BDA3Report

May 24, 2023

1 LAB EXERCISE 3: MACHINE LEARNING

Implement in Spark (PySpark) a kernel model to predict the hourly temperatures for a date and place in Sweden. To do so, you should use the files temperature-readings.csv and stations.csv from previous labs. Specifically, the forecast should consist of the predicted temperatures from 4 am to 24 pm in an interval of 2 hours for a date and place in Sweden. Use a kernel that is the sum of three Gaussian kernels:

The first to account for the distance from a station to the point of interest.

The second to account for the distance between the day a temperature measurement was made and the day of interest.

The third to account for the distance between the hour of the day a temperature measurement was made and the hour of interest.

1.1 Kernel Model

Note: For hour interval we use [0:00:00, 04:00:00, 06:00:00 ...] and not 24:00:00, this is because in temperature-reading.csv, temperature is recorded from 00:00:00, so we keep that for consistancy.

```
[]: from __future__ import division
     from math import radians, cos, sin, asin, sqrt, exp
     from datetime import datetime
     from pyspark import SparkContext
     from pyspark.broadcast import Broadcast
     import numpy as np
     def haversine(lon1, lat1, lon2, lat2):
         # convert decimal degrees to radians
         lon1, lat1, lon2, lat2 = map(radians, [lon1, lat1, lon2, lat2])
         # haversine formula
         dlon = lon2 - lon1
         dlat = lat2 - lat1
         a = \sin(dlat/2)**2 + \cos(lat1) * \cos(lat2) * \sin(dlon/2)**2
         c = 2 * asin(sqrt(a))
         km = 6367 * c
         return km
     def day_difference(day1, day2):
```

```
diff = abs(datetime.strptime(str(day1), "%Y-%m-%d") - datetime.
 ⇔strptime(str(day2), "%Y-%m-%d"))
   no_days = diff.days
   return no_days
def hour diff(time1, time2):
   diff = abs(datetime.strptime(time1, "%H:%M:%S") - datetime.strptime(time2, __
 →"%H:%M:%S"))
   diff = (diff.total_seconds()) / 3600
   return diff
def gaussian kernel(u, h):
   return np.exp(-u**2 / (2 * h**2))
def sum_kernel(distance_kernel, day_kernel, time_kernel):
   res = distance_kernel + day_kernel + time_kernel
   return res
def product kernel(distance_kernel, day_kernel, time kernel):
   res = distance_kernel * day_kernel * time_kernel
   return res
# Value to predict
target_latitude = 58.68681
target_longitude = 15.92183
target_date = '2014-05-17'
hour_list= ["00:00:00", "22:00:00", "20:00:00", "18:00:00", "16:00:00", "14:00:
→00", "12:00:00", "10:00:00", "08:00:00", "06:00:00", "04:00:00"]
# Kernel width
h_dist = 100
h_day = 15
h_{time} = 5
# Create SparkContext
sc = SparkContext(appName="Lab 3 ML")
temperature_file = sc.textFile("BDA/input/temperature-readings.csv")
station_file = sc.textFile("BDA/input/stations.csv")
temperature_data = temperature_file.map(lambda x: x.split(';'))
stations_data = station_file.map(lambda x: x.split(';'))
#Filter the previous data, this can save some computation
target_date_strip = datetime.strptime(target_date, '%Y-%m-%d')
```

```
prev_temp = temperature_data.filter(lambda x: datetime.strptime(x[1],__

        '%Y-%m-%d') < target_date_strip)
</pre>
# pre-calculate station distkernel and broadcast it (to speed up process)
station_distkernel = stations_data.map(lambda x: (x[0],__
 gaussian kernel(haversine(target longitude, target latitude, float(x[4]),
float(x[3])), h_dist))).collectAsMap()
broadcast_station_distkernel = sc.broadcast(station_distkernel)
#station id, time, temp, day kernel, cache it to save time
temperature_data_datekernel = prev_temp.map(lambda x: (x[0], x[2], x[3], u
 gaussian kernel(day difference(target date, x[1]), h day))).cache()
predictions = {}
for hour in hour list:
    #temp, dist_kernel, day_kernel, hour_kernel
    temp_kernels = temperature_data_datekernel.map(lambda x: (float(x[2]),
                                                  {\tt broadcast\_station\_distkernel}\,.
 \Rightarrowvalue[x[0]],
                                                  gaussian_kernel(hour_diff(hour,_
 \rightarrowx[1]), h_time)))
    #temp, sumkernel, prodkernel
    temp_both_kernels = temp_kernels.map(lambda x: (x[0], sum_kernel(x[1],_u
 \Rightarrowx[2], x[3]), product_kernel(x[1], x[2], x[3])))
    #sum_kernel, sum_kernel*temp, prod_kernel, prod_kernel*temp
    cal_kerneltemp = temp_both_kernels.map(lambda x :
 (x[1],x[0]*x[1],x[2],x[0]*x[2]))
    #sum all the elements
    sum_kerneltemp = cal_kerneltemp.reduce(lambda x, y: (x[0] + y[0], x[1] + ___
 \rightarrow y[1], x[2] + y[2], x[3] + y[3])
    #sum_prediction, prod_prediction
    predictions[hour] = (sum_kerneltemp[1]/sum_kerneltemp[0], sum_kerneltemp[3]/
 ⇒sum_kerneltemp[2])
# Convert predictions dictionary to RDD
predictions_rdd = sc.parallelize(list(predictions.items()))
predictions_rdd = predictions_rdd.coalesce(1)
predictions_rdd = predictions_rdd.sortByKey()
# Save the RDD as text files
predictions_rdd.saveAsTextFile("BDA/output/predictions")
```

