

# MATH 5301 Elementary Analysis - Final Exam

Jonas Wagner

2021, December 7<sup>th</sup>

## Problem 1

For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  define the set

$$Q_n := \left\{ \frac{1}{pq} : 0 < p < q \leq n; p + q > n; \gcd(p, q) = 1 \right\}$$

Let  $f(n)$  be the sum of all elements of  $Q_n$ .  
Find  $\inf_n f(n)$ .

**Definition 1.** Let the set  $Q_n$  be defined for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  as

$$Q_n := \left\{ \frac{1}{pq} : 0 < p < q \leq n; p + q > n; \gcd(p, q) = 1 \right\}$$

**Definition 2.** Let  $f(n)$  be the sum of all elements within  $Q_n$ .

**Definition 3.** A lower bound of subset  $A$  in the partially ordered set  $(S, \leq)$  is defined by

$$a \in S : a \leq x \forall x \in A$$

A lower bound of  $a$  is called an infimum of set  $A \in (S, \leq)$ , denoted as  $a = \inf A$ , is the greatest lower bound.  
i.e.

$$\forall y \in S : a \leq x \forall x \in A \implies y \leq a$$

**Definition 4.** The Greatest Common Divisor of two nonzero integers  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \neq 0$ ,  $\gcd(a, b)$ , is defined as the largest positive integer,  $d \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , so that  $d$  is a divisor of both  $a$  and  $b$ . i.e:

$$\gcd(a, b) := d \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : (a : d) \wedge (b : d) \wedge (\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : a, b : x \implies d \geq x)$$

Additionally,  $a$  and  $b$  are considered coprime if  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ .

**Assumption 1.** For this problem it is assumed that  $\gcd$  is only defined within  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ , although I believe this can also be expanded to other less-strict ordered sets in the same way.

**Assumption 2.** It is assumed that the sum of all elements in the empty set is 0, i.e.  $\sum_i \emptyset = 0$ .

**Theorem 1.**

$$\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f(n) = 0$$

*Proof.* Proof by induction.

For  $n = 1$ ,  $\neg \exists_{p,q \in \mathbb{Z}} : 0 < p < q \leq 1$  meaning that  $Q_1 = \emptyset$ .

This implies that  $f(1) = \sum_i \emptyset = 0$  and that  $f(1) \geq 0$ .

For  $n = 2$ ,

$$(p, q) \in \{(p, q) : 0 < p < q \leq 2; p + q > n; \gcd(p, q) = 1\} = \{(1, 2)\}$$

The set  $Q_2$  is then defined as

$$Q_2 = \left\{ \frac{1}{pq} : (p, q) \in \{(1, 2)\} \right\} = \left\{ \frac{1}{(1)(2)} \right\} = \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \right\}$$

Therefore,

$$f(2) = \sum_i \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \right\} = \frac{1}{2}$$

It is clear that  $f(2) = \frac{1}{2} \geq 0$ .

For  $n = 3$ ,

$$(p, q) \in \{(p, q) : 0 < p < q \leq 3; p + q > n; \gcd(p, q) = 1\} = \{(1, 3), (2, 3)\}$$

The set  $Q_3$  is then defined as

$$Q_3 = \left\{ \frac{1}{pq} : (p, q) \in \{(1, 3), (2, 3)\} \right\} = \left\{ \frac{1}{(1)(3)}, \frac{1}{(2)(3)} \right\} = \left\{ \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{6} \right\}$$

Therefore,

$$f(3) = \sum_i \left\{ \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{6} \right\} = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{6} = \frac{2+1}{6} = \frac{3}{6} = \frac{1}{2}$$

It is clear that  $f(3) = \frac{1}{2} \geq 0$ .

