Jonathan Chen 20722167 November 9th, 2021

General

All programs were created using Java (version 17, 2021-09-14 LTS, Java(TM) SE Runtime Environment (build 17+35-LTS-2724), using the IntelliJ IDE.

To run the programs, the pre-built jar files can be used.

- To run the IndexEngine, go to the *out/artifacts/IndexEngine_jar/* directory in the command line and then run *java -jar IndexEngine.jar [path_to_latimes.gz] [output path]*.
- To run the GetDoc, go to the *out/artifacts/GetDoc_jar/* directory in the command line and then run *java -jar GetDoc.jar [path to indexed data] ['id' or 'docno'] [id or docno value]*.
- To run the BooleanAND program, go to the *out/artifacts/BooleanAND_jar/* directory in the command line and then run *java -jar BooleanAND.jar [path to indexed data] [path to queries file] [output filename]*.
- To run the Evaluate program, go to the *out/artifacts/Evaluate_jar/* directory in the command line and then run *java -jar Evaluate.jar [path to indexed data] [path to qrels file] [path to results file] [output directory]*

Question 1

A scenario when you'd want to use precision at rank 10 instead of average precision is when the total number of relevant documents is unknown. Oftentimes, it is difficult to quantify the total number of relevant documents, so using precision at rank 10 is desirable because it standardizes the comparison that can be made across different algorithms. As a result, the precision at rank 10 is applicable for any system where you want the most relevant results in the top 10, as opposed to later on. For example, a web search engine (Google) would care about the precision at rank 10 more because the majority of users do not bother to click on the second page of Google search results.

Question 2

The first advantage that nDCG@10 has over precision at rank 10 for the evaluation of a web search engine is that nDCG has a graded relevance. nDCG is commonly graded on a scale of 0 to 5 (from non-relevant to perfect) to determine the relevancy of a document, while precision at rank 10 is a binary relevance of whether the document is relevant or not-relevant. This provides nDCG with a greater level of granularity and is advantageous for web search engines because

documents that are only somewhat related can still be returned by the search engine, as opposed to not returned at all.

The second advantage is that nDCG returns a greater score for the order at which the more relevant documents are returned. In nDCG, search results that return the documents with the greatest gain first are scored higher than those that return results with a lower gain first. On the other hand, precision at rank 10 only considers if a relevant document is returned within the top 10, irrespective of the order at which it was returned. As a result, nDCG is advantageous because it promotes systems that return more relevant documents at the beginning of the search results.

Question 3

- a) The randomization and bootstrap methods are appropriate for determining the statistical significance of the difference in the medians.
- b) We should use a non-paired test of statistical significance because two different sets of participants were used to study the two systems.
- c) To conduct the experiment to allow you to use a paired test, the experiment would need to be designed such that the variability would be reduced between the systems. As a result, one method would be to use the same 50 human participants to test the two systems because this would reduce the variability between the systems that occurs from the unique thoughts and beliefs of each test subject.
- d) If we obtain a large p-value of 0.8, we say that we failed to reject the null hypothesis. The idea of the null hypothesis is to find the probability that the sample result would occur, with the end goal of proving the alternative hypothesis. Thus, a high p-value indicates that there is a lack of evidence to suggest that we should accept the alternative hypothesis (or reject the null hypothesis). It does not, however, prove that the null hypothesis should be accepted because the p-value does not provide any evidence of the veracity of the null hypothesis. It is still possible that the null hypothesis should be rejected even though the sample returned a p-value of 0.8 because the sample that was conducted might have simply missed this evidence.

Question 4

- a) The p-value of 0.06 means that there is a 6% chance that the observed difference in means would occur if algorithms A and B are treated as identical. In terms of the 1000 samples, 60 of them would contain an absolute difference in means greater than or equal to the difference of 0.18 that was observed in this experiment.
- b) My recommended course of action would be to compare algorithms B and C. If the p-value of the mean difference between B and C is less than or equal to 0.05, then I would recommend

algorithm B. Although there isn't a statistically significant improvement of B compared to A at a 95% confidence level, there is still an overall improvement and a statistically significant improvement at a lower confidence level. Moreover, the statistically significant improvement of B compared to C, coupled with the large improvement in the mean nDCG (from 0.01 between A and C to 0.18 between A and B), make up for the slight decrease in the probability that B is statistically significantly better than A. On the other hand, if the p-value is greater than 0.05, then I would recommend algorithm C because algorithm B was not a statistically significant improvement over either of the other algorithms.

