

MECH 6313 - Term Exam

Name: Jonas Wagner

UTD ID: 2021531784

2021, April 30

Contents

1 Problem 1	2
1.1 Part a	2
1.1.1 Equilibrium Point Identification	2
1.1.2 System Linearization	3
1.1.3 Linearized Model Stability	4
1.2 Part b	5
1.3 Part c	5
2 Problem 2:	6
2.1 Part a	6
2.2 Part b	7
2.3 Part c	8
2.3.1 Part d	9
3 Problem 3	10
3.1 System and Storage Function Definition	10
3.2 Probing Input/Output Passivity	11
3.3 Applying Passivity Theorem	12
4 Problem 4	14
4.1 Part a	14
4.2 Part b	14
4.3 Part c	14
5 Problem 5	15
6 Problem 6	16
6.1 Initial Linearized System Stability	16
6.2 Lyapunov Indirect Method	17
A MATLAB Code:	18

1 Problem 1

Consider the system:

$$\begin{aligned}\tau\dot{x} &= x - \frac{1}{3}x^3 - y \\ \dot{y} &= x + \mu\end{aligned}\tag{1.1}$$

where $\tau > 0$ and $\mu \geq 0$ are constants.

1.1 Part a

Problem: Determine the equilibrium points and classify their stability properties depending on the values of parameter μ .

Solution:

1.1.1 Equilibrium Point Identification

The equilibrium points exist whenever $\dot{x} = \dot{y} = 0$ and can be identified as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(0) &= x - \frac{1}{3}x^3 - y \\ (0) &= x + \mu\end{aligned}\tag{1.2}$$

which becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}y &= x - \frac{1}{3}x^3 \\ x &= -\mu\end{aligned}\tag{1.3}$$

and can then substituted in as:

$$\begin{aligned}x_{eq} &= -\mu \\ y_{eq} &= -\mu - \frac{1}{3}(-\mu)^3\end{aligned}\tag{1.4}$$

This results in the equilibrium points being defined in terms of μ as:

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned}x_{eq} &= -\mu \\ y_{eq} &= \frac{1}{3}\mu^3 - \mu\end{aligned}}\tag{1.5}$$

1.1.2 System Linearization

The stability around an equilibrium point can be evaluated by looking at the linearized model, which can be found as follows:

Let the state-variables be defined as:

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$$

The nonlinear state equation would then be defined as:

$$\dot{X} = f(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{x_1 - \frac{1}{3}x_1^3 - x_2}{\tau} \\ x_1 + \mu \end{bmatrix} \quad (1.6)$$

Then the equilibrium point is described as

$$X_{eq} = \begin{bmatrix} -\mu \\ \frac{1}{3}\mu^3 - \mu \end{bmatrix}$$

and the jacobian can be computed as:

$$J_x = \frac{df}{dX} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{df_1}{dx_1} & \frac{df_1}{dx_2} \\ \frac{df_2}{dx_1} & \frac{df_2}{dx_2} \end{bmatrix} \quad (1.7)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1 - x_1^2}{\tau} & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (1.8)$$

The state dynamics around the equilibrium are described by this Jacobian evaluated at $X = X_{eq}$:

$$A = J_x \Big|_{X=X_{eq}} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1 - x_1^2}{\tau} & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \Big|_{x_1 = -\mu, x_2 = \frac{1}{3}\mu^3 - \mu} \quad (1.9)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1 - (-\mu)^2}{\tau} & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (1.10)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\tau} - \frac{\mu^2}{\tau} & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (1.11)$$

The dynamics of this linearized system are described by the characteristic polynomial calculated as:

$$\Delta(s) = \det(sI - A) = \det \begin{bmatrix} s - \left(\frac{1}{\tau} - \frac{\mu^2}{\tau}\right) & 1 \\ -1 & s \end{bmatrix} \quad (1.12)$$

$$= s \left(s - \left(\frac{1}{\tau} - \frac{\mu^2}{\tau} \right) \right) - (1)(-1) \quad (1.13)$$

$$\boxed{\Delta(s) = s^2 - \left(\frac{1}{\tau} - \frac{\mu^2}{\tau} \right) s + 1} \quad (1.14)$$

