

1. HATCHER

1.1. Exact Couples.

Definition 1.1 (Exact Couple). An *exact couple* is an exact sequence of abelian groups of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{i} & A \\ & \swarrow k \quad \searrow j & \\ & B & \end{array}$$

where i, j and k are group homomorphisms. Define $d: B \rightarrow B$ by $d = j \circ k$. Then $d^2 = j(kj)k = 0$, so $H(B) := \ker d / \operatorname{im} d$ is defined - in particular, since A and B are abelian, the quotient $H(B)$ is well-defined and a group.

Definition 1.2 (Derived Couple). Out of a given exact couple, we can construct a new exact couple, called the *derived couple*:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A' & \xrightarrow{i'} & A' \\ & \swarrow k' \quad \searrow j' & \\ & B' & \end{array}$$

where we define

- (1) $A' = i(A)$ and $B' = H(B)$.
- (2) i' is the induced map $i' := i|_{A'}: A' \rightarrow A$ by $i'(ia) = i(ia)$
- (3) We define j' by $j'a' = [ja]$ where $a' = ia$ for some a in A .
- (4) k' is defined by $k'[b] = kb \in i(A)$.

With these definitions, the derived couple is an exact couple.

Exercise 1.3. Check that the maps are well-defined and that the derived sequence is exact.

Proof. We must check that j' and k' are well-defined maps.

Suppose $a' = ia = i\tilde{a}$. Then $a - \tilde{a} \in \ker i = \operatorname{im} k$ so $a - \tilde{a} = k[b]$. Hence Then $ja - j\tilde{a} = jk[b] = d[b] \in \operatorname{im} d$, so $[ja] = [j\tilde{a}]$.

Next, suppose $[b] = [\tilde{b}]$, so $b - \tilde{b} \in \operatorname{im} d$, i.e., $b - \tilde{b} = jk(\bar{b})$. Then $kb - k\tilde{b} = kjk(\bar{b}) = 0$, so $k'[b] = k'[\tilde{b}]$.

Lastly, exactness at B' : suppose $k'[b] = 0$. Then $kb = 0$, so by exactness of the original exact couple, there exists some $a \in A$ such that $j(a) = b$. Then let $a' = i(a)$, so $j'(a') = [j(a)] = [b]$, hence $\ker k' \subset \operatorname{im} j'$.

Conversely, $k'j'(a') = k'[ja] = kja = 0$, by exactness at B of the original couple. \square

Definition 1.4 (Spectral Sequence). A *spectral sequence* $(E_{*,*}, d)$ (in homological Serre grading), starting on page $r_0 \geq 1$, consists of:

- (1) a bigraded group $(E_{p,q}^r)_{p,q \in \mathbb{Z}}$ for each $r \geq r_0$, called the r th page of the spectral sequence.
- (2) For all $r \geq r_0$ and $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ a map of abelian groups

$$d_{p,q}^r: E_{p,q}^r \rightarrow E_{p-r, q+r-1}^r$$

called the *th differential* which squares to zero in the sense that

$$d_{p-r,q+r-1}^r \circ d_{p,q}^r = 0$$

holds for all p, q, r .

(3) For all $r \geq r_0$ and $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$, isomorphisms of abelian groups

$$E_{p,q}^{r+1} \cong \frac{\ker(d_{p,q}^r: E_{p,q}^r \rightarrow E_{p-r,q+r-1}^r)}{\operatorname{im}(d_{p+q,q-r+1}^r: E_{p+q,q-r+1}^r \rightarrow E_{p,q}^r)}$$

Definition 1.5. We say that a spectral sequence $(E_{*,*}, d)$ *converges* to a graded abelian group H_* and write

$$E_{p,q}^2 \implies H_{p+q}$$

if there is a filtration

$$0 \subset F_n^0 \subset F_n^1 \subset \dots \subset F_n^{n-1} \subset F_n^n = H_n$$

and isomorphisms $E_{p,q}^\infty \cong F_{p+q}^p / F_{p+q}^{p-q}$.

