Forecasting ansd Risk (BANA 4090)

ARIMA models (Part IV)

Zhaohu(Jonathan) Fan 07/15/2021 • Main topics:

Seasonal ARIMA models

Seasonal ARIMA models

ARIMA models

- The general **idea** of ARIMA models is to **capture autocorrelation**.
 - **Autocorrelation**: measure linear relationship between **lagged values** of a time series *y*.
 - For example, we measure the relationship between:
 - y_t and y_{t-1}
 - y_t and y_{t-2}
 - etc.
- Major assumption: stationarity.
- Advantages: strong underlying theory, flexible, etc.
- **Key concepts**: order, differencing.
 - Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average models (ARIMA (p, d, q)models):
 - AR: p = order of the autoregressive part.
 - I: d = degree of first differencing involved.
 - MA: q = order of the moving average part.

Stationarity

- First-order (Lag-1) differencing (used for removing trend)
 - The differenced series is the **change** between each observation in the original series:
 - $\circ y'_{t} = y_{t} y_{t-1}$. In R, diff(data, lag=1) or diff(data).
- Seasonal (Lag-m) differencing (used for removing seasonality)
 - is the difference between an observation and the corresponding observation from the previous year.
 - $y_t' = y_t y_{t-m}$, where m= number of seasons.
 - For monthly data m=12. In R, diff(data, lag=12).
 - For quarterly data m=4. In R, diff(data, lag=4).


Stationarity (cont'd)

- Augmented Dickey-Fuller Test (ADF)
 - In general, the Augmented Dickey-Fuller test is defined as:
 - H_0 (null hypothesis): the data series is not stationary
 - H_a (alternative hypothesis): the data series is stationary.
- The adf.test() from the R package tseries will do a Augmented Dickey-Fuller test.

Identifying ARIMA (p, d, q) models


Model	ACF	PACF
AR(p)	exponentially decay	cut off at lag p
MA(q)	cut off at lag q	exponentially decay
ARMA(p,q)	exponentially decay after lag q	exponentially decay
ARIMA(p,d,q)	slowly decrease	exponentially decay

- ARIMA (1,1,1)
- Note: **ACF** starts at lag 0 and **PACF** starts at lag 1.



Seasonal ARIMA models

- (Non-seasonal) ARIMA models: non-seasonal data.
- ARIMA models are also capable of modelling a wide range of seasonal data by including additional seasonal terms.
- Seasonal ARIMA models:




where m = number of seasons/number of observations per year.

- For monthly data m=12.
- For quarterly data m=4.


Seasonal ARIMA models(cont'd)

- The seasonal part of an AR or MA model will be seen in the seasonal lags of the PACF and ACF.
- **Seasonal ARIMA (0,0,0) (0,0,1)** ₁₂ will show:
 - a spike at lag 12 in the **ACF** but no other significant spikes.
 - The PACF will show exponential decay in the seasonal lags (lags 12, 24, ...).
 - Note: ACF starts at lag 0 and PACF starts at lag 1.



Seasonal ARIMA models(cont'd)


- **Seasonal ARIMA (0,0,0)(1,0,0)** ₁₂ will show:
 - exponential decay in the seasonal lags of the **ACF**.
 - a single significant spike at lag 12 in the **PACF**.
- Note: ACF starts at lag 0 and PACF starts at lag 1



Data Example: European quarterly retail


• Quarterly retail trade index in the Euro area (17 countries), 1996-2011.

```
library(fpp2)
autoplot(euretail) +
  xlab("Year") + ylab("Retail index")
```




• Taking the seasonal difference

```
euretail %>% diff(lag=4) %>% ggtsdisplay()
```



• Both seasonal and first differences are applied:


```
euretail %>% diff(lag=4) %>% diff() %>%
  ggtsdisplay()
```



- d = 1 and D = 1 seems necessary.
- Significant spike at lag 1 in ACF suggests non-seasonal MA(1) component.
- Significant spike at lag 4 in ACF suggests seasonal MA(1) component.
- Initial candidate model: ARIMA(0,1,1)(0,1,1) 4.
- We could also have started with ARIMA(1,1,0)(1,1,0) 4.

• ARIMA(0,1,1)(0,1,1)₄

```
fit ← Arima(euretail, order=c(0,1,1),
  seasonal=c(0,1,1))
checkresiduals(fit)
```



```
##
## Ljung-Box test
##
## data: Residuals from ARIMA(0,1,1)(0,1,1)[4]
## Q* = 10.654, df = 6, p-value = 0.09968
##
```


- ACF and PACF of residuals show significant spikes at lag 2, and maybe lag 3.
- BIC of ARIMA(0,1, 3)(0,1,1) 4 model is 77.64592.

```
## [1] 77.64592
```

• BIC of ARIMA(0,1, 2)(0,1,1) 4 model is 81.83933.


```
(fit2 \leftarrow Arima(euretail, order=c(0,1,2),
  seasonal=c(0,1,1))
## Series: euretail
## ARIMA(0,1,2)(0,1,1)[4]
###
## Coefficients:
###
                    ma2
           ma1
                            sma1
##
   0.2303 0.2502 -0.6991
## s.e. 0.1484 0.1188 0.1284
###
## sigma^2 estimated as 0.1789: log likelihood=-32.76
## AIC=73.53 AICc=74.27 BIC=81.84
BIC(fit2)
## [1] 81.83933
```

checkresiduals(fit)



```
##
## Ljung-Box test
##
## data: Residuals from ARIMA(0,1,3)(0,1,1)[4]
## Q* = 0.51128, df = 4, p-value = 0.9724
##
## Model df: 4. Total lags used: 8
```

autoplot(forecast(fit, h=12))



```
## Series: euretail
## ARIMA(0,1,3)(0,1,1)[4]
##
## Coefficients:
## ma1 ma2 ma3 sma1
## 0.2630 0.3694 0.4200 -0.6636
## s.e. 0.1237 0.1255 0.1294 0.1545
##
## sigma^2 estimated as 0.156: log likelihood=-28.63
## AIC=67.26 AICc=68.39 BIC=77.65
```

```
auto.arima(euretail, stepwise=FALSE, approximation=FALSE)
## Series: euretail
## ARIMA(0,1,3)(0,1,1)[4]
###
## Coefficients:
###
           ma1
                   ma2
                          ma3
                                  sma1
       0.2630 0.3694 0.4200 -0.6636
###
## s.e. 0.1237 0.1255 0.1294 0.1545
###
## sigma^2 estimated as 0.156: log likelihood=-28.63
## AIC=67.26 AICc=68.39 BIC=77.65
```