1.1.3 Linearized Model Stability

The roots of $\Delta(s)$ are the eigenvalues of the linearization and are dependent on μ and τ calculated as:

$$\Lambda(A) = \lambda_{1,2} = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{\tau} - \frac{\mu^2}{\tau}\right) \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{\tau} - \frac{\mu^2}{\tau}\right)^2 - 4(1)(1)}}{2(1)} \quad (1.15)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\tau} - \frac{\mu^2}{\tau} \right) \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{\tau} - \frac{\mu^2}{\tau} \right)^2 - 4} \quad (1.16)$$

or in a factored form:

$$= \frac{1}{2\tau} (1 - \mu^2) \pm \frac{1}{2\tau} \sqrt{(1 - \mu^2)^2 - 4\tau^2} \quad (1.17)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\tau} \left((1 - \mu^2) \pm \sqrt{\mu^4 - 2\mu^2 + 1 - 4\tau^2} \right) \quad (1.18)$$

or in a fully factored form:

$$= \frac{1 - \mu^2}{2\tau} \left(1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \frac{4\tau^2}{(1 - \mu^2)^2}} \right) \quad (1.19)$$

or in condensed form:

$$= \left(\frac{1}{2\tau} - \frac{\mu^2}{2\tau} \right) \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{2\tau} - \frac{\mu^2}{2\tau} \right)^2 - 1} \quad (1.20)$$

The roots are entirely **real** when:

$$(1 - \mu^2)^2 - 4\tau^2 > 0 \quad (1.21)$$

$$(1 - \mu^2)^2 > 4\tau^2 \quad (1.22)$$

$$1 - \mu^2 > 2\tau \quad (1.23)$$

$$\mu^2 + 2\tau > 1 \quad (1.24)$$

in which case, the linearized system is **stable** only when:

$$0 > \Re(\lambda_1) = \frac{1}{2\tau} \left((1 - \mu^2) + \sqrt{(1 - \mu^2)^2 - 4\tau^2} \right) \quad (1.25)$$

$$\boxed{\Re(\lambda_1) = 1 - \mu^2 + \sqrt{(1 - \mu^2)^2 - 4\tau^2} < 0} \quad (1.26)$$

The system has **complex roots** when :

$$(1 - \mu^2)^2 - 4\tau^2 < 0 \quad (1.27)$$

$$(1 - \mu^2)^2 < 4\tau^2 \quad (1.28)$$

$$1 - \mu^2 < 2\tau \quad (1.29)$$

$$\mu^2 + 2\tau < 1 \quad (1.30)$$

in which case, the linearized system is only **stable** when

$$0 > \Re(\lambda_{1,2}) = \frac{1}{2\tau} (1 - \mu^2) \quad (1.31)$$

$$= 1 - \mu^2 \quad (1.32)$$

$$\boxed{\mu^2 > 1} \quad (1.33)$$

1.2 Part b

Problem: At which value of μ does a bifurcation occur and what type of bifurcation is it?

Solution: The stability properties of the linearized system indicate that a hopf bifurcation occur when

$$\mu = 1$$

and the system condenses the system into a single equilibrium point at the (parameter dependent) equilibrium point of

$$X_{eq} = \begin{bmatrix} -\mu \\ \frac{1}{3}\mu^3 - \mu \end{bmatrix}$$

1.3 Part c

Problem: Assume $\tau \ll 1$ and sketch the phase portrait for two values of μ , one just below and one just above the bifurcation value.

Solution:

2 Problem 2:

Consider the system:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x}_1 &= -\frac{1}{2} \tan\left(\frac{\pi x_1}{2}\right) + x_2 \\ \dot{x}_2 &= x_1 - \frac{1}{2} \tan\left(\frac{\pi x_2}{2}\right)\end{aligned}\tag{2.1}$$

2.1 Part a

Problem: Find all equilibrium points of this system.

Solution: The equilibrium points exist whenever $\dot{X} = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 \end{bmatrix} = 0$ and can be identified as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= -\frac{1}{2} \tan\left(\frac{\pi x_1}{2}\right) + x_2 \\ 0 &= x_1 - \frac{1}{2} \tan\left(\frac{\pi x_2}{2}\right)\end{aligned}\tag{2.2}$$

which becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}x_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \tan\left(\frac{\pi x_1}{2}\right) \\ x_1 &= \frac{1}{2} \tan\left(\frac{\pi x_2}{2}\right)\end{aligned}\tag{2.3}$$

There are an infinite number of solutions to this set of equations, each of which are equilibrium points.

