

Exercise 4.2

$x \in \mathbb{R}^p$ Y has 2 classes

size N_1

size N_2

target code: $-\frac{N}{N_1}$

target code: $\frac{N}{N_2}$

(a) LDA classifies to class 2 if $\delta_2(x) > \delta_1(x)$

$$\underline{x^T \Sigma^{-1} \hat{\mu}_2} - \frac{1}{2} \underline{\hat{\mu}_2^T \Sigma^{-1} \hat{\mu}_2} + \underline{\log \frac{N_2}{N}} >$$

$$\underline{x^T \Sigma^{-1} \hat{\mu}_1} - \frac{1}{2} \underline{\hat{\mu}_1^T \Sigma^{-1} \hat{\mu}_1} + \underline{\log \frac{N_1}{N}}$$

$$x^T \Sigma^{-1} (\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1) > \frac{1}{2} (\hat{\mu}_2 + \hat{\mu}_1)^T \Sigma^{-1} (\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1) - \log \frac{N_2}{N_1}$$

(b) $\min \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - \beta_0 - x_i^T \beta)^2$

$Y =$ target vector, target code $-\frac{N}{N_1}, \frac{N}{N_2}$

$$\underline{Y = t_1 U_1 + t_2 U_2}$$

$$t_1 = -\frac{N}{N_1}, t_2 = \frac{N}{N_2}$$

$$1 = U_1 + U_2$$

$$U_1 + U_2 = 1$$

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 |

Note that $\hat{\mu}_i = \frac{x^T U_i}{N_i} \Rightarrow \underline{x^T U_1 = N_1 \hat{\mu}_1}$
 $\underline{x^T U_2 = N_2 \hat{\mu}_2}$

Therefore $\underline{x^T Y = x^T (t_1 U_1 + t_2 U_2) = t_1 N_1 \hat{\mu}_1 + t_2 N_2 \hat{\mu}_2}$

Our goal is to minimize $(Y - \beta_0 \mathbf{1} - X\beta)^T (Y - \beta_0 \mathbf{1} - X\beta)$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial RSS}{\partial \beta_0} \left\{ - (Y - \beta_0 \mathbf{1} - X\beta)^T \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1}^T (Y - \beta_0 \mathbf{1} - X\beta) \right\} = 0 \\ \frac{\partial RSS}{\partial \beta} \left\{ - (Y - \beta_0 \mathbf{1} - X\beta)^T X - X^T (Y - \beta_0 \mathbf{1} - X\beta) \right\} = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\rightarrow \begin{cases} 2\beta_0 \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{1} - 2\mathbf{1}^T (Y - X\beta) = 0 \\ 2X^T X\beta - 2X^T Y + 2\beta_0 X^T \mathbf{1} = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\beta_0 = \frac{1}{N} \mathbf{1}^T (Y - X\beta)$$

$$\rightarrow X^T X\beta - X^T Y + \beta_0 X^T \mathbf{1} = 0$$

$$\rightarrow X^T X\beta - X^T Y + \frac{1}{N} X^T \mathbf{1} \mathbf{1}^T Y - \frac{1}{N} X^T \mathbf{1} \mathbf{1}^T X\beta = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\left(X^T X - \frac{1}{N} X^T \mathbf{1} \mathbf{1}^T X \right)}_{LHS} \beta = \underbrace{\left(X^T Y - \frac{1}{N} X^T \mathbf{1} \mathbf{1}^T Y \right)}_{RHS}$$

$$RHS = t_1 N_1 \hat{\mu}_1 + t_2 N_2 \hat{\mu}_2 - \frac{1}{N} (X^T (U_1 + U_2)) (U_1 + U_2)^T Y$$

$$= t_1 N_1 \hat{\mu}_1 + t_2 N_2 \hat{\mu}_2 - \frac{1}{N} (X^T U_1 + X^T U_2) (U_1 + U_2)^T (t_1 U_1 + t_2 U_2)$$

