

MATH 5301 Elementary Analysis - Final Exam

Jonas Wagner

2021, December 7th

Problem 1

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ define the set

$$Q_n := \left\{ \frac{1}{pq} : 0 < p < q \leq n; p + q > n; \gcd(p, q) = 1 \right\}$$

Let $f(n)$ be the sum of all elements of Q_n .
Find $\inf_n f(n)$.

Definition 1. Let the set Q_n be defined for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ as

$$Q_n := \left\{ \frac{1}{pq} : 0 < p < q \leq n; p + q > n; \gcd(p, q) = 1 \right\}$$

Definition 2. Let $f(n)$ be the sum of all elements within Q_n .

Definition 3. A lower bound of subset A in the partially ordered set (S, \leq) is defined by

$$a \in S : a \leq x \forall x \in A$$

A lower bound of a is called an infimum of set $A \in (S, \leq)$, denoted as $a = \inf A$, is the greatest lower bound.
i.e.

$$\forall y \in S : a \leq x \forall x \in A \implies y \leq a$$

Definition 4. The Greatest Common Divisor of two nonzero integers $a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \neq 0$, $\gcd(a, b)$, is defined as the largest positive integer, $d \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, so that d is a divisor of both a and b . i.e:

$$\gcd(a, b) := d \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : (a : d) \wedge (b : d) \wedge (\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : a, b : x \implies d \geq x)$$

Additionally, a and b are considered coprime if $\gcd(a, b) = 1$.

Assumption 1. For this problem it is assumed that \gcd is only defined within \mathbb{Z}_+ , although I believe this can also be expanded to other less-strict ordered sets in the same way.

Assumption 2. It is assumed that the sum of all elements in the empty set is 0, i.e. $\sum_i \emptyset = 0$.

Theorem 1.

$$\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f(n) = 0$$

Proof. Proof by induction.

For $n = 1$, $\neg \exists_{p,q \in \mathbb{Z}} : 0 < p < q \leq 1$ meaning that $Q_1 = \emptyset$.

This implies that $f(1) = \sum_i \emptyset = 0$ and that $f(1) \geq 0$.

For $n = 2$,

$$(p, q) \in \{(p, q) : 0 < p < q \leq 2; p + q > n; \gcd(p, q) = 1\} = \{(1, 2)\}$$

The set Q_2 is then defined as

$$Q_2 = \left\{ \frac{1}{pq} : (p, q) \in \{(1, 2)\} \right\} = \left\{ \frac{1}{(1)(2)} \right\} = \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \right\}$$

Therefore,

$$f(2) = \sum_i \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \right\} = \frac{1}{2}$$

It is clear that $f(2) = \frac{1}{2} \geq 0$.

For $n = 3$,

$$(p, q) \in \{(p, q) : 0 < p < q \leq 3; p + q > n; \gcd(p, q) = 1\} = \{(1, 3), (2, 3)\}$$

The set Q_3 is then defined as

$$Q_3 = \left\{ \frac{1}{pq} : (p, q) \in \{(1, 3), (2, 3)\} \right\} = \left\{ \frac{1}{(1)(3)}, \frac{1}{(2)(3)} \right\} = \left\{ \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{6} \right\}$$

Therefore,

$$f(3) = \sum_i \left\{ \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{6} \right\} = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{6} = \frac{2+1}{6} = \frac{3}{6} = \frac{1}{2}$$

It is clear that $f(3) = \frac{1}{2} \geq 0$.

For $n = 4$,

$$(p, q) \in \{(p, q) : 0 < p < q \leq 4; p + q > n; \gcd(p, q) = 1\} = \{(1, 4), (2, 3), (3, 4)\}$$

The set Q_4 is then defined as

$$Q_4 = \left\{ \frac{1}{pq} : (p, q) \in \{(2, 3), (3, 4)\} \right\} = \left\{ \frac{1}{(1)(4)}, \frac{1}{(2)(3)}, \frac{1}{(3)(4)} \right\} = \left\{ \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{12} \right\}$$

Therefore,

$$f(4) = \sum_i \left\{ \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{12} \right\} = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{12} = \frac{3+2+1}{12} = \frac{6}{12} = \frac{1}{2}$$

It is clear that $f(4) = \frac{1}{2} \geq 0$.

