

DATA QUALITY & SOURCE OF TRUTH

Vulnerability Management Maturity Model (VMMM v2)

Domain: Identify
Maturity Tier: Foundational

EXECUTIVE SNAPSHOT

Why this matters:

- Multiple scanners produce conflicting vulnerability data requiring manual reconciliation

What breaks without it:

- Hours spent investigating conflicting data—analysts paralyzed determining which scanner to trust
- False positives consume remediation capacity—days investigating vulnerabilities that don't exist

What "good" looks like:

- Level 3: Source of truth documentation, false positive procedures, validation checks, conflict resolution
- Level 4+: Automated quality checks, false positive library, tracked metrics, systematic improvement

Who should care:

- Security teams struggling with conflicting vulnerability data from multiple scanning tools
- Security operations needing authoritative data source when scanners report different severity ratings
- Asset owners frustrated by false positives creating remediation burden for non-existent vulnerabilities

URGENCY ASSESSMENT

Critical Foundation (prevents conflicting data paralysis)

Compliance Driver

Risk Mitigation

Operational Efficiency (eliminates false positive waste)

Strategic Enhancement (enables confident prioritization)

(If unchecked at Level 2+, organization wastes resources on inaccurate data and false positives)

FRAMEWORK ALIGNMENT EXAMPLES

This capability supports accountability requirements in commonly adopted security frameworks. These examples illustrate alignment, not exhaustive control coverage.

NIST 800-53: Demonstrates inventory accuracy with vulnerability data validation

NIST CSF 2.0: Shows asset management with accurate vulnerability associations

CIS v8: Provides asset inventory accuracy through vulnerability data correlation

ISO 27001: Demonstrates vulnerability management with documented data quality controls

MATURITY QUICK CHECK

- Level 1: No source of truth, conflicting data, reactive false positive handling
- Level 2: Informal tribal knowledge, ad hoc conflict resolution, individual handling
- Level 3: **Source of truth docs, false positive procedures, validation checks, conflict resolution**
- Level 4: Automated checks, false positive library, quality metrics, systematic reviews
- Level 5: ML-enhanced detection, data lineage, automated correlation, continuous optimization

DEPENDENCIES & BOUNDARIES

Depends on: Asset Inventory, Scanning & Detection Coverage, Risk-Based Prioritization

Enables: Confident prioritization, efficient remediation, trusted reporting

This is NOT: Universal scanner authority, zero false positive guarantee

Related Resources: Data Quality & Source of Truth Guide · Framework Mapping · Self-Assessment