# MATH 5301 Elementary Analysis - Homework 2

# Jonas Wagner

# 2021, September 08

# Problem 1

For a function  $f:A\to B$ , show the following for any  $X\subset A,Y,Z\supset B$ 

- **1a)**  $X \subset f^{-1}(f(X))$
- **1b)**  $f(f^{-1}(Y)) \subset Y$
- 1c)  $f^{-1}(Y \cup Z) = f^{-1}(Y) \cup f^{-1}(Z)$
- **1d)**  $f^{-1}(Y \cap Z) = f^{-1}(Y) \cap f^{-1}(Z)$

Show that:

**2a)** 
$$A \cap \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_{\lambda} = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (A_{\lambda} \cap A)$$

Let  $\Lambda := \{1, 2, \dots, n\},\$ 

$$A \cap \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_{\lambda} = A \cap (A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_n)$$
$$= (A \cap A_1) \cup (A \cap A_2) \cup \dots \cup (A \cap A_n)$$
$$= \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (A_{\lambda} \cap A)$$

Therefore,

$$A \cap \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_{\lambda} = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (A_{\lambda} \cap A)$$

**2b)** 
$$\left(\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_{\lambda}\right) \cup \left(\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} B_{\lambda}\right) \subseteq \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (A_{\lambda} \cup B_{\lambda})$$

Let  $\Lambda_A := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and  $\Lambda_B := \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ ,

$$\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (A_{\lambda} \cup B_{\lambda}) = (A_1 \cup B_1) \cap (A_1 \cup B_2) \cap \dots \cap (A_1 \cup B_m) \cap (A_2 \cup B_1) \cap \dots \cap (A_n \cup B_m)$$

$$= (A_1 \cup (B_1 \cap B_2 \cap \dots \cap B_m)) \cap \dots \cap (A_n \cup (B_1 \cap B_2 \cap \dots \cap B_m))$$

$$= (A_1 \cap A_2) \cup (A_1 \cap A_3) \cup \dots \cup (A_2 \cap A_3) \dots \cup (A_{n-1} \cap A_n)$$

$$\cup (A_1 \cap B_1) \cup \dots \cup (A_1 \cap B_m) \cup \dots \cup (A_n \cap B_m)$$

$$\cup (B_1 \cap B_2) \cup \dots \cup (B_1 \cap B_m) \cup \dots \cup (B_{m-1} \cap B_m)$$

$$= \left(\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_{\lambda}\right) \cup \left(\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} B_{\lambda}\right) \cup (A_1 \cap B_1) \cup \dots \cup (A_1 \cap B_m) \cup \dots \cup (A_n \cap B_m)$$

Therefore,

$$\left(\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_{\lambda}\right) \cup \left(\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} B_{\lambda}\right) \subseteq \left(\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_{\lambda}\right) \cup \left(\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} B_{\lambda}\right) \cup (A_{1} \cap B_{1}) \cup \cdots \cup (A_{1} \cap B_{m}) \cup \cdots \cup (A_{n} \cap B_{m})$$

**Problem:** Which of these are equivalence relations?

Solution: a, c, & d

(The following explain why)

3a)

for  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , let  $a\mathcal{R}b$  if  $a - b \in \mathbb{Q}$ 

i) Reflective:

$$x\mathcal{R}x = x - x = 0 \in \mathbb{Q}$$

ii) Symetric:

$$x\mathcal{R}y \implies y\mathcal{R}x$$
$$x\mathcal{R}y = x - y \in \mathbb{Q} \implies y - x \in \mathbb{Q}$$

Since x - y = -(y - x), (x - y) and (y - x) will both be either rational or not rational, this is true.

iii) Transitive:

$$x\mathcal{R}y \wedge y\mathcal{R}z \implies x\mathcal{R}z$$

$$(x - y \in \mathbb{Q}) \wedge (y - z \in \mathbb{Q}) \implies (x - z \in \mathbb{Q})$$

$$\left(\frac{x_a}{x_b} - \frac{y_a}{y_b} \in \mathbb{Q}\right) \wedge \left(\frac{y_a}{y_b} - \frac{z_a}{z_b} \in \mathbb{Q}\right) \implies \left(\frac{x_a}{x_b} - \frac{z_a}{z_b} \in \mathbb{Q}\right)$$

This also means that:

$$(x_a y_b - x_b y_a \in \mathbb{N}) \wedge (x_b y_b \neq 0 \in N) \wedge (y_a z_b - y_b z_a \in \mathbb{N}) \wedge (y_b z_b \neq 0 \in N)$$

$$\implies (x_a z_b - x_b z_a \in \mathbb{N}) \wedge (x_b z_b \neq 0 \in N)$$

Since this statments indicates that  $x_b, y_b, z_b \neq 0$  and that  $x_a y_b - x_b y_a, y_a z_b - y_b z_b \in \mathbb{N}$ , the following will always be true as well:  $x_a z_b - x_b z_a$ . Therefore, the relation is transitive.