Question 5 a) and b)

Run Name	Mean Average Precision	Mean P@10	Mean NDCG@10	Mean NDCG@1000	Mean TBG
student1	0.250	0.282	0.371	0.485	2.037
student2	0.141	0.193	0.251	0.344	1.251
student3	0.099	0.158	0.181	0.312	1.265
student4	0.202	0.242	0.327	0.427	1.759
student5	0.224	0.256	0.320	0.464	1.978
student6	bad format	bad format	bad format	bad format	bad format
student7	bad format	bad format	bad format	bad format	bad format
student8	0.213	0.260	0.346	0.438	1.868
student9	0.139	0.204	0.241	0.327	1.590
student10	bad format	bad format	bad format	bad format	bad format
student11	0.137	0.167	0.210	0.299	1.131
student12	bad format	bad format	bad format	bad format	bad format
student13	0.073	0.093	0.115	0.201	0.770
student14	0.200	0.251	0.323	0.415	1.760
msmuckerAND	0.090	0.124	0.211	0.272	0.758

c)
The best run was Student 1's scores. The second best run was a mixture of Student 5 and Student 8's scores. The results of a student's t-test are available in the t-test.csv file.

Effectiveness Measure	Best Run Score	Second Best Run Score	Relative Percent Improvement	Student's t-test, two-side, paired, p-value
Mean AP	0.25	0.224	11.607%	0.171
Mean P@10	0.282	0.260	8.462%	0.243
Mean NDCG@10	0.371	0.346	7.225%	0.248
Mean NDCG@1000	0.485	0.464	4.526%	0.194
Mean TBG	2.037	1.978	2.983%	0.528

d) No best run of a measure was found to be a statistically significant improvement over the second best run because the p value was not less than 0.05 for any of the measures.

e)

For Student 2:

Console output:

Successfully calculated the effectiveness measures for student2

Mean Average Precision: 0.14083819107051973

Mean Precision @10: 0.19333333333333325

Mean NDCG @10: 0.25107241022631555 Mean NDCG @1000: 0.3435202024132736

Mean TBG: 1.2513266214830443

Files updated/created:

Updated: hw3-5a-jhhchen.csv

student2,0.141,0.193,0.251,0.344,1.251,

Created: student2.csv

Average Precision, 401, 0.0403377583185201 Average Precision, 402, 0.15554315743067199 Average Precision, 403, 0.5181658314928408

```
Average Precision, 404, 0.026792114695340503
```