Note that if $(E_{*,*}, d)$ is a first quadrant spectral sequence, then $E_{p,q}^{r+1} \cong E_{p,q}^r$ for $r > \max\{p, q+1\}$ because $d_{p,q}^r$ maps to the 0 group and $d_{p+r,q-r+1}$ comes from the 0 group.

Definition 1.6 (E^∞ -page). For a first quadrant spectral sequence, we define the E^∞ -page as:

$$E_{p,q}^\infty := E_{p,q}^r, \quad \text{for } r \gg p, q$$

Lemma 1.7. *If*

$$1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 1$$

is a SES of groups, then $B = A \rtimes C$.

Theorem 1.8 (Leray-Serre spectral sequence). *For every abelian group G and every fiber sequence*

$$F \rightarrow E \rightarrow B$$

such that $\pi_1(B)$ acts trivially on $H_(F; G)$, there is a natural, convergent Leray-Serre spectral sequence of signature*

$$E_{p,q}^2 = H_p(B; H_q(F; G)) \implies H_{p+q}(E; G)$$

meaning that the $E_{p,q}^2$ page is given by $E_{p,q}^2 = H_p(B; H_q(F; G))$ and there is a natural filtration

$$0 = F_{-1}^n \subset F_n^0 \subset \dots \subset F_n^n = H_n(E; G)$$

and natural SES:

$$0 \rightarrow F_{p-1}^{p+q} \hookrightarrow F_p^{p+q} \twoheadrightarrow E_{p,q}^\infty \rightarrow 0$$

Note. The SES in Theorem 1.8 splits as

$$\begin{aligned} H_n(E; G) &= F_n^n \cong F_{n-1}^n \rtimes E_{n,0}^\infty \\ &\cong F_{n-2}^n \rtimes E_{n-1,1}^\infty \rtimes E_{n,0}^\infty \\ &\vdots \\ &\cong F_0^n \rtimes E_{1,n-1}^\infty \rtimes \dots \rtimes E_{n,0}^\infty \\ &\cong E_{0,n}^\infty \rtimes E_{1,n-1}^\infty \rtimes \dots \rtimes E_{n,0}^\infty \end{aligned}$$

Example 1.9. Suppose

$$F \rightarrow E \rightarrow B$$

is a fiber sequence and that $H_n(E; G) = 0$ for an abelian group G . Then $E_{p,n-p}^\infty = 0$ for all $0 \leq p \leq n$.

This can be seen because $F_n^n = H_n(E; G) = 0$, and $0 \subset F_n^0 \subset \dots \subset F_n^n = 0$, hence $E_{p,n-p}^\infty \cong F_n^p / F_n^{p-1} \cong 0$.

Example 1.10. Suppose that the $E_{p,q}^\infty$ are abelian groups. Then the semidirect products reduce to normal direct products, so that

$$H_n(E; G) \cong \bigoplus_{p=0}^n E_{p,n-p}^\infty$$

For example, if G is a field, then $H_n(E; G)$ is a G -vector space, hence abelian, so each F_n^p being subgroups of $H_n(E; G)$ is abelian, so each $E_{p,q}^\infty \cong F_n^p / F_n^{p-q}$ is abelian.

1.2. Serre Classes. Let \mathcal{C} be one of the following classes of abelian groups:

- (1) \mathcal{FG} , finitely generated abelian groups.
- (2) \mathcal{T}_P , torsion abelian groups whose elements have orders divisible only by primes from a fixed set P of primes.
- (3) \mathcal{F}_p , the finite groups in \mathcal{T}_p .

Note. P could be all primes and then \mathcal{T}_p would be all torsion abelian groups and \mathcal{F}_p would be all finite abelian groups.