At each asymptote, there are unstable equilibrium points

$$X_{eq} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + i \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + j \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}\tag{2.4}$$

with $i = \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots$ and $j = \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots$

In addition, any time the each subsequent tangent function intersect with each other another equilibrium point exists, including, but not exhausted:

$$x = \frac{1}{2} \tan\left(\frac{\pi x}{2}\right) \text{ or } x = -\frac{1}{2} \tan\left(\frac{\pi x}{2}\right)\tag{2.5}$$

Additionally, within the region around the origin $x \in [-1, 1]$ and $y \in [-1, 1]$, 3 distinct equilibrium points exist:

$$\begin{aligned}X_{eq} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ X_{eq} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 \\ 0.5 \end{bmatrix} \\ X_{eq} &= \begin{bmatrix} -0.5 \\ -0.5 \end{bmatrix}\end{aligned}\tag{2.6}$$

2.2 Part b

Problem: Use linearization to study the stability of each equilibrium point.

Solution: The linearization around individual equilibrium points is given by evaluating the Jacobian matrix which is calculated as:

$$A = J_x \Big|_{X=X_{eq}} = \left[\begin{array}{cc} \frac{df_1}{dx_1} & \frac{df_1}{dx_2} \\ \frac{df_2}{dx_1} & \frac{df_2}{dx_2} \end{array} \right] \Big|_{X=X_{eq}} \quad (2.7)$$

$$= \left[\begin{array}{cc} -\frac{\pi}{4} \left(\tan^2 \left(\frac{\pi x_1}{2} \right) + 1 \right) & 1 \\ 1 & -\frac{\pi}{4} \left(\tan^2 \left(\frac{\pi x_2}{2} \right) + 1 \right) \end{array} \right] \Big|_{X=X_{eq}} \quad (2.8)$$

Using the nlsys class I developed in MATLAB, see Appendix A, multiple Equilibrium points were linearized and stability was analyzed.

It was determined based on the eigenvalues of the linear systems that all the "equilibrium points" occurring at asymptotes (2.4) were all unstable, the asymptotes (that were checked) satisfying (2.5) were asymptotically stable, and the analysis of the 3 important equilibrium points (2.6) are addressed below:

The origin itself was determined to be unstable given the dynamics matrix and eigenvalues:

$$\boxed{X_{eq} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} -0.7854 & 1 \\ 1 & -0.7854 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{array}{l} \lambda_1 = -1.7854 \\ \lambda_2 = 0.2146 \end{array}} \quad (2.9)$$

The quadrant 1 and 3 systems were determined to be asymptotically stable given the dynamics matrix and eigenvalues:

$$\boxed{X_{eq} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 \\ 0.5 \end{bmatrix} \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} -1.5708 & 1 \\ 1 & -1.5708 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{array}{l} \lambda_1 = -2.5708 \\ \lambda_2 = -0.5708 \end{array}} \quad (2.10)$$

$$\boxed{X_{eq} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.5 \\ -0.5 \end{bmatrix} \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} -1.5708 & 1 \\ 1 & -1.5708 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{array}{l} \lambda_1 = -2.5708 \\ \lambda_2 = -0.5708 \end{array}} \quad (2.11)$$

2.3 Part c

Problem: Using quadratic Lyapunov functions, estimate the region of attraction of each asymptotically stable equilibrium point.

Solution:

2.3.1 Part d

Problem: Plot the phase portrait of the system and show on it the exact regions of attraction as well as your estimates.