For  $n = 4$ ,

$$(p, q) \in \{(p, q) : 0 < p < q \leq 4; p + q > n; \gcd(p, q) = 1\} = \{(1, 4), (2, 3), (3, 4)\}$$

The set  $Q_4$  is then defined as

$$Q_4 = \left\{ \frac{1}{pq} : (p, q) \in \{(2, 3), (3, 4)\} \right\} = \left\{ \frac{1}{(1)(4)}, \frac{1}{(2)(3)}, \frac{1}{(3)(4)} \right\} = \left\{ \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{12} \right\}$$

Therefore,

$$f(4) = \sum_i \left\{ \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{12} \right\} = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{12} = \frac{3+2+1}{12} = \frac{6}{12} = \frac{1}{2}$$

It is clear that  $f(4) = \frac{1}{2} \geq 0$ .

For an arbitrary  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (p, q) \in \{(p, q) : 0 < p < q \leq n; p + q > n; \gcd(p, q) = 1\} = \\ = \{(1, n), (2, n - \star), (3, n - \star), \dots, (n - 2, n - 1), (n - 1, n)\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Q_n &= \left\{ \frac{1}{pq} : (p, q) \in \{(1, n), (2, n - \star), \dots, (n - 2, n - 1), (n - 1, n)\} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \frac{1}{(1)(n)}, \frac{1}{(2)(n - 1)}, \dots, \frac{1}{(n - 2)(n - 1)}, \frac{1}{(n - 1)(n)} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{2(n - \star)}, \dots, \frac{1}{(n - 2)(n - 1)}, \frac{1}{n(n - 1)} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\star$  is dependent for on divisibility properties between  $n$  and 2, 3, 4, etc. It is important to note that each increase of  $n$  will cause every term to decrease in magnitude individually but additional elements are added that result to adding up to  $\frac{1}{2}$  again.

However, eventually this will reach a point where a lack of prime numbers in a region makes it so that the only coprime numbers satisfying the conditions are adjacent to one another, which leads to the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
f(n) &= \sum_i Q_n = \frac{1}{n} + \cdots + \frac{1}{(\frac{n}{2})(\frac{n}{2} + 1)} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \\
f(n+1) &= \left( \sum_i Q_n \right) \left( \frac{n!}{(n+1)!} \right) + \frac{1}{(n+1)} \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \frac{n!}{(n+1)!} + \cdots + \frac{1}{(\frac{n}{2})(\frac{n}{2} + 1)} \frac{n!}{(n+1)!} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \frac{n!}{(n+1)!} + \frac{1}{n+1} \\
&= \frac{n!}{n(n+1)n!} + \cdots + \frac{n!}{\frac{n}{2}(\frac{n}{2} + 1)(n+1)n!} + \cdots + \frac{n!}{n(n-1)(n+1)n!} + \frac{1}{n+1} \\
&= \sum_i Q_{n+1} = \frac{1}{n+1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{(\frac{n+1}{2})(\frac{n+1}{2} + 1)} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n(n+1)}
\end{aligned}$$

essentially every  $(p, q)$  becomes  $(q, q+1)$  and the new  $\frac{1}{(n+1)}$  is added.

Anyway, the point is that  $\forall_{n \in \mathbb{N}} : n > 1, f(n) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ ; however, because  $f(n)$  is included,  $\frac{1}{2} \leq f(n) \forall_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  since  $Q_1 = \emptyset \implies f(1) = 0$ .

Therefore,

$$\inf_n f(n) = 0$$

□

## Problem 2

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. Let  $B_r(a)$  denote the open ball of radius  $r$  centered at  $a$ . i.e. Can it happen that  $B_{r_1}(a) \subset B_{r_2}(a)$  but  $r_1 > r_2$ ?

**Definition 5.** Within the metric space  $(X, d)$ , the open ball of radius  $r \in X$  centered at  $a \in X$ , denoted as  $B_r(a)$ , is defined as:

$$B_r(a) := \{x \in X : d(a, x) < r\}$$

**Assumption 3.** First it will be assumed that  $(X, d)$  is a normed vector space. This restricts the metric and metric space into a normed space. This can also be denoted as  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  to distinguish between them. It is also assumed that  $X$  is complete.

