# PSQF 6429: Structural Equation Modeling, Fall 2025

Version 1.0: 18 August 2025

Note: The online syllabus at the address provided in the table will always have the most current information.

### Course Information

Instructor: Jonathan Templin email: jonathan-templin@uiowa.edu https://jonathantemplin.github.io/Structural-Course website: Equation-Modeling-Fall-2025/ Course repo: https://github.com/jonathantemplin/Structural-Equation-Modeling-Fall-2025 Course YouTube Playlist: TBAOffice: S300A Lindquist Center Office Phone: 319-335-6429 60 SH Classroom: Course Meeting Time: W 12:30pm-3:20pm Course Office Hours: M 1:30pm-3:30pm via Zoom at https://uiowa.zoom.us/my/jonathantemplinuiowa Teaching Assistants: Erica Dorman (erica-dorman@uiowa.edu) Ae Kyong Jung (aekyong-jung@uiowa.edu) TA Office Hours: Erica: T 10a,-11am via Zoom at https://uiowa.zoom.us/my/ericadorman Ae Kyong: M 3:30pm-4:30pm via Zoom at https://uiowa.zoom.us/my/aekyongjung

#### Course Objectives, Materials, and Prerequesites

The course objective is for participants to be able to understand and implement contemporary approaches to structural equation modeling including the use and application of measurement models for latent traits (i.e., confirmatory factor models, item response models). In addition to these statistical models, the course will also focus on the statistical concepts behind these models and how they relate to each other with respect to scale construction, evaluation, and the use of variables with measurement error in analyses.

Participants should already be comfortable with general linear models (e.g., regression, ANOVA), which can be reviewed using the PSQF 6243 (Intermediate Statistical Methods) materials. Ideally participants should also be familiar with generalized linear models (e.g., logistic regression, count regression), which can be reviewed using the PSQF 6270 (Generalized Linear Models) materials.

Class time will be devoted primarily to lectures, examples, and spontaneous review, the materials for which will be available for download at the course website. Readings and other resources have been suggested for each topic and may be updated later. Video recordings of each class will be made available on YouTube so that closed captioning will be provided, and supplemental videos for specific topics (e.g., software demos) may be added as well. Auditors and visitors are always welcome to attend class. No required class sessions will be held outside the regular class time given above (i.e., no additional midterm or final exam sessions).

However, because the course will have an applied focus requiring the use of statistical software, participants are encouraged to attend group-based office hours (via zoom only), in which multiple participants can receive immediate assistance simultaneously or sequentially.

# Course Website/Technology

ICON will be used for grades, submission of assignments, disseminating course readings, and course communications.

ICON will not be used for lecture materials. Instead, we will use freely available commercial software for communication and dissemination of course materials. Course lecture slides, lecture examples, video files, assignments, and information are available on the website. Additionally, all course materials will be available using the course Git repository.

All lectures will be archived on YouTube (my YouTube channel is https://www.youtube.com/channel/UC6WctsOhVfGW1D9NZUH1xFg).

# Statistical Computing

The course will use the R statistical package with the R Studio development suite along with a set of R packages (primarily lavaan). Mplus can be also used for analyses in this class but is not open-source and can be expensive.

R, R Studio, and stan are available for free from the following websites: R: https://www.r-project.org/ R Studio: https://www.rstudio.com/

The University of Iowa enables access for many of these programs through their research computing resources: R Studio Notebooks: https://notebooks.hpc.uiowa.edu/ High Performance Computing: https://hpc.uiowa.edu/

Although this software is available at the University of Iowa, I ask that you install all versions on your local computer as campus resources can be difficult to use for many analyses.

### Tentative Course Schedule

Note: For readings, R denotes required readings, O denotes optional readings for more context.