$$= t_1 N_1 \hat{\mu}_1 + t_2 N_2 \hat{\mu}_2 - \frac{1}{N} (N_1 \hat{\mu}_1 + N_2 \hat{\mu}_2) (t_1 U_1^T U_1 + t_1 U_2^T U_1 + t_2 U_1^T U_2 + t_2 U_2^T U_2)$$

$$= t_1 N_1 \hat{\mu}_1 + t_2 N_2 \hat{\mu}_2 - \frac{1}{N} (N_1 \hat{\mu}_1 + N_2 \hat{\mu}_2) (t_1 N_1 + t_2 N_2)$$

$$= t_1 N_1 \hat{\mu}_1 + t_2 N_2 \hat{\mu}_2 - \frac{N_1^2}{N} t_1 \hat{\mu}_1 - \frac{N_1 N_2}{N} \hat{\mu}_1 t_2 - \frac{N_1 N_2}{N} \hat{\mu}_2 t_1 - \frac{N_2^2}{N} t_2 \hat{\mu}_2$$

$$= t_1 \hat{\mu}_1 \left(N_1 - \frac{N_1^2}{N} \right) + t_2 \hat{\mu}_2 \left(N_2 - \frac{N_2^2}{N} \right) - \frac{N_1 N_2}{N} \hat{\mu}_1 t_2 - \frac{N_1 N_2}{N} \hat{\mu}_2 t_1$$

$$= t_1 \hat{\mu}_1 \left(\frac{N_1(N_1 + N_2) - N_1^2}{N} \right) + t_2 \hat{\mu}_2 \left(\frac{N_2(N_1 + N_2) - N_2^2}{N} \right) - \frac{N_1 N_2}{N} \hat{\mu}_1 t_2 - \frac{N_1 N_2}{N} \hat{\mu}_2 t_1$$

$$= \frac{N_1 N_2}{N} (t_1 - t_2) (\hat{\mu}_1 - \hat{\mu}_2)$$

$$LHS = X^T X - \frac{1}{N} X^T 11^T X$$

$$\Sigma = \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{g_i = k} (x_i - \hat{\mu}_k)(x_i - \hat{\mu}_k)^T / (N-2)$$

$$(N-2)\Sigma = X^T X - N_1 \hat{\mu}_1 \hat{\mu}_1^T - N_2 \hat{\mu}_2 \hat{\mu}_2^T$$

$$\text{so } X^T X = (N-2)\Sigma + N_1 \hat{\mu}_1 \hat{\mu}_1^T + N_2 \hat{\mu}_2 \hat{\mu}_2^T$$

$$\frac{1}{N} X^T 11^T X = \frac{1}{N} X^T (U_1 + U_2)(U_1 + U_2)^T X$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} (N_1 \hat{\mu}_1 + N_2 \hat{\mu}_2)(N_1 \hat{\mu}_1 + N_2 \hat{\mu}_2)^T$$

$$= \frac{N_1^2}{N} \hat{\mu}_1 \hat{\mu}_1^T + \frac{N_1 N_2}{N} \hat{\mu}_1 \hat{\mu}_2^T + \frac{N_1 N_2}{N} \hat{\mu}_2 \hat{\mu}_1^T + \frac{N_2^2}{N} \hat{\mu}_2 \hat{\mu}_2^T$$

$$= (N-2)\Sigma + N_1 \hat{\mu}_1 \hat{\mu}_1^T + N_2 \hat{\mu}_2 \hat{\mu}_2^T - \frac{N_1^2}{N} \hat{\mu}_1 \hat{\mu}_1^T - \frac{N_1 N_2}{N} \hat{\mu}_1 \hat{\mu}_2^T - \frac{N_1 N_2}{N} \hat{\mu}_2 \hat{\mu}_1^T - \frac{N_2^2}{N} \hat{\mu}_2 \hat{\mu}_2^T$$

$$= (N-2)\Sigma + \left(\frac{N_1(N_1 + N_2) - N_1^2}{N} \right) \hat{\mu}_1 \hat{\mu}_1^T + \left(\frac{N_2(N_1 + N_2) - N_2^2}{N} \right) \hat{\mu}_2 \hat{\mu}_2^T +$$