**Theorem 2.** For  $r_1 > r_2$  then it is not possible for  $B_{r_1}(a) \subset B_{r_2}(b)$  within  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ :

*Proof.* Proof by contradiction.

Let

$$B_{r_1}(a), B_{r_2}(b) \subset X$$

with  $0 < r_2 < r_1$  and  $a \in B_{r_2}(b)$ .

To minimize the amount of the set existing outside of the set, we need to set  $a = b$ . Next, let  $c$  be a point within the punctured open ball  $B_{r_2}(b)$ . i.e.

$$c \in B_{r_2}(b) \setminus \{b\}$$

$c$  can then be used to construct a point that is contained in  $B_{r_2}(b)$  but not in  $B_{r_1}(a)$ :

$$p + \frac{r_1 + r_2}{2} \frac{ac}{\|ac\|} \in B_{r_1}(a) \setminus B_{r_2}(b)$$

Meaning that there is no possible way for an open ball of greater radius (within a normed metric space).  $\square$

**Assumption 4.** The previous assumption, Assumption 3, is now relax the metric so that  $d$  is not restricted to be a norm (i.e. may not be linear).

**Theorem 3.** It is possible for  $B_{r_1}(a) \subset B_{r_2}(b)$  within  $(X, d)$  when  $r_1 > r_2$ :

*Proof.* Proof by example:

Let metric space  $(X, d)$  be defined by

$$X := 0 \cup [5, \infty)$$

$$d(x, y) := |x - y|$$

For  $r_1 = 4$ ,  $r_2 = 3$ ,

Let  $B_4(0)$  be defined as

$$B_4(0) := \{4x \in X : d(0, x) < 4\} = \{0\} \cup [2, 4)$$

Let  $B_3(2)$  be defined as

$$B_3(2) := \{x \in X : d(2, x) < 3\} = \{0\} \cup [2, 5)$$

Clearly,  $B_3(2) \subset B_4(0)$ . Since  $r_1 = 4 > r_2 = 3$ , this exists as an example that satisfies the conditions.  $\square$

### Problem 3

Let  $M$  be the set of all bounded sequences

$$M = \{\{a_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} : |a_j| < \infty\}$$

Define  $\rho(\{a_n\}, \{b_n\}) = \max_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |a_n - b_n|$

**Definition 6.** Function  $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is considered a metric if it satisfies all of the following:

a. Non-negativity:

$$d(a, b) \geq 0$$

b. Symmetry:

$$d(a, b) = d(b, a)$$

c. Triangle Inequality:

$$d(a, c) \leq d(a, b) + d(b, c)$$

**a) Show that  $(M, \rho)$  is a metric space.**

**Theorem 4.** Let  $M$  be defined as the set of all bounded sequences:

$$M = \{\{a_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} : |a_j| < \infty\}$$

Let the metric  $\rho$  be defined on  $M$  such that

$$\rho(\{a_n\}, \{b_n\}) = \max_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |a_n - b_n|$$

The metric space  $(M, \rho)$  is in fact a metric space.

*Proof.* From Definition 6,  $\rho$  is a metric if  $\forall \{a_n\}, \{b_n\}, \{c_n\} \in M$  these three conditions are all satisfied: (i) non-negativity, (ii) Symmetry, and (iii) Triangle Inequality.

a. Non-negativity:

$$\begin{aligned} d(a, b) &\geq 0 \\ \rho(\{a_n\}, \{b_n\}) &= \max_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |a_n - b_n| \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

b. Symmetry:

$$\begin{aligned} d(a, b) &= d(b, a) \\ \rho(\{a_n\}, \{b_n\}) &= \max_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |a_n - b_n| = \max_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |b_n - a_n| = \rho(\{b_n\}, \{a_n\}) \end{aligned}$$

c. Triangle Inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} d(a, c) &\leq d(a, b) + d(b, c) \\ \rho(\{a_n\}, \{c_n\}) &\leq \rho(\{a_n\}, \{b_n\}) + \rho(\{b_n\}, \{c_n\}) \\ \max_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |a_n - c_n| &\leq \max_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |a_n - b_n| + \max_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |b_n - c_n| \leq \max_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |a_n - b_n| + \max_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |b_n - c_n| \end{aligned}$$

□

b) Show that  $M$  does not contain a dense countable subset.

