MATH 5302 Elementary Analysis II - Homework 1

Jonas Wagner

2022, Febuary 2nd

Problem 1

Consider f(x) = 2x + 1 over the interval [1, 3]. Let P be the partition $\{1, 1.5, 2, 3\}$.

a)

Problem: Compute L(f, P), U(f, P), and U(f, P) - L(f, P)

Definition 1. Define bounded function $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ and set $S \subseteq [a,b]$. Let $M(f,S) := \sup f(x) : x \in S$ and $m(f,S) = \inf f(x) : x \in S$ Define the partition P of [a,b] as

$$P = \{ a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b \}$$

The Upper Darboux Sum U(f, P) for f w.r.t. P is defined as

$$U(f,P) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} M(f,[x_{i-1},x_i]) \cdot (x_i - x_{i-1})$$

The Lower Darboux Sum L(f, P) for f w.r.t. P is defined as

$$L(f,P) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} m(f, [x_{i-1}, x_i]) \cdot (x_i - x_{i-1})$$

Solution: Let $f:[1,3] \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$f(x) = 2x + 1$$

and partition P of [1,3] defined as

$$\{1, 1.5, 2, 3\}$$

$$L(f,P) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} m(f,[x_{i-1},x_i]) \cdot (x_i - x_{i-1})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{3} m(2x+1,[x_{i-1},x_i]) \cdot (x_i - x_{i-1})$$

$$= m(2x+1,[1,1.5]) * (1.5-1) + m(2x+1,[1.5,2]) * (2-1.5) + m(2x+1,[2,3]) * (3-2)$$

$$= 3(0.5) + 4(0.5) + 5(1)$$

$$L(f,P) = 8.5$$

$$U(f,P) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} M(f,[x_{i-1},x_i]) \cdot (x_i - x_{i-1})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{3} M(2x+1,[x_{i-1},x_i]) \cdot (x_i - x_{i-1})$$

$$= M(2x+1,[1,1.5]) * (1.5-1) + M(2x+1,[1.5,2]) * (2-1.5) + M(2x+1,[2,3]) * (3-2)$$

$$= 4(0.5) + 5(0.5) + 7(1)$$

$$L(f,P) = 11.5$$

$$U(f,p) - L(f,p) = 11.5 - 8.5 = 3$$

b)

Problem: What happens to the value of U(f, P) - L(f, P)?

Solution: it gets smaller

Proof:

$$L(f,P) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} m(f,[x_{i-1},x_i]) \cdot (x_i - x_{i-1})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{4} m(2x+1,[x_{i-1},x_i]) \cdot (x_i - x_{i-1})$$

$$= m(2x+1,[1,1.5]) * (1.5-1) + m(2x+1,[1.5,2]) * (2-1.5)$$

$$+ m(2x+1,[2,2.5]) * (2.5-2) + m(2x+1,[2.5,3]) * (3-2.5)$$

$$= 3(0.5) + 4(0.5) + 5(0.5) + 6(0.5)$$

$$L(f,P) = 9$$

$$U(f,P) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} M(f,[x_{i-1},x_i]) \cdot (x_i - x_{i-1})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{3} M(2x+1,[x_{i-1},x_i]) \cdot (x_i - x_{i-1})$$

$$= M(2x+1,[1,1.5]) * (1.5-1) + M(2x+1,[1.5,2]) * (2-1.5)$$

$$+ M(2x+1,[2,2.5]) * (2.5-2) + M(2x+1,[2.5,3]) * (3-2.5)$$

$$= 4(0.5) + 5(0.5) + 6(0.5) + 7(0.5)$$

$$L(f,P) = 11$$

$$U(f,p) - L(f,p) = 11 - 9 = 2$$

c)

