**Final Project Rubric**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Section or Criteria** | **Weight** | **Unsatisfactory** | **Below Satisfactory** | **Satisfactory** |
| Presentation: Introduction and Background | 10% | Missing or weak | Weak, only one slide, very little context | Full context of problem, engaging framework that pulls audience in and explains the bigger picture of your research ( at least one slide) |
| Presentation: Methods | 35% | Missing, unclearly presented | Unclearly presented, inappropriately used methods | Logical, thoughtful correctly performed methods to approach the problem at hand, clearly articulated in presentation (two slides) |
| Summary/Results | 30% | Weak results, not well conveyed. No mention of interesting discoveries, etc. | Weakly conveyed results | Clearly conveyed results showing strong performance and explaining any weaknesses in model performance. Also, description of interesting discoveries up to three slides if you can move quickly, but clearly, through each) |
| Recommendations/Conclusions | 10% | Not present | Weak | Strong conclusion or recommendation. Thoughts about next steps or extension of research (one slide) |
| Log | 15% | Missing | Unclear, missing details. Difficult to understand what was done by student | Clear, step-by-step (numbered or bulleted, dated) document describing process of student in taking raw data and working to results. (one slide) |