1: Choose a representation for each  $P_i = (a_{i1}, a_{i2}, a_{i3})$  and for each  $Q_i = (b_{i1}, b_{i2}, b_{i3})$ . Since  $P_1, P_2$  and  $P_3$  (resp.  $Q_1, Q_2$  and  $Q_3$ ) do not lie on a line, three points lying in the corresponding subset in  $\mathbb{A}^3$  for  $P_1, P_2$  and  $P_3$  span  $\mathbb{A}^3$ , so choosing these as basis for  $\mathbb{A}^3$ , we can define a linear map sending  $P_i \to Q_i$ . This is clearly invertible with the linear map sending  $Q_i \to P_i$ , and sends  $0 \to 0$ , so it induces a projective change of coordinates. And since  $T(\lambda P_i) = \lambda T(P_i) = \lambda Q_i$ , we have that it maps the line through  $P_i$  to the line through  $P_i$  and thus that the induced map  $\mathbb{P}^2 \to \mathbb{P}^2$  maps the points  $P_i \to Q_i$ .

Similarly, if  $P_1, \ldots, P_{n+1}$  are points in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  not lying on a hyperplane, points on the represented lines in  $\mathbb{A}^{n+1}$  are linearly independent, so they define a basis for  $\mathbb{A}^3$ . We can again define a map sending  $P_i \to Q_i$  which is invertible with  $Q_i \to P_i$  and  $0 \to 0$ . Thus it induces a projective change of coordinates mapping  $P_i \to Q_i$ .

## 2. Duals:

(a) We first show that  $(P^*)^* = P$ .

As stated in the problem, assigning  $[a_1:\ldots:a_{n+1}]\in\mathbb{P}^n$  to  $\Lambda=\mathbb{V}(a_1x_1+\ldots+a_{n+1}x_{n+1})$  sets up a one-to-one correspondence between {hyperplanes in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ } and  $\mathbb{P}^n$  - since  $(a_1,\ldots,a_{n+1})$  is determined by  $\Lambda$  up to scaling since it must be perpendicular to the vector  $(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$  and thus can be freely scaled.

Now,  $P^* = \mathbb{V}(a_1 : \ldots : a_{n+1})$  which we assign to  $[a_1 : \ldots : a_{n+1}] = P$  by construction.

For 
$$(\Lambda^*)^* = \Lambda$$
, note that  $\Lambda^* = [a_1 : \ldots : a_{n+1}]$ , so  $(\Lambda^*)^* = \mathbb{V}(a_1 x_1 + \ldots + a_{n+1} x_{n+1}) = \Lambda$ .

(b) Suppose 
$$P = [a_1 : \ldots : a_{n+1}]$$
 and  $\Lambda = \mathbb{V}(l_1 x_1 + \ldots + l_{n+1} x_{n+1})$ . Then  $P \in \Lambda$  if and only if  $l_1 a_1 + \ldots + l_{n+1} a_{n+1} = 0$  if and only if  $\Lambda^* = [l_1 : \ldots : l_{n+1}] \in \mathbb{V}(a_1 x_1 + \ldots + a_{n+1} x_{n+1}) = P^*$ .

**3:** Write  $P_i = [p_{i1} : p_{i2} : p_{i3}]$ . Then the lines passing through  $P_i$  in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  is precisely the hyperplane corresponding to  $P_i$ , i.e.  $P_i^*$ .

Now, by problem 2.(b),  $\forall i : P_i \notin \Lambda \iff \forall i : \Lambda^* \notin P_i^* \iff \Lambda^* \notin P_1^* \cup \ldots \cup P_r^*$ .

Thus, if we can show that there exists a point  $\Lambda^*$  not in the union of hyperplanes  $P_1^* \cup \ldots \cup P_r^*$ , then this gives the existence of the line  $\Lambda = (\Lambda^*)^*$  not passing through any of the points  $P_i$ .