Solution:

3 Problem 3

Problem: Prove that the origin is the globally asymptotically stable equilibrium point of the system

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x}_1 &= -x_1 - \text{sat}(x_3) \\ \dot{x}_2 &= -x_2 - \text{sat}(x_1) \\ \dot{x}_3 &= -x_3 - \text{sat}(x_2)\end{aligned}\tag{3.1}$$

where

$$\text{sat}(x) := \text{sign}(x) \min\{1, |x|\}\tag{3.2}$$

Solution:

3.1 System and Storage Function Definition

This system can be rewritten in a coupling feedback system H and K defined as:

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} H_1 & & \\ & H_2 & \\ & & H_3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad H_i = \begin{cases} \dot{x}_i = f(x_i) + g(x_i)u_i \\ y_i = h(x_i) \end{cases}\tag{3.3}$$

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\tag{3.4}$$

where K is the coupling matrix of the individual nonlinear subsystems with the following specific definitions:

$$H_i = \begin{cases} \dot{x}_i = -x_i + u_i \\ y_i = h_i(x_i) \end{cases}\tag{3.5}$$

where $h_i(x_i) = \text{sat}(x_i)$.

A storage function for each of the individual subsystems can be defined as:

$$V_i(x_i) = \int_0^{x_i} h_i(\eta) d\eta\tag{3.6}$$

Taking a look at the change of the storage function over time, the output strict passivity can be proven by:

$$\dot{V}_i(x_i) = \frac{dV_i}{dx_i} \dot{x}_i\tag{3.7}$$

$$= \frac{d}{dx_i} \int_0^{x_i} h_i(\eta) d\eta \dot{x}_i\tag{3.8}$$

$$= h_i(x_i) \dot{x}_i\tag{3.9}$$

taking the definition for \dot{x}_i and relating $h_i(x_i) = y_i$,

$$= h_i(x_i)(-x_i + u_i)\tag{3.10}$$

$$= -x_i h_i(x_i) + u_i y_i\tag{3.11}$$

3.2 Probing Input/Output Passivity

In order to guarantee Input passivity, the system must satisfy the following inequality:

$$xh(x) \leq \delta_i x^2 \quad (3.12)$$

$$x(\text{sat}(x)) \leq \delta x^2 \quad (3.13)$$

by definition, $\text{sat}(x) := \text{sign}(x) \min\{1, |x|\}$, thus the following inequalities apply:

$$\begin{cases} \text{sat}(x) > 0, & x > 0 \\ \text{sat}(x) < 0, & x < 0 \end{cases} \quad (3.14)$$

therefore, the input passivity equality holds.

Since the input passivity holds, a δ_i will exist s.t.,

$$x_i h_i(x_i) \leq \delta_i x_i^2 \quad (3.15)$$

$$x_i(h_i(x_i) - \delta_i x_i) \leq 0 \quad (3.16)$$

clearly, $x_i h_i(x_i)$ can then be bounded from below by:

$$x_i h_i(x) \geq \frac{1}{\delta_i} h_i^2(x_i) \quad (3.17)$$

$$-x_i h_i(x) \geq -\frac{1}{\delta_i} h_i^2(x_i) \quad (3.18)$$

since $y_i = h_i(x_i)$,

$$-x_i h_i(x) \geq -\frac{1}{\delta_i} y_i^2(x_i) \quad (3.19)$$

Therefore, this demonstrates Output Strict Passivity:

$$\dot{V}_i \leq -\frac{1}{\delta_i} y_i^2 + y_i u_i \quad (3.20)$$

or with $d_i = \frac{1}{\delta_i}$ and

$$\dot{V}_i \leq d_i y_i^2 + y_i u_i \quad (3.21)$$

and the passivity theorem can then be applied.

3.3 Applying Passivity Theorem

Let

$$\epsilon_i = \frac{1}{\delta_i}$$

and then define

$$A = -\text{diag}\{\epsilon_i\} + K \quad (3.22)$$

$$P = \text{diag}\{d_i\} \quad (3.23)$$

which for this 3^{rd} -order system can be written as

$$A = -\begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_1 & & \\ & \epsilon_2 & \\ & & \epsilon_3 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\epsilon_1 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & -\epsilon_2 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -\epsilon_3 \end{bmatrix} \quad (3.24)$$

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} d_1 & & \\ & d_2 & \\ & & d_3 \end{bmatrix} \quad (3.25)$$