Date	Topic	Reading
27 Aug	Introduction to Course/Latent Trait Measurement Models	R: Brown (2015) Chs. 1 and 2
		O: John & Benet-Martinez (2014), Preacher & McCollum (2003)
	<del></del>	
3 Sep	Path Analysis	R: Kaplan (2008) Ch. 2 O: None
	<del></del> -	
10 Sep	Classical Test Theory / Exploratory Factor Analysis (Videos; No in person lecture)	R: McDonald (1999) Ch. 5
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	O: McNeish (2018), Clifton (2020)
	Confirmatory Factor Analysis Part 1	R: Brown (2015) Ch. 3
		O: Clifton (2020)
24 Sep	Confirmatory Factor Analysis Part 2	R: Brown (2015) Ch. 4

Date	Topic	Reading
		O: Ferrando (2009), West et al. (2023)
1 Oct	Confirmatory Factor Analysis Part 3	R: Brown (2015) Ch. 5
		O: McNeish & Wolf (2020), Bollen & Diamantopoulos (2017), Enders (2010) Chs. 3-5
8 Oct	Item Response Theory Part 1	R: Embretson & Reise (2000) Chs. 2-4
		O: Mungas & Reed (2000), Wirth & Edwards (2007)
15 Oct	Item Response Theory Part 1	R: Embretson & Reise (2000) Chs. 7-8 O: Maydeu-Olivares (2015), Paek et al. (2018)
22 Oct	Generalized Measurement Models (Videos only; No in person lecture)	R: Embretson & Reise (2000) Ch. 5 O: Brown (2015) ch. 9, Huggins-Manley et al. (2018), Magnus & Liu (2021), Ostini & Nering (2011), Revuelta et al. (2020)
29 Oct	Midterm Examination (in class)	R: None
	<u>—</u>	O: None
5 Nov	Measurement Invariance	R: Vandenberg & Lance (2000), Brown (2015) ch. 7 O: Asparouhov & Muthén (2014), Gunn et al. (2020)
12 Nov	Higher Order and Method Factor Models	R: Brown (2015) ch. 6
	WOOD	O: Chen et al. (2006), Henninger & Meiser (2020 both), Reise et al. (2023)
19 Nov	Structural Equation Modeling	R: Kaplan (2008) Chs. 3-4 O: Cole & Preacher (2014), Gonzalez et al. (2023)
26 Nov	No Class: Fall Break	R: None O: None
3 Dec	Structural Equation Modeling and Alternatives	R: Sterba & Rights (2023)
	THOUTHOUTVOS	O: None