1.2 Time(Hour), Prediction using summation kernel, Prediction using Prodoct kernel

```
\begin{array}{l} (\text{`}00:00:00',\ (4.2202401242697389,\ 5.2914482209815956))\\ (\text{`}04:00:00',\ (4.3552953714587552,\ 6.3959774649343277))\\ (\text{`}06:00:00',\ (4.6245740528807513,\ 7.1339973346514123))\\ (\text{`}08:00:00',\ (4.9627767000765868,\ 7.9163683859293865))\\ (\text{`}10:00:00',\ (5.2939137306831405,\ 8.6202196406263436))\\ (\text{`}12:00:00',\ (5.5383779571661025,\ 9.1060230972701959))\\ (\text{`}14:00:00',\ (5.6461283024140405,\ 9.2821452649169416))\\ (\text{`}16:00:00',\ (5.6191253696649843,\ 9.1510902056699788))\\ (\text{`}18:00:00',\ (5.5036901822620719,\ 8.7955867007308655))\\ (\text{`}20:00:00',\ (5.3640549651923441,\ 8.3245303183460653))\\ (\text{`}22:00:00',\ (5.2613166212619547,\ 7.8280808797063388))\\ \end{array}
```

1.3 MLlib

```
[]: from __future__ import division
     from pyspark import SparkContext
     from pyspark.mllib.linalg import Vectors
     from pyspark.mllib.feature import StandardScaler
     from pyspark.mllib.regression import LabeledPoint, LinearRegressionWithSGD
     from pyspark.mllib.tree import DecisionTree
     from datetime import datetime
     from math import radians, cos, sin, asin, sqrt, exp
     from pyspark.broadcast import Broadcast
     # For data handling, the plan is as below
     # Use the distance difference from the geographical center of sweden
     # Use how many dates have passed since 1950/01/01
     # Use the hour difference from 00:00:00
     # Which means the features are distance, day_diff, hour_diff,
     # Function to calculate haversine distance
     def haversine(lon1, lat1, lon2=16.321998712, lat2=62.38583179): #qeographical,
      ⇔center of sweden
         # convert decimal degrees to radians
         lon1, lat1, lon2, lat2 = map(radians, [lon1, lat1, lon2, lat2])
         # haversine formula
         dlon = lon2 - lon1
         dlat = lat2 - lat1
         a = \sin(dlat/2)**2 + \cos(lat1) * \cos(lat2) * \sin(dlon/2)**2
         c = 2 * asin(sqrt(a))
         km = 6367 * c
         return km
     def day_diff(day1, day2="1950-01-01"):
```

```
diff = abs(datetime.strptime(str(day1), "%Y-%m-%d") - datetime.
 ⇔strptime(str(day2), "%Y-%m-%d"))
   no_days = diff.days
   return no_days
def hour diff(time1, time2="00:00:00"):
   \label{eq:diff} $$ $$ abs(datetime.strptime(time1, "%H:%M:%S") - datetime.strptime(time2, \_) $$

¬"%H:%M:%S"))
   diff = (diff.total_seconds()) / 3600
   return diff
sc = SparkContext(appName="Lab 3 ML")
target_date = '2014-5-17'
target latitude = 58.68681
target_longitude = 15.92183
target_distance = haversine(lon1=target_longitude, lat1=target_latitude)
target_date_diff = day_diff(day1=target_date)
temperature file = sc.textFile("BDA/input/temperature-readings.csv")
#temperature_file = temperature_file.sample(withReplacement=False, fraction=0.1)