Appropriate values for A and P can be found to prove stability of the full feedback interconnection using the following inequality:

$$A^T P + P A \leq 0 \quad (3.26)$$

This can then be further developed to prove Global Asymptotic Stability by making it a strict inequality like so:

$$A^T P + P A < 0 \quad (3.27)$$

This can be written with the actual matrices as

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\epsilon_1 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & -\epsilon_2 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -\epsilon_3 \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} d_1 & & \\ & d_2 & \\ & & d_3 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} d_1 & & \\ & d_2 & \\ & & d_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -\epsilon_1 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & -\epsilon_2 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -\epsilon_3 \end{bmatrix} < 0 \quad (3.28)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -d_1\epsilon_1 & -d_1 & 0 \\ 0 & -d_2\epsilon_2 & -d_2 \\ -d_3 & 0 & -d_3\epsilon_3 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -d_2\epsilon_1 & 0 & -d_1 \\ -d_2 & -d_2\epsilon_2 & 0 \\ 0 & -d_3 & -d_3\epsilon_3 \end{bmatrix} < 0 \quad (3.29)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -2d_1\epsilon_1 & -d_1 & -d_1 \\ -d_2 & -2d_2\epsilon_2 & -d_2 \\ -d_3 & -d_3 & -2d_3\epsilon_3 \end{bmatrix} < 0 \quad (3.30)$$

or equivalently

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2d_1\epsilon_1 & d_1 & d_1 \\ d_2 & 2d_2\epsilon_2 & d_2 \\ d_3 & d_3 & 2d_3\epsilon_3 \end{bmatrix} > 0 \quad (3.31)$$

The positive definiteness can be determined by

$$2d_1\epsilon_1 > 0 \quad (3.32)$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} 2d_1\epsilon_1 & d_1 \\ d_2 & 2d_2\epsilon_2 \end{vmatrix} = d_1d_2(4\epsilon_1\epsilon_2 - 1) > 0 \quad (3.33)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{vmatrix} 2d_1\epsilon_1 & d_1 & d_1 \\ d_2 & 2d_2\epsilon_2 & d_2 \\ d_3 & d_3 & 2d_3\epsilon_3 \end{vmatrix} &= d_1d_2d_3(8\epsilon_1\epsilon_2\epsilon_3 - 2\epsilon_1) \\ &\quad - d_1d_2d_3(2\epsilon_3 - 1) + d_1d_2d_3(1 - 2\epsilon_2) \\ &= d_1d_2d_3(8\epsilon_1\epsilon_2\epsilon_3 - 2\epsilon_1 - 2\epsilon_2 - 2\epsilon_3) > 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.34)$$

From this and the definition of $d_i > 0$, these inequalities can be equated to

$$\epsilon_1 > 0 \quad (3.35)$$

$$4\epsilon_1\epsilon_2 - 1 > 0 \quad (3.36)$$

$$4\epsilon_1\epsilon_2\epsilon_3 - \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3 > 0 \quad (3.37)$$

Returning to the original definition of $\epsilon_i = \frac{1}{\delta_i}$ and the limitation of $xsat(x) \leq \delta_i x^2$, it can be seen that a selection of $\delta_i = 1 \ \forall i = 1, 2, 3$ is valid and thus

$$\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 = \epsilon_3 = 1$$

which can be used to satisfy the inequalities:

$$(1) = 1 > 0 \quad (3.38)$$

$$4(1)(1) - 1 = 3 > 0 \quad (3.39)$$

$$4(1)(1)(1) - (1) - (1) - (1) = 1 > 0 \quad (3.40)$$

Therefore, it can be seen said that the origin for the coupled feedback system is Globally Asymptotically Stable.

4 Problem 4

Problem: Comment on the existence/uniqueness of solutions for the systems below. Provide your reasons.

4.1 Part a

$$\dot{x} = x^2 \quad (4.1)$$

Solution: Assuming that the system is defined for $x \in \mathfrak{R}$, existence and uniqueness can be guaranteed by ensuring certain continuity conditions exist.

Since the function $f(x) = x^2$ is a continuous function $\forall x \in \mathfrak{R}$, it can be said that a solution does exist.

Additionally, since $f(x)$ is locally Lipschitz continuous, i.e. $\frac{df}{dx} = 2x$ is continuous, it can be said that a unique solution exists for $t \in [0, t_f)$.