For an arbitrary $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (p, q) \in \{(p, q) : 0 < p < q \leq n; p + q > n; \gcd(p, q) = 1\} = \\ = \{(1, n), (2, n - \star), (3, n - \star), \dots, (n - 2, n - 1), (n - 1, n)\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Q_n &= \left\{ \frac{1}{pq} : (p, q) \in \{(1, n), (2, n - \star), \dots, (n - 2, n - 1), (n - 1, n)\} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \frac{1}{(1)(n)}, \frac{1}{(2)(n - 1)}, \dots, \frac{1}{(n - 2)(n - 1)}, \frac{1}{(n - 1)(n)} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{2(n - \star)}, \dots, \frac{1}{(n - 2)(n - 1)}, \frac{1}{n(n - 1)} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where \star is dependent for on divisibility properties between n and 2, 3, 4, etc. It is important to note that each increase of n will cause every term to decrease in magnitude individually but additional elements are added that result to adding up to $\frac{1}{2}$ again.

However, eventually this will reach a point where a lack of prime numbers in a region makes it so that the only coprime numbers satisfying the conditions are adjacent to one another, which leads to the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
f(n) &= \sum_i Q_n = \frac{1}{n} + \cdots + \frac{1}{(\frac{n}{2})(\frac{n}{2} + 1)} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \\
f(n+1) &= \left(\sum_i Q_n \right) \left(\frac{n!}{(n+1)!} \right) + \frac{1}{(n+1)} \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \frac{n!}{(n+1)!} + \cdots + \frac{1}{(\frac{n}{2})(\frac{n}{2} + 1)} \frac{n!}{(n+1)!} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \frac{n!}{(n+1)!} + \frac{1}{n+1} \\
&= \frac{n!}{n(n+1)n!} + \cdots + \frac{n!}{\frac{n}{2}(\frac{n}{2} - 1)(n+1)n!} + \cdots + \frac{n!}{n(n-1)(n+1)n!} + \frac{1}{n+1} \\
&= \sum_i Q_{n+1} = \frac{1}{n+1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{(\frac{n+1}{2})(\frac{n+1}{2} + 1)} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n(n+1)}
\end{aligned}$$

essentially every (p, q) becomes $(q, q+1)$ and the new $\frac{1}{(n+1)}$ is added.

Anyway, the point is that $\forall_{n \in \mathbb{N}} : n > 1 f(n) \geq \frac{1}{2}$; however, because $f(n)$ is included, $\frac{1}{2} \leq f(n) \forall_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ since $Q_1 = \emptyset \implies f(1) = 0$.

Therefore,

$$\inf_n f(n) = 0$$

□

Problem 2

Let (X, d) be a metric space. Let $B_r(a)$ denote the open ball of radius r centered at a . i.e. Can it happen that $B_{r_1}(a) \subset B_{r_2}(a)$ but $r_1 > r_2$?

Definition 5. Within the metric space (X, d) , the open ball of radius $r \in X$ centered at $a \in X$, denoted as $B_r(a)$, is defined as:

$$B_r(a) := \{x \in X : d(a, x) < r\}$$

Assumption 3. First it will be assumed that (X, d) is a normed vector space. This restricts the metric and metric space into a normed space. This can also be denoted as $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ to distinguish between them. It is also assumed that X is complete.

Theorem 2. For $r_1 > r_2$ then it is not possible for $B_{r_1}(a) \subset B_{r_2}(b)$ within $(X, \|\cdot\|)$:

Proof. Proof by contradiction.

Let

$$B_{r_1}(a), B_{r_2}(b) \subset X$$

with $0 < r_2 < r_1$ and $a \in B_{r_2}(b)$.

