Assignment 2 - TM5516

Jonathan Nolan 1,1,*

^aJames Cook University, Townsville, Queensland, Australia

Abstract

Keywords: heart rate, student performance, student age

To aid reproducibility, the source rmarkdown for this assignment has been provided online at https://github.com/jonathananolan/TM5516_assignment_2

1. Question 1 - Summary statistics

A total of 250 students across four JCU programs participated in the study, providing data in two surveys and from their smart watch.

The average age of participants was 25. The youngest participant was 18 and the oldest participant 41. Participants were spread across all programs, as shown in Table 1. 56% of students were completely satisfied with University.

Participants had a average resting heart rate outside of semester of 78, took an average 8079 per day and exercised 378 minutes per week.

Samsung was the most popular watch brand, with 73 participants wearing this watch, while watches other than Samsung, Garmin or Apple were least popular, with only51 wearing these brands.

Performance varied widely across the cohort, with the lowest participant scoring 47 and the best scoring 91. Full summary variables across each program are provided in Table 1.

2. Quesiton 2 - Correlations between variables

Pearson correlations for each variable are provided in Graph 1. Where a value is large and green it is suggestive of a positive correlation, whereas when values are large and red it is suggestive of a negative correlation. There appears to be a strong correlation between all measures of exercise and sleep both in and out of semester. Mid-term and final grade area also correlated. Resting heart-rate is negatively correlated with age. These data that made Graph 1 are also provided in table 2 below:

Email address: jonathan.a.nolan@gmail.com (Jonathan Nolan)

^{*}Corresponding author

 $^{^{1}}$ jc975847

Table 1: Summary statistics

| Characteristic | Overall, $N = 250$ | Nursing, $N = 59$ | Occupational Therapy, N = 84 | Dentistry, N = 42 | Biomedicine, N = 65 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Age | | - | | | |
| Median (IQR) | 25.0 (23.0, 27.0) | 25.0 (22.0, 27.0) | 25.0 (23.0, 27.0) | 25.0 (23.0, 26.0) | 24.0 (23.0, 26.0) |
| Range | 18.0, 41.0 | 18.0, 32.0 | 19.0, 41.0 | 20.0, 32.0 | 18.0, 30.0 |
| Mean (SD) | 25.0 (3.3) | 24.7 (3.2) | 25.7 (3.7) | 25.1 (2.6) | 24.2 (3.0) |
| Resting heart rate outside of semester (BPM) | | | | | |
| Median (IQR) | 79 (67, 89) | 79 (68, 93) | 77 (66, 85) | 70 (63, 81) | 84 (72, 93) |
| Range | 57, 106 | 58, 99 | 57, 106 | 59, 98 | 59, 106 |
| Mean (SD) | 78 (12) | 79 (13) | 76 (12) | 73 (11) | 82 (12) |
| Resting heart rate during semester (BPM) | | | | | |
| Median (IQR) | 83 (71, 94) | 84 (72, 96) | 81 (70, 90) | 74 (66, 87) | 89 (76, 98) |
| Range | 60, 100 | 61, 100 | 60, 100 | 62, 100 | 62, 100 |
| Mean (SD) | 82 (13) | 83 (13) | 80 (13) | 77 (12) | 86 (12) |
| Final grade (%) | | | | | |
| Median (IQR) | 68 (63, 72) | 67 (62, 72) | 67 (63, 71) | 69 (63, 73) | 68 (64, 72) |
| Range | 47, 91 | 52, 79 | 50, 88 | 55, 89 | 47, 91 |
| Mean (SD) | 68 (7) | 67 (7) | 67 (7) | 69 (7) | 68 (7) |
| Watch brand | | | | | |
| Apple | 63 (25%) | 14 (24%) | 20 (24%) | 11 (26%) | 18 (28%) |
| Garmin | 63 (25%) | 12 (20%) | 24 (29%) | 12 (29%) | 15 (23%) |
| Others | 51 (20%) | 17 (29%) | 17 (20%) | 6 (14%) | 11 (17%) |
| Samsung | 73 (29%) | 16 (27%) | 23 (27%) | 13 (31%) | 21 (32%) |
| Satisfaction with university | | | | | |
| Not completely satisfied | 109 (44%) | 26 (44%) | 33 (39%) | 18 (43%) | 32 (49%) |
| Completely satisfied | 141 (56%) | 33 (56%) | 51 (61%) | 24 (57%) | 33 (51%) |
| Steps per day outside of semester (average) | | | | | |
| Median (IQR) | 7,995 (7,225, 8,880) | 7,847 (6,818, 8,857) | 7,764 (7,179, 8,609) | 8,589 (7,467, 9,381) | 8,048 (7,384, 8,865) |
| Range | 4,398, 11,670 | 4,398, 11,321 | 5,784, 11,670 | 6,580, 11,108 | 5,864, 10,803 |
| Mean (SD) | 8,079 (1,242) | 7,831 (1,480) | 7,945 (1,125) | 8,552 (1,217) | 8,172 (1,090) |
| Hours of sleep per day outside semester (average) | | | | | |
| Median (IQR) | 460 (428, 490) | 451 (400, 478) | 456 (426, 478) | 479 (438, 501) | 469 (440, 494) |
| Range | 309, 565 | 309, 534 | 374, 546 | 401, 535 | 412, 565 |
| Mean (SD) | 458 (42) | 441 (51) | 453 (37) | 473 (37) | 470 (36) |
| Exercise outside of semester (minutes per week) | | | | | |
| Median (IQR) | 379 (340, 415) | 369 (311, 400) | 370 (336, 400) | 407 (357, 435) | 385 (354, 424) |
| Range | 202, 497 | 232, 476 | 202, 483 | 314, 477 | 301, 497 |
| Mean (SD) | 378 (50) | 361 (55) | 370 (47) | 400 (46) | 388 (45) |

