

Officer's Report (Continued)

1) Driver

The driver identified on the reverse was operating a motor vehicle or had care or control of a motor vehicle for the purposes of section 215(1) of the Motor Vehicle Act based upon (provide evidence):

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Witnessed by officer | <input type="checkbox"/> Admission by driver |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Independent witness | <input type="checkbox"/> Other |

Additional Information: _____

2) Reasonable Grounds

Was a prescribed Approved Screening Device (ASD), Approved Instrument or prescribed physical coordination test used to form reasonable grounds to believe that the driver's ability to drive a motor vehicle was affected by alcohol or a drug?

- ☐ Yes ☐ No

If yes, which test was administered?

- ☐ Alco-Sensor FST ASD Expiry Date _____
- ☐ Approved Instrument (specify) _____
- ☐ Prescribed Physical Coordination Test

Time of Test _____

Result: Alcohol

- ☐ 51–99 mg% ☐ Over 99 mg% ☐ BAC _____

Result: Drug

- ☐ Ability to drive affected by a drug

3) After the Prohibition Served

Did the driver request a breath test or prescribed physical coordination test?

- ☐ Yes ☐ No

If yes, which test was administered?

- ☐ Yes, Alco-Sensor FST ASD Expiry Date _____
- ☐ Yes, Approved Instrument (specify) _____
- ☐ Yes, Prescribed Physical Coordination Test
- ☐ No, test was administered in forming reasonable grounds

Time of Test _____

Result: Alcohol

- ☐ 51–99 mg% ☐ Over 99 mg% ☐ BAC _____

Result: Drug

- ☐ Ability to drive affected by a drug