1:

(a) This is the fourth isomorphism theorem for rings which can be proven as follows: by the fourth isomorphism theorem for groups, we have that there is a bijective correspondence

{additive subgroups of R containing I}  $\rightarrow$  {additive subgroups of R/I}

Now let J be an additive subgroup of R containing I.

We first show that J is a ring in R if and only if J/I is a ring in R/I. Let  $a, b \in J$ . Then we have  $\pi(a)\pi(b) = \pi(ab)$  and this is in J/I if and only if  $ab \in J$ .

We have our correspondence if we can show that J is an ideal if and only if J/I is an ideal.

Let  $r \in R$  and  $j \in J$ . In R/I we have  $\pi(r)\pi(j) = \pi(rj)$  and  $\pi(j)\pi(r) = \pi(jr)$ , and this is in J/I if and only if rj and jr are in J. Thus J is an ideal containing I if and only if J/I is an ideal.

(b) The correspondence in (a) was given by the canonical homomorphism  $\pi$ .

Now let J be a radical ideal in R containing I. Assume  $\pi(r^k) = \pi(r)^{\bar{k}} \in \pi(J)$ . Then  $r^k \in \pi^{-1}(\pi(J)) = J$ , so  $r \in J$  as it is radical, and thus  $\pi(r) \in \pi(J)$ , so  $\pi(J)$  is radical.

Similarly, for any radical ideal  $\pi(J)$  in R/I, we have that if  $r^k \in J$  then  $\pi(r)^k = \pi(r^k) \in \pi(J)$  so  $\pi(r) \in \pi(J)$  as it is a radical ideal, and thus  $r \in \pi^{-1}(\pi(J)) = J$ , so J is a radical ideal.

We thus find that the bijective correspondence induces a bijection between radical ideal in R containing I, and radical ideals in R/I.

(c) Let  $M \subset R$  be a maximal ideal containing I. Assume that there exists an ideal  $\pi(S)$  in R/Isuch that  $\pi(M) \subset \pi(S) \subset R/I$  - where S is an ideal in R containing I which we can assume by (a). Then applying  $\pi^{-1}$ , we have  $M \subset S \subset R$ . Therefore S = M or S = R, so  $\pi(S) = \pi(M)$  or  $\pi(S) = R/I$ . So  $\pi(M)$  is a maximal ideal.

Conversely, let  $\pi(M)$  be a maximal ideal in R/I with  $I \subset M$  and M ideal. Assume there exists an ideal S in R containing I such that  $M \subset S \subset R$ . Then  $\pi(M) \subset \pi(S) \subset R/I$ , and  $\pi(S)$  is an ideal, so it must either be  $\pi(M)$  or R/I. By A we then get S is M or R, so M is a maximal ideal.

Thus the bijection in (a) induces a bijection between maximal ideals in R containing I and maximal ideals in R/I.

(a) We assume  $I \neq (1) = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  - i.e. I is proper.

According to a lemma from lecture notes 4, we have that I is contained in a maximal ideal - so the below intersection over maximal ideals containing I is nonempty.

Assume  $I \subset k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  is a radical ideal with k algebraically closed. Then

$$I \subset \bigcap_{I \subset A, A \text{ max ideal}} A = \mathcal{A}$$

Let  $f \in \bigcap_{I \subset A, A \text{ max ideal}} A$ . Since k is algebraically closed, we get by weak Nullstellensatz 2 that all the maximal ideals A containing I are of the form  $(x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n) = I(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ . Let now  $\alpha \in V(I)$  and assume  $\alpha \notin V(f)$ . Write  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ . Then  $I \subset (x_1 - \alpha_1, \dots, x_n - \alpha_n)$  which is a maximal set, hence  $f \in \bigcap_{I \subset A, A \text{ max ideal }} A \implies$  $f \in (x_1 - \alpha_1, \dots, x_n - \alpha_n)$  but then  $\alpha \in V(f)$ , contradiction. Thus  $V(I) \subset \bigcap_{f \in \mathcal{A}} V(f) = V\left(\bigcup_{f \in \mathcal{A}} (f)\right) = V\left(\bigcup_{f \in \mathcal{A}} (f)\right)$  $V(\mathcal{A})$  so

$$A \subset \sqrt{A} = I(V(A)) \subset I(V(I)) = I$$

Thus  $I = \mathcal{A}$ .

We find V(I): if  $y^3 - y = 0$  we have y = 0 or  $y = \pm 1$ . If y = 0 then  $x^2 - 2xy^4 + y^6 = x^2 = 0$  if and only if x = 0.

If  $y=\pm 1$ , then  $x^2-2xy^4+y^6=x^2-2x+1=(x-1)^2=0$  if and only if x=1. Thus  $V(I)=\{(0,0),(1,1),(-1,1)\}$  and so  $\sqrt{I}=I\left(V\left(I\right)\right)=I\left(\{(0,0),(1,1),(-1,1)\}\right)=I\left((0,0)\right)\cap I\left((1,1)\right)\cap I\left((-1,1)\right)=(x,y)\cap (x-1,y-1)\cap (x+1,y-1)$ . Each of these is a maximal ideal by weak Nullstellensatz 2 as they are one point sets and  $\mathbb C$  is algebraically closed - or alternatively as they are the kernels of the evaluation maps.

**3:** Assume  $x^2 - yz = 0$  and xz - x = 0. Then x = 0 or z = 1. If x = 0, we have yz = 0 which implies y = 0 or z = 0 as  $\mathbb{C}$  is an integral domain. If z = 1 we get  $x^2 = y$ .

