1:

(a) We have that the polynomial map $\varphi \colon X \to Y$ induces a homomorphism $\varphi^* \colon \Gamma(Y) \to \Gamma(X)$. Now by the last page on lecture note 12, the homomorphism φ^* extends to a well-defined map $\mathcal{O}_Q(Y) \to \mathcal{O}_P(X)$. This map is in particular also a homomorphism, as if $\frac{f}{g}, \frac{f'}{g'} \in \mathcal{O}_Q(Y)$, then

$$\varphi^*\left(\frac{f}{g}+\frac{f'}{g'}\right) = \varphi^*\left(\frac{fg'+f'g}{gg'}\right) = \frac{\varphi^*\left(fg'+f'g\right)}{\varphi^*\left(gg'\right)} = \frac{\varphi^*(f)\varphi^*(g')+\varphi^*(f')\varphi^*(g)}{\varphi^*(g)\varphi^*(g')} = \frac{\varphi^*(f)}{\varphi^*(g)} + \frac{\varphi^*(f')}{\varphi^*(g')} = \varphi^*\left(\frac{f}{g}\right) + \varphi^*\left(\frac{f'}{g'}\right) = \varphi^*\left(\frac{f}{g}\right) + \varphi^*\left(\frac{f'}{g'}\right) = \varphi^*\left(\frac{f}{g}\right) + \varphi^*\left(\frac{f'}{g'}\right) = \varphi^*\left(\frac{f}{g'}\right) + \varphi^*\left(\frac{f'}{g'}\right) = \varphi^*\left(\frac{f'}{g'}\right) + \varphi^*\left(\frac{f'}{g'}\right) + \varphi^*\left(\frac{f'}{g'}\right) = \varphi^*\left(\frac{f'}{g'}\right) + \varphi^*\left$$

and

$$\varphi^*\left(\frac{f}{g}\cdot\frac{f'}{g'}\right) = \varphi^*\left(\frac{ff'}{gg'}\right) = \frac{\varphi^*(ff')}{\varphi^*(gg')} = \frac{\varphi^*(f)\varphi^*(f')}{\varphi^*(g)\varphi^*(g')} = \frac{\varphi^*(f)}{\varphi^*(g)}\cdot\frac{\varphi^*(f')}{\varphi^*(g')} = \varphi^*(\frac{f}{g})\cdot\varphi^*\left(\frac{f'}{g'}\right),$$

where in both cases we used from the lecture notes that $\varphi^*\left(\frac{f}{g}\right) = \frac{\varphi^*(f)}{\varphi^*(g)}$ for any $\frac{f}{g} \in \mathcal{O}_Q(Y)$.

We claim this homomorphism is an isomorphism. Injectivity:

$$\varphi^*\left(\frac{f}{g}\right) = 0 \iff \frac{\varphi^*(f)}{\varphi^*(g)} = \frac{0}{1} \iff \varphi^*(f) = 0,$$

and as φ is an isomorphism, so is $\varphi^* \colon \Gamma(Y) \to \Gamma(X)$, so $\varphi^*(f) = 0 \iff f = 0$. Hence $\frac{f}{g} = \frac{0}{g} = 0$, so $\varphi^* \colon \mathcal{O}_Q(Y) \to \mathcal{O}_P(X)$ is injective.

Surjectivity: if $\frac{f}{g} \in \mathcal{O}_P(X)$, then $\varphi^*(g)(Q) = g(\varphi(Q)) = g(P) \neq 0$, so $\varphi^*(\frac{f}{g}) = \frac{\varphi^*(f)}{\varphi^*(g)} \in \mathcal{O}_Q(Y)$.

(b) By definition, we have

$$I_P(x,y) = \dim_k \left(\frac{\mathcal{O}_P(\mathbb{A}^2)}{\left(\frac{x}{1}, \frac{y}{1}\right)} \right).$$

Let $\frac{f'}{g'} \in \mathcal{O}_P(\mathbb{A}^2)$ be any element such that f' does not vanish at P.

Let $\frac{f}{g} \in \mathcal{O}_P(\mathbb{A}^2)$ be arbitrary with $f, g \in \Gamma(\mathbb{A}^2) = k[x, y]$ and $g(P) \neq 0$. We claim that $\frac{f}{g} - c\frac{f'}{g'} \in (\frac{x}{1}, \frac{y}{1})$ for some $c \in k$.

