

0.1. Cohomology in terms of Homological Algebra. Recall the Universal Coefficient Theorem for Cohomology:

Theorem 0.1 (Universal Coefficient Theorem for Cohomology). *Let R be a ring and A an R -module. Let C_* be a complex of projective R -modules such that the subcomplex of boundaries B_* is also a complex of projective modules.*

(1) *For all n , there is a SES*

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(H_{n-1}(C_*), A) \xrightarrow{\lambda_n} H^n(\text{Hom}_R(C_*, A)) \xrightarrow{\mu_n} \text{Hom}_R(H_n(C_*), A) \rightarrow 0$$

where both λ_n and μ_n are natural in C_ and A .*

(2) *If R is a PID, then the SES in (1) is split, but it is not always naturally split.*

Also recall the basic properties:

Lemma 0.2. *For a finitely generated H , we have*

- $\text{Ext}(H \oplus H', G) \cong \text{Ext}(H, G) \oplus \text{Ext}(H', G)$
- $\text{Ext}(H, G) = 0$ if H is free.
- $\text{Ext}(\mathbb{Z}/n, G) \cong G/nG$.

Corollary 0.3. *If the homology groups H_n and H_{n-1} of a chain complex C of free abelian groups are finitely generated, with torsion subgroups $T_n \subset H_n$ and $T_{n-1} \subset H_{n-1}$, then $H^n(\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(C_*, \mathbb{Z})) \cong (H_n/T_n) \oplus T_{n-1}$.*

Proof. By the Universal Coefficient theorem for cohomology, we have that

$$H^n(\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(C_*, \mathbb{Z})) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(H_{n-1}(C_*), \mathbb{Z}) \oplus \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H_n(C_*), \mathbb{Z})$$

Now, $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(H_{n-1}(C_*), \mathbb{Z}) \cong T_{n-1}$ and $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H_n(C_*), \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_n/T_n$. \square

Proposition 0.4. *If a chain map between chain complexes of free abelian groups induces an isomorphism on homology groups, then it induces an isomorphism on cohomology groups with any coefficient group G .*

Proof. Suppose $\alpha: C_* \rightarrow C'_*$ is the chain map such that $\alpha_*: H_n(C_*) \rightarrow H_n(C'_*)$ is an isomorphism for all n . Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}(H_{n-1}(C), G) & \longrightarrow & H^n(C; G) & \xrightarrow{h} & \text{Hom}(H_n(C), G) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & (\alpha_*)^* \uparrow \cong & & \alpha^* \uparrow & & (\alpha_*)^* \uparrow \cong \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}(H_{n-1}(C'), G) & \longrightarrow & H^n(C'; G) & \xrightarrow{h} & \text{Hom}(H_n(C'), G) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

which follows from naturality of the Universal Coefficient theorem. Then by the 5-lemma, we obtain that α^* is an isomorphism also. \square

0.2. Cohomology of Spaces. Define $S^{-n}(X; G) := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(S_n(X), G)$, so $S^*(X; A)$ is a chain complex. We define $H^n(X; A) := H_{-n}(S^*(X; A))$, called *singular cohomology of X with coefficients in A* .

Thus an n -cochain $\varphi \in S^{-n}(X; G)$ assigns to each n -simplex $\sigma: \Delta^n \rightarrow X$ a value $\varphi(\sigma) \in G$. Since the n -simplices form a basis for $S_n(X)$, these values can be chosen arbitrarily, hence n -cochains are exactly equivalent to functions from singular n -simplices to G .

The *coboundary map* $\delta: S^{-n}(X; G) \rightarrow S^{-(n+1)}(X; G)$ is the dual ∂^* , so for a cochain $\varphi \in S^{-n}(X; G)$, its coboundary $\delta\varphi$ is the composition $\delta\varphi = \partial^*\varphi = \varphi \circ \partial$, i.e., the composition $C_{n+1}(X) \xrightarrow{\partial} C_n(X) \xrightarrow{\varphi} G$.

Hence for a singular $(n+1)$ -simplex $\sigma: \Delta^{n+1} \rightarrow X$, we have

$$\delta\varphi(\sigma) = \sum_i (-1)^i \varphi(\sigma|_{[v_0, \dots, \widehat{v_i}, \dots, v_{n+1}]}) .$$

Since δ^2 is the dual of $\partial^2 = 0$, we have $\delta^2 = 0$ also, so $H^n(X; G)$ can be defined as above.

Note. For a cochain $\varphi \in S^{-n}(X; G)$ to be a cocycle means that $\delta\varphi = \varphi\partial = 0$, i.e., it means that φ vanishes on boundaries.