3b)

for  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , let  $a\mathcal{R}b$  if  $a - b \notin \mathbb{Q}$ 

i) Reflective:

The relationship is NOT reflective:

$$a\mathcal{R}b = a - b \notin \mathbb{Q}$$
  
 $x\mathcal{R}x = x - x = 0 \in \mathbb{Q}$ 

3c)

for  $a,b \in \mathbb{R}$ , let  $a\mathcal{R}b$  if a-b is a square root of a rational number.

$$a\mathcal{R}b = (a-b)^2 \in \mathbb{Q}$$

i) Reflective:

$$a\mathcal{R}b = (a-b)^2 \in \mathbb{Q}$$
  
 $x\mathcal{R}x = (x-x)^2 = 0^2 = 0 \in \mathbb{Q}$ 

ii) Symetric:

$$x\mathcal{R}y \implies y\mathcal{R}x$$
$$(x-y)^2 \in \mathbb{Q} \implies (y-x)^2 \in \mathbb{Q}$$
$$(x-y)^2 = (y-x)^2 : x\mathcal{R}y \implies y\mathcal{R}x$$

iii) Transative:

$$x\mathcal{R}y \wedge y\mathcal{R}z \implies x\mathcal{R}z$$

$$\left((x-y)^2 \in \mathbb{Q}\right) \wedge \left((y-z)^2 \in \mathbb{Q}\right) \implies \left((x-z)^2 \in \mathbb{Q}\right)$$

$$\left(x^2 - 2xy + y^2 \in \mathbb{Q}\right) \wedge \left(y^2 - 2yz + z^2 \in \mathbb{Q}\right) \implies \left(x^2 - 2xz + z^2 \in \mathbb{Q}\right)$$

$$(x^2 \in \mathbb{Q}) \wedge (y^2 \in \mathbb{Q}) \wedge (z^2 \in \mathbb{Q}) \wedge (-2xy \in \mathbb{Q}) \wedge (-2yz \in \mathbb{Q}) \implies (x^2 \in \mathbb{Q}) \wedge (z^2 \in \mathbb{Q}) \wedge (-2xz \in \mathbb{Q})$$

$$(xy \in \mathbb{Q}) \wedge (yz \in \mathbb{Q}) \implies (xz \in \mathbb{Q})$$

Which is clearly transitive, so  $a\mathcal{R}b = (a-b)^2 \in \mathbb{Q}$  is transitive.

3d)

Let  $X = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x = (x_1, x_2)$  and  $y = (y_1, y_2)$  are in  $\mathcal{R}$  if  $x_1y_2 = x_2y_1$ . i.e.

$$a_1, b_1 \in \mathbb{Z}, a_2, b_2 \in \mathbb{N},$$
  
$$a_1b_2 = a_2b_1 \implies (a_1, a_2)\mathcal{R}(b_1, b_2)$$

i) Reflective:

$$a_1b_2 = a_2b_1 \implies (a_1, a_2)\mathcal{R}(b_1, b_2)$$
  
 $x_1x_2 = x_2x_1 \implies (x_1, x_2)\mathcal{R}(x_1, x_2)$ 

ii) Symetric:

$$x\mathcal{R}y \implies y\mathcal{R}x$$
$$(x_1y_2 = x_2y_1 \implies (x_1, x_2)\mathcal{R}(y_1, y_2)) \implies (y_1x_2 = y_2x_1 \implies (y_1, y_2)\mathcal{R}(x_1, x_2))$$

iii) Transative:

$$x\mathcal{R}y \wedge y\mathcal{R}z \implies x\mathcal{R}z$$

$$(x_1y_2 = x_2y_1 \implies (x_1, x_2)\mathcal{R}(y_1, y_2)) \wedge (y_1z_2 = y_2z_1 \implies (y_1, y_2)\mathcal{R}(z_1, z_2)) \implies (x_1z_2 = x_2z_1 \implies (x_1, x_2)\mathcal{R}(z_1, z_2))$$

$$(x_1y_2 = x_2y_1) \wedge (y_1z_2 = y_2z_1) \implies (x_1z_2 = x_2z_1)$$

Which is clearly transitive, so  $(a_1, a_2)\mathcal{R}(b_1, b_2)$  is transitive, and therefore an equivalence relation.