Theorem 1.11. *If X is simply-connected, then $\pi_n(X) \in \mathcal{C}$ for all n if and only if $H_n(X; \mathbb{Z}) \in \mathcal{C}$ for all $n > 0$. This holds also if X is path-connected and abelian, that is, the action of $\pi_1(X)$ on $\pi_n(X)$ is trivial for all $n \geq 1$.*

Theorem 1.12 (Hurewicz module \mathcal{C}). *If a path-connected abelian space X has $\pi_i(X) \in \mathcal{C}$ for $i < n$, then the Hurewicz homomorphism $h: \pi_n(X) \rightarrow H_n(X)$ is an isomorphism mod \mathcal{C} , meaning that the kernel and cokernel of h belong to \mathcal{C} .*

1.3. Supplements.

1.3.1. Naturality. Suppose we are given two fibrations and a map between them, a commutative diagram as below:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} F & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{f} & & \downarrow f \\ F' & \longrightarrow & X' & \longrightarrow & B' \end{array}$$

Suppose that the hypotheses of the LSSS are satisfied for both fibrations. Then the naturality properties are:

- (1) There are induced maps $f_*^r: E_{pq}^r \rightarrow E_{pq}'^r$ commuting with differentials, with f_*^{r+1} the map on homology induced by f_*^r .
- (2) The map $\tilde{f}_*: H_*(X; G) \rightarrow H_*(X'; G)$ preserves filtrations, inducing a map on successive quotient groups which is the map f_*^∞ .
- (3) Under the isomorphisms $E_{pq}^2 \cong H_p(B; H_q(F; G))$ and $E_{pq}'^2 \cong H_p(B'; H_q(F'; G))$, the map f_*^2 corresponds to the map induced by the maps $B \rightarrow B'$ and $F \rightarrow F'$.

1.4. Spectral Sequence Comparison.

Proposition 1.13. *Suppose we have a map of fibrations as in the diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} F & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{f} & & \downarrow f \\ F' & \longrightarrow & X' & \longrightarrow & B' \end{array}$$

and that both fibrations satisfy the hypothesis of trivial action for the Serre spectral sequence. Then if two of the three maps $F \rightarrow F'$, $B \rightarrow B'$ and $X \rightarrow X'$ induce isomorphisms on $H_*(-; R)$ with R a PID, so does the third.

1.5. Cohomology.

Theorem 1.14. *For a fibration $F \rightarrow X \rightarrow B$ with B path-connected and $\pi_1(B)$ acting trivially on $H^*(F; G)$, there is a spectral sequence $\{E_r^{p,q}, d_r\}$ with:*

- (1) $d_r: E_r^{p,q} \rightarrow E_r^{p+r, q-r+1}$ and $E_{r+1}^{p,q} = \ker d_r / \text{im } d_r$ at $E_r^{p,q}$.
- (2) Stable terms $E_\infty^{p, n-p}$ isomorphic to the successive quotients F_p^n / F_{p+1}^n in a filtration $0 \subset F_0^n \subset \dots \subset F_0^n = H^n(X; G)$ of $H^n(X; G)$.
- (3) $E_2^{p,q} \cong H^p(B; H^q(F; G))$.

1.6. Multiplicative structure.

Definition 1.15 (Weibel, multiplicative structure). Suppose that for $r = a$ we are given a bigraded product

$$E_r^{p_1 q_1} \times E_r^{p_2 q_2} \rightarrow E_r^{p_1+p_2, q_1+q_2}$$

such that the differential d_r satisfies the Leibnitz relation

$$d_r(x_1 x_2) = d_r(x_1) x_2 + (-1)^{p_1} x_1 d_r(x_2), \quad x_i \in E_r^{p_i q_i}.$$

Then the product of two cycles (boundaries) is again a cycle (boundary), and by induction, we have the above product for every $r \geq a$. We shall call this a *multiplicative structure* on the spectral sequence.

When considering cohomology with coefficients in a ring R , we can construct a multiplicative structure on a spectral sequence with $r = 1$ with the following properties:

- (1) The product $E_2^{p,q} \times E_2^{s,t} \rightarrow E_2^{p+s, q+t}$ is $(-1)^{qs}$ times the standard cup product

$$H^p(B; H^q(F; R)) \times H^s(B; H^t(F; R)) \rightarrow H^{p+s}(B; H^{q+t}(F; R))$$

sending a pair of cocycles (φ, ψ) to $\varphi \smile \psi$ where coefficients are multiplied via the cup product $H^q(F; R) \times H^t(F; R) \rightarrow H^{q+t}(F; R)$.

