

COMP26020 Programming Languages and Paradigms

Lecture 42: Modern C++

Pavlos Petoumenos pavlos.petoumenos@manchester.ac.uk

Modern C++: C++11 to C++20

Massive change to the language

Modules and Concepts (Super important in the long term)

Threading support (COMP35112)

defaulted/deleted constructors, List initialisation

final/override

any, variant, optional

Fold expressions

Attributes

...and many more...



Modern C++: C++11 to C++20

A subset of changes

Likely to encounter

Immediately useful

Do not fit in another lecture

NULL is bad

```
#define NULL 0
Preprocessor directive
For the compiler there is no such thing as NULL
if (ptr != NULL) // comparison between pointer and int
NULL can be redefined!!!
#undef NULL
#define NULL 1 is a valid statement and it will silently
break your C code
```

nullptr

Silently used it already

Language-level keyword

A pointer literal

Implicitly transformed into any pointer type

Works with strong typing, cannot be redefined

auto

```
Also used it earlier
Lets the compiler deduce types
Super useful with templates, iterators, etc
   auto it = v.begin();
   instead of
   std::vector<int>::iterator it = v.begin();
Generic lambdas
   [](auto x, auto y) { return x > y ? x : y; };
```

auto

```
Can do more than that
Return type deduction
   auto fn() { return 0; } // return type is int
   auto gn() { return 1.0; } // return type is float
Function arguments (abbreviated function template)
   auto max(auto x, auto y) \{....\};
Types in value templates
   template <auto n>
   auto fn() {return n + n;}
```

C/C++ cannot return multiple values

```
int f1(int& other_val)
  int val1, val2;
  ...
  other_val = val2.

Unclear expectations
No agreed convention
        return val1;
 int ret1, ret2;
 ret1 = f1(ret2);
```

C++ Kinda Containers

std::tuple<Type1, Type2, Type3, ..>

Tuple of as many elements as types in the template

std::pair<Type1, Type2>

Special case of tuple. Easier to use

C/C++ cannot return multiple values

```
int f1(int& other_val)
  int val1, val2;
  ...
  other_val = val2.

Unclear expectations
No agreed convention
        return val1;
 int ret1, ret2;
 ret1 = f1(ret2);
```

Return a pair!



```
#include <pair>
std::pair<int, int> f1(int& other_val) {
     int val1, val2;
    other_val = val2;
     return val1;
int ret1, ret2;
ret1 = f1(ret2);
```

Return a pair!



```
#include <pair>
. . .
std::pair<int, int> f1( ) {
     int val1, val2;
     other_val = val2;
     return val1;
int ret1, ret2;
ret1 = f1(ret2);
```

Return a pair!



```
#include <pair>
std::pair<int, int> f1( ) {
    int val1, val2;
    return {val1, val2}; //or std::make_pair(val1, val2);
int ret1, ret2;
ret1 = f1(ret2);
```

Structured binding



```
#include <pair>
std::pair<int, int> f1( ) {
     int val1, val2;
     return {val1, val2}; //or std::make_pair(val1, val2);
auto [ret1, ret2] = f1();
```

Recap

Up Next

nullptr

auto

std::pair std::tuple

→ return multiple values

Structured binding

→ decompose composite return values

Compile-time evaluation