temperature_data = temperature_file.map(lambda x: x.split(';'))
#filter out data after target date
target_date_strip = datetime.strptime(target_date, '%Y-%m-%d')
prev temp = temperature data.filter(lambda x: datetime.strptime(x[1],...)
 station_file = sc.textFile("BDA/input/stations.csv")
stations_data = station_file.map(lambda x: x.split(';'))
#Same for kernel model, broadcast distance for faster access
stations_distance = stations_data.map(lambda x: (x[0],__
 →haversine(lat1=float(x[3]), lon1=float(x[4])))).collectAsMap()
broadcast_stations_distance = sc.broadcast(stations_distance)
training_temp = prev_temp.map(lambda x: (
   float(x[3]), day_diff(x[1]), hour_diff(x[2]), broadcast_stations_distance.
\Rightarrowvalue[x[0]]))
#standardized
features = training_temp.map(lambda x: x[1:])
standardizer = StandardScaler()
model = standardizer.fit(features)
features_transform = model.transform(features)
```

```
label = training_temp.map(lambda x: x[0])
standardized_data = label.zip(features_transform)
#create training data with standardized features
train_data = standardized_data.map(lambda x: LabeledPoint(x[0], [x[1]]))
##Create models##
#Here the 12 regulation, step_size, iterations are set to avoid huge weight
lr_model = LinearRegressionWithSGD.train(train_data, regType='12', step=0.25,__
 ⇒iterations = 50)
#Here, maxDepth is set to increase the split of model
dt_model = DecisionTree.trainRegressor(train_data, categoricalFeaturesInfo={},__
 →maxDepth= 15)
# Create 2 hours interval target, and make it a rdd
hour_list = ["00:00:00", "22:00:00", "20:00:00", "18:00:00", "16:00:00", "14:00:
 ÷00".
             "12:00:00", "10:00:00", "08:00:00", "06:00:00", "04:00:00"]
target_features = []
for hour in hour list:
   target_hour = hour_diff(time1=hour)
   target_feature = Vectors.dense([float(target_date_diff), target_hour,__
→target_distance])
   target_features.append(target_feature)
target_features_rdd = sc.parallelize(target_features)
# Standardized target features, this is important, since the training features
 ⇒are also standardized
target features transform = model.transform(target features rdd)
standardized_target_features = target_features_transform.collect()
# Predictions
lr_predictions = [lr_model.predict(feature) for feature in_
 ⇒standardized_target_features]
dt predictions = [dt model.predict(feature) for feature in___
 standardized_target_features]
# save the predictions to a text file
```

```
lr_predictions = zip(hour_list, lr_predictions)
lr_predictions = sc.parallelize(lr_predictions)
lr_predictions = lr_predictions.coalesce(1).sortByKey()
lr_predictions.saveAsTextFile("BDA/output/lr_prediction")

dt_predictions = zip(hour_list, dt_predictions)
dt_predictions = sc.parallelize(dt_predictions)
dt_predictions = dt_predictions.coalesce(1).sortByKey()
dt_predictions.saveAsTextFile("BDA/output/dt_predictions")
```