- (2) The cup product in $H^*(X; R)$ restricts to maps $F_p^m \times F_s^n \rightarrow F_{p+s}^{m+n}$. These induce quotient maps $F_p^m / F_{p+1}^m \times F_s^n / F_{s+1}^n \rightarrow F_{p+s}^{m+n} / F_{p+s+1}^{m+n}$ that coincide with the products $E_\infty^{p, m-p} \times E_\infty^{s, n-s} \rightarrow E_\infty^{p+s, m+n-p-s}$.

1.7. The Spectral Sequence of a Filtered Complex.

Definition 1.16 (Differential Complex). A differential complex K with differential operator D is an abelian group K together with a group homomorphism $D: K \rightarrow K$ such that $D^2 = 0$.

Let K be a differential complex with differential operator D . Usually K comes with a grading $K = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} C^k$ and $D: C^k \rightarrow C^{k+1}$ increases the degree by 1, but the grading is not absolutely necessary.

Definition 1.17 (Subcomplex). A *subcomplex* K' of K is a graded subgroup such that $DK' \subset K'$.

Definition 1.18 (Filtration, Associated Graded Complex). A sequence of subcomplexes

$$K = K_0 \supset K_1 \supset K_2 \supset K_3 \supset \dots$$

is called a *filtration* on K . This makes K into a *filtered complex*, with *associated graded complex*

$$GK = \bigoplus_{p=0}^{\infty} K_p / K_{p+1}.$$

For notational reasons, we usually extend the filtration to negative indices by defining $K_p = K$ for $p < 0$.

Example 1.19. If $K = \bigoplus K^{p,q}$ is a double complex with horizontal operator δ and vertical operator d (which we assume to commute), we can form a single complex out of it by setting $C^k = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} K^{p,q}$ and then letting $K = \bigoplus C^k$ and the differential operator $D: C^k \rightarrow C^{k+1}$ to be $D = \delta + (-1)^p d$. Then letting

$$K_p = \bigoplus_{i \geq p} \bigoplus_{q \geq 0} K^{i,q}$$

we obtain a filtration on K .

Suppose now that we have a general filtered complex $K = K_0 \supset K_1 \supset \dots$, and let A be the group defined by

$$A = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} K_p.$$

Then A is again a differential complex with operator D . Let $i: A \rightarrow A$ be the inclusion $K_{p+1} \hookrightarrow K_p$ on each p . Let B be the cokernel of $i: A \rightarrow A$. Then $B = GK = \bigoplus_{p=0}^{\infty} K_p / K_{p+1}$, and we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{i} A \xrightarrow{j} GK \rightarrow 0.$$

2. WEIBEL

3. DOUBLE AND TOTAL COMPLEXES

Definition 3.1 (Double complex). A *double complex* (or *bicomplex*) in an abelian category \mathcal{A} is a family $\{C_{p,q}\}$ of objects of \mathcal{A} , together with maps

$$d^h: C_{p,q} \rightarrow C_{p-1,q} \quad \text{and} \quad d^v: C_{p,q} \rightarrow C_{p,q-1}$$

such that $d^h \circ d^h = d^v \circ d^v = d^v d^h + d^h d^v = 0$.

It is useful to picture the double complex as a lattice in which the maps d^h go horizontally, the maps d^v go vertically, and each square anticommutes.

Each row $C_{*,q}$ and each columns $C_{p,*}$ is a chain complex.

We say that the double complex C is *bounded* if C has only finitely many nonzero terms along each diagonal line $p + q = n$. For example, if C is concentrated in the first quadrant of the plane (a *first quadrant double complex*).

3.0.1. *Sign Trick*. Are the maps d^v and d^h maps in Ch ?

