MATH 5301 Elementary Analysis - Homework 6

Jonas Wagner

2021, October $17^{\rm th}$

Problem 1

a) Show that $\forall_{x>0} \ x \in \mathbb{R} \implies \lim_{n\to\infty} x^{1/n} = 1$

Definition 1. for $f:(S_1,d_1) \to (S_2,d_2)$, if $a \in \bar{S}_1$ then we say

$$\lim_{x \to a} f(x) = b$$

if

$$\forall_{\epsilon>0} \exists_{\delta(\epsilon)>0} : \forall_{x \in \dot{B}_{\delta}(a) \subset S_1} \implies f(x) \in B_{\epsilon}(b) \subset S_2$$

Theorem 1.

$$\forall_{x>0} \ x \in \mathbb{R} \implies \lim_{n \to \infty} x^{1/n} = 1$$

Proof.

$$\forall_{x>0} \ x \in \mathbb{R} \implies \lim_{n \to \infty} f(x) = x^{1/n} = 1$$

$$\implies \forall_{\epsilon>0} \exists_{\delta(\epsilon)>0} : \forall_{x \in \dot{B}_{\delta}(a)} \implies f(x) \in B_{\epsilon}(b)$$

$$\implies \forall_{\epsilon>0} \exists_{\delta(\epsilon)>0} : \forall_{x \in \mathbb{R}} : d_1(a,x) < \delta(\epsilon) \implies x^{1/n} \in \mathbb{R} : d_2(b,x^{1/n}) < \epsilon$$

Problem 2 True or False?

Definition 2. for $f:(S_1,d_1)\to (S_2,d_2)$, f(x) is a continuous function iff

$$\forall_{x \in S_1} \forall_{\epsilon > 0} \exists_{\delta(x,\epsilon) > 0} \forall_{y \in S_1} : d_1(x,y) < \delta \implies d_2(f(x),f(y)) < \epsilon$$

a) If $f:(S_1,d_1)\to (S_2,d_2)$ is continuous and $U\subset S_1$ is open then $f(U)\subset S_2$ is also open

Theorem 2. If $f:(S_1,d_1)\to (S_2,d_2)$ is continuous and $U\subset S_1$ is open then $f(U)\subset S_2$ is also open. Proof.

$$\forall_{x \in S_1} \forall_{\epsilon > 0} \exists_{\delta(x, \epsilon) > 0} \forall_{y \in S_1} : d_1(x, y) < \delta \implies d_2(f(x), f(y)) < \epsilon \land \\ \land \forall_{x \in U} \exists_{\epsilon > 0} : B_{\epsilon}(x) \subset U \implies \\ \implies \forall_{f(x) \in F(U)} \exists_{\epsilon > 0} : B_{\epsilon}(f(x)) \subset U$$

$$\forall_{x \in S_1} \forall_{\epsilon > 0} \exists_{\delta(x, \epsilon) > 0} \forall_{y \in S_1} : d_1(x, y) < \delta \implies d_2(f(x), f(y)) < \delta \land \\ \land \forall_{x \in U} \exists_{\epsilon_1 > 0} : \forall_{y \in S_1} d(x, y) < \epsilon_1 \subset U \implies \\ \implies \forall_{f(x) \in F(U)} \exists_{\epsilon_2 > 0} : \forall_{f(x) \in f(U)} : \forall_{f(y) \in S_2} : d_2(f(x), f(y)) < \epsilon_2 \subset U$$

which is clearly true.

b) $f:(S_1,d_1)\to (S_2,d_2)$ is continuous $\iff \forall_{C\subset S_2}$ closed, the set $f^{-1}(C)\subset S_1$ is also closed.

Theorem 3. $f:(S_1,d_1)\to (S_2,d_2)$ is continuous $\iff \forall_{C\subset S_2}$ closed, the set $f^{-1}(C)\subset S_1$ is also closed. *Proof.*

$$\begin{split} \forall_{x \in S_1} \forall_{\epsilon > 0} \exists_{\delta(x, \epsilon) > 0} \forall_{y \in S_1} : d_1(x, y) < \delta &\implies d_2(f(x), f(y)) < \epsilon \iff \\ &\iff \forall_{C \subset S_2} : \forall_{x \in C} \mathbf{c} \, \exists_{\epsilon > 0} : B_{\epsilon}(x) \in C^{\complement} \implies \\ &\implies \forall_{x \in f^{-1}(C)} \mathbf{c} \, \exists_{\epsilon > 0} : B_{\epsilon}(x) \in f^{-1}(C)^{\complement} \end{split}$$

Problem 3 Prove the following properties of continuous functions:

a) $\forall_{a,b \in \mathbb{R}} \forall_{f,g:S_1 \to S_2}$ continuous $\Longrightarrow (af+bg)(x) := af(x)+bg(x) : S_1 \to S_2$ continuous. Theorem 4. $\forall_{a,b \in \mathbb{R}} \forall_{f,g:S_1 \to S_2}$ continuous $\Longrightarrow (af+bg)(x) := af(x)+bg(x) : S_1 \to S_2$ continuous. Proof.

