L^2_{γ} we have

(21)
$$\sup_{(y,t)\in\Gamma_x^{(A,a)}} |e^{-t^2L}u(y)| \lesssim \sup_{r>0} \int_{B_r(x)} |u| \, d\gamma.$$

PROOF. Set $\alpha = aA$. By Lemma 1, if $y \in \Gamma_x^{(A,a)}$ then $x \in \Gamma_y^{(\alpha,1+\alpha)}$. Furthermore we set $\Gamma_x^\alpha = \Gamma_x^{(\alpha,1+\alpha)}$. We will prove (21) by splitting up the integration domain into the annuli $(C_k(B))_k := (C_k)_{k=0}^\infty$.

$$e^{-t^2L}|u(y)| \le \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} I_k(y)$$
, where $I_k(y) := \int_{G_k} M_{t^2}(y,\cdot)|u| d\gamma$.

Where we use $B = B(y, \alpha t)$ in C_k in the above and find a suitable upper bound for each integral on the right-hand side which we will denote by I_k for the sake of simplicity.

We proceed with applying Lemma 6. From $t \le \alpha m(x)$ we get $t|x| \le \alpha$ and additionally by Lemma 1 we get $t|y| \le 1 + \alpha$. From this and we infer that:

(22)
$$M_{t^2}(y,\xi) \le \frac{e^{|y|^2}}{(1-e^{-t^2})^{\frac{d}{2}}} \exp((2^{k+1}-1)\alpha(1+\alpha)) \exp(-\beta(2^k-1)^2).$$

Setting $\beta = \frac{\alpha^2}{2e^{2T^2}}$. Note that β is maximal for $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ and after this value, β is decreasing. Setting $\lambda := \alpha(1 + \alpha)$ we get:

(23)
$$M_{t^2}(y,\xi) \lesssim_{\alpha} \frac{e^{|x|^2}}{(1-e^{-t^2})^{\frac{d}{2}}} \exp((2^{k+1}-1)\lambda) \exp(-\beta(2^k-1)^2).$$

Implied constant lemma 2 Writing c_k for the constants in the exponentials we get:

(24)
$$M_{t^2}(y,\xi) \lesssim_{\alpha} \frac{e^{|x|^2}}{(1-e^{-t^2})^{\frac{d}{2}}}$$

Recalling Lemma 5 we get:

(25)
$$\gamma(B_t(x)) \le V_d d\pi^{-\frac{d}{2}} t^d e^{-(t-|x|)^2}.$$

Where we abbreviate $V_d(1)$ with V_d . Recall

$$V_d \leqslant \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left(\frac{2\pi e}{d} \right)^{\frac{d}{2}}.$$

To get,

(26)
$$\gamma(B_t(x)) \le \frac{d}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left(\frac{2e}{d}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} t^d e^{-(t-|x|)^2} = C_d t^d e^{-(t-|x|)^2}.$$

This allows us to estimate the remaining unbounded exponential in the Mehler kernel and allow a penalty up to $e^{-|x|^2}$. Furthermore, we have the following estimate which will make clear how to handle the time part in the Mehler kernel:

$$\frac{t^d}{(1 - e^{-t^2})^{\frac{d}{2}}} \le \left(\frac{t^2}{1 - e^{-t^2}}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \le \frac{a^d}{(1 - e^{-a^2})^{\frac{d}{2}}}.$$

Let $B' := B(x, 2^{k+1}\alpha t)$ and B as before the ball $B(y, \alpha t)$. In the next step we will bound by the maximal function centered at x. For this we need to scale up the C_k . So,

$$|x - \xi| \le |x - y| + |\xi - y| \le \alpha t + (2^{k+1} - 1)\alpha t = 2^{k+1}\alpha t.$$