$$- \frac{N_1 N_2}{N} \hat{\mu}_1 \hat{\mu}_2^T - \frac{N_1 N_2}{N} \hat{\mu}_2 \hat{\mu}_1^T$$

$$= (N-2)\Sigma + \frac{N_1 N_2}{N} (\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1)^T (\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1) \quad \Sigma_B = \frac{N_1 N_2}{N^2} (\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1)^T (\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1)$$

$$= (N-2)\Sigma + N \Sigma_B$$

$$[(N-2)\Sigma + N \Sigma_B] \beta = \frac{N_1 N_2}{N} (t_1 - t_2) (\hat{\mu}_1 - \hat{\mu}_2)$$

$$= \frac{N_1 N_2}{N} \left(-\frac{N}{N_1} - \frac{N}{N_2} \right) (\hat{\mu}_1 - \hat{\mu}_2)$$

$$= \frac{N_1 N_2}{N} \left(-\frac{N(N_1 + N_2)}{N_1 N_2} \right) (\hat{\mu}_1 - \hat{\mu}_2)$$

$$= N (\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1)$$

(c) Note that $\hat{\Sigma} \beta = (\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1) \underbrace{\frac{N_1 N_2}{N^2} (\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1)^T \beta}_{\text{Scalar}}$, it goes in the direction of $\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1$

Both the LHS and the RHS of

$$[(N-2)\Sigma + N\Sigma_B] \beta = N(\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1) \text{ go in the direction of } (\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1), \text{ so}$$

the solution must be proportional to $\Sigma^{-1}(\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1)$

$$\lambda \Sigma^{-1}(\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1)$$

(d) t_1 and t_2 were chosen arbitrarily, so the result holds

$$(e) \hat{\beta}_0 = \frac{1}{N} \mathbf{1}^T (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X} \hat{\beta})$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} (\mathbf{U}_1 + \mathbf{U}_2)^T (t_1 \mathbf{U}_1 + t_2 \mathbf{U}_2) - \frac{1}{N} (\mathbf{U}_1 + \mathbf{U}_2)^T \mathbf{X} \hat{\beta}$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} (t_1 \mathbf{U}_1^T \mathbf{U}_1 + t_2 \mathbf{U}_1^T \mathbf{U}_2 + t_1 \mathbf{U}_2^T \mathbf{U}_1 + t_2 \mathbf{U}_2^T \mathbf{U}_2) - \frac{1}{N} (\mathbf{U}_1^T \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{U}_2^T \mathbf{X}) \hat{\beta}$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \left(-\frac{N}{N_1} \cdot N_1 + \frac{N}{N_2} \cdot N_2 \right) - \frac{1}{N} (N_1 \hat{\mu}_1 + N_2 \hat{\mu}_2) \hat{\beta}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{N} (N_1 \hat{\mu}_1 + N_2 \hat{\mu}_2) \hat{\beta}$$

$$\hat{f}(x) = \hat{\beta}_0 + x^T \hat{\beta}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{N} (N_1 \hat{\mu}_1 + N_2 \hat{\mu}_2) \hat{\beta} + x^T \hat{\beta}$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} (N x^T - N_1 \hat{\mu}_1 - N_2 \hat{\mu}_2) \hat{\beta}$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} (N x^T - N_1 \hat{\mu}_1 - N_2 \hat{\mu}_2) \lambda \hat{\Sigma}^{-1} (\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1) \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\hat{f}(x) > 0 \Leftrightarrow N x^T \hat{\Sigma}^{-1} (\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1) > (N_1 \hat{\mu}_1 + N_2 \hat{\mu}_2) \lambda \hat{\Sigma}^{-1} (\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1)$$

$$x^T \hat{\Sigma}^{-1} (\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1) > \frac{1}{N} (N_1 \hat{\mu}_1 + N_2 \hat{\mu}_2) \hat{\Sigma}^{-1} (\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1)$$

$$\text{LDA (4.11)} \quad x^T \hat{\Sigma}^{-1} (\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1) > \frac{1}{2} (\hat{\mu}_1 + \hat{\mu}_2) \hat{\Sigma}^{-1} (\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1)$$