 $\forall_{a,b\in\mathbb{R}}\forall_{f,g:S_1\to S_2}:$ $(\forall_{x\in S_1}\forall_{\epsilon>0}\exists_{\delta(x,\epsilon)>0}\forall_{y\in S_1}:d_1(x,y)<\delta\implies d_2(f(x),f(y))<\epsilon)\land$ $\land (\forall_{x\in S_1}\forall_{\epsilon>0}\exists_{\delta(x,\epsilon)>0}\forall_{y\in S_1}:d_1(x,y)<\delta\implies d_2(g(x),g(y))<\epsilon)\implies$ $\implies (\forall_{x\in S_1}\forall_{\epsilon>0}\exists_{\delta(x,\epsilon)>0}\forall_{y\in S_1}:d_1(x,y)<\delta\implies d_2(af(x)+bg(x),af(y)+bg(y))<\epsilon)$ $\forall_{a,b\in\mathbb{R}}\forall_{f,g:S_1\to S_2}:$ $\forall_{x\in S_1}\forall_{\epsilon_{1,2,3}>0}\exists_{\delta(x,\epsilon)>0}\forall_{y\in S_1}:d_1(x,y)<\delta\implies$ $\implies (d_2(f(x),f(y)<\epsilon_1)\land (d_2(g(x),g(y))<\epsilon_2))\implies$ $\implies d_2(af(x)+bg(x),af(y)+bg(y))<\epsilon_3$

Which by the additive and multiplicative properties of a metric space, along with the triangular inequality, this is clearly true:

$$d_2(af(x) + bg(x), af(y) + bg(y)) \le ad_2(f(x), f(y)) + bd_2(g(x), g(y))$$

Therefore this statement is true.

b) $\forall_{f:S_1 \to S_2}$ continuous and $\forall_{h:S_1 \to \mathbb{R}}$ continuous $\Longrightarrow (hf)(x) := h(x) \cdot f(x) : S_1 \to S_2$ continuous.

Theorem 5. $\forall_{f:S_1 \to S_2}$ continuous and $\forall_{h:S_1 \to \mathbb{R}}$ continuous $\Longrightarrow (hf)(x) := h(x) \cdot f(x) : S_1 \to S_2$ continuous. Proof.

$$\forall_{f:S_1 \to S_2} : \forall_{x \in S_1} \forall_{\epsilon > 0} \exists_{\delta(x,\epsilon) > 0} \forall_{y \in S_1} : d_1(x,y) < \delta \implies d_2(f(x),f(y)) < \epsilon) \land \\ \land \forall_{h:S_1 \to \mathbb{R}} : \forall_{x \in S_1} \forall_{\epsilon > 0} \exists_{\delta(x,\epsilon) > 0} \forall_{y \in S_1} : d_1(x,y) < \delta \implies d_2(h(x),h(y)) < \epsilon) \implies \\ \implies (\forall_{x \in S_1} \forall_{\epsilon > 0} \exists_{\delta(x,\epsilon) > 0} \forall_{y \in S_1} : d_1(x,y) < \delta \implies d_2(h(x) \cdot f(x),h(y) \cdot f(y)) < \epsilon) \\ \forall_{f:S_1 \to S_2} \land \forall_{h:S_1 \to \mathbb{R}} : \forall_{x \in S_1} \forall_{\epsilon_{1,2,3} > 0} \exists_{\delta(x,\epsilon) > 0} \forall_{y \in S_1} : d_1(x,y) < \delta \implies \\ \implies (d_2(f(x),f(y)) < \epsilon_1 \land d_2(h(x),h(y)) < \epsilon_2 \implies d_2(h(x) \cdot f(x),h(y) \cdot f(y)) < \epsilon_3)$$

Which by the multiplicative properties of a metric space this is clearly true:

$$d_2(h(x) \cdot f(x), h(y) \cdot f(y)) \le d_2(h(x), h(y)) \cdot d_2(f(x), f(y))$$

Therefore this statement is true.

c) $h(x) \neq 0 \forall_{x \in S_1} \implies \frac{1}{h(x)}$ is continuous.

Note: assuming $h: S_1 \to \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and continuous.

Theorem 6. $\forall_{h:S_1 \to \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}}$ continuous $\implies (h(x))^{-1} := \frac{1}{h(x)} : S_1 \to \mathbb{R}$ continuous.