However, $f(x)$ is not globally Lipschitz continuous, since $\left\| \frac{df}{dx} \right\| = \|2x\| \not\leq L \forall x \in \mathfrak{R}^n$, (which can be more rigorously proven as this was only a sufficient condition) the uniqueness of a solution cannot be guaranteed for $t \in [0, \infty)$.

4.2 Part b

$$\dot{x} = \sqrt{x} \quad (4.2)$$

Solution: Assuming that the system is defined for $x \geq 0$, existence and uniqueness can be guaranteed by ensuring certain continuity conditions exist.

Since the function $f(x) = x^2$ is a continuous function $\forall x \geq 0$, it can be said that a solution does exist.

However, a unique solution cannot be guaranteed as the function is not Lipschitz continuous directly around $x = 0$ as the slope becomes infinite and cannot be bounded by a Lipschitz constant.

4.3 Part c

$$\dot{x} = 1 + \frac{1 + x^3}{1 + x^4} \quad (4.3)$$

Solution: Assuming that the system is defined for $x \in \mathfrak{R}$, existence and uniqueness can be guaranteed by ensuring certain continuity conditions exist.

Since the function $f(x)$ is a continuous function $\forall x \in \mathfrak{R}$, it can be said that a solution does exist.

Additionally, the system is also continuously differentiable:

$$\frac{df}{dx} = \frac{d}{dx} \left(1 + \frac{1 + x^3}{1 + x^4} \right) = \frac{-x^2(x^4 - 2x - 3)}{(1 + x^4)^2}$$

and its derivative is bounded

$$\left\| \frac{-x^2(x^4 - 2x - 3)}{(1 + x^4)^2} \right\| \leq L$$

by the positive constant $L < \infty$. This implies that the system is globally Lipschitz continuous and therefore a unique solution is guaranteed to exist for $t \in [0, \infty)$.

5 Problem 5

Problem: Show that the following system contains no closed orbits.

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x}_1 &= -x_1 + x_2^3 + 1 \\ \dot{x}_2 &= -4x_1^2 + 3x_2\end{aligned}\tag{5.1}$$

Solution: Sufficient conditions to proving that no closed orbits exist are that If $\nabla \cdot f \neq 0 \forall x \in D$ and does not change sign within a simply connected region D . Let $D = x \in \mathbb{R}^2$. The divergence is given as:

$$\nabla \cdot f = \frac{df_1}{dx_1} + \frac{df_2}{dx_2}\tag{5.2}$$

$$= -1 + 3\tag{5.3}$$

$$= 4\tag{5.4}$$

Since $\nabla \cdot f$ is constant (and not identically zero) within the entire region D , there is sufficient evidence to say that no periodic orbits exist and therefore the system has no closed orbits.

6 Problem 6

Problem: Prove that the origin is the globally asymptotically stable equilibrium of the following system.

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x}_1 &= x_2 \\ \dot{x}_2 &= -(\sin(x_1) + 2)(x_1 + x_2)\end{aligned}\tag{6.1}$$

Solution:

6.1 Initial Linearized System Stability

The linearization around individual equilibrium points is given by evaluating the Jacobian matrix which is calculated as:

$$A = J_x \Big|_{X=X_{eq}} = \left[\begin{array}{cc} \frac{df_1}{dx_1} & \frac{df_1}{dx_2} \\ \frac{df_2}{dx_1} & \frac{df_2}{dx_2} \end{array} \right] \Big|_{X=X_{eq}}\tag{6.2}$$

$$= \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ -(\sin(x_1) + x_1 \cos(x_1) + 2 + x_2 \cos(x_1)) & -(\sin(x_1) + 2) \end{array} \right] \Big|_{x_1=x_2=0}\tag{6.3}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -2 & -2 \end{bmatrix}\tag{6.4}$$

The dynamics of this linearized system are described by the characteristic polynomial calculated as:

$$\Delta(s) = \det(sI - A) = \det \begin{bmatrix} s & -1 \\ 2 & s+2 \end{bmatrix}\tag{6.5}$$

$$= s(s+2) - (-1)(2)\tag{6.6}$$

$$\boxed{\Delta(s) = s^2 + 2s + 2}\tag{6.7}$$

The roots of this polynomial are then calculated as the eigenvalues:

$$\boxed{\lambda_{1,2} = -1 \pm j1}\tag{6.8}$$

From this it is apparent that, locally, there exists a stable focus around the origin.