Conversely, each of these solution sets satisfies the system. Thus we find

$$V(x^{2} - yz, xz - x) = V(y) \cup V(z) \cup V(x^{2} - y)$$

Now  $I(V(y)) = \sqrt{(y)} = (y)$  which is a prime ideal since

$$k[x, y, z]/(y) \cong k[x, z]$$

which is a integral domain and hence y is a prime ideal and thus also  $\sqrt{(y)} = (y)$ . Interchanging the roles of y and z, we find that (z) is also a prime ideal. It follows from proposition 1 in section 5 that V(y), V(z) are irreducible.

Now, let  $\varphi \colon k\left[x,y,z\right] \to k\left[x,z\right]$  be the map sending  $y \to x^2$ . It has kernel  $(x^2-y)$ , and is trivially surjective as any  $f \in k\left[x,z\right]$  is of the form  $\sum_{i,j} c_{i,j} x^i z^j$  and  $\varphi\left(\sum_{i,j} c_{i,j} x^i z^j\right) = \sum_{i,j} c_{i,j} x^i z^j$ . Thus

$$k[x, y, z]/(x^2 - y) \cong k[x, z]$$

which is an integral domain and thus  $(x^2 - y)$  is prime. Therefore  $\sqrt{(x^2 - y)} = (x^2 - y)$  and  $V(x^2 - y)$  is irreducible by proposition 1 section 5 since  $I(V(x^2 - y)) = \sqrt{(x^2 - y)} = (x^2 - y)$  is prime.

4:

(a) Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the set of elements in L that are algebraic over k. Since for any  $\alpha \in k$ ,  $\alpha$  is the root of  $x - \alpha \in k[x]$ , we have  $k \subset \mathcal{A}$  and thus also  $0, 1 \in \mathcal{A}$ .

If  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{A}$ , then there exist  $f, g \in k[x]$  such that  $f(\alpha) = 0 = g(\beta)$ .

By the corollary in section 9, we have that the elements of L that are algebraic over k form a subring of L containing k. To show that this subring is, in fact, a subfield, we must show that for any  $\alpha$  algebraic over k,  $\alpha^{-1}$  is also algebraic over k.

We use the hint: suppose  $v \neq 0$  is algebraic over k. Then there exists  $f \in k[x]$ , say  $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x^i$ , such that f(v) = 0 - we can assume that  $a_n = 1$  as  $a_n \in k$  so we can divide out by  $a_n^{-1}$  since k is a field. Thus assume f is monic.

In particular, this means that  $v^n + a_{n-1}v^{n-1} + \ldots + a_n = 0$ . If  $a_0 = 0$ , then  $v\left(v^{n-1} + \ldots + a_1\right) = 0$ , so since v is not a zero divisor in L, we have  $v^{n-1} + \ldots + a_1 = 0$ . Thus we have reduced the degree once. We can continue this if  $a_1 = 0$  until we get some  $a_i \neq 0, i > 1$  - this must eventually occur as after at n-1 steps, we would get  $v + a_{n-1} = 0$  and thus  $a_{n-1} \neq 0$  as  $v \neq 0$ . Assume thus without loss of generality that  $a_n \neq 0$ . Then  $v\left(v^{n-1} + \ldots + a_1\right) = -a_n$ . Then dividing through by  $v^{-n}(-a_n)^{-1}$  we get

$$(-a_n)^{-1} + \ldots + (-a_n)^{-1}a_1v^{n-1} + v^{-n} = 0$$

so  $v^{-1}$  is the root of a monic polynomial in k[x] and thus algebraic over k.

(b) We must show that any nonzero element of R has an inverse. Let  $r \in R$  be nonzero. Since L is a finite extension of k it is also an algebraic extension by the claim in lecture notes 5. Hence there exists a monic polynomial  $f \in k[x]$  such that  $f(\frac{1}{r}) = 0$ . Let  $f(x) = \sum_{i \le n} a_i x^i$ , so  $0 = f(\frac{1}{r}) = \sum_{i \le n} a_i r^{-i}$ . Multiplying by  $r^{n-1}$ , we get  $\frac{1}{r} = -\frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{i \le n-1} a_i r^{n-1-i} \in R$ . Hence each  $r \in R$  has an inverse, so R is a field.

5: If  $\alpha \in L'$  is algebraic over L, then there exist  $c_i \in L$  such that  $a^n = c_0 + c_1 \alpha + \ldots + c_{n-1} \alpha^{n-1}$ . Let  $S = k [c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_{n-1}, \alpha] = \left\{ \sum a_{(i)} c_0^{i_0} \ldots c_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}} \alpha^{i_n} \right\}$ . Define the homomorphism  $\varphi \colon k [x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1}, x_n] \to S$  by sending  $x_i \to c_i$  and  $x_n \to \alpha$ . Then S a subfield of L' that is k ring-finite. By Zariski, S is module-finite and hence algebraic over k. Thus there exists  $f \in k[x]$ 

such that  $f(\alpha) = 0$ 

Alternative, we can show it by repeated uses of proposition 3, section 9: since  $c_0,\ldots,c_n$  are in L, they are algebraic, so  $S'=k\left[c_0,\ldots,c_n\right]$  is modulo finite by repeated applications of proposition 3 with problem 1.45.(a) - transitivity of module-finiteness. Then  $S=S'\left[\alpha\right]$  is module finite over S' by the first part of the problem. Since  $k\left[\alpha\right]\subset S$ , we have that

 $k[\alpha]$  is finite and thus  $\alpha$  is algebraic over k.