Date	Topic	Reading
10 Dec	Final Presentations	R: None O: None

## Course Readings

- Asparouhov, T. & Muthén, B. (2014) Multiple-group factor analysis alignment. Structural Equation Modeling, 21(4), 495–508. https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511.2014.919210
- Bollen, K. A., & Diamantopoulos, A. (2017). In defense of causal-formative indicators: A minority report. Psychological Methods, 22(3), 581–596. https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1037/met0000056
- Chen, F., F., West, S. G., & Sousa, K. H. (2006). A comparison of bifactor and second-order models of quality of life. Multivariate Behavioral Research, 41(2), 189–225.
- Clifton, J. D. W. (2020). Managing validity versus reliability trade-offs in scale-building decisions. Psychological Methods, 25(3), 259–270. https://doi.org/10.1037/met0000236
- Cole, D. A., & Preacher, K. J. (2014). Manifest variable path analysis: potentially serious and misleading consequences due to uncorrected measurement error. Psychological Methods, 19(2), 300–315. https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1037/a0033805
- Embretson, S. E., & Reise, S. T. (2000). Item response theory for psychologists. Erlbaum.
- Enders, C. K. (2010). Applied missing data analysis. Guilford.
- Ferrando, P. J. (2009). Difficulty, discrimination, and information indices in the linear factor analysis model for continuous item responses. Applied Psychological Measurement, 33(1), 9–24. https://doi.org/10.1177%2F0146621608314608
- Gunn, H. J., Grimm, K. J., & Edwards, M.C. (2020). Evaluation of six effect size measures of measurement non-invariance for continuous outcomes. Structural Equation Modeling, 27(4), 503–514. https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511.2019.1689507
- Henninger, M., & Meiser, T. (2020). Different approaches to modeling response styles in divide-by-total item response theory models (part 1): A model integration. Psychological Methods, 25(5), 560–576. [https://doi.org/10.1037/met0000249] (https://doi.org/10.1037/met0000249)
- Henninger, M., & Meiser, T. (2020). Different approaches to modeling response styles in divide-by-total item response theory models (part 2): Applications and novel extensions. Psychological Methods, 25(5), 577–595. https://doi.org/10.1037/met0000268
- Huggins-Manley, A. C., Algina, J. & Zhou, S. (2018). Models for semiordered data to address not applicable responses in scale measurement. Structural Equation Modeling, 25(2), 230–243. https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511.2017.1376586
- John, O. P., & Benet-Martinez, V. (2014). Measurement: Reliability, construct validation, and scale
  construction. In H. T. Reis & C. M. Judd (Eds.), Handbook of research methods in social and personality
  psychology (pp. 473-503, 2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.
- Kaplan, D. (2009) Structural equation modeling: Foundations and extensions (2nd ed.). Sage.
- Magnus, B. E., & Liu, Y. (2022). Symptom presence and symptom severity as unique indicators of psychopathology: An application of multidimensional zero-inflated and hurdle graded response models. Educational and Psychological Measurement, 82(5), 938–966. https://doi.org/10.1177/00131644211061820
- Maydeu-Olivares, A. (2015). Evaluating the fit of IRT models. In S. P. Reise & D. A. Revicki (Eds.), Handbook of item response theory modeling (pp. 111–127). Taylor & Francis.
- McDonald, R. P. (1999). Test theory: A unified treatment. Erlbaum.
- McNeish, D. (2018). Thanks coefficient alpha, we'll take it from here. Psychological Methods, 23(3), 412–433. https://doi.org/10.1037/met0000144
- McNeish, D. & Wolf, M G. (2020). Thinking twice about sum scores. Behavior Research Methods, 52(6), 2287–2305. https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-020-01398-0
- Mungas, D., & Reed, B. R. (2000). Application of item response theory for development of a global functioning measure of dementia with linear measurement properties. Statistics in Medicine, 19(11–12), 1631–1644. https://doi.org/10.1002/(sici)1097-0258(20000615/30)19:11/12%3C1631::aid-

- sim451%3E3.0.co;2-p
- Ostini, R., & Nering, M. (2006). Polytomous item response theory models. Sage. Available at the University of Iowa library in electronic form.
- Paek, I., Cui, M., Gübes, N. O., & Yang, Y. (2018). Estimation of an IRT model by Mplus for dichotomously scored responses under different estimation methods. Educational and Psychological Measurement, 78(4), 569–588. https://doi.org/10.1177%2F0013164417715738
- Preacher, K. J., & MacCallum, R. C. (2003). Repairing Tom Swift's electric factor analysis machine. Understanding Statistics, 2(1), 13–43. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15328031US0201\_02
- Reise, S. P., Mansolf, M. & Haviland, M. G. (2023). Bifactor measurement models. In R. H. Hoyle (Ed.) Handbook of structural equation modeling (2nd ed.), pp. 329–348. Guildford Press.
- Revuelta, J., Maydeu-Olivares, A., & Ximénez, C. (2020). Factor analysis for nominal (first choice) data. Structural Equation Modeling, 27(5), 781–797. https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1080/10705511.2 019.1668276
- Sterba, S. K., & Rights, J. D. (2023). Item parceling in SEM: A researcher degree-of-freedom ripe for opportunistic use. In R. H. Hoyle (Ed.) Handbook of structural equation modeling (2nd ed.), pp. 296–315. Guildford.
- Vandenberg, R. J., & Lance, C. E. (2000). A review and synthesis of the measurement invariance literature: Suggestions, practices, and recommendations for organizational research. Organizational Research Methods, 3(1), 4–69. https://doi.org/10.1177%2F109442810031002
- West, S. G., Wu, W., McNeish, D., & Savord, A. (2023). Model fit in structural equation modeling. In R. H. Hoyle (Ed.) Handbook of structural equation modeling (2nd ed.), pp. 184–285. Guildford.
- Wirth, R. J., & Edwards, M. C. (2007). Item factor analysis: Current approaches and future directions. Psychological Methods, 12(1), 58–79. https://doi.org/10.1037/1082-989x.12.1.58

### Homework, Formative Assessments, and Final Examination

Student evaluation will be made based four components: (1) homework assignments (20% of course grade), (2) assigned reading assessments (10% of course grade), (3) an in-class midterm examination (35% of course grade), and (4) a final presentation (35% of course grade).