1.4 Output of linear regression

```
('00:00:00', 3.1035369255720253)
('04:00:00', 3.6499689072471697)
('06:00:00', 3.9231848980847417)
('08:00:00', 4.1964008889223141)
('10:00:00', 4.4696168797598865)
('12:00:00', 4.7428328705974581)
('14:00:00', 5.0160488614350296)
('16:00:00', 5.289264852272602)
('18:00:00', 5.5624808431101744)
('20:00:00', 5.8356968339477469)
('22:00:00', 6.1089128247853193)
```

1.5 Output of Decision Tree

```
('00:00:00', 4.891478190630056)
('04:00:00', 4.635169183558103)
('06:00:00', 5.317851639885542)
('08:00:00', 5.983913995031351)
('10:00:00', 7.989802623632015)
('12:00:00', 7.989802623632015)
('14:00:00', 7.989802623632015)
('16:00:00', 7.989802623632015)
('16:00:00', 6.873959938366718)
('20:00:00', 4.760130335412936)
('22:00:00', 5.371705739692806)
```

1.5.1 QUESTIONS

Show that your choice for the kernels' width is sensible, i.e. it gives more weight to closer points. Discuss why your definition of closeness is reasonable.

Repeat the exercise using a kernel that is the product of the three Gaussian kernels above. Compare the results with those obtained for the additive kernel. If they differ, explain why.

Repeat the exercise using at least two MLlib library models to predict the hourly temperatures for a date and place in Sweden. Compare the results with two Gaussian kernels. If they differ, explain why.

1.5.2 Answer

Ans1. We choose below, kernels' width

 $h_{dist} = 100 \text{ km } h_{day} = 15 \text{ days } h_{time} = 5 \text{ hours Since the weather changes greatly across large distances. So we set the kernel width for distance as 100 km, which give larger weight to nearby station that is (<math>< 100 \text{km}$).

For date kernel, since the temperature will varies from different seasons, and we will like to keep the larger weight to the observation closer to the target date. However, it is important to note that, this will also decrease the weight of the same date from previous year, but for simplicity, we keep it as 15

For time(hour) kernel, since we set the target location in a random place in Östergötlands län, and we experient large fluctuations of temperature from day and night recently. Hence, we choose 5 hour as our kernel's width to put more emphasize to closer hour.

Ans2. By observing our prediction, we can see that the prediction using summation model is lower and also does not vary much compare to multiplication model. This is due to the difference of combanation For example, if there is a observation that is at the same hour, one day before target date, but 1000km away. Using summation model it will still result in large weight because of hour and date. On the other hand, using multiplication the small weight of distance will keep the overall weight down. We will say that the multiplication model is more ideal because we need to consider all three kernels when doing prediction.

Ans3. The first model we use LinearRegression(LR) with L2 Regulation (RidgeRegression), the using of regulation is to get smaller and more balanced coefficients since we experience unbalanced coefficients when using default LinearRegression.

The Second model we use is Decision Tree regression since it is usable to capture non-linear relationship between variables.

In terms of result, we will say that LR model did not do the prediction well, as the temperature around noon is lower than night time. This might because the amount of data for different hour is not balanced so the coefficient is not good.

For Decision Tree(DT), the time of higher and lower temperature are as expected. However, it will output same value for different hour. We suspect this is due to the depth of the model, but increase the max depth will further increase run time.

Overall, we will say that DT gives more accurate prediction than LR, but both not perfect. Also, the way we handle data (by giving reference starting point for location and date) have make our MLlib model result different to Gaussian kernels.

[]: