

COMP26020

Programming Languages and Paradigms

Lecture 44: A smaller and clearer language

Pavlos Petoumenos
pavlos.petoumenos@manchester.ac.uk

C++

C++98: Already a massive language

C++11: Added tons of stuff while
maintaining backward compatibility

→ An even larger language

Very few people understand the whole
thing

You don't have to understand the whole thing

Baggage from C and C++98

Capabilities only useful for implementing the standard library

Capabilities only useful to other library developers

Capabilities used only in certain sub-domains

A smaller and clearer language

Most new code needs only a small subset of C++

C++ Core Guidelines



- Not fully mechanically enforced

- Not perfect

- Not complete

- But a good start for writing better C++

Core Guidelines Philosophy

- P.1: Express ideas directly in code
- P.2: Write in ISO Standard C++
- P.3: Express intent
- P.4: Ideally, a program should be statically type safe
- P.5: Prefer compile-time checking to run-time checking
- P.6: What cannot be checked at compile time should be checkable at run time
- P.7: Catch run-time errors early
- P.8: Don't leak any resources
- P.9: Don't waste time or space
- P.10: Prefer immutable data to mutable data
- P.11: Encapsulate messy constructs, rather than spreading through the code
- P.12: Use supporting tools as appropriate
- P.13: Use support libraries as appropriate

Previously discussed

- F.4: If a function might have to be evaluated at compile time, declare it `constexpr`
- F.21: To return multiple "out" values, prefer returning a struct or tuple
- C.3: Represent the distinction between an interface and an implementation using a class
- C.9: Minimize exposure of members
- C.33: If a class has an owning pointer member, define a destructor
- C.49: Prefer initialization to assignment in constructors
- C.51: Use delegating constructors to represent common actions for all constructors of a class
- C.132: Don't make a function virtual without reason
- C.160: Define operators primarily to mimic conventional usage
- R.1: Manage resources automatically using resource handles and RAI (Resource Acquisition Is Initialization)
- R.3: A raw pointer (a `T*`) is non-owning
- R.10: Avoid `malloc()` and `free()`
- R.11: Avoid calling `new` and `delete` explicitly
- R.20: Use `unique_ptr` or `shared_ptr` to represent ownership
- ES.1: Prefer the standard library to other libraries and to "handcrafted code"
- ES.11: Use `auto` to avoid redundant repetition of type names
- ES.23: Prefer the `{}`-initializer syntax
- ES.47: Use `nullptr` rather than `0` or `NULL`
- SF.1: Use a `.cpp` suffix for code files and `.h` for interface files if your project doesn't already follow another convention
- SL.con.1: Prefer using STL array or vector instead of a C array
- SL.con.2: Prefer using STL vector by default unless you have a reason to use a different container

Not discussed before (1)

I.11: Never transfer ownership by a raw pointer (T^*) or reference ($T\&$)

I.13: Do not pass an array as a single pointer

F.15: Prefer simple and conventional ways of passing information

	Cheap or impossible to copy (e.g., int, unique_ptr)	Cheap to move (e.g., vector<T>, string) or Moderate cost to move (e.g., array<vector>, BigPOD) or Don't know (e.g., unfamiliar type, template)	Expensive to move (e.g., BigPOD[], array<BigPOD>)
Out	X f()		
In/Out	f(X&)		
In	f(X)	f(const X&)	
In & retain "copy"			

Not discussed before (2)

C.45: Don't define a default constructor that only initializes data members; use in-class member initializers instead

BAD

```
class Obj {  
    int member1;  
    int member2;  
public:  
    Obj() : member1{-1}, member2{-1} {};
```

GOOD

```
class Obj {  
    int member1{-1};  
    int member2{-1};  
public:  
    // auto default constructor
```


Not discussed before (3)

ES.25: Declare an object `const` or `constexpr` unless you want to modify its value later on

ES.30-31: Don't use macros

ES.45: Avoid "magic constants"; use symbolic constants

ES.71: Prefer a range-for-statement to a for-statement when there is a choice

Not discussed before (4)

SL.con.3: Avoid bounds errors

Reason

Notes

Example, bad

Example, good

Enforcement

(Exceptions?)

