

Lecture 15 : Trajectory Optimization, Sequential Quadratic ~~Approx~~ Programming Convex-Concave Procedure

- Goals :
- Intro to trajectory optimization (i.e. open-loop optimal control)
 - Discuss its tractability
 - Discuss two broad approximation techniques for non-convex problems based on convex optimization
 - Sequential Quadratic Programming (SQP)
 - Convex-Concave Procedure (CCP)
-

Trajectory Optimization (continuous time)

Consider the problem

$$\text{minimize} \quad \int_0^T g(x(t), u(t), t) dt$$

$$\text{subject to} \quad \dot{x}(t) = f(x(t), u(t), t)$$

$$x(0) = x_0, \quad x(T) \in \mathcal{X}_T$$

$$(x(t), u(t)) \in \mathcal{Z} \quad \forall t \in [0, T]$$

with variables $u: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, $x: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$

problem data $g, f, x_0, \mathcal{X}_T, \mathcal{Z}$

- open-loop optimal control (no feedback)
- infinite-dimensional (variables are functions of time)
- can handle directly via calculus of variations, Pontryagin's Minimum Principle (a fascinating subject, though mathematically demanding & of limited practical use)
- Some special classes of systems to compute continuous time traj. algebraically via convex opt.
 - Differentially flat systems

- In practice, approximate via time-discretization
 - Simplest: Euler integration

$$x(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t f(x(t), u(t), t) dt$$

$$x(t+\Delta t) \approx x(t) + \underbrace{f(x(t), u(t), t)}_{\bar{f}(x(t), u(t), t)} \Delta t$$

with $u(t)$ piecewise constant

- Many more complicated & accurate methods using more evaluations or higher derivatives of f
 - e.g. Runge Kutta methods

Trajectory Optimization (discrete time)

Consider

$$\text{minimize} \quad \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} g_t(x_t, u_t) + g_T(x_T)$$

$$\text{subject to} \quad x_{t+1} = \bar{f}_t(x_t, u_t) \quad t=0, \dots, T-1$$

$$x_0 = x_0, \quad x_T \in X_T$$

$$(x_t, u_t) \in Z \quad \forall t$$

with variables $u_0, \dots, u_{T-1}, x_1, \dots, x_T$

problem data $g_t, \bar{f}_t, x_0, X_T, Z$

- now finite dimensional
- convexity?

- need convexity of g_t, X_T, Z
- need dynamics \bar{f} to be affine/linear!
- unfortunately trajectory opt. for nonlinear dynamical systems is computationally difficult in general

"Solving" Trajectory Optimization for Nonlinear Systems

- must resort to heuristics that (hopefully) find locally optimal solutions fast
- Broad approaches:
 - ① "Solve" w/ general nonlinear solver, cross fingers, + hope for the best
 - only local, may not even converge to a feasible solution
 - ② Iterative convex approximation
 - Sequential Quadratic Programming (SQP)
 - Convex - Concave Procedure (CCP)
 - ③ Global optimization hacks
 - e.g. genetic algorithms, simulated annealing, etc.
 - no guarantees, may be extremely slow
 - use with care, only recommended as a last resort

Sequential Quadratic Programming

- general method to approx. solve non-convex problems, often used for traj. opt. of nonlinear systems
- Basic idea: iteratively approximate by a QP by linearizing/quadrature objective + constraints
- related to iterative algorithms for solving nonlinear convex problems (interior point)
 - natural extension of Newton's method to constrained problems

Consider the (generally non-convex) problem

$$\text{minimize } \ell(x)$$

$$\text{subject to } h(x) = 0$$

$$g(x) \leq 0$$

(dynamics on traj. opt.)

(possibly non-convex constraints on state/input traj. on traj. opt.)