**Definition 7.** The Closure,  $\overline{A}$ , of  $A \subset X$  is defined as

$$\overline{A} = A \cup \left\{ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n : a_n \in A \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

**Definition 8.** A set  $A \subset X$  is considered Dense in  $X$  if  $\overline{A} = X$ .

**Theorem 5.** For the power set,  $\mathcal{P}(A)$ , defined as the collections of all sets constructed from the elements of  $A$ , then the cardinality of  $\mathcal{P}(A)$  will always be strictly greater than that of  $A$ . i.e.

$$|2^A| > |A|$$

- This is also applicable to infinite sets with whether it is countable or not. i.e

$$|2^{\mathbb{N}}| = \aleph_1 > |\mathbb{N}| = \aleph_0$$

- The theorem itself is that any mapping from  $A$  to  $\mathcal{P}(A)$  is not surjective which is then proven false. It then follows that  $f : A \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{P}(A)$  is injective, which is equivalent to saying that  $|A| < |\mathcal{P}(A)|$ .

**Theorem 6.**  $M$  does not contain any dense countable subsets.

*Proof.* Proof by contradiction inspired by Cantor's Theorem (5).

Let  $A_N \subset M$  be defined as

$$A_N := \{ \{a_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} : |a_j| < N \}$$

Similarly to Cantor's theorem, even when restricting  $a_j$  from a finely sized set, the only mapping that exists from a countable set into  $A_n$  are strictly injective.

Next, taking  $A = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} A_N$ , we will prove that in order for  $A$  to be dense,  $A$  would no longer be countable. From Definition 7 and Definition 8, it is known that in order for  $A$  to be dense within  $M$ ,  $\overline{A} = M$ . Since  $M$  itself is an infinite set, even if for sequences of a finite set of numbers,  $A$  would become infinite and ultimately uncountable with  $|A| \leq |M|$ .  $\square$

## Problem 4

Does there exist a metric space, containing a sequence of nested bounded closed sets  $F_1 \supset F_2 \supset \cdots \supset F_n \supset \cdots$  such that

$$\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n = \emptyset$$

Hint: If  $d(x, y)$  is a usual Euclidean metric on  $\mathbb{R}$ , one can show that  $\frac{d(x, y)}{1+d(x, y)}$  is also a metric. Such metric is often called a bounded metric...

**Definition 9.** The set  $A$  in metric space  $(X, d)$  is considered open if

$$\forall x \in A \exists \epsilon > 0 : \forall y \in X d(x, y) < \epsilon$$

**Definition 10.** The set  $A$  in metric space  $(X, d)$  is considered closed if the set  $A^c$  is open.

**Definition 11.** The set  $A$  in metric space  $(X, d)$  is called bounded if

$$\forall x \in A \exists R > 0 : \forall y \in A d(x, y) < R$$

**Theorem 7.** There does not exist a metric space  $(X, d)$  containing the sequence of nested bounded sets  $F_1 \supset F_2 \supset \cdots \supset F_n \supset \cdots$  such that

$$\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n = \emptyset$$

*Proof.* Let the metric space  $(X, d)$  be defined with field  $X$  ...

□

## Problem 5

Show that there exists a unique continuous function,  $f(x)$  on the interval  $[0, 1]$ , satisfying the equation

$$f(x) = \int_0^1 \sin(x^2 + y^2) f(y) dy$$

**Definition 12.** A function continuous functio

**Definition 13.** Linear operator (integration is a linear operator... also multiplication by a value at a single point... sin and squared obviously isn't though)

**Theorem 8.** There exists a unique continuous function  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  that satisfies the following equation

$$f(x) = \int_0^1 \sin(x^2 + y^2) f(y) dy \tag{1}$$

*Proof.* A unique function that satisfies the

$$f(x) = \int_0^1 \sin(x^2 + y^2) f(y) dy$$

Let  $u(x) =$

□



## Problem 6

Let  $V$  be a complete metric space without isolated points. Show that  $V$  is uncountable ( $|V| > |\mathbb{N}|$ ).