Mathematically, the grade percentage can be expressed as:

$$GP = .2 \times HP + .1 \times ARAP + .35 \times MP + .35 \times FP$$

#### Where:

- GP is the Grade Percentage
- HP is the Homework Percentage (a weighted average)
- ARAP is the Assigned Reading Assessment Percentage (a weighted average)
- MP is the Midterm Examination Percentage
- FP is the Final Presentation Percentage

#### Homework Assignments

There will be a set of homework assignments, the exact number to be determined (but initially six are planned). For each assignment, students will have a fixed amount of time to complete the assignment. Homework assignments will weighted equally with respect to the 35%/ of the course grade accounted for by homework. The lowest homework percentage will be dropped. Three homework assignments will be with respect to a data set that is relevant to the student (or, if the student does not have a data set, they can work with the instructor to find a suitable data set).

Mathematically, the homework percentage can be expressed as:

$$HP = \left[ \frac{\left(\sum_{h=1}^{H} P_h\right) - \min_h P_h}{H - 1} \right],$$

where  $P_h$  is the percent correct on homework h, with H total number of homeworks.

In order to be able to provide the entire class with prompt feedback, late homework assignments will not be accepted. However, extensions may be granted as needed for extenuating circumstances (e.g., conferences, family obligations) if requested at least three weeks in advance of the due date. Additionally, late homework due to emergencies will be accepted with documentation of the circumstances of the emergency.

Although students are encouraged to work together on the concepts underlying homework, all answers must be from student's own work (writing and syntax) and not be copied or paraphrased from anyone else's answers. Grammar and writing will be assessed by each homework and will factor into the homework grade.

### **Assigned Reading Assessments**

Each week, students will take a short assessment in ICON with questions pertaining to the reading assigned that week. The purpose of the assigned reading assessment is to ensure reading materials are read prior to class being held. Questions will be graded correct/incorrect.

Mathematically, the Assigned Reading Assessment Percentage (ARAP) percentage can be expressed as:

$$ARAP = \sum_{a=1}^{A} \frac{P_{ARAP}}{A},$$

#### Midterm Examination

To ensure students are working to learn the course materials without relying solely on a large language model, a midterm examination will be held during the regular class time on Wednesday, October 29th. The midterm will be a closed-book, closed-notes examination using pencil and paper. No electronic devices (e.g., phones, tablets, computers) will be allowed during the examination. The midterm examination will account for 35% of the course grade. Prior to the midterm, a study topic list will be distributed to help students prepare for the examination.

#### **Final Presentation**

Each student will provide a final presentation during class time on Wednesday, December 10th. The presentation will provide the results of the analyses conducted in homework on the students' data (with the student making corrections to any issues that may have come up with the homework). Details about the presentation structure and a grading rubrice will be provided prior to the final. The final presentation will account for 35% of the course grade.

### Course Grading System

Letter Grade
A+
A
A-
B+
В
В-
C+
C
C-
D
F

# Use of Artificial Intelligence or Other Technology

This course assumes that work submitted by students—all process work, drafts, low-stakes writing, final versions, and all other submissions—will be generated by the students themselves, working individually. This means that the following would be considered violations of academic integrity: a student has another person/entity do the writing of any substantive portion of an assignment for them, which includes hiring a person or a company to write essays and drafts and/or other assignments, research-based or otherwise, and using artificial intelligence models like ChatGPT.

# University of Iowa Course Policies and Resources for Students

- Student Complaint Procedure: https://education.uiowa.edu/faculty-and-staff-resources/student-complaint-procedure
- College policy on student academic misconduct: https://education.uiowa.edu/faculty-and-staff-resources/student-academic-misconduct
- University policies https://provost.uiowa.edu/student-course-policies