Exercise 0.1 (1). Proof. (1) Choose  $\varphi \colon \mathbb{Z}/2 \to \mathbb{Z}/4$  by  $1 \mapsto 2$ .

(2) Any map  $\varphi \colon \mathbb{Z}/m \to \mathbb{Z}/n$  in Ring must take  $1 \mapsto 1$ , and is uniquely determined thereby since  $\varphi(k) = \varphi(1 + \ldots + 1) = \varphi(1) + \ldots + \varphi(1) = k$ . Therefore,  $0 = \varphi(m) = m$  in  $\mathbb{Z}/n$ , so  $n \mid m$ . And it is clear that if  $n \mid m$ , then  $1 \mapsto 1$  is a well-defined ring homomorphism. Thus

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Ring}}\left(\mathbb{Z}/m,\mathbb{Z}/n\right) = \begin{cases} 1 \mapsto 1, & n \mid m \\ \varnothing, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

(3) We claim that the correspondence

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Ring}}(\mathbb{Z}[x],R) \to R$$
  
 $\varphi \mapsto \varphi(x)$ 

is bijective. Indeed,  $\varphi(1) = 1$  necessarily, so  $\varphi(k) = k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , so we

simply have  $\varphi\left(\sum \alpha_i x^i\right) = \sum \varphi\left(\alpha_i\right) \varphi(x)^i = \sum \alpha_i \varphi(x)^i$ . (4) Suppose  $(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, +)$  admits a ring structure with multiplication  $\cdot$ . Let  $\frac{a}{b} \in$  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  be the unit. Then for any  $\frac{x}{y} \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ 

$$\frac{x}{y} = \frac{x}{y} \cdot \frac{a}{b} = \frac{x}{y} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{b} + \dots + \frac{1}{b}\right) = \frac{x}{y} \cdot \frac{1}{b} + \dots + \frac{x}{y} \cdot \frac{1}{b}.$$

Therefore, we must have  $\frac{x}{y} \cdot \frac{1}{b} = \frac{x}{ay}$ . But then

$$\frac{x}{ay} = \frac{x}{y} \cdot \frac{1}{b}$$

implies

$$\frac{bx}{ay} = \frac{x}{y} \cdot \frac{1}{b} + \ldots + \frac{x}{y} \cdot \frac{1}{b} = \frac{bx}{y} \cdot \frac{1}{b}$$

Hence we get

$$\frac{x}{y} = \frac{x}{y} \cdot \frac{a}{b} = \frac{bx}{ay}$$

and so  $\frac{a}{b} = 1$  as  $\frac{x}{y}$  was arbitrary. However, 1 = 0 in  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ , giving  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} = \{0\}$ , which is a contradiction.

(5) By (2),  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Ring}}(\mathbb{Z}/m,\mathbb{Z}/n)$  is either a single map or empty, and as the empty set is not a ring, this Hom set in general does not admit a ring structure - when it does, it must be the trivial one.

Exercise 0.2 (2). (1) A admits a unique  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra structure since any ring homomorphism  $\mathbb{Z} \to A$  is uniquely determined by  $1 \mapsto 1$ .

(2) Take  $A = \mathbb{Z}[x]$  and R to be any ring with more than one element. By exercise 1.(c),  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Ring}}(\mathbb{Z}[x], R) \cong R$ , so R admits more than one R-algebra structure.

However, these structures could be isomorphic in the sense that there exists maps  $\varphi, \psi \colon R \to R$  with  $\varphi \psi = \mathrm{id} = \psi \varphi$  and composing one algebra structure  $\mathbb{Z}[x] \to R$  with  $\varphi$  gives  $\psi$  and vice versa.

So we must find explicit examples which are non-isomorphic. Define  $f,g: \mathbb{Z}[x] \to \mathbb{Z}/6$  by f(x) = 2 and g(x) = 3. Now, there is no ring homomorphism  $\varphi \mathbb{Z}/6 \to \mathbb{Z}/6$  such that  $\varphi \circ f = g$  since  $0 = \varphi(0) = g$  $\varphi \circ f(3x) = g(3x) = 3$  gives a contradiction.

**Exercise 0.3** (3). This is just the 4th isomorphism theorem for ideals of rings. Define a map  $\pi: A \to B$  by sending  $A \to \overline{A} = A + I$ .

Suppose  $\pi(A) = \pi(B)$ . Then for any  $a \in A$ , there exists  $b \in B$  such that  $a - b \in I \subset A \cap B$ , hence  $a, b \in A \cap B$ . Thus  $A \subset B \subset A$ , so A = B.

Now, suppose  $V \in \mathcal{B}$ . Let  $A = \pi^{-1}(V)$ . This is an ideal containing I. If  $a, b \in A$  then  $\pi(a), \pi(b) \in V$  so  $\pi(ab) = \pi(a)\pi(b) \in V$ , hence  $ab \in \pi^{-1}(V)$ . Similar closure for the rest. And if  $r \in R$  then  $\pi(ar) = \pi(a)\pi(r) \in V$  as  $\pi(a) \in V$  and V is an ideal, so  $ar \in A$ , hence A is an ideal. This gives surjectivity.

**Exercise 0.4** (4).  $(2,x) \subset \mathbb{Z}[x]$  is not principle as an ideal generated over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . If (2,x)=(p(x)), then  $p(x)\mid 2$  implies that that the degree of p is 0. Now let q(x) be such that p(x)q(x)=x and h(x) such that p(x)h(x)=2. Then the degree of 2 is 0 and that of q is 1. Furthermore, as  $p\in (2,x)$ , we must have p(x)=2k(x). But this implies 2k(x)q(x)=x, so  $2\mid x$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ , which is impossible.

**Exercise 0.5** (7). (1) Surjectivity amounts to finding an  $f \in K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  such that f(y) = k for some arbitrary  $k \in K$ . Consider the map  $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = k + (x_1 - y_1) \ldots (x_n - y_n)$ . Or the constant polynomial at k works also. Now,  $\varphi_y(f+g) = (f+g)(y) = f(y) + g(y) = \varphi_y(f) + \varphi_y(g)$ , and  $\varphi_y(fg) = \varphi_y(f)\varphi_y(g)$  is seen likewise.

That it is a homomorphism of K-algebras (with the standard K-algebra structure) amounts to showing that  $\varphi_y(k) = k$  which is clear.

(2) Let  $\varphi: K[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \to K$  be a ring homomorphism. Let  $y_i = \varphi(x_i)$ . Then  $\varphi(\sum a_I x_I) = \sum \varphi(a_I) y_I = \varphi_{y_I}(\sum a_I x_I)$  (for this we need  $\varphi$  to be a K-algebra homomorphism of with  $K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  in the standard structure. Is there a different way of arguing?)