Now

$$P_1^* \cup \ldots \cup P_r^* = \mathbb{V}(p_{11}x + p_{12}y + p_{13}z) \cup \ldots \cup \mathbb{V}(p_{r1}x + p_{r2}y + p_{r3}z) = \mathbb{V}(\Pi_i(p_{i1}x + p_{i2}y + p_{i3}z))$$

So if there does not exist a point  $\Lambda^*$ , then

$$\mathbb{P}^{2} = \mathbb{V} \left( \Pi_{i} \left( p_{i1} x + p_{i2} y + p_{i3} z \right) \right)$$

Consider the polynomial  $f \in k[x, y, z]$  given by  $f = \Pi_i(p_{i1}x + p_{i2}y + p_{i3}z)$ . We have  $\mathbb{V}(f) = \mathbb{P}^2$  if and only if  $V(f) = \mathbb{A}^3$ , so f is constant zero. Thus one of the points  $(p_{i1}, p_{i2}, p_{i3})$  must be 0, however then  $P_i = [0:0:0] \notin \mathbb{P}^2$ , contradiction. Thus such a  $\Lambda^*$  exists, and hence for all i, we have  $P_i \notin \Lambda$ , so  $\Lambda$  is a line in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  not passing through any of the  $P_i$ .

4:

(a) We must give an inverse to  $v_{1,3} \colon \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^3$  by  $[s:r] \to [s^3:s^2t:st^2:t^3]$ . Let Y denote the image under  $v_{1,3}$ . Consider the map  $\varphi \colon Y \to \mathbb{P}^1$  by

$$\begin{cases} \left[s^3:s^2t\right], & s \neq 0 \text{ (i.e. in } U_1) \\ \left[st^2:t^3\right], & t \neq 0 \text{ (i.e. in } U_4) \end{cases}$$

This map covers its image by definition and also agrees on  $U_1 \cap U_4$  since then  $[s^3 : s^2t] = [s : t] = [st^2 : t^3]$ .

Now for an arbitrary element in the image Y, there exist  $s, t \in k$  such that  $v_{1,3}[s:t] = [s^3:s^2t:st^2:t^3]$  represents the element and hence

$$v_{1,3} \circ \varphi(\left[s^3: s^2t: st^2: t^3\right]) = \begin{cases} v_{1,3} \left[s^3: s^2t\right] & s \neq 0 \\ v_{1,3} \left[st^2: t^3\right] & t \neq 0 \end{cases} = v_{1,3} \left[s: t\right] = \left[s^3: s^2t: st^2: t^3\right]$$

and

$$\varphi \circ v_{1,3}\left[s:t\right] = \varphi\left[s^3:s^2t:st^2:t^3\right] = \begin{cases} \left[s^3:s^2t\right] & s \neq 0 \\ \left[st^2:t^3\right] & t \neq 0 \end{cases} = \left[s:t\right]$$

where either  $s \neq 0$  or  $t \neq 0$  since  $[0:0] \notin \mathbb{P}^2$ .

Hence  $\varphi$  is the inverse to  $v_{1,3}$ , so  $v_{1,3}$  is an isomorphism onto its image.

(b) By writing out relations such as  $z_1z_6 - z_2a_3 = 0$  which are clear by definition, we find the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & z_3 & z_4 \\ z_2 & z_3 & z_7 \\ z_3 & z_8 & z_9 \\ z_4 & z_9 & z_{10} \end{pmatrix}$$

Every  $2 \times 2$  minor in this matrix vanishes, while some  $1 \times 1$  minor does not, so the rank of A is 1. Consider

$$Y = \{ [x_1 : \ldots : x_{10}] : \operatorname{rank} A \le 1 \}$$

Now, by definition  $v_{2,3}(\mathbb{P}^3) \subset Y$ .

Furthermore, for an arbitrary  $[z_1:\ldots:z_{10}]\in Y$ , either  $z_1,z_5,z_8$  or  $z_{10}$  is nonzero since otherwise all entries would be 0, but  $[0:\ldots:0] \notin \mathbb{P}^9$ .