To minimize the amount of the set existing outside of the set, we need to set $a = b$. Next, let c be a point within the punctured open ball $B_{r_2}(b)$. i.e.

$$c \in B_{r_2}(b) \setminus \{b\}$$

c can then be used to construct a point that is contained in $B_{r_2}(b)$ but not in $B_{r_1}(a)$:

$$p + \frac{r_1 + r_2}{2} \frac{ac}{\|ac\|} \in B_{r_1}(a) \setminus B_{r_2}(b)$$

Meaning that there is no possible way for an open ball of greater radius (within a normed metric space). \square

Assumption 4. The previous assumption, Assumption 3, is now relax the metric so that d is not restricted to be a norm (i.e. may not be linear).

Theorem 3. It is possible for $B_{r_1}(a) \subset B_{r_2}(b)$ within (X, d) when $r_1 > r_2$:

Proof. Proof by example:

Let metric space (X, d) be defined by

$$X := 0 \cup [5, \infty)$$

$$d(x, y) := |x - y|$$

For $r_1 = 4$, $r_2 = 3$,

Let $B_4(0)$ be defined as

$$B_4(0) := \{4x \in X : d(0, x) < 4\} = \{0\} \cup [2, 4)$$

Let $B_3(2)$ be defined as

$$B_3(2) := \{x \in X : d(2, x) < 3\} = \{0\} \cup [2, 5)$$

Clearly, $B_3(2) \subset B_4(0)$. Since $r_1 = 4 > r_2 = 3$, this exists as an example that satisfies the conditions. \square

Problem 3

Let M be the set of all bounded sequences

$$M = \{\{a_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} : |a_j| < \infty\}$$

Define $\rho(\{a_n\}, \{b_n\}) = \max_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |a_n - b_n|$

Definition 6. Function $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is considered a metric if it satisfies all of the following:

a. Non-negativity:

$$d(a, b) \geq 0$$

b. Symmetry:

$$d(a, b) = d(b, a)$$

c. Triangle Inequality:

$$d(a, c) \leq d(a, b) + d(b, c)$$

a) Show that (M, ρ) is a metric space.

Theorem 4. Let M be defined as the set of all bounded sequences:

$$M = \{\{a_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} : |a_j| < \infty\}$$

Let the metric ρ be defined on M such that

$$\rho(\{a_n\}, \{b_n\}) = \max_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |a_n - b_n|$$

The metric space (M, ρ) is in fact a metric space.

Proof. From Definition 6, ρ is a metric if $\forall \{a_n\}, \{b_n\}, \{c_n\} \in M$ these three conditions are all satisfied: (i) non-negativity, (ii) Symmetry, and (iii) Triangle Inequality.

a. Non-negativity:

$$\begin{aligned} d(a, b) &\geq 0 \\ \rho(\{a_n\}, \{b_n\}) &= \max_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |a_n - b_n| \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

b. Symmetry:

$$\begin{aligned} d(a, b) &= d(b, a) \\ \rho(\{a_n\}, \{b_n\}) &= \max_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |a_n - b_n| = \max_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |b_n - a_n| = \rho(\{b_n\}, \{a_n\}) \end{aligned}$$

c. Triangle Inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} d(a, c) &\leq d(a, b) + d(b, c) \\ \rho(\{a_n\}, \{c_n\}) &\leq \rho(\{a_n\}, \{b_n\}) + \rho(\{b_n\}, \{c_n\}) \\ \max_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |a_n - c_n| &\leq \max_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |a_n - b_n| + \max_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |b_n - c_n| \leq \max_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |a_n - b_n| + \max_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |b_n - c_n| \end{aligned}$$

□

b) Show that M does not contain a dense countable subset.

Definition 7. The Closure, \overline{A} , of $A \subset X$ is defined as

$$\overline{A} = A \cup \left\{ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n : a_n \in A \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

Definition 8. A set $A \subset X$ is considered dense in X if $\overline{A} = X$.

