- 5.2.10 Remark (Algebraic Flux Correction) An implementation of algebraic flux correction now works as
 - 1. Start with a high-order scheme

$$M\dot{u} + Cu = 0$$

(e.g. central differencing, linear finite elements).

2. Add discrete diffusion to this scheme so that the three design criteria are met (e.g. mass lumping, turning C into a Z-matrix).

This results in a low-order scheme

$$\tilde{M}\dot{u} + \tilde{C}u = 0$$

- @ positivity preserving (LED for all namegative inhal anditions
- @ strongly dissipative
- inaccurate (at most 1st order)
- 3. Add as much discrete antidiffusion as possible back to the low-order scheme to obtain a blended scheme which should ideally agree with the high-order scheme, while still preserving the monotonicity of the low-order scheme.
 - (7) still positivity preserving /LED for this particular initial condition
 - 1 reduced dissipation
 - (2) 2nd order accurate wherever possible (e.g. where solution is smooth)

HO - scheme:

Fin +
$$\mathbb{C}_{n}$$
 - $(\mathbb{H}_{-m})^{n}$ - $(\mathbb{H}_{-m})^{n}$ - $(\mathbb{H}_{-m})^{n}$ = \mathbb{H}_{-m} = $\mathbb{H}_$

An entire zoo of flux limiters can be found in the literature. A particularly well-suited limiter for algebraic flux correction in this very general form is the so-called ZALESAK limiter. I replace fig with waited

flaxes Fil = dil(u) fil

100

Coefficients dij(a) e[0,1] are chosen as large as possible, but so that local mersion don't grow the local minima don't decrease => "wiggles" are suppressed in a conservative to consistent fashion.