Proof.

$$\forall_{h:S_1 \to \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}} : \forall_{x \in S_1} \forall_{\epsilon > 0} \exists_{\delta(x,\epsilon) > 0} \forall_{y \in S_1} : d_1(x,y) < \delta \implies d_2(h(x),h(y)) < \epsilon) \implies$$

$$\implies \left(\forall_{x \in S_1} \forall_{\epsilon > 0} \exists_{\delta(x,\epsilon) > 0} \forall_{y \in S_1} : d_1(x,y) < \delta \implies d_2\left(\frac{1}{h(x)},\frac{1}{h(y)}\right) < \epsilon \right)$$

$$\forall_{h:S_1 \to \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}} : \forall_{x \in S_1} \forall_{\epsilon_{1,2} > 0} \exists_{\delta(x,\epsilon) > 0} \forall_{y \in S_1} : d_1(x,y) < \delta \implies d_2(h(x),h(y)) < \epsilon \right) \implies$$

$$\implies d_2(h(x),h(y)) < \epsilon_1 \land d_2\left(\frac{1}{h(x)},\frac{1}{h(y)}\right) < \epsilon_2$$

Within $(\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}, d_2)$,

$$d_2(a,b) < \epsilon_1 \implies \exists_{\epsilon_2 > 0} : d_2\left(\frac{1}{a}, \frac{1}{b}\right) < \epsilon_2$$

Therefore it's true.

Problem 4 Prove the following statement:

If A and B are two closed nonempty disjoint sets in the metric space (S,d) then there exists a continuous function $\mathcal{X}(x)$ such that $\mathcal{X}(x) = 0$ for all $x \in A$ and $\mathcal{X} = 1$ for all $x \in B$.

Theorem 7.

$$A,B\neq\emptyset\in(S,d):A\cap B=\emptyset\implies\exists_{\mathcal{X}:S\to\{0,1\}}\text{continuous}:(\forall_{x\in A}\mathcal{X}=0)\wedge(\forall_{x\in B}\mathcal{X}=1)$$

Proof. Define the distance from the point x to the set A as

$$\rho_A(x) := \inf_{y \in A} d(x, y)$$

Lemma 1.

$$\rho_A(x) = 0 \iff x \in \bar{A}$$

Proof.

Problem 5 Which of following sets in \mathbb{R}^2 are compact?

Definition 3. Let (S,d) be a metric space with $A \subset S$,

a. For $\{U_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha}\in A}$, $U_{\alpha}\subset S$, is a <u>cover</u> of the set A if

$$A \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U_{\alpha}$$

b. A cover $\{U_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha}\in A}$ of A is an open cover if $\forall_{{\alpha}\in A}\ U_{\alpha}$ is an open set.

c. $\{V_{\beta}\}_{\beta \in B}$ is called a <u>subcover</u> of $\{U_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in A}$ if

- (a) $\{V_{\beta}\}_{{\beta}\in B}$ is a cover of A
- $(b) \ \forall_{\beta \in B} \exists_{\alpha \in A} V_{\beta} = U_{\alpha}$
- d. A cover with a finite number of sets is called a finite cover.

Definition 4. For $A \subset (S, d)$, A is **compact** if for every open cover of A there exists a finite sub cover.

a)
$$A = \{(x, y) : x^2 - y^2 \le 1\}$$

This set (a cone I believe) is not bounded and therefore no, through contradiction of the necessary (but insufficient) condition of boundedness, it is not compact.

b)
$$B = \{(x, y) : 0 < x^2 + y^2 \le 1\}$$

This set is a disk, but because it excludes the origin it is no longer closed which is a necessary (but insufficient) condition of boundedness, therefore it is not compact.

c)
$$C = \{(x, y) : x^2 + y^4 \le 1\}$$

This set is compact. Although it is technically not a disk, it follows the same principle because it contains its boundary (meaning it is closed), but generally it is both complete and totally bounded, which is equivalent to being compact.

d)
$$D = \{(1, \frac{1}{n}) : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup (1, 0)$$

For the one single dimension (1/n) it is clear that it is closed and bounded (which are necessary but not sufficient conditions). Similarly, the dimension where 1=1 is also both closed and bounded. To prove compactness, one could use the equivalency of compactness with: $\forall_{a_{k_k \in \mathbb{N}}} \exists_{a_{n_k}} : a_{n_k} \to a \in A$.

Problem 6 Let $A \subset S$ be a compact set. Show the following:

Note: it is assumed that $B, C \subset S$ as well as I believe that was the intent.

a) ∂A is compact.

When A is compact, it will be closed meaning $\partial A \subset A$. From the original definition of a compact set, it is known that a finite subcover exists around the entirety of the set A, and from $\partial A \subset A$ it can be concluded that a finite subcover will exist for this boundary itself; therefore ∂A is compact.

- b) For any closed $B, A \cap B$ is compact.
- c) For any compact C, $A \cup C$ is compact.
- d) Union of infinitely many compact sets may be not compact.

One of the necessary (but not sufficient) conditions of a compact set is that it is bounded. If an infinitely many compact sets are structured so that they become unbounded this could violate that necessary condition.