Now for $c = \frac{-f_0g_0'}{f_0'g_0}$, we get $\frac{f}{g} - c\frac{f'}{g'} = \frac{fg'-cf'g}{gg'} = \frac{f_0g_0' + (fg'-f_0g_0') - cf_0'g_0 - (cf'g-cf_0'g_0)}{gg'} = \frac{(fg'-f_0g_0') - c(f'g-f_0'g_0)}{gg'}$, and as $fg' - f_0g_0' \in (x,y)$ and $f'g - f_0'g_0 \in (x,y)$, and gg' does not vanish at P since neither g nor g' vanish at P and $\Gamma(\mathbb{A}^2) = k[x,y]$ is an integral domain, we have also $\frac{1}{gg'} \in \mathcal{O}_P(\mathbb{A}^2)$, so $\frac{f}{g} - c\frac{f'}{g'} = \frac{(fg'-f_0g_0') - c(f'g-f_0'g_0)}{gg'} = \frac{1}{gg'} \cdot [(fg'-f_0g_0') - c(f'g-f_0'g_0)] \in (\frac{x}{1}, \frac{y}{1}) \subset \mathcal{O}_P(\mathbb{A}^2)$, since $(\frac{x}{1}, \frac{y}{1})$ is an ideal.

Thus we have that $\mathcal{O}_P\left(\mathbb{A}^2\right)/\left(\frac{x}{1},\frac{y}{1}\right) = \operatorname{span}\left(\frac{f'}{g'}\right)$, so $I_P(x,y) = \dim_K\left(\frac{\mathcal{O}_P\left(\mathbb{A}^2\right)}{\left(\frac{x}{1},\frac{y}{1}\right)}\right) = \dim_K\left(\operatorname{span}\left(\frac{f'}{g'}\right)\right) = 1$.

(c) P is smooth in V(f) and V(g), so $f_1 \neq 0 \neq g_1$. Now, for $\varphi \colon \mathbb{A}^2 \to \mathbb{A}^2$ being the translation $\varphi(x,y) = (x,y) + P$, we have that since the tangent lines of V(f) and V(g) at P are distinct, we have $TC_{(0,0)}V\left(\varphi^*f\right) = V\left(\varphi^*f_1\right) \neq V\left(\varphi^*g_1\right) = TC_{(0,0)}V\left(\varphi^*f\right)$.

Since V(f) and V(g) have distinct tangent lines at P, we have $I_P(f,g) = mult_{(0,0)}(\varphi^*f)mult_{(0,0)}(\varphi^*g)$. Now, since φ is a translation, φ^*f and φ^*g are still smooth at (0,0), so $mult_{(0,0)}(\varphi^*f) = 1 = mult_{(0,0)}(\varphi^*g)$ (not 0 as both vanish at (0,0)).

2

(a) $x^2 - y$ and $y^2 - x^3$ have no common factors as $y^2 - x^3 + y(x^2 - y) = -x^3 + yx^2 = x^2(y - x)$ and $(x^2 - y)$ have no common factors.

P is a root of both, and we now have $TC_PV(x^2-y)=V(y)$ and $TC_PV(y^2-x^3)=V(y^2)=V(y)$, so proceeding by the algorithm, we find letting $f=x^2-y$ and $g=y^2-x^3$ that $f(x,0)=x^2$ and $g(x,0)=-x^3$, so let $h=g+xf=y^2-x^3+x$ (x^2-y) = y^2-xy . Then $I_P(f,g)=I_P(f,h)$ and also $TC_PV(h)=V(y^2-xy)=V(y)\cup V(y-x)$, so V(y) is still in common. Now $f(x,0)=x^2$ and h(x,0)=0, so proceeding by (6) case 1, we have $I_P(f,h)=I_P(y,f)+I_P(y-x,f)$. Now writing f of the form $g=Ax^m+By$ with $A(P)\neq 0$, we find m=2, and thus $I_P(y,g)=a\cdot m=1\cdot 2=2$ where a is the exponent of y in the expression for h. Now, V(y-x) and $V(f)=V(x^2-y)$ have no common tangent

cone lines, so $I_P(y-x,f) = mult_P(y-x)mult_P(f) = 1 \cdot 1 = 1$. Hence $I_P(f,g) = 2+1=3$.