Problem: Find a partition P' of [1,3] for which U(L,P')-L(f,P')<2

Solution: Let

$$P' = \{1, 1.4, 1.8, 2.2, 2.6, 3\}$$

$$L(f, P') = \sum_{i=1}^{n} m(f, [x_{i-1}, x_i]) \cdot (x_i - x_{i-1})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{5} m(2x + 1, [x_{i-1}, x_i]) \cdot (x_i - x_{i-1})$$

$$= m(2x + 1, [1, 1.4]) * (1.4 - 1)$$

$$+ m(2x + 1, [1.4, 1.8]) * (1.8 - 1.4)$$

$$+ m(2x + 1, [1.8, 2.2]) * (2.2 - 1.8)$$

$$+ m(2x + 1, [2.2, 2.6]) * (2.6 - 2.2)$$

$$+ m(2x + 1, [2.6, 3]) * (3 - 2.6)$$

$$= 3(0.4) + 3.8(0.4) + 4.6(0.4) + 5.4(0.4) + 6.2(0.4)$$

$$L(f, P') = 9.2$$

$$L(f, P') = 9.2$$

$$U(f, P') = \sum_{i=1}^{n} M(f, [x_{i-1}, x_i]) \cdot (x_i - x_{i-1})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{5} M(2x + 1, [x_{i-1}, x_i]) \cdot (x_i - x_{i-1})$$

$$= M(2x + 1, [1, 1.4]) * (1.4 - 1)$$

$$+ M(2x + 1, [1.4, 1.8]) * (1.8 - 1.4)$$

$$+ M(2x + 1, [1.8, 2.2]) * (2.2 - 1.8)$$

$$+ M(2x + 1, [2.2, 2.6]) * (2.6 - 2.2)$$

$$+ M(2x + 1, [2.6, 3]) * (3 - 2.6)$$

$$= 3.8(0.4) + 4.6(0.4) + 5.4(0.4) + 6.2(0.4) + 7(0.4)$$

$$\boxed{U(f, P') = 10.8}$$

$$U(f,p) - L(f,p) = 10.8 - 9.2 = 1.6$$

Let

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \text{ is rational on } [0, 1] \\ 0 & \text{if } x \text{ is irrational on } [0, 1] \end{cases}$$

a)

Problem: Find the upper and lower Darboux integrals for f on the interval [0,1].

Definition 2. Define bounded function $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ and set $S \subseteq [a,b]$. Let $M(f,S) := \sup f(x) : x \in S$ and $m(f,S) = \inf f(x) : x \in S$ Let U(f,P) and L(f,P) for f w.r.t. P be defined by

$$U(f,P) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} M(f,[x_{i-1},x_i]) \cdot (x_i - x_{i-1})$$

and

$$L(f,P) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} m(f,[x_{i-1},x_i]) \cdot (x_i - x_{i-1})$$

The Upper Darboux Integral U(f) for f over [a, b] is defined as

$$U(f) = \inf \{ U(f, P) : P = \{ a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b \} \}$$

The Lower Darboux Integral L(f) for f over [a,b] is defined as

$$L(f) = \sup \{ L(f, P) : P = \{ a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b \} \}$$

Solution: Let $P_1 = 0, 1,$

$$U(f, P_1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} M(f, [x_{i-1}, x_i]) \cdot (x_i - x_{i-1})$$

$$= M(f, [0, 1]) * (1 - 0)$$

$$= 1 * 1$$

$$U(f, P_1) = 1$$

$$L(f, P_1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} m(f, [x_{i-1}, x_i]) \cdot (x_i - x_{i-1})$$

$$= m(f, [0, 1]) * (1 - 0)$$

$$= 0 * 1$$

$$L(f, P_1) = 0$$

U(f) is bounded by $U(f, P_1)$,

$$U(f) = \inf_{P} \{U(f, P)\} \le U(f, P_1) = 1$$

Similarly, L(f) is bounded by $L(f, P_1)$,

$$L(f) = \sup_{P} \{L(f, P)\} \ge L(f, P_1) = 0$$

Let $P_n = \left\{ \frac{i}{n}, \forall_{i=0,\dots,n} \right\},\$

$$U(f, P_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n M(f, [x_{i-1}, x_i]) \cdot (x_i - x_{i-1})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n M(f, [\frac{i-1}{n}, \frac{i}{n}]) * (\frac{i}{n} - \frac{i-1}{n})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{i}{n} * (\frac{1}{n})$$