Theorem 5. For the power set, $\mathcal{P}(A)$, defined as the collections of all sets constructed from the elements of A , then the cardinality of $\mathcal{P}(A)$ will always be strictly greater than that of A . i.e.

$$|2^A| > |A|$$

- This is also applicable to infinite sets with whether it is countable or not. i.e

$$|2^{\mathbb{N}}| = \aleph_1 > |\mathbb{N}| = \aleph_0$$

- The theorem itself is that any mapping from A to $\mathcal{P}(A)$ is not surjective which is then proven false. It then follows that $f : A \xrightarrow{I} \mathcal{P}(A)$ is injective, which is equivalent to saying that $|A| < |\mathcal{P}(A)|$.

Theorem 6. M does not contain any dense countable subsets.

Proof. Proof by contradiction inspired by Cantor's Theorem (5).

Let $A_N \subset M$ be defined as

$$A_N := \{ \{a_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} : |a_j| < N \}$$

Similarly to Cantor's theorem, even when restricting a_j from a finely sized set, the only mapping that exists from a countable set into A_n are strictly injective.

Next, taking $A = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} A_N$, we will prove that in order for A to be dense, A would no longer be countable. From Definition 7 and Definition 8, it is known that in order for A to be dense within M , $\overline{A} = M$. Since M itself is an infinite set, even if for sequences of a finite set of numbers, A would become infinite and ultimately uncountable with $|A| \leq |M|$. \square

Problem 4

Does there exist a metric space, containing a sequence of nested bounded closed sets $F_1 \supset F_2 \supset \cdots \supset F_n \supset \cdots$ such that

$$\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n = \emptyset$$

Hint: If $d(x, y)$ is a usual Euclidean metric on \mathbb{R} , one can show that $\frac{d(x, y)}{1+d(x, y)}$ is also a metric. Such metric is often called a bounded metric...

Definition 9. The set A in metric space (X, d) is considered open if

$$\forall x \in A \exists \epsilon > 0 : \forall y \in X d(x, y) < \epsilon$$

Definition 10. The set A in metric space (X, d) is considered closed if the set A^c is open.

Definition 11. The set A in metric space (X, d) is called bounded if

$$\forall x \in A \exists R > 0 : \forall y \in A d(x, y) < R$$

Theorem 7. There does not exist a metric space (X, d) containing the sequence of nested bounded closed sets $F_1 \supset F_2 \supset \cdots \supset F_n \supset \cdots$ such that

$$\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n = \emptyset$$

Proof. The basic plan is to prove that

Let the metric space (X, d) be endowed with metric $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $d(x, y) = \sqrt{(x - y)^2}$.

Let $d_1 = \frac{d(x, y)}{1+d(x, y)}$ be a new metric defined on

□

Problem 5

Show that there exists a unique continuous function, $f(x)$ on the interval $[0, 1]$, satisfying the equation

$$f(x) = \int_0^1 \sin(x^2 + y^2) f(y) dy$$

Theorem 8. *There exists a unique continuous function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that satisfies the following equation*

$$f(x) = \int_0^1 \sin(x^2 + y^2) f(y) dy \quad (1)$$

Proof. I'll prove that there is only a single solution by essentially treating the integral statement as a set of systems of equations (but with an operator) and then demonstrate that the solution function is unique as a contradiction would occur otherwise.

Let T be a functional mapping of the $f(y)$ to $f(x)$, $T : \mathbb{C}([0, 1]) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}([0, 1])$, defined as:

$$T(f(y)) := \int_0^1 \sin(x^2 + y^2) f(y) dy$$

Now we take $T(f(x)) = f(x)$ and make the claim $f(x)$ is not unique. This would mean that $T(g(x)) = g(x)$ is another solution. If there are multiple solutions, then the following would be true:

$$\begin{aligned} T(f(x)) - f(x) &= T(g(x)) - g(x) \\ \int_0^1 \sin(x^2 + y^2) f(y) dy - f(x) &= \int_0^1 \sin(x^2 + y^2) g(y) dy - g(x) \\ f(x) - g(x) &= \int_0^1 \sin(x^2 + y^2) f(y) dy - \int_0^1 \sin(x^2 + y^2) g(y) dy \\ f(x) - g(x) &= \int_0^1 \sin(x^2 + y^2) (f(y) - g(y)) dy \end{aligned}$$