6.2 Lyapunov Indirect Method

The system is given as

A MATLAB Code:

All code I write in this course can be found on my GitHub repository:

<https://github.com/jonaswagner2826/MECH6313>

Script 1: MECH6313_Exam

```
1  % MECH 6313 - Exam
2
3  clear
4  close all
5
6  pblm1 = false;
7  pblm2 = false;
8  pblm3 = false;
9  pblm4 = false;
10 pblm5 = true;
11 pblm6 = false;
12
13 if pblm1
14 %% Problem 1
15 end
16
17 if pblm2
18 %% Problem 2
19 solveEqPnt = false;
20 phasePlt = true;
21 linSysCalc = false;
22
23
24 if solveEqPnt
25 % -----
26 % Equalibrium Points
27 syms x1 x2
28 eq1 = 0 == -1/2 * tan(pi*x1/2) + x2;
29 eq2 = 0 == x1 -1/2 * tan(pi*x1/2);
30
31
32 [x1_eq, x2_eq] = vpasolve([eq1, eq2], [x1,x2]);
33
34 eq3 = x1 == 1/2 * tan(pi*x1/2);
35
36 x3_eq = solve(eq3, x1);
37 end
38
```

```

39 if phasePlt
40 % -----
41 % Phase Plot 1
42 figure()
43 xmax = 2.5;
44 ymax = xmax;
45 xmin = -xmax;
46 ymin = -ymax;
47 xstep = 0.1;
48 ystep = xstep;
49
50 [X,Y] = meshgrid(xmin:xstep:xmax,ymin:ystep:ymax);
51 DX = max(min(-1/2 * tan(pi*X/2) + Y, 1), -1);
52 DY = max(min(-1/2 * tan(pi*Y/2) + X, 1), -1);
53
54 quiver(X,Y,DX,DY)
55 title('Phase Portrait')
56 hold on
57 x = [xmin:xstep:xmax];
58 y = max(min(1/2*tan(pi/2 * x), xmax), xmin);
59 plot(x,y, 'LineWidth', 2)
60 plot(y,x, 'LineWidth', 2)
61
62
63 % Phase Plot 2
64 figure()
65 xmax = 1;
66 ymax = xmax;
67 xmin = -xmax;
68 ymin = -ymax;
69 xstep = 0.1;
70 ystep = xstep;
71
72 [X,Y] = meshgrid(xmin:xstep:xmax,ymin:ystep:ymax);
73 DX = max(min(-1/2 * tan(pi*X/2) + Y, 1), -1);
74 DY = max(min(-1/2 * tan(pi*Y/2) + X, 1), -1);
75
76 quiver(X,Y,DX,DY)
77 title('Phase Portrait (Zoomed-In)')
78 % hold on
79 % x = [xmin:xstep:xmax];
80 % y = max(min(1/2*tan(pi/2 * x), xmax), xmin);
81 % plot(x,y, 'LineWidth', 2)

```

```

82 % plot(y,x, 'LineWidth', 2)
83
84
85 % Phase Plot 3
86 figure()
87 xmax = 4;
88 ymax = xmax;
89 xmin = -xmax;
90 ymin = -ymax;
91 xstep = 0.1;
92 ystep = xstep;
93
94 [X,Y] = meshgrid(xmin:xstep:xmax,ymin:ystep:ymax);
95 DX = max(min(-1/2 * tan(pi*X/2) + Y, 1), -1);
96 DY = max(min(-1/2 * tan(pi*Y/2) + X, 1), -1);
97
98 quiver(X,Y,DX,DY)
99 title('Phase Portrait (Zoomed-Out)')
100 hold on
101 x = [xmin:xstep:xmax];
102 y = max(min(1/2*tan(pi/2 * x), xmax), xmin);
103 plot(x,y, 'LineWidth', 2)
104 plot(y,x, 'LineWidth', 2)
105
106
107 % U = X;
108 % V = 0.5*Y;
109
110 %
111 %
112 % sys def
113
114 %
115 % % Simulation Setup
116 % x_0 = [-0.1;-0.05];
117 %
118 % N = 500;
119 % t_step = 0.01;
120 % t_max = N * t_step - t_step;
121 % T = reshape(0:t_step:t_max,N,1);
122 % U = 0*T;
123 % SYS2 = nlsim(sys2a,U,T,x_0);
124