If  $z_1 \neq 0$  then  $v_{2,3}$  ( $[z_1:z_2:z_3:z_4]$ ) =  $[z_1:\ldots:z_{10}]$ . If  $z_5 \neq 0$ , then  $v_{2,3}$  ( $[z_2:z_5:z_6:z_7]$ ) =  $[z_1:\ldots:z_{10}]$ . If  $z_8 \neq 0$  then  $v_{2,3}$  ( $[z_3:z_6:z_8:z_9]$ ) =  $[z_1:\ldots:z_{10}]$ . If  $z_{10} \neq 0$ , then  $v_{2,3}$  ( $[z_4:z_7:z_9:z_{10}]$ ) =  $[z_1:\ldots:z_{10}]$ , so  $Y \subset v_{2,3}$  ( $\mathbb{P}^3$ ). Hence Y is precisely the image

of  $v_{2,3}$ .

(c) Since  $z_1 = x_1^2$ ,  $z_3 = x_1x_3$ ,  $z_7 = x_2x_4$  and  $z_9 = x_3x_4$ , we have

$$v_{3,2}^{-1}\left(\mathbb{V}\left(z_1+4z_3-2z_7+5z_9\right)\right)=\mathbb{V}\left(x_1^2-4x_1x_3-2x_2x_4+5x_3x_4\right)$$

5:

(a)

By the comment in the beginning on lecture note 22, we have that  $\Gamma_h(\overline{X}) \cong \Gamma_h(\overline{Y})$ , so  $\Gamma(C(\overline{X})) = \Gamma(C(\overline{Y}))$ . Hence  $C(\overline{X}) \cong C(\overline{Y})$  by the lemma on lecture note 8. Thus there exist morphisms  $\varphi \colon C(\overline{X}) \to C(\overline{Y})$  and  $\psi \colon C(\overline{Y}) \to C(\overline{X})$  with  $\varphi \circ \psi = 1$  and  $\psi \circ \varphi = 1$ .

There exist polynomials  $T_1, \ldots, T_{n+1} \in k[x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1}]$  such that  $\varphi(P) = (T_1(P), \ldots, T_{n+1}(P))$  and polynomials  $S_1, \ldots, S_{n+1} \in k[x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1}]$  such that  $\psi(P) = (S_1(P), \ldots, S_{n+1}(P))$ . The image  $\varphi(\overline{X} \cap U_{n+1})$  is isomorphic to Y as this is  $\varphi(\overline{X}) \cap \varphi(U_{n+1}) = \overline{Y} \cap \varphi(U_{n+1})$ .

Restricting to P having final coordinate 1 then gives us an isomorphism  $X \to Y$  with the polynomials  $T_1, \ldots, T_n$  and  $S_1, \ldots, S_n$ .

As  $\overline{X}$  and  $\overline{Y}$  are equivalent, there exists  $G \colon \mathbb{P}^n \to \mathbb{P}^n$  restricting to an isomorphism  $\overline{X} \to \overline{Y}$ .

(b) We have  $V(x-y^2) \simeq V(x)$  as affine plane curves. However, their projective closures are  $Y=\mathbb{V}(xz-y^2)$  and  $\mathbb{V}(x)$ . Now  $\Gamma_h(Y)=k\left[x,y,z\right]/(xz-y^2)$  and  $\Gamma_h(\mathbb{V}(x))=k\left[x,y,z\right]/(x)\simeq k\left[y,z\right]$  Now,  $k\left[x,y,z\right]/(xz-y^2)$  is not UFD while  $k\left[y,z\right]$  is UFD, so  $\Gamma_h(Y)$  and  $\Gamma_h(\mathbb{V}(x))$  are not isomorphic. However, by page 8 on lecture note 21, we have that if  $\overline{X}$  and  $\overline{Y}$  are projectively equivalent, then  $\Gamma_h(\overline{X})$  and  $\Gamma_h(\overline{Y})$  are isomorphic, so by contraposition,  $\overline{X}$  and  $\overline{Y}$  are not projectively equivalent.