Average Precision, 405, 0.023218294051627383

Average Precision, 406, 0.5396358524344804

Average Precision, 407, 0.12691027321387646

Average Precision, 408, 0.1761361146666389

Average Precision, 409, 0.07142857142857142

Average Precision, 410, 0.7028846153846153

Average Precision, 411, 0.2835203570626717

Average Precision, 412, 0.0969455240957383

Average Precision, 413, 0.005405405405405406

Average Precision, 414, 0.108333333333333334

Average Precision, 415, 0.125

Average Precision, 417, 0.05884848769805482

Average Precision, 418, 0.07067448933608388

Average Precision, 419, 0.28407014979905004

Average Precision, 420, 0.48257872961484244

Average Precision, 421, 0.005804936852296678

Average Precision, 422, 0.03867659574599077

Average Precision, 424, 0.05506923888302862

Average Precision, 425, 0.2720934005508434

Average Precision, 426, 0.018594560268947468

Average Precision, 427, 0.05366500273711303

Average Precision, 428, 0.01111111111111111

Average Precision, 429, 0.25

Average Precision, 430, 0.3990972950304047

Average Precision, 431, 0.1421563816876285

Average Precision, 432, 0.0026239052263011143

Average Precision, 433, 0.010852451641925326

Average Precision, 434, 0.002551020408163265

Average Precision, 435, 0.02262963461382038

Average Precision, 436, 0.028251423059134598

Average Precision, 438, 0.01677592827690599

Average Precision, 439, 0.04701077174424722

Average Precision, 440, 0.17038995950286273

Average Precision, 441, 0.6486111111111111

Average Precision, 442, 0.010270990970239717

Average Precision, 443, 0.12274342016822896

Average Precision, 445, 0.0

Average Precision, 446, 0.02130996448778139

Average Precision, 448, 0.0

Average Precision, 449, 0.04166666666666666

Average Precision, 450, 0.049333767966270765

Precision @10,401,0.1

Precision @10,402,0.3

Precision @10,403,0.5

Precision @10,404,0.0

Precision @10,405,0.1

Precision @10,406,0.4

Precision @10,407,0.3

Precision @10,408,0.4

Precision @10,409,0.0

Precision @10,410,0.3

Precision @10,411,0.6

Precision @10,412,0.2

Precision @10,413,0.0

Precision @10,414,0.2

Precision @10,415,0.1

Precision @10,417,0.1

Precision @10,418,0.4

Precision @10,419,0.1

Precision @10,420,0.6

1 recision (a)10,420,0.0

Precision @10,421,0.0 Precision @10,422,0.2

Precision @10,424,0.3

Precision @10,425,0.3

Precision @10,426,0.2 Precision @10,427,0.1

Precision @10,428,0.0

1 recision (6,10,420,0.0

Precision @10,429,0.1

Precision @10,430,0.3

Precision @10,431,0.6

Precision @10,432,0.0

Precision @10,433,0.0

Precision @10,434,0.0

Precision @10,435,0.1

Precision @10,436,0.4

Precision @10,438,0.1

Precision @10,439,0.1

Precision @10,440,0.1

Precision @10,441,0.5

Precision @10,442,0.2

Precision @10,443,0.2

Precision @10,445,0.0

Precision @10,446,0.1

Precision @10,448,0.0

Precision @10,449,0.1

Precision @10,450,0.0

NDCG @10,401,0.06943122193677727

NDCG @10,402,0.349966777951421

NDCG @10,403,0.5766882048947065

NDCG @10,404,0.0

NDCG @10,405,0.06943122193677727

NDCG @10,406,0.5682963021961281

NDCG @10,407,0.39375843764607205

NDCG @10,408,0.5384313152574521

NDCG @10,409,0.0

NDCG @10,410,0.8048099750039491

NDCG @10,411,0.6870165078530993

NDCG @10,412,0.16815228646891087

NDCG @10,413,0.0

NDCG @10,414,0.2836929289153804

NDCG @10,415,0.24630238874073

NDCG @10,417,0.13886244387355454

NDCG @10,418,0.34445239307234

NDCG @10,419,0.39038004999210174

NDCG @10,420,0.6339753813071975

NDCG @10,421,0.0

NDCG @10,422,0.20248323207250624

NDCG @10,424,0.3222722491219547

NDCG @10,425,0.39639187290150935

NDCG @10,426,0.14465249243306438

NDCG @10,427,0.2200917662980802

NDCG @10,428,0.0

NDCG @10,429,0.39038004999210174

NDCG @10,430,0.5773584151532217

NDCG @10,431,0.4362115423097744

NDCG @10,432,0.0

NDCG @10,433,0.0

NDCG @10,434,0.0

NDCG @10,435,0.07336392209936006

- NDCG @10,436,0.3858930373209064
- NDCG @10,438,0.06943122193677727
- NDCG @10,439,0.13886244387355454
- NDCG @10,440,0.2200917662980802
- NDCG @10,441,0.81383546042969
- NDCG @10,442,0.16421958630632805
- NDCG @10,443,0.2863459897524693
- NDCG @10,445,0.0
- NDCG @10,446,0.06625422345438903
- NDCG @10,448,0.0
- NDCG @10,449,0.12647135138382856
- NDCG @10,450,0.0
- NDCG @1000,401,0.3453179622677145
- NDCG @1000,402,0.5644287394368591
- NDCG @1000,403,0.8043327944774392
- NDCG @1000,404,0.20677703780378767
- NDCG @1000,405,0.12192609118967469
- NDCG @1000,406,0.8213458149293232
- NDCG @1000,407,0.46983966017884604
- NDCG @1000,408,0.5043246623774288
- NDCG @1000,409,0.2559580248098155
- NDCG @1000,410,0.8693954474736921
- NDCG @1000,411,0.5706678667406713
- NDCG @,1000,412,0.47003365567540917
- NDCG @1000,413,0.13264079256781564
- NDCG @1000,414,0.2836929289153804
- NDCG @1000,415,0.24630238874073
- NDCG @1000,417,0.31202555621883715
- NDCG @1000,418.0.31634725600759656
- NDCG @1000,419,0.5371844324883699
- NDCG @1000,420,0.8025593814675847
- NDCG @1000,421,0.1413805659746911
- NDCG @1000,422,0.2434019049341932
- NDCG @1000,424,0.2896330330370289
- NDCG @1000,425,0.627370571519922
- NDCG @1000,426,0.16958503154759783
- NDCG @1000,427,0.22931594445056044
- NDCG @1000,428,0.13136868206191152
- NDCG @1000,429,0.39038004999210174
- NDCG @1000,430,0.693624600381306

```
NDCG @1000,431,0.45056320819115575
```