Because of anticommutativity, the chain map conditions fail, but we can construct chain maps $f_{*,q}$ from $C_{*,q}$ to $C_{*,q-1}$ by introducing signs:

$$f_{p,q} = (-1)^p d_{p,q}^v: C_{p,q} \rightarrow C_{p,q-1}.$$

Using this sign trick, we can identify the category of double complexes with the category $\text{Ch}(\text{Ch})$.

3.0.2. *Total Complexes*. To see why the anticommutativity condition $d^v d^h + d^h d^v = 0$ is useful, we define the *total complexes* $\text{Tot}(C) = \text{Tot}^\Pi(C)$ and $\text{Tot}^\oplus(C)$ as follows:

Definition 3.2 (Total complexes). We define

$$\text{Tot}^\Pi(C)_n = \prod_{p+q=n} C_{p,q} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Tot}^\oplus(C)_n = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} C_{p,q}.$$

The formula $d = d^h + d^v$ define maps

$$d: \text{Tot}^\Pi(C)_n = \prod_{p+q=n} C_{p,q} \quad \text{and} \quad d: \text{Tot}^\oplus(C)_n \rightarrow \text{Tot}^\oplus(C)_{n-1}$$

such that $d \circ d = 0$, making $\text{Tot}^\Pi(C)$ and $\text{Tot}^\oplus(C)$ into chain complexes.

Exercise 3.3. Check that $d = d^h + d^v$ define maps as claimed.

Solution. Let $(\alpha_{p,q}) \in \text{Tot}^\Pi(C)_n$, so $p + q = n$. Then $d((\alpha_{p,q})) = d^h((\alpha_{p,q})) + d^v((\alpha_{p,q})) = (\alpha_{p-1,q}) + (\alpha_{p,q-1}) \in \prod_{p+q=n-1} C_{p,q}$. Clearly, this also works for direct products since the number of non-zero terms under d just multiplies by 2, hence is still finite. We also want to show that $d \circ d = 0$. For this, note that

$$\begin{aligned} d \circ d(\alpha) &= d(d^h(\alpha) + d^v(\alpha)) = d^h(d^h(\alpha) + d^v(\alpha)) + d^v(d^h(\alpha) + d^v(\alpha)) \\ &= d^h d^h(\alpha) + d^h d^v(\alpha) + d^v d^h(\alpha) + d^v d^v(\alpha) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

3.1. Terminology.

Definition 3.4 (Homology spectral sequence). A *homology spectral sequence* (starting with E^a) in an abelian category \mathcal{A} consists of the following data:

- (1) A family $\{E_{pq}^r\}$ of objects of \mathcal{A} defined for all integers p, q and $r \geq a$.
- (2) Maps $d_{pq}^r : E_{pq}^r \rightarrow E_{p-r, q+r-1}^r$ that are differentials in the sense that $d^r d^r = 0$, so that the "lines of slope $-(r+1)/r$ " in the lattice E_{**}^r form chain complexes.
- (3) Isomorphisms between E_{pq}^{r+1} and the homology of E_{**}^r at the spot E_{pq}^r :

$$E_{pq}^{r+1} \cong \ker d_{pq}^r / \operatorname{im} d_{p+r, q-r+1}^r.$$

Note that E_{pq}^{r+1} is a subquotient of E_{pq}^r , and that each differential d_{pq}^r decreases the total degree by one.

Definition 3.5 (Total degree). The *total degree* of the term E_{pq}^r is $n = p + q$.

Example 3.6. A *first quadrant (homology) spectral sequence* is one with $E_{pq}^r = 0$ unless $p \geq 0$ and $q \geq 0$.

If we fix p and q , then $E_{pq}^r = E_{pq}^{r+1}$ for all large enough r (for $r > \max\{p, q+1\}$), because d^r landing in the (p, q) spot comes from the fourth quadrant, and the d^r leaving E_{pq}^r lands in the second quadrant.

We write E_{pq}^∞ for this stable value of E_{pq}^r .