```

```

125 % Phase Plot
126 % fig = SYS2.phasePlot(1,2,'Problem 1 - Phase Plot (Relaxed System)');
127 end
128 sys2a = nlsys(@pblm2a)
129 if linSysCalc
130 % -----
131 % Linearized System Calc
132 syms x1 x2
133 linsys2a_sym = sys2a.linearize([x1;x2])
134 linsys2_0 = sys2a.linearize([0;0])
135 eig(linsys2_0)
136 linsys2_p05 = sys2a.linearize([0.5;0.5])
137 eig(linsys2_p05)
138 linsys2_n05 = sys2a.linearize([-0.5;-0.5])
139 eig(linsys2_n05)
140 linsys2_p1p1 = sys2a.linearize([1;1])
141 linsys2_n1n1 = sys2a.linearize([-1;-1])
142 linsys2_p1n1 = sys2a.linearize([1;-1])
143 linsys2_p125n125 = sys2a.linearize([1.25;-1.25])
144 eig(linsys2_p125n125)
145 linsys2_n1p1 = sys2a.linearize([-1;1])
146 linsys2_n125p125 = sys2a.linearize([-1.25;1.25])
147 eig(linsys2_n125p125)
148 end
149
150
151
152 % -----
153 % lyap calc
154
155
156
157
158
159 end
160
161 if pblm3
162 %% Problem 3
163 end
164
165 if pblm4
166 %% Problem 4
167 end

```

```

168
169 if pblm5
170 %% Problem 5
171
172 syms x1 x2
173 eq1 = 0 == -x1 + x2^3 + 1;
174 eq2 = 0 == -4*x1^2 + 3*x2;
175 solve([eq1,eq2],[x1,x2])
176
177
178
179 sys5 = nlsys(@pblm5a)
180
181
182
183 % Phase Plot 2
184 figure()
185 xmax = 5;
186 ymax = xmax;
187 xmin = -xmax;
188 ymin = -ymax;
189 xstep = 0.1;
190 ystep = xstep;
191
192 [X,Y] = meshgrid(xmin:xstep:xmax,ymin:ystep:ymax);
193 DX = -X + Y^3 + 1%max(min(, 1), -1);
194 DY = -4*X^2 + 3*Y%max(min(, 1), -1);
195
196 quiver(X,Y,DX,DY)
197
198
199 end
200
201 if pblm6
202 %% Problem 6
203 sys6 = nlsys(@pblm6a)
204
205 linsys6 = sys6.linearize([0;0])
206
207
208 end
209
210

```

```

211 %% Local Functions
212 function y = pblm2a(x,u)
213     % pblm1c function
214     arguments
215         x (2,:) = [0; 0];
216         u (1,:) = 0;
217     end
218
219     % Array Sizes
220     n = 2;
221     p = 1;
222
223
224     % State Upadate Eqs
225     y(1,1) = -1/2 * tan(pi*x(1)/2) + x(2);
226     y(2,1) = x(1) -1/2 * tan(pi*x(2)/2);
227
228     if nargin == 0
229         y = [n;p];
230     end
231 end
232
233 function y = pblm5a(x,u)
234     % pblm1c function
235     arguments
236         x (2,:) = [0; 0];
237         u (1,:) = 0;
238     end
239
240     % Array Sizes
241     n = 2;
242     p = 1;
243
244
245     % State Upadate Eqs
246     y(1,1) = -x(1) + x(2)^3 + 1;
247     y(2,1) = -4*x(1)^2 + 3*x(2);
248
249     if nargin == 0
250         y = [n;p];
251     end
252 end
253

```

```

254
255 function y = pblm6a(x,u)
256     % pblm1c function
257     arguments
258         x (2,:) = [0; 0];
259         u (1,:) = 0;
260     end
261
262     % Array Sizes
263     n = 2;
264     p = 1;
265
266
267     % State Upadate Eqs
268     y(1,1) = x(2);
269     y(2,1) = -(sin(x(1)) + 2) * (x(1) + x(2));
270
271     if nargin == 0
272         y = [n;p];
273     end
274 end

```