For the relation  $(x,y) \succeq (a,b)$  if  $(x \ge a)$  and  $(y \ge b)$  on the set of ordered pairs of  $\{1,2,3\} \times \{1,2,3\}$ . i.e.  $x,a \in \{1,2,3\}$  and  $y,b \in \{1,2,3\}$ ,

$$(x \ge a) \land (y \ge b) \implies (x,y) \succeq (a,b)$$

4a) Show that the above relation is an order relation.

An ordered relation requires (i) reflexitivity, (ii) anti-symmetry, and (iii) transivity.

i) Reflective:

$$(x \ge a) \land (y \ge b) \implies (x, y) \succeq (a, b)$$
  
 $(x \ge x) \land (y \ge y) \implies (x, y) \succeq (x, y)$ 

ii) Anti-Symmetry:

$$((x,y) \succeq (a,b)) \land ((a,b) \succeq (x,y)) \implies (x,y) = (a,b)$$
$$((x \ge a) \land (y \ge b)) \land ((a \ge x) \land (b \ge y)) \implies (x,y) = (a,b)$$
$$((x \ge a) \land (a \ge x)) \land ((b \ge y) \land (y \ge b)) \implies (x,y) = (a,b)$$

iii) Transivity

$$(x,y)\mathcal{R}(a,b)\wedge(a,b)\mathcal{R}(\alpha,\beta) \implies (x,y)\mathcal{R}(\alpha,\beta)$$

$$((x\geq a)\wedge(y\geq b))\wedge((a\geq \alpha)\wedge(b\geq \beta)) \implies (x\geq \alpha)\wedge(y\geq \beta)$$

$$((x\geq a)\wedge(a\geq \alpha))\wedge((y\geq b)\wedge(b\geq \beta)) \implies (x\geq \alpha)\wedge(y\geq \beta)$$

$$(x\geq a\geq \alpha)\wedge(y\geq b\geq \beta) \implies (x\geq \alpha)\wedge(y\geq \beta)$$

Therefore, the relation  $(x,y) \succeq (a,b)$  is an order relation.

- 4b) Can you make it the total order?
- 4c) How many different total orderings can be constructed?

Provide and example of  $f:\mathbb{Z}\to\mathbb{N}$  such that

5a) f is surjective, but not injective

$$y = f(x) = \begin{cases} x & x \ge 0 \\ -x & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

5b) f is injective, but not surjective

$$y = f(x) = \begin{cases} x^2 & x \ge 0 \\ x^2 + 1 & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

5c) f is surjective and injective (bijective)

$$y = f(x) = \begin{cases} 2x & x \ge 0 \\ -2x - 1 & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

5d) f is niether surjective nor injective

$$y = f(x) = 0$$

**Problem:** Is the following statement correct?

**Theorem 1.** If the relation  $\mathbb{R}$  on A is symmetric and transistive, then it is reflexive. Proof: For any  $a \in A$  let  $b \in A$  is such that  $a\mathcal{R}b$ . Then by symmetry  $b\mathcal{R}a$ . Then by symmetry  $a\mathcal{R}a$ .

**Solution:** No. Specifically, the final statement of the proof states to use symmetry to conclude that it is reflexive, but it actually requires the transivity property to make that conclusion.

The following is a proposed corrected statement:

**Theorem 1.** If the relation  $\mathcal{R}$  is symetric and transistive on A, then Rel is also reflexive on A. Proof: Let  $a \in A$  and  $b \in A$  be selected so that  $a\mathcal{R}b$  Since  $\mathcal{R}$  is symetric:

$$a\mathcal{R}b \implies b\mathcal{R}a$$

Since  $\mathcal{R}$  is transitive:

$$(a\mathcal{R}b) \wedge (b\mathcal{R}a) \implies a\mathcal{R}a$$

Therefore,  $\mathcal{R}$  is also reflexive.