And set $D_k = B(2^{k+1}\alpha t)$. So, we can bound the integral on the right-hand side of (??) by

$$\begin{split} \int_{C_k(B)} M_{t^2}(y,\cdot) |u| \; \mathrm{d}\gamma &\lesssim_{\alpha} c_k \frac{1}{(1-\mathrm{e}^{-t^2})^{\frac{d}{2}}} \mathrm{e}^{|x|^2} \int_{C_k(B)} |u| \; \mathrm{d}\gamma \\ &\leqslant c_k \frac{1}{(1-\mathrm{e}^{-t^2})^{\frac{d}{2}}} \mathrm{e}^{|x|^2} \int_{D_k(B)} |u| \; \mathrm{d}\gamma \\ &\leqslant c_k (M_{\gamma} u)(x) \frac{1}{(1-\mathrm{e}^{-t^2})^{\frac{d}{2}}} \mathrm{e}^{|x|^2} \gamma(D_k) \\ &\stackrel{(\dagger)}{\leqslant} c_k C_d(M_{\gamma} u)(x) \alpha^d 2^{d(k+1)} t^d \frac{1}{(1-\mathrm{e}^{-t^2})^{\frac{d}{2}}} \mathrm{e}^{|x|^2} \mathrm{e}^{-(t-|x|)^2} \\ &\leqslant c_k C_d(M_{\gamma} u)(x) 2^{kd} \frac{t^d \mathrm{e}^{-t^2}}{(1-\mathrm{e}^{-t^2})^{\frac{d}{2}}} \mathrm{e}^{2\alpha} (2\alpha)^d \end{split}$$

Where (†) uses Lemma 5 and $t|x| \le a$.

We can then bound the maximal function:

$$e^{-t^{2}L}|u(y)| = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} I_{k}$$

$$\leq (M_{\gamma}u)(x)C_{d,a,A}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{kd}e^{A2^{k+1}}e^{-\beta 4^{k}}$$

Wrapping it up, we have that:

$$e^{-t^2L}|u(y)| \lesssim \int_{B_r(x)} |u| d\gamma.$$

With implied constant

Which is what we wanted to prove.

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{kd} e^{-C4^k} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^{kd} e^{-Cx^{2k}}$$

REFERENCES

Noting for $x \ge 1$ that $\exp(-Cx^{2k}) \le \exp(-Ckx^2)$, thus,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{kd} e^{-C4^k} \le \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^{kd} (e^{-Cx^2})^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (x^d e^{-Cx^2})^k$$

Here x = 2, so

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{kd} e^{-C4^k} \le \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2^d e^{-4C})^k$$

If $2^d < e^{4C}$, that is whenever $d \log 2 < 4C$, we can compute using the geometric series that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{kd} e^{-C4^k} \leqslant \frac{1}{1 - 2^d e^{-4C}} = \frac{e^{4C}}{e^{4C} - 2^d}$$

References

- [1] Jan Maas, Jan Neerven, and Pierre Portal. "Whitney coverings and the tent spaces T 1,q (γ) for the Gaussian measure". In: *Arkiv för Matematik* 50.2 (Apr. 2011), pp. 379–395. arXiv: 1002.4911.
- [2] Giancarlo Mauceri and Stefano Meda. "BMO and H^1 for the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator". In: *Journal of Functional Analysis* 252.1 (Nov. 2007), pp. 278–313.
- [3] Ebner Pineda, W. Urbina, and Wilfredo Urbina R. "Non Tangential Convergence for the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck Semigroup". In: *Divulgaciones Matemáticas* 13.2 (2008), pp. 1–19.
- [4] Pierre Portal. "Maximal and quadratic Gaussian Hardy spaces". In: (Mar. 2012). arXiv: 1203.1998.
- [5] Peter Sjögren. "Operators associated with the hermite semigroup A survey". In: *The Journal of Fourier Analysis and Applications* 3.S1 (Jan. 1997), pp. 813–823.

Delft Institute of Applied Mathematics, Delft University of Technology, P.O. Box 5031, 2600 GA Delft, The Netherlands

E-mail address: j.j.b.teuwen@tudelft.nl URL: http://fa.its.tudelft.nl/~teuwen/