- (b) We have $I_P(x-y^2,x+y^2) \stackrel{7}{=} I_P(x-y^2,2x) \stackrel{7}{=} I_P(-y^2,x) \stackrel{6}{=} 2I_P(-y,x) \stackrel{1.b}{=} 2 \cdot 1 = 2$. In the last part we also used that $\left(\frac{-y}{1},\frac{x}{1}\right) = \left(\frac{y}{1},\frac{x}{1}\right)$.
- (c) Let $f = x^3 + xy$ and $g = 3x^2y + xy^2$. Since $V(x) \subset V(x(x^2 + y)) = V(f)$ and $V(x) \subset V(x(3xy + y^2)) = V(g)$, and V(x) passes through P = (0,0), we have by property (1) that $I_P(f,g) = \infty$.
- (d) Let $f = x + y + y^2x$ and $g = x + y + x^2 y^2 + y^3$.

We have that f and g share no common factors and both vanish at P.

 $TC_PV(f) = V(x+y)$ and $TC_PV(g) = V(x+y)$.

Now let z = x + y. Then x = z - y, so $f = x + y + y^2x = z + y^2(z - y)$ and $g = x + y + x^2 - y^2 + y^3 = z + (z - y)^2 - y^2 + y^3$. Then $TC_PV(f) = V(z)$ and $TC_PV(g) = V(x + y) = V(z)$ so viewing $f, g \in k[y, z]$, we have $f(y, 0) = -y^3$ and $g(y, 0) = y^3$.

Now let $h = g + f = z + (z - y)^2 - y^2 + y^3 + z + y^2(z - y) = 2z + (z - y)^2 - y^2 + y^2z = 2z + z^2 - 2yz + y^2z = z(2 + z - 2y + y^2)$. Then $I_P(f, g) = I_P(f, h)$ by property (7).

Again f and h share no common factors and both vanish at P.

Now $TC_PV(h) = V(2z) = V(z)$. We find h(y,0) = 0, so proceeding by (6) case 1, we find that writing f as $Ay^m + Bz$ with $A(P) \neq 0$, we have m = 3, so $I_P(f,h) = I_P(f,z) + I_P(f,2+z-2y+y^2)$. As m = 3, we find $I_P(f,z) = a \cdot m = 3$ where a is the maximal exponent of z such that z^a divides h. Now, as $P \notin V(2+z-2y+y^2)$, we have $I_P(f,2+z-2y+y^2) = 0$ by property (2). Hence $I_P(f,g) = 3$.

3: Let g = A + yB where $y \nmid A$, so A = g(x,0), then by property (7), we have $I_P(y,g) = I_P(y,A+yB) = I_P(y,A+yB-yB) = I_P(y,g(x,0))$ which becomes the exponent of the smallest term of g(x,0) by property (5) since $TC_P(y) = V(y)$ and $TC_PV(g(x,0)) = V(x)$ are distinct lines. Hence $I_P(y,g+h)$ is the smallest exponent of g(x,0) + h(x,0). Now, writing $g(x,0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ and $h(x,0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n x^n$, we find that if the smallest exponent of g(x,0) + h(x,0) is m then $0 \neq a_m + b_m$, so either a_m or b_m is greater than 0 and hence the smallest exponent of either g(x,0) or h(x,0) - which is equal to $I_P(y,g)$ and $I_P(y,h)$ respectively - is smaller than or equal to m. Thus we have

$$I_P(y, q + h) > \min \{I_P(y, q), I_P(y, h)\}.$$

(b) Let f = xy, g = x and h = y. Then $I_P(f,g) = \infty = I_P(f,h)$. But $I_P(f,g+h) = I_P(xy,x+y)$, now, $TC_PV(xy) = V(xy) = V(x) \cup V(y)$ and $TC_PV(x+y) = V(x+y)$, so xy and x+y do not share any tangent cone lines. By property (5) we thus get $I_P(f,g+h) = mult_P(xy)mult_P(x+y) = 2 \cdot 1 = 2 < \infty$. Thus we find

$$I_P(f, q + h) = 2 < \infty = \min\{I_P(f, q), I_P(f, h)\}\$$

4. Nodes: Assume P = (0,0). Then P has multiplicity 2 in V(f) if f_2 is the smallest nonzero term. Write $f_2 = ax^2 + cyx + by^2$.

Now, solving this for x, we find

$$x = \frac{-cy \pm \sqrt{c^2y^2 - 4aby^2}}{2a}.$$

 f_2 factors into two lines if and only if x has two distinct roots here which means $c^2y^2 - 4aby^2 \neq 0$. Now, $f_{xy} = c$, $f_{xx} = 2a$ and $f_{yy} = 2b$, so $c^2y^2 - 4aby^2 \neq 0 \iff c^2y^2 \neq 4aby^2 \iff c^2 \neq 4ab \iff f_{xy}(P)^2 \neq f_{xx}(P)f_{yy}(P)$ (where $y \neq 0$ since if y = 0 then $f_2 = ax^2$, but then the tangent cone of f at P does not have two distinct lines).