¹ n (%)




Table 2: Correlation between variables in the study

| term | Age | Sleep (sem) | Sleep (non- sem) | Exercise hrs (sem) | Exercise hrs (non- sem) | Resting HR (non- sem) | Steps/day (sem) | Steps/day (non- sem) | Midterm grade |
|------------------------|-------|----------------|------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| Age | | | | | | | | | |
| Sleep (sem) | -0.01 | | | | | | | | |
| Sleep (non-sem) | -0.01 | 0.04 | | | | | | | |
| Exercise hrs (sem) | -0.01 | 0.03 | 0.97 | | | | | | |
| Exercise hrs (non-sem) | 0 | 0.01 | 0.9 | 0.87 | | | | | |
| Resting HR (non-sem) | -0.46 | -0.16 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.07 | | | | |
| Steps/day (sem) | 0.04 | -0.03 | 0.68 | 0.62 | 0.71 | 0.06 | | | |
| Steps/day (non-sem) | 0.03 | -0.03 | 0.68 | 0.62 | 0.71 | 0.05 | 0.99 | | |
| Midterm grade | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.06 | -0.06 | -0.02 | -0.03 | |
| Final grade | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.01 | -0.04 | -0.04 | 0.89 |

3. Question 3 - Relationship between University Program and Resting heart rate

3.1. Step one - Decide on most appropriate test

To decide on the most appropriate statistical test, the data was first assessed for normality. Graphical assessment of data in Figure 2 suggest that there is some right-ward shift in the data. The QQ plot in Figure 3 also shows significant deviation from the QQ line. It is therefore not necessary to conduct other tests, and the data should be considered non-parametric. However since the sample size in all three groups is larger than 30, the Central Limit Theorem applies and we can utilise tests that usually rely on the data being normally distributed.

Figure 2: Distribution of HR across programs




Figure 3: QQ Plot Nursing 120 100 80 60 40 Occupational Therapy 120 100 80 60 40 Biomedicine 120 100 80 60 40 2 Λ 0 2 Х

Since we are comparing three different categories, a one way anova test is the most appropriate test.

3.2. State Hypothesis

 H_o : There is no difference in the mean resting heart rate during the semester among students in the three university programs

 H_{α} : At least one university program has a different mean resting heart rate during the semester.

3.3. Set alpha

The alpha chosen for this test is .05 as this is the most common in the literature.

3.4. Calulcate test statistic

The results for the one-way anova are presented in Table 3. There is a difference in the results between the resting heart-rate during the semester for the students in the three programs, F = NA and p = NA), meaning that the probability that this result occurred by chance alone was well below the .05 threshold chosen for this test. Since the value of the one-way anova was significant, a pairwise-comparison with Bonferroni adjustment was made in table 4. Here we find that the adjusted p-value for the comparison of means for Biomedical and Occupational Therapy students was 0.02, suggesting that there is a statistically significant difference between these two groups.

3.5. Reject or retain hypothesis

As a result of the analysis of this test above, we have rejected the null hypothesis because there is a statistically significant difference between the Resting heart rate during semester of Occupational Therapy and Biomedical students.

Table 3: One-Way Anova of of heart rate during semester across programs

| term | df | sumsq | meansq | statistic | p.value |
|-----------|-----|----------|--------|-----------|---------|
| Program | 2 | 1289.86 | 644.93 | 4.03 | 0.02 |
| Residuals | 205 | 32792.33 | 159.96 | NA | NA |

Table 4: Pairwise comparison of heart rate during semester across programs with Bonferroni adjustment

| First program | Mean | Second program | Mean | Difference between means | p.value |
|----------------------|-------|----------------------|-------|--------------------------|---------|
| Occupational Therapy | 80.26 | Nursing | 83.17 | -2.91 | 0.53 |
| Biomedicine | 86.18 | Nursing | 83.17 | 3.02 | 0.56 |
| Biomedicine | 86.18 | Occupational Therapy | 80.26 | 5.92 | 0.02 |

4. Question 4 - Sleep during semester

4.1. Decide on most appropriate test

Comparing the heart rate of the same individuals both during and after semester is an example of paired sample, and therefore a paired t-test is the most appropriate analysis.