NDCG @1000,432,0.08685168454816748

NDCG @,1000,433,0.13057954254544646

NDCG @1000,434,0.08044384993556625

NDCG @1000,435,0.20648883759119666

NDCG @1000,436,0.1652333830278222

NDCG @1000,438,0.1476227415757353

NDCG @1000,439,0.18164658358740726

NDCG @1000,440,0.44949866281895007

NDCG @1000,441,0.81383546042969

NDCG @1000,442,0.11173460213428242

NDCG @1000,443,0.3852658276924744

NDCG @1000,445,0.0

NDCG @1000,446,0.19294029313468747

NDCG @1000,448,0.0

NDCG @1000,449,0.12647135138382856

NDCG @1000,450,0.3780722023346104

TBG,401,0.59445317530718

TBG,402,1.8397894097312117

TBG,403,3.4282219022581204

TBG,404,0.23123412364285958

TBG,405,0.8577155983523271

TBG,406,2.535299442565797

TBG,407,2.0596885387274013

TBG,408,4.3694579079197595

TBG,409,0.29989928021294365

TBG,410,1.3729268048807286

TBG,411,2.7014952842280766

TBG,412,1.639854063759949

TBG,413,2.660876150333566E-4

TBG,414,0.6950101585229458

TBG,415,0.45466224320881293

TBG,417,1.1062188702698845

TBG,418,2.3969150182623418

TBG,419,0.6699494300991664

TBG,420,4.489032464278202

TBG,421,0.005359541770094262

TBG,422,1.8289781634678017

TBG,424,2.158547129926882

TBG,425,3.530513401138586

```
TBG,426,1.4909087617165029
TBG,427,0.821667316336592
TBG,428,0.1113106214130516
TBG,429,0.4764708553148599
TBG,430,1.3410960926881268
TBG,431,2.488903394451743
TBG,432,0.04830885188994885
TBG,433,0.09518370718094714
TBG,434,1.4636408938510428E-4
TBG,435,0.49728194288720645
TBG,436,2.136088998087518
TBG,438,0.5871868729531045
TBG,439,0.44878127437239157
TBG,440,1.1589814633116309
TBG,441,2.042086314516553
TBG,442,0.8783986874429928
TBG,443,0.8878148933784986
TBG,445,0.0
TBG,446,0.5274382599408841
TBG,448,0.0
TBG,449,0.4445312234168459
TBG,450,0.5616240312021071
```

For Student 12:

Console output:

```
Unable to parse results file

Process finished with exit code 0
```

Files updated/created:

Updated: hw3-5a-jhhchen.csv

student12, bad format, bad format, bad format, bad format,

Question 6

The best student run was Student1. The results of a student's t-test are available in the t-test.csv file.

Effectiveness Measure	Best Run Score	msmuckerAND score	Relative Percent Improvement	Student's t-test, two-side, paired, p-value
Mean AP	0.25	0.090	177.778%	1.550e-7
Mean P@10	0.282	0.124	127.416%	3.470e-6
Mean NDCG@10	0.371	0.211	75.829%	4.189e-4
Mean NDCG@1000	0.485	0.272	78.309%	1.600e-7
Mean TBG	2.037	0.758	168.734%	5.680e-7

For each effectiveness measure, the p-values are all extremely low and significantly less than 0.05. Thus, the difference between the best run and the msmuckerAND results are statistically significant.

To determine the topics when BooleanAND is as good or better than the best run, a simple comparison for greater than or equal was done in Excel between the two sets of data. The table below summarizes the topics for which msmuckerAND had a score greater than or equal to Student 1's scores, aside from the topics where they both had a score of 0. This comparison is available in the *t-test.csv* file.

Effectiveness Measure	Торіс
Average Precision	410
Average Precision	415
Average Precision	421
Precision @10	408
Precision @10	410
Precision @10	415
Precision @10	421
Precision @10	424
Precision @10	426

Precision @10	428
NDCG@10	402
NDCG@10	408
NDCG@10	410
NDCG@10	421
NDCG@10	424
NDCG@10	426
NDCG@10	427
NDCG@1000	402
NDCG@1000	410
NDCG@1000	426
NDCG@1000	427
NDCG@1000	436
TBG	415
TBG	421

If a topic using BooleanAND is considered to be better than the best run when it has <u>two or more</u> effectiveness measures that are better, then the following topics are better than the best run: 402, 408, 410, 415, 421, 424, 426, 427. Thus, BooleanAND retrieval is worse than the best student run because Student 1's scores are statistically significantly better on average, and only 8/45 of the topics were considered to be scored better by BooleanAND.