Definition 3.7 (Dual Definition, Cohomology spectral sequence). A *cohomology spectral sequence* (starting with E_a) in \mathcal{A} is a family $\{E_r^{pq}\}$ of objects ($r \geq a$), together with maps d_r^{pq} going "to the right":

$$d_r^{pq} : E_r^{pq} \rightarrow E_r^{p+r, q-r+1}$$

which are differentials in the sense that $d_r d_r = 0$.

So it is the same thing as a homology spectral sequence, reindexed via $E_r^{pq} = E_{-p, -q}^r$, so that d_r *increases* the total degree $p + q$ of E_{pq}^r by one.

Definition 3.8 (Bounded convergence). A homology spectral sequence is said to be *bounded* if for each n , there are only finitely many nonzero terms of total degree n in E_{**}^a .

Exercise 3.9. Show that if E_{**} is a bounded homology spectral sequence, then for each p and q , there is an r_0 such that $E_{pq}^r = E_{pq}^{r+1}$ for all $r \geq r_0$.

Proof. If the spectral sequence has at most N non-vanishing terms of degree n on page r , say, then on the following pages, we have at most N non-vanishing terms of degree n again, since these are homologies of the terms of degree n on the previous pages.

Hence, for the bounded sequence, for each n , there exists $L(n) \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $E_{p, n-p}^r = 0$ for all $p \leq L(n)$ and all r . Similarly, there is a $T(n) \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $E_{n-q, q}^r = 0$ for all $q \leq T(n)$ and all r .

Now we claim that $E_{p, q}^r = E_{p, q}^\infty$ for

$$r > \max\{p - L(p + q - 1), q + 1 - T(p + q + 1)\}.$$

This is because we have

- (1) $p - r < L(p + q - 1)$, so $0 = E_{p-r, p+q-1-(p-r)}^r = E_{p-r, q+r-1}^r$, so $\ker d_{p, q}^r = E_{p, q}^r$, and

- (2) $q - r + 1 < T(p + q + 1)$, so $0 = E_{(p+q+1)-(q-r+1), q-r+1} = E_{p+r, q-r+1}$, and hence $d_{p+r, q-r+1} : 0 = E_{p+r, q-r+1}^r \rightarrow E_{p, q}^r$ is 0.

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} E_{pq}^{r+1} &= \ker d_{pq}^r / \operatorname{im} d_{p+r, q-r+1}^r \\ &= E_{pq}^r / 0 \\ &= E_{pq}^r \end{aligned}$$

□

We write E_{pq}^∞ for this stable value of E_{pq}^r .

Next, we say that a bounded spectral sequence *converges* to H_* if we are given a family of objects H_n of \mathcal{A} , each having a *finite* filtration

$$0 = F_s H_n \subset \dots \subset F_{p-1} H_n \subset F_p H_n \subset \dots \subset F_t H_n = H_n,$$

and we are given isomorphisms $E_{pq}^\infty \cong F_p H_{p+q} / F_{p-1} H_{p+q}$.

The traditional symbolic way of describing such a bounded convergence is like this:

$$E_{pq}^a \implies H_{p+q}.$$

Similarly, a cohomology spectral sequence is called *bounded* if there are only finitely many nonzero terms in each total degree in E_a^{**} . In a bounded cohomology spectral sequence, we write E_∞^{pq} for the stable value of the terms E_r^{pq} and say the (bounded) spectral sequence converges to H^* if there is a *finite* filtration

$$0 = F^t H^n \subset \dots \subset F^{p+1} H^n \subset F^p H^n \subset \dots \subset F^s H^n = H^n,$$

so that

$$E_\infty^{pq} \cong F^p H^{p+q} / F^{p+1} H^{p+q}.$$

Example 3.10. If a first quadrant homology spectral sequence converges to H_* , then each H_n has a finite filtration of length $n + 1$:

$$0 = F_{-1} H_n \subset F_0 H_n \subset \dots \subset F_{n-1} H_n \subset F_n H_n = H_n.$$

The bottom piece $F_0 H_n = E_{0n}^\infty$ of H_n is located on the y -axis, and the top quotient $H_n / F_{n-1} H_n \cong E_{n0}^\infty$ is located on the x -axis.