**Definition 14.** A metric space  $(X, d)$  is considered Complete if every Cauchy sequence of points in  $X$  has a limit within  $X$ .

- A sequence  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  in metric space  $(X, d)$  is considered Cauchy if

$$\forall r > 0 \exists N : \forall m, n > N d(x_m, x_n) < r$$

- $x$  is the limit of sequence  $(x_n)$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$ , if

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : \forall n \geq N |x_n - x| < \epsilon$$

**Definition 15.** A point within metric space  $(X, d)$  is considered an isolated point of set  $A \subset X$  in which no other points are within the neighborhood of  $x$ . i.e.

$$\exists \epsilon > 0 : \forall y \in X : d(x, y) < \epsilon y \implies y \notin A$$

- A complete set  $A$  that contains no isolated points is called dense-in-itself.

**Definition 16.** A one to one correspondance is also known as a bijective function that maps  $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow X$ .

- A function  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$  is said to be surjective if

$$\exists_{f:\mathbb{N} \rightarrow A} \iff \exists_{f:\mathbb{N} \rightarrow A} : \forall x \in \mathbb{N} \exists f(x) \in A$$

- A function  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$  is said to be injective if

$$\exists_{f:\mathbb{N} \rightarrow A} \iff \exists_{f:\mathbb{N} \rightarrow A} : \forall f(x) \in A \exists x \in \mathbb{N}$$

- A function  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is said to be bijective if  $f$  is both surjective and injective. i.e.

$$\exists_{f:A \rightarrow B} \iff \exists_{f:A \rightarrow B} : (\forall x \in A \exists f(x) \in B) \wedge (\forall y \in B \exists f^{-1}(y) \in A)$$

**Definition 17.** The Cardinality of set  $A$ , denoted as  $|A|$ , is the number of unique elements contained within  $A$ .

- A set  $A$  is considered Countable if  $|A| \leq |\mathbb{N}|$ . This is also said to be true if a surjective function exists mapping  $\mathbb{N}$  to  $A$ .
- Set  $A$  and  $B$  within metric space  $(X, d)$  are said to be of the same cardinality,  $|A| = |B|$ , if there exists a bijective mapping between  $A$  and  $B$ ,  $f : A \xrightarrow{B} B$ .
- If  $A$  is an infinite set, then  $A$  is Countably Infinite,  $|A| = \aleph_0 = |\mathbb{N}|$ , if there exists a one to one correspondence from  $\mathbb{N}$  to  $A$ .
- For a set  $A$  is considered uncountable if it is not countable. i.e.  $|A| > |\mathbb{N}|$ . This is also said to be true if an injective function exists mapping  $\mathbb{N}$  to  $A$ , but that no surjective mappings exist.

**Theorem 9.** A complete metric space,  $(V, d)$ , that contains no isolated point is uncountable.

*Proof.* From Definition 14, we have that all cauchy sequences in the complete metric space  $(X, d)$  must have a limit in  $X$ .

From Definition 17, it is known that within all countable sets there exists a one-to-one correspondence between  $\mathbb{N}$  and the set  $A$ .

For  $A$  to be uncountable, an injective function mapping  $\mathbb{N}$  to  $A$ , there exists,  $f : \mathbb{N} \xrightarrow{A} A$ .

From Definition 16, this means that  $\forall f(x) \in A \exists x \in \mathbb{N}$ , however, since  $\mathbb{N}$  is not a complete set, it is not possible for a one-to-one correspondence to exist. Therefore, the set is not countable and therefore uncountable.  $\square$