4.2. State hypothesis

 H_o : The average time spent sleeping by participants during the semester is equal to the average time spent sleeping outside of the semester. H_{α} : The average time spent sleeping by participants during the semester is not equal to the mean time spent sleeping outside of the semester.

4.3. Set alpha

The alpha chosen for this test is .05 as this is the most common in the literature.

4.4. Calulcate test statistic

Table 5 shows that the average time spent sleeping for students in semester is 426 minutes, whereas the average time spent sleeping outside of semester is 458. To test if this value is significant we consider the results of a paired t-test in table 6. The p-value for this test is .00 which suggests that the difference between the two means is statistically significant.

4.5. Reject or retain hypothesis

Because the two means are different we reject null hypothesis that the two means are the same

5. Question 5 University satisfaction and unviersity program

5.1. Decide on most appropriate test

Because we are comparing two categorical variables, the chi squared test is the most appropriate way to check if these variables are related.

5.2. State hypothesis

 H_o : University satisfaction status is independent of the university program.

 H_{α} : University satisfaction status is dependent on the university program.

Table 5: Time spent sleeping during and outside semester

| variable | Respondents | Mean | sd |
|---|-------------|------|----|
| Hours of sleep per day during semester (average) | 250 | 426 | 45 |
| Hours of sleep per day outside semester (average) | 250 | 458 | 42 |

Table 6: Results of paired t-test of Sleep during and outside of semester

| Difference between means | t | p.value | parameter | conf.low | conf.high | method | alternative |
|--------------------------|-------|---------|-----------|----------|-----------|---------------|-------------|
| -31.95 | -8.38 | 0 | 249 | -39.45 | -24.44 | Paired t-test | two.sided |

Table 7: Share of participants completely satisfied across programs

| Program | Participants | Share completely satisfied (%) | sd |
|----------------------|--------------|--------------------------------|-----------|
| Nursing | 59 | 56 | 0.5007300 |
| Occupational Therapy | 84 | 61 | 0.4913188 |
| Dentistry | 42 | 57 | 0.5008703 |
| Biomedicine | 65 | 51 | 0.5038315 |

5.3. Set alpha

The alpha chosen for this test is .05 as this is the most common in the literature.

5.4. Calulcate test statistic

As shown in table 7, a similar number of participants are completely satisfied across all programs.

A chi-squared test was performed, and $\chi 2$ is 1.49 p-value is 0.68, it appears the values are not statistically significantly different.

5.5. Reject or retain hypothesis

Given the p-value is less than .05, we fail to reject the null hypothesis that satisfaction is independent of program.

6. Question 6

6.1. Decide on most appropriate test

Given we are testing the effect of multiple variables on a continuous outcome variable (Resting heart rate outside of semester) a linear regression is the most appropriate test.

The equation for the regression is:

'Resting heart rate outside of semester (BPM)' = α + $\beta_1(Age)$ + $\beta_2($ 'Steps per day during semester (average)')+ $\beta_3($ 'Exercise during semsester (minutes per week)')+ $\beta_4($ 'Final grade (%)')+

6.2. State hypothesis

 H_o : Resting heart rate outside of semester is not correlated with age, steps per day during semester, exercise during semester or final grade

 H_{α} : Resting heart rate outside of semester is correlated with any of age, steps per day during semester, exercise during semester or final grade

6.3. Set alpha

The alpha chosen for this test is .05 as this is the most common in the literature.

Table 8: Results of linear regression against resting hear-rate outside of semester

| Characteristic | Beta | 95% CI | p-value |
|--|------|-------------|---------|
| Age | -1.8 | -2.2, -1.3 | < 0.001 |
| Steps per day during semester (average) | 0.00 | 0.00, 0.00 | 0.5 |
| Exercise during semsester (minutes per week) | 0.01 | -0.04, 0.07 | 0.6 |
| Final grade (%) | 0.06 | -0.14, 0.26 | 0.6 |

¹ CI = Confidence Interval


 $R^2 = 0.219$; Adjusted $R^2 = 0.207$; Sigma = 11.1; Statistic = 17.2; p-value = <0.001; df = 4; Log-likelihood = -954; AIC = 1,920; BIC = 1,941; Deviance = 30,183; Residual df = 245; No. Obs. = 250

6.4. Calulcate test statistic

The results in the table 8 show that after controlling for exercise, steps per day, and final grade, resting heart rate in this population is 1.8 beats per minute lower for every year of extra age. The p-value for this estimate is less than .001, suggesting a statistically significant relationship. For all other variables p is greater than .05 and there is therefore no evidence of a relationship.

This model underwent several robustness tests and their results in figure 4. In the first plot that the residuals are evenly spread above and below the fitted line, suggesting normality. In the second (Normal Q-Q) plot the residuals form a straight line without much deviation, and the third plot shows even spread across the fitted line - suggesting minimal heteroskedasticity. In the final graph there are few outliers that have significant residuals on the model. While not perfect outputs, these four tests suggest high robustness of the model.

Figure 4 – Robustness checks for linear model



6.5. Reject or retain hypothesis

Since there is a statistically significant relationship between age and heart-rate, we have rejected hte null-hypothesis.