Note also that each arrow landing on the x -axis is zero, and each arrow leaving the y -axis is zero, hence E_{0n}^a is a subobject of E_{0n}^∞ , and each E_{n0}^∞ is a quotient of E_{n0}^a .

Definition 3.11 (Fiber and base terms, edge homomorphism). The terms E_{0n}^r on the y -axis are called the *fiber* terms, and the terms E_{n0}^r on the x -axis are called the *base* terms. The resulting maps $E_{0n}^a \rightarrow E_{0n}^\infty \subset H_n$ and $H_n \rightarrow E_{n0}^\infty \subset E_{n0}^a$ are known as the *edge homomorphisms* of the spectral sequence.

Similarly, if a first quadrant cohomology spectral sequence converges to H^* , then H^n has a finite filtration:

$$0 = F^{n+1} H^n \subset F^n H^n \subset \dots \subset F^1 H^n \subset F^0 H^n = H^n.$$

In this case, the bottom piece $F^n H^n \cong E_\infty^{n0}$ is located on the x -axis, and the top quotient $H^n / F^1 H^n \cong E_\infty^{0n}$ is located on the y -axis. In this case, the edge homomorphisms are the maps $E_a^{n0} \rightarrow E_\infty^{n0} \subset H^n$ and $H^n \rightarrow E_\infty^{0n} \subset E_a^{0n}$.

Definition 3.12 (Collapsing of spectral sequence). A (homology) spectral sequence *collapses at E^r* ($r \geq 2$) if there is exactly one nonzero row or column in the lattice $\{E_{pq}^r\}$. If a collapsing spectral sequence converges to H_* , we can read the H_n off: H_n is the unique nonzero E_{pq}^r with $p + q = n$. The overwhelming majority of all applications of spectral sequences involve spectral sequences that collapse at E^1 or E^2 .

Exercise 3.13 (2 columns). Suppose that a spectral sequence converging to H_* has $E_{pq}^2 = 0$ unless $p = 0, 1$. Show that there are exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow E_{1,n-1}^2 \rightarrow H_n \rightarrow E_{0n}^2 \rightarrow 0$$

Proof. We have $E_{p,n-p}^\infty \cong 0$ if $p > 1$, so $F_p H_n / F_{p-1} H_n \cong 0$ whenever $p > 1$, so $F_p H_n \cong F_{p-1} H_n$ for $p > 1$. Hence $H_n = F_n H_n \cong F_1 H_n$. Now, $E_{1,n-1}^\infty \cong H_n / F_0 H_n$, and $E_{0n}^\infty \cong F_0 H_n / F_{-1} H_n \cong F_0 H_n$, so we have a SES

$$0 \rightarrow F_0 H_n \hookrightarrow H_n \rightarrow H_n / F_0 H_n \rightarrow 0$$

which thus becomes

$$0 \rightarrow E_{0n}^\infty \rightarrow H_n \rightarrow E_{1,n-1}^\infty \rightarrow 0$$

Furthermore, all differentials on pages E^r for $r \geq 2$ are 0, so $E_{0n}^\infty \cong E_{0n}^2$ and $E_{1,n-1}^\infty \cong E_{1,n-1}^2$. So we get a SES

$$0 \rightarrow E_{0n}^2 \rightarrow H_n \rightarrow E_{1,n-1}^2 \rightarrow 0.$$

□

Example 3.14 (2 rows). Suppose that a spectral sequence converging to H_* has $E_{pq}^2 = 0$ unless $q = 0, 1$. Show that there is a LES

$$\dots \rightarrow H_{p+1} \rightarrow E_{p+1,0}^2 \xrightarrow{d} E_{p-1,1}^2 \rightarrow H_p \rightarrow E_{p0}^2 \xrightarrow{d} E_{p-2,1}^2 \rightarrow H_{p-1} \rightarrow \dots$$