If P is not (0,0), let φ be the translation $(x,y) \to (x,y) + P$. Then P has multiplicity 2 in V(f) if and only if (0,0) has multiplicity 2 in $V(\varphi^*f)$, and $\varphi^*f = f((x,y) + P)$ has the same derivatives evaluated at (0,0) as f has evaluated at P by the rule of differentiating compositions, so we get the same result.

5:

(a) We have

$$I_P(f, L) \ge mult_P(f)mult_P(L).$$

Since the tangent cone to V(f) is V(L) which is the tangent cone to L as well (L has no constant term as it vanishes at (0,0)), we have that the inequality above is strict by property (5) of I_P , so $I_P(f,L) > mult_P(f)mult_P(L)$.

Let $\varphi \colon \mathbb{A}^2 \to \mathbb{A}^2$ be the translation $(x,y) \to (x,y) + P$. By definition then $mult_P(f) = mult_{(0,0)} \left(\varphi^* f \right)$, $mult_P(L) = mult_{(0,0)} \left(\varphi^* L \right)$, and since $P \in V(f) \cap V(L)$, we have $(0,0) \in V\left(\varphi^* f \right) \cap V\left(\varphi^* L \right)$, hence $mult_{(0,0)} \left(\varphi^* f \right)$, $mult_{(0,0)} \left(\varphi^* L \right) \geq 1$. Since P is a point of multiplicity 2 in V(f), we have that the lowest term of $\varphi^* f$ is of degree 2, hence we in particular get

$$I_P(f,L) > \underbrace{mult_P(f)}_{=2} \underbrace{mult_P(L)}_{=1} = 2$$

Thus $I_P(f, L) \geq 3$.

(b) Suppose V(f) has a cusp at P=(0,0) with $I_P(f,y)=3$. Now, P is a point of multiplicity 2 in V(f), so f_2 is the lowest degree term of f; let $f_2=ax^2+bxy+cy^2$. Then $TC_PV(f)=V(f_2)=V(y)$, so a=0, and hence if $f_3=\gamma x^3+\ldots$, we have $I_P(f,y)=I_P(f(x,0),y)=I_P(\gamma x^3+x^4B,y)$, and as $TC_PV\left(\gamma x^3+x^4B\right)=V(x)$ and $TC_PV(y)=V(y)$, we get $3=I_P(f,y)$

 $I_P(f(x,0),y) = I_P(\gamma x^3 + x^4 B, y) = mult_P(\gamma x^3 + x^4 B) mult_P(y) = mult_P(\gamma x^3 + x^4 B)$. Hence $\gamma \neq 0$, so $f_{xxx}(P) = 6\gamma \neq 0$.

Now suppose $f_{xxx}(P) \neq 0$. Since $TC_PV(f) = V(L)$ and as L is a line through P = (0,0) we have $TC_PV(L) = V(L)$. But as $f_{xxx}(P) \neq 0$, we have that if we write $f_3 = ax^3 + bx^2y + cxy^2 + dy^3$ then $a \neq 0$, so $mult_P(f(x,0)) \leq 3$ hence by (a), $mult_P(f(x,0)) = 3$, so as $mult_P(L) = 1$, and as $TC_PV(f(x,0)) \not\supset V(y)$, we have $I_P(f(x,0),L) = mult_P(f(x,0))mult_P(L) = 3$ by property (5).

(c) Assume P is a cusp, so $I_P(f,L)=3$ for a L giving the line $V(L)=TC_PV(f)$. Assuming k is algebraically closed, we can write $f=f_1^{n_1}\dots f_r^{n_r}$ and then by corollary 3 in section 1.6, Fulton, we have that $V(f_1)\cup\ldots\cup V(f_r)$ is the composition of V(f) into irreducible components and $f\in\sqrt{(f)}=I(V(f))=(f_1\dots f_r)$. In particular, assume f=hg where h and g vanish at P. Then $3=I_P(f,L)=I_P(g,L)+I_P(h,L)$, so we can assume without loss of generality that $I_P(g,L)\in\{0,1\}$. Now $I_P(g,L)=1$ implies that the tangent line to g at P is not L. But then $TC_PV(f)=TC_PV(gh)=TC_PV(h)\cup TC_PV(g)$ is not just V(L), contradiction. Thus $I_P(g,L)=0$, so $P\not\in V(g)\cap V(L)$ and hence $P\notin V(g)$, contradiction. Hence V(f) has only one irreducible component that passes through P.