- assume ℓ, g, h sufficiently differentiable
- suppose we have some guess/approximation x_k of the solution to start with

Quadratic Approximation

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & q_k^T d_k + d_k^T P_k d_k \\ & d_k \end{array}$$

(QP)

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{subject to} \quad h(x_k) + \nabla h(x_k)^T d_k = 0 \\ \quad \quad \quad g(x_k) + \nabla g(x_k)^T d_k \leq 0 \end{array}$$

where $d_k = x - x_k$ is the variable

- simply linearize constraints around current guess/iterate x_k
- typically objective not just quadratic approx. of f (w/ $q_k = \nabla f(x_k)$ and $P_k = \nabla^2 f(x_k)$) but instead uses a quadratic approx. of Lagrangian function

$$L(x_k, u_k, \lambda_k) = f(x_k) + u_k^T h(x_k) + \lambda_k^T g(x_k)$$

$$\rightarrow q_k = \nabla_x L(x_k, u_k, \lambda_k), \quad P_k = \nabla_x^2 L(x_k, u_k, \lambda_k)$$

based on some guess/approximation of multipliers u_k, λ_k

- takes constraints into account in objective approx. (can justify from KKT conditions)
- sometimes must "regularize" hessian, which can fail to be positive definite, making QP non-convex
 - Levenberg-Marquardt

- may also maintain a "trust region" within which approximations believed to be sufficiently accurate, and include as constraint in QP
 $(x \in T_k)$
- next generate new iterate from QP solution:
 let $(x_k^*, v_k^*, \lambda_k^*)$ denote optimal primal + dual of QP
 \uparrow
 $d_k^* + x_k$ (let $d_v^* = v_k^* - v_k$, $d_\lambda^* = \lambda_k^* - \lambda_k$)

now set

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_{k+1} &= x_k + \alpha d_k^* \\
 v_{k+1} &= v_k + \alpha d_v^* \\
 \lambda_{k+1} &= \lambda_k + \alpha d_\lambda^*
 \end{aligned}
 \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{step size} \\ \alpha > 0 \end{array}$$

and repeat w/ new iterates

Basic SQP Algorithm

Input: Initial guess (x_0, v_0, λ_0)
 Set $k=0$

while (not converged)

- ① Form + solve QP to obtain $(x_k^*, v_k^*, \lambda_k^*)$
- ② choose α so that $\phi(x_k + \alpha d_k^*) < \phi(x_k)$
 • "merit function" ϕ measures combo of objective value and constraint violation
- ③ Update iterates as in (*)
- ④ Set $k=k+1$ and go to ①

Comments:

- heuristic method, MAY give good local solution (even near optimal), but may fail to find a feasible solution (or even fail to converge)
- often depends heavily on quality of initial guess, but converges rapidly near a solution
- widely used in practice, especially for nonlinear trajectory optimization

Ex Double pendulum state transfer from Stanford EE364B

Convex - Concave Procedure (CCP)

- more sophisticated way to approx. certain non-convex problems, similar in spirit to SQP

Consider the problem

$$\text{minimize} \quad f_0(x) - g_0(x)$$

$$\text{subject to} \quad f_i(x) - g_i(x) \leq 0 \quad i=1, \dots, m$$

where f_i, g_i are convex functions

- i.e. objective + constraints are differences of convex functions

- seems quite specific, but actually broad

- e.g. any C^2 function can be written in this form

Ex Boolean LP

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & C^T x \\ \text{subject to} & Ax \leq b \\ & x_i \in \{0, 1\} \end{array} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \begin{array}{ll} \text{max.} & C^T x \\ \text{subject to} & x_i^2 - x_i \leq 0 \quad \forall i \\ & x_i - x_i^2 \leq 0 \\ & Ax \leq b \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} x_i(1-x_i) = 0 & x_i - x_i^2 \geq 0 \\ x_i - x_i^2 = 0 & \Leftrightarrow x_i - x_i^2 \leq 0 \end{array}$$

Basic CCP Algorithm

Input: initial feasible point x_0
set $k=0$

while (not converged)

① Convexify: $\hat{g}_i(x, x_k) = g_i(x_k) + \nabla g_i(x_k)^T (x - x_k)$

- linearize only concave terms

② Solve convex problem

$$\text{minimize} \quad f_0(x) - \hat{g}_0(x)$$

$$\text{subject to} \quad f_i(x) - \hat{g}_i(x) \leq 0 \quad i=1, \dots, m$$

③ Set $k = k+1$, go to ①

Comments:

- compared to SQP, more info retained at each iteration (convex terms retained exactly)
- line search unnecessary, but can include ~~it~~ to encourage faster progress
- enabled by recent advances in general convex optimization software (beyond QP)

Nice recent paper: Lipp + Boyd, Optimization + Engineering 2016