Proof. We have $\ker(d: E_{p,0}^2 \rightarrow E_{p-2,1}^2) \cong E_{p,0}^\infty \cong H_p / F_{p-1} H_p$. Furthermore, $E_{p-1,1}^\infty \cong F_{p-1} H_p / F_{p-2} H_p \cong F_{p-1} H_p$ since $F_{p-k} H_p / F_{p-k-1} H_p \cong E_{p-k,k}^\infty \cong 0$ for $k \geq 2$ and $F_0 H_p = 0$. Hence we have a SES

$$0 \rightarrow F_{p-1} H_p \rightarrow H_p \rightarrow H_p / F_{p-1} H_p \rightarrow 0$$

which becomes

$$0 \rightarrow E_{p-1,1}^\infty \rightarrow H_p \rightarrow \ker d \rightarrow 0$$

Now $E_{p-1,1}^\infty \cong \operatorname{coker}(d: E_{p+1,0}^2 \rightarrow E_{p-1,1}^2)$, so we have

$$E_{p+1,0}^2 \xrightarrow{d} E_{p-1,1}^2 \rightarrow E_{p-1,1}^\infty \rightarrow 0$$

but the kernel of the cokernel map is the image of d , so

$$0 \rightarrow \operatorname{im} d \rightarrow E_{p-1,1}^2 \rightarrow E_{p-1,1}^\infty \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. □

4. BOTT TU

4.1. Introduction to Spectral Sequences. Consider the problem of computing the homology of the total chain complex $T_* = \text{Tot}(E_{**})$ where E_{**} is a first quadrant double complex.

Firstly, it is convenient to forget the horizontal differentials and add a superscript zero, retaining only the vertical differentials d^v along the columns E_{p*}^0 .

Let E_{pq}^1 be the vertical homology $H_q(E_{p*}^0)$ at the (p, q) spot.

4.2. Filtrations.

Definition 4.1 (Filtered R -module). A *filtered R -module* is an R -module A with an increasing sequence of submodules $\{F_p\}_{p \in \mathbb{Z}}$ such that $F_p A \subset F_{p+1} A$ for all p and such that $\bigcup_p F_p A = A$ and $\bigcap_p F_p A = \{0\}$.

A filtration is said to be *bounded* if $F_p A = \{0\}$ for p sufficiently small and $F_p A = A$ for p sufficiently larger.

Definition 4.2 (Associated graded module). The *associated graded module* is defined by $G_p A = F_p A / F_{p-1} A$.

Definition 4.3 (Filtered chain complex). A *filtered chain complex* is a chain complex (C_*, ∂) together with a filtration $\{F_p C_i\}_{p \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of each C_i such that the differential preserves the filtration, i.e., s.t. $\partial(F_p C_i) \subset F_p C_{i-1}$.

Note that we, in particular, obtain an induced differential $\partial: G_p C_i \rightarrow G_p C_{i-1}$ by the universal property of cokernels

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_p C_i & \xrightarrow{\partial} & F_p C_{i-1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ F_{p-1} C_i & \xrightarrow{\partial} & F_{p-1} C_{i-1} \\ \downarrow \text{coker} & & \downarrow \text{coker} \\ G_p C_i & \dashrightarrow & G_p C_{i-1} \end{array}$$

so we obtain an associated graded chain complex $G_p C_*$.

The filtration on C_* also induces a filtration on the homology of C_* by

$$F_p H_i(C_*) = \{\alpha \in H_i(C_*) \mid (\exists x \in F_p C_i) : \alpha = [x]\}.$$

This filtration has associated graded pieces $G_p H_i(C_*)$ which, in favorable cases, determine $H_i(C_*)$.

4.3. Example. Suppose we have a chain complex C_* and a filtration consisting of a single $F_0 C_*$, so $F_n C_* = 0$ if $n < 0$ and $F_n C_* = F_0 C_*$ if $n \geq 0$. Then $G_n C_* = 0$ for $n \neq 0$ and $G_0 C_* = F_0 C_*$ and