$$= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n i$$

$$= \frac{1}{n^2} \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$$

$$= \frac{n+1}{2n}$$

$$U(f, P_n) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2n}$$

$$L(f, P_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n m(f, [x_{i-1}, x_i]) \cdot (x_i - x_{i-1})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n m(f, [\frac{i-1}{n}, \frac{i}{n}]) * (\frac{i}{n} - \frac{i-1}{n})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n 0 * (\frac{1}{n})$$

$$L(f, P_n) = 0$$

U(f) is bounded by $U(f, P_n)$,

$$U(f) = \inf_{P} \{U(f, P)\} \le U(f, P_n) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2n}$$

which when taking n to the limit results in

$$\boxed{U(f) \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2n} = \frac{1}{2}}$$

L(f) is bounded by $L(f, P_n)$,

$$L(f) = \sup_{P} \{L(f, P)\} \ge L(f, P_n) = 0$$

For f, the definitions of L(f, P) and m(f, [a, b]) actually demonstrate that $L(f, P) = 0 \forall_P$. The definition of L(f) then implies

$$L(f) = \sup_{P} \left(L(f, P) = 0 \right) = 0$$

b)

Problem: Is f integrable on [0,1]?

Definition 3. f is Darboux Integrable on [a,b] iff L(f) = U(f). i.e.

$$\int_{a}^{b} f = \int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx = L(f) = U(f)$$

Answer: No Proof:

$$L(f) = 0 \neq \frac{1}{2} = U(f)$$

Let

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \exists_{n \in \mathbb{N}} : x = \frac{1}{n} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Show that f is integrable on [0,1] and compute $\int_0^1 f$.

Solution: Let $P_n = \left\{\frac{i}{n}, \forall_{i=0,\dots,n}\right\}$,

$$U(f, P_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n M(f, [x_{i-1}, x_i]) \cdot (x_i - x_{i-1})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n M(f, [\frac{i-1}{n}, \frac{i}{n}]) * (\frac{i}{n} - \frac{i-1}{n})$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n M(f, [\frac{i-1}{n}, \frac{i}{n}])$$

M(f,[a,b]) is 0 unless $\left\{\frac{1}{n}\ :\ \exists_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\right\}\cap[a,b]\neq\emptyset$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \begin{cases} 1 & \exists_{k \in \mathbb{N}} : \frac{1}{k} \in \left[\frac{i-1}{n}, \frac{i}{n}\right] \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

As n increases, fewer portions of the partition have $M(f, [x_{i-1}, x_i]) = 1$. Since as n increases, the size of each partition also gets smaller,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} U(f, P_n) = 0$$

Therefore, by definition,

$$U(f) = \inf_{P} \{U(f, P)\} = 0$$

$$L(f, P_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n m(f, [x_{i-1}, x_i]) \cdot (x_i - x_{i-1})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n m(f, [\frac{i-1}{n}, \frac{i}{n}]) * (\frac{i}{n} - \frac{i-1}{n})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n 0 * (\frac{1}{n})$$

$$L(f, P_n) = 0$$

L(f) is bounded by $L(f, P_n)$,

$$L(f) = \sup_{P} \{L(f, P)\} \ge L(f, P_n) = 0$$

The definition of L(f) then implies

$$L(f) = \sup_{P} \left(L(f, P) = 0 \right) = 0$$

Answer: f is integrable on [0,1] since L(f)=U(f).

The integral is given as:

$$\int_0^1 f = L(f) = U(f) = 0$$

Problem: Let f be a bounded function on [a,b]. Supposes there exist sequences (U_n) and (L_n) of upper and lower Darboux sums for f such that $\lim_{n\to\infty}(U_n-L_n)=0$. Show that f is integrable and $\int_a^b f=\lim_{n\to\infty}U_n=\lim_{n\to\infty}L_n$.