Since $|\sin x| \leq 1$,

$$\leq \int_0^1 (f(y) - g(y)) dy \leq$$

Since we can look at the integral over the region as less than the maximum value times the width:

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq (1 - 0) \sup_{y \in [0, 1]} (f(y) - g(y)) = \\ f(x) - g(x) &= \sup_{y \in [0, 1]} (f(y) - g(y)) \end{aligned}$$

This demonstrated that they do in fact need to be the same function to be correct. □

Problem 6

Let V be a complete metric space without isolated points. Show that V is uncountable ($|V| > |\mathbb{N}|$).

Definition 12. A metric space (X, d) is considered Complete if every Cauchy sequence of points in X has a limit within X .

- A sequence x_1, x_2, \dots in metric space (X, d) is considered Cauchy if

$$\forall r > 0 \exists N : \forall m, n > N d(x_m, x_n) < r$$

- x is the limit of sequence (x_n) , $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$, if

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : \forall n \geq N |x_n - x| < \epsilon$$

Definition 13. A point within metric space (X, d) is considered an isolated point of set $A \subset X$ in which no other points are within the neighborhood of x . i.e.

$$\exists \epsilon > 0 : \forall y \in X : d(x, y) < \epsilon y \implies y \notin A$$

- A complete set A that contains no isolated points is called dense-in-itself.

Definition 14. A one to one correspondance is also known as a bijective function that maps $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow X$.

- A function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$ is said to be surjective if

$$\exists_{f:\mathbb{N} \rightarrow A} \iff \exists_{f:\mathbb{N} \rightarrow A} : \forall x \in \mathbb{N} \exists f(x) \in A$$

- A function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$ is said to be injective if

$$\exists_{f:\mathbb{N} \rightarrow A} \iff \exists_{f:\mathbb{N} \rightarrow A} : \forall f(x) \in A \exists x \in \mathbb{N}$$

- A function $f : A \rightarrow B$ is said to be bijective if f is both surjective and injective. i.e.

$$\exists_{f:A \rightarrow B} \iff \exists_{f:A \rightarrow B} : (\forall x \in A \exists f(x) \in B) \wedge (\forall y \in B \exists f^{-1}(y) \in A)$$

Definition 15. The Cardinality of set A , denoted as $|A|$, is the number of unique elements contained within A .

- A set A is considered Countable if $|A| \leq |\mathbb{N}|$. This is also said to be true if a surjective function exists mapping \mathbb{N} to A .
- Set A and B within metric space (X, d) are said to be of the same cardinality, $|A| = |B|$, if there exists a bijective mapping between A and B , $f : A \xrightarrow{B} B$.
- If A is an infinite set, then A is Countably Infinite, $|A| = \aleph_0 = |\mathbb{N}|$, if there exists a one to one correspondence from \mathbb{N} to A .
- For a set A is considered uncountable if it is not countable. i.e. $|A| > |\mathbb{N}|$. This is also said to be true if an injective function exists mapping \mathbb{N} to A , but that no surjective mappings exist.

Theorem 9. A complete metric space, (V, d) , that contains no isolated point is uncountable.

Proof. From Definition 12, we have that all cauchy sequences in the complete metric space (X, d) must have a limit in X .

From Definition 15, it is known that within all countable sets there exists a one-to-one correspondence between \mathbb{N} and the set A .

For A to be uncountable, an injective function mapping \mathbb{N} to A , there exists, $f : \mathbb{N} \xrightarrow{A} A$.

From Definition 14, this means that $\forall f(x) \in A \exists x \in \mathbb{N}$, however, since \mathbb{N} is not a complete set, it is not possible for a one-to-one correspondence to exist. Therefore, the set is not countable and therefore uncountable. \square