

## CHAPTER 1

**Exercise 0.1** (4). Let  $M$  be a differentiable manifold and  $\tau: M \rightarrow M$  be a fixed point free involution, i.e., a diffeomorphism with  $\tau \circ \tau = \text{id}_M$  and  $\tau(x) \neq x$  for all  $x$ . Show that the quotient space  $M/\tau$  is a topological manifold possessing a unique differentiable structure making the projection  $M \rightarrow M/\tau$  locally diffeomorphic.

*Proof. Hausdorff:* let  $x, y \in M/\tau$  be distinct. The preimage contains points  $x_1, x_2$  and  $y_1, y_2$ . Choose open disjoint subsets  $U_1, U_2$  containing  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  respectively which is disjoint from  $y_1, y_2$ . We can modify  $U_1$  to be  $U_1 \cap \tau(U_2)$ , and then let  $U_2 = \tau(U_1)$ .

Let  $V_1, V_2$  be disjoint open neighborhoods of  $y_1, y_2$  respectively, disjoint from  $U_1, U_2$ . Replace  $V_1$  by  $V_1 \cap \tau(V_2)$  and let  $V_2 = \tau(V_1)$ . Then  $U := U_1 \cup U_2$  is saturated with respect to  $\tau$  and  $V := V_1 \cup V_2$  is also, hence they descend to open sets in  $M/\tau$  which are again disjoint. Indeed, suppose  $\pi: M \rightarrow M/\tau$  is the quotient map and  $\bar{z} \in \pi U \cap \pi V$ . Then there exist  $z_1 \in U$  and  $z_2 \in V$  such that  $\tau(z_1) = z_2$ . But this contradicts  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ .

*Second-countable:* Take a countable open cover  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $M$ . Now take the countable cover  $\mathcal{B}' := \{U \cup \tau(U) : U \in \mathcal{B}\}$ . This descends to a countable cover on  $M/\tau$ .

*Locally-homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  :*

Let  $\bar{x} \in M/\tau$ . Choose a chart  $(\varphi_x, U_x)$  around  $x \in M$ . If necessary, intersect  $U_x$  with  $U_1$  constructed from before. This then implies that  $\tau(U_x) \cap U_x = \emptyset$ . Then  $U_x \cup \tau(U_x)$  is a saturated open neighborhood descending to an open neighborhood  $\bar{U}_x$  of  $\bar{x}$ . Now  $\pi|_{U_x}: U_x \rightarrow \bar{U}_x$  is a homeomorphism, so we can define a chart for  $\bar{x}$  as  $(\varphi_x \circ (\pi|_{U_x})^{-1}, \bar{U}_x)$ . This constitutes an atlas for  $M/\tau$ .

For good measure, we prove the lemma

**Lemma 0.2.**  $\pi|_{U_x}: U_x \rightarrow \bar{U}_x$  is a homeomorphism.

*Proof.* Suppose  $\pi(y) = \pi(z)$  for  $y, z \in U_x$  distinct. That forces  $y = \tau(z)$ . However, then  $\tau(U_x) \cap U_x \neq \emptyset$ , which is a contradiction by construction. Thus  $\pi$  is injective on  $U_x$ .

Now, an injective quotient map is a homeomorphism, giving the desired result.  $\square$

Lastly, we must prove that *there exists a structure making the quotient  $\pi: M \rightarrow M/\tau$  a local diffeomorphism and it is the unique such structure:* by construction of the charts on  $M/\tau$ , we can choose transition maps giving the identity as a coordinate representation. Hence the structure we constructed indeed gives a local diffeomorphism  $M \cong M/\tau$ .

Any other structure making it a local diffeomorphism would necessarily give a local diffeomorphism of  $M/\tau$  in the two structures, thus forcing all charts to be compatible in the two structures, and by maximality, it forces the structures to be the same.  $\square$

**Exercise 0.3** (5). Show that  $\mathbb{RP}^1 \cong S^1$ .

*Proof.* This now follows by applying the previous exercise to  $S^1$  with  $\tau: S^1 \rightarrow S^1$  being the antipodal map  $\tau(x) = -x$ . Indeed  $S^1/\tau \cong \mathbb{RP}^1$  is the usual quotient construction for  $\mathbb{RP}^1$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 0.4 (9).** Prove that if  $M$  is a non-empty,  $n$ -dimensional smooth manifold and  $k \leq n$ , then there is an embedding  $\mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow M$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in M$  and  $(U, \varphi)$  be a chart centered around  $x$ . Take some open ball  $B(0, r) \subset \varphi(U)$ . Then we define  $f: (-r, r)^k \rightarrow M$  as  $\varphi^{-1}$ . Since  $(-r, r)^k$  is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^k$ , we get a diffeomorphism  $\mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow f((-r, r)^k)$  if and only if  $f$  is a diffeomorphism onto its image which it trivially is as the restriction of a diffeomorphism to a submanifold. Indeed, it is a  $k$ -submanifold as, if we let  $U' = \varphi^{-1}(B(0, r))$ , we get  $(\varphi|_{U'}, U')$  as a chart in the atlas, and letting  $N = \text{im } f$ , we have  $\varphi(N \cap U) = \varphi(N) = (-r, r)^k = B(0, r) \cap \mathbb{R}^k$ .  $\square$