Theorem 1. For bounded function $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$, if

$$\exists_{(U_n),(L_n)} : \lim_{n \to \infty} (U_n - L_n) = 0$$

then f is integrable and

$$\int_{a}^{b} f = \lim_{n \to \infty} U_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} L_n$$

Proof. By Definition 3, f is integrable iff L(f) = U(f). Fundamentally this is proven by the squeeze theorem. From Definition 2,

$$L(f, P_l) \le L(f) = \int_a^b f = U(f) \le U(f, P_u)$$

for all partitions of [a, b], P_l and P_u .

The convergence of Darboux sum sequences U_n and L_n to the same point,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} (U_n - L_n) = 0$$

"squeezes" the Darboux integrals to the same point.

$$L_n = L(f, P_n) \le L(f) = \int_a^b f = U(f) \le U(f, P_n) = U_n$$
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \{L_n \le L(f) = U(f) \le U_n\}$$

Since $\lim_{n\to\infty} L_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} U_n$,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} L_n = L(f) = \int_a^b f = U(f) = \lim_{n \to \infty}$$

Let f be integrable on [a, b], and suppose g is a function on [a, b] such that g(x) = f(x) except for finitely many x in [a, b]. Show that g is integrable and $\int_a^b f = \int_a^b g$.

Theorem 2. For integrable function $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ and $g:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$f(x) = g(x) \forall_{x \in [a,b] \setminus S}$$

where S is finite, then

a. g is Darboux integrable

b.
$$\int_a^b f = \int_a^b g$$

Proof. By Definition 3, f is integrable iff L(f) = U(f). In addition, Definition 2 implies

$$L(f, P_l) \le L(f) = \int_a^b f = U(f) \le U(f, P_u)$$

for all partitions of [a, b], P_l and P_u .

From Definition 1, for partition $P = \{a = x_0 < \dots < x_n = b\},\$

$$L(f, P) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} m(f, [x_{i-1}, x_i]) \cdot (x_i - x_{i-1})$$

and

$$U(f,P) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} M(f,[x_{i-1},x_i]) \cdot (x_i - x_{i-1})$$

When comparing the L(f, P) to L(g, p) or U(f, P) to U(g, P), we can decompose the partition segment with any differences between $m(\cdot)$ and $M(\cdot)$.

$$L(g, P_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n m(g, [x_{i-1}, x_i]) \cdot (x_i - x_{i-1})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n (m(f, [x_{i-1}, x_i]) + \Delta_i) \cdot (x_i - x_{i-1})$$

$$= L(f, P) + \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta_i \cdot (x_i - x_{i-1})$$

Since S is a finite set of points in which $f(x) \neq g(x)$,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} L(g, P_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} L(f, P_n) + \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta_i \cdot (x_i - x_{i-1})$$

$$L(g) = \lim_{n \to \infty} L(g, P_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} L(f, P_n) + 0 = L(f)$$

$$LU(g, P_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n M(g, [x_{i-1}, x_i]) \cdot (x_i - x_{i-1})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n (M(f, [x_{i-1}, x_i]) + \Delta_i) \cdot (x_i - x_{i-1})$$

$$= U(f, P) + \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta_i \cdot (x_i - x_{i-1})$$

Since S is a finite set of points in which $f(x) \neq g(x)$,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} U(g, P_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} U(f, P_n) + \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta_i \cdot (x_i - x_{i-1})$$

$$U(g) = \lim_{n \to \infty} U(g, P_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} U(f, P_n) + 0 = U(f)$$

Since L(g) = L(f), U(g) = U(f), and $L(f) = \int_a^b f = U(f)$,

$$L(g) = L(f) = \int_{a}^{b} f = U(f) = U(g)$$

These equivalences mean that g is Darboux integrable since

$$L(g) = \int_{a}^{b} g = U(g)$$

and that

$$\int_{a}^{b} f = \int_{a}^{b} g$$