SL.con.3: Avoid bounds errors

Reason

Read or write beyond an allocated range of elements typically leads to bad errors, wrong results, crashes, and security violations.

Note

The standard-library functions that apply to ranges of elements all have (or could have) bounds-safe overloads that take `std::ranges::range`. Standard types such as `vector` can be modified to perform bounds-checks under the bounds profile (in a compatible way, such as by adding contracts), or used with `std::at`.

Ideally, the in-bounds guarantee should be statically enforced. For example:

- a `range-for` cannot loop beyond the range of the container to which it is applied
- a `v.begin(), v.end()` is easily determined to be bounds safe

Such loops are as fast as any unchecked/unsafe equivalent.

Often a simple pre-check can eliminate the need for checking of individual indices. For example

- for `v.begin(), v.begin()+1` the `1` can easily be checked against `v.size()`

Such loops can be much faster than individually checked element accesses.

Example, bad

```
void f()
{
    array<int, 10> a, b;
    memset(a.data(), 0, 10); // BAD, and contains a length error (length = 10 * sizeof(int))
    memcpy(a.data(), b.data(), 10); // BAD, and contains a length error (length = 10 * sizeof(int))
}
```

Also, `std::array::fill()` or `std::fill()` or even an empty initializer are better candidates than `memset()`.

Example, good

```
void f()
{
    array<int, 10> a, b, c[]; // c is initialized to zero
    a.fill(0);
    fill(b.begin(), b.end(), 0); // std::fill()
    fill(b, 0); // std::ranges::fill()

    if (a == b) {
        // ...
    }
}
```

Example

If code is using an unmodified standard library, then there are still workarounds that enable use of `std::array` and `std::vector` in a bounds-safe manner. Code can call the `std::at()` member function on each class, which will result in an `std::out_of_range` exception being thrown. Alternatively, code can call the `std::at()` free function, which will result in fail-fast (or a customized action) on a bounds violation.

```
void f(std::vector<int>& v, std::array<int, 12>& a, int i)
{
    v[0] = a[0]; // BAD
    v.at(0) = a[0]; // OK (alternative 1)
    at(v, 0) = a[0]; // OK (alternative 2)

    v.at(0) = a[i]; // BAD
    v.at(0) = a.at(i); // OK (alternative 1)
    v.at(0) = at(a, i); // OK (alternative 2)
}
```

Enforcement

- Issue a diagnostic for any call to a standard-library function that is not bounds-checked. ??? insert link to a list of banned functions

This rule is part of the [bounds profile](#).

**Do I need to
remember all of
them?**

**Will the
guidelines be
in the exam?**

Core Guidelines

Exam-wise: only the ones, I have mentioned in the lectures or the live sessions

Lab-wise and in real life

Don't remember them

Learn from them

Guidelines Enforcement

Guidelines are not mandatory

Many cannot be enforced

Some important ones can be enforced

clang-tidy, MS CppCoreCheck, your favourite IDE

clang-tidy

```
$ clang-tidy test.cpp -checks=cppcoreguidelines-*
```

1941 warnings generated (most in library code and suppressed)

test.cpp:12:3: warning: do not declare C-style arrays, use std::array<> instead
[cppcoreguidelines-avoid-c-arrays]

```
    int arr[] = {0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22,  
23, 24, 25, 26}; // Fast
```

^

test.cpp:12:31: warning: 5 is a magic number; consider replacing it with a named constant
[cppcoreguidelines-avoid-magic-numbers]

```
    int arr[] = {0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22,  
23, 24, 25, 26}; // Fast
```

^

...

test.cpp:19:6: warning: variable 'cube' is non-const and globally accessible, consider making it
const [cppcoreguidelines-avoid-non-const-global-variables]

```
auto cube = [](int x) {return x * x * x;};
```

^

clang-tidy

Many more automated checks

bugprone-	bug-prone code constructs
clang-analyzer-	clang Static Analyzer checks
concurrency-	concurrent programming (including threads, fibers, coroutines, etc.)
google-	Google coding conventions
misc-	
modernize-	advocate usage of modern language constructs
performance-	performance-related issues
portability-	portability-related issues
readability-	readability-related issues

Recap

Less is more

C++ Core Guidelines

Checkers to partially enforce

Up Next

Part 1 Epilogue