The two rules are equal if $N_1 = N_2 = \frac{N}{2}$

Linear Discriminant Analysis

$$\delta_k(x) = x^T \Sigma_k^{-1} \mu_k - \frac{1}{2} \mu_k^T \Sigma_k^{-1} \mu_k + \log \hat{\pi}_k$$

$$Pr[G=k | X=x] = \frac{f_k(x) \hat{\pi}_k}{\sum_{e=1}^K f_e(x) \hat{\pi}_e}$$

$f_k(x)$ Gaussian \rightarrow LDA, QDA

$$\Sigma_k = \Sigma \quad \forall k \rightarrow \text{LDA}$$

When we do NOT assume \rightarrow , then QDA

$$\delta_k(x) = -\frac{1}{2} \log |\Sigma_k| - \frac{1}{2} (x - \mu_k)^T \Sigma_k^{-1} (x - \mu_k) + \log \hat{\pi}_k$$

QDA the quadratic term does NOT simplify

- estimates for QDA are the same as those for LDA, but

$$\hat{\Sigma}_k = \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} (x_j - \hat{\mu}_k)(x_j - \hat{\mu}_k)^T / (n_k - 1)$$

- similar techniques, difference in # of parameters to estimate

- for LDA, # pars = $(K-1) \times (p-1)$
all differences to contrast \rightarrow # parameters to estimate for each difference
 $\delta_k - \delta_1$

- for QDA, # pars = $(K-1) \times \left[\frac{p(p+3)}{2} + 1 \right]$

Note: both methods perform quite well in a large number of situations

- data support only linear (or quadratic) decision boundaries
- Gaussian models are stable

Regularized Discriminant Analysis

- idea: create a sort of compromise between LDA and QDA
- we allow differences among the covariance matrices, but we shrink them toward Σ & similar to ridge

$$\hat{\Sigma}_K(\alpha) = \alpha \hat{\Sigma}_K + (1-\alpha) \hat{\Sigma}$$

where $\alpha \in [0; 1]$ should be chosen (i.e., by cross-validation)
 α controls the amount of shrinkage

$$\alpha = 0 \rightarrow \text{LDA}$$

$$\alpha = 1 \rightarrow \text{QDA}$$

- further possibility is to shrink $\hat{\Sigma}$ toward $\sigma^2 I$

$$\hat{\Sigma} = \gamma \hat{\Sigma} + (1-\gamma) \sigma^2 I$$

where $\gamma \in [0; 1]$ has a similar meaning then α

- Combining

$$\hat{\Sigma}_K(\alpha, \gamma) = \alpha \hat{\Sigma}_K + (1-\alpha) [\gamma \hat{\Sigma} + (1-\gamma) \sigma^2 I]$$

We obtain a general family for the covariance matrix, ^{which} depends on α, γ

Reduced-rank LDA

Fisher: find the best combination $z = a^T X$ such that the between-class variance is maximized relative to the within-class variance

Total variance $T = \underline{B} + \underline{W}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 T &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \bar{x})(x_i - \bar{x})^T \\
 &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{j \in k} ((x_i - \bar{x}_k) + (\bar{x}_k - \bar{x}))((x_i - \bar{x}_k) + (\bar{x}_k - \bar{x}))^T \\
 &= \frac{1}{N} \left[\sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{j \in k} (x_i - \bar{x}_k)(x_i - \bar{x}_k)^T + \sum_{k=1}^K \left[\sum_{j \in k} (x_i - \bar{x}_k) \right] (\bar{x}_k - \bar{x})^T + \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \sum_{k=1}^K (\bar{x}_k - \bar{x}) \sum_{j \in k} (x_i - \bar{x}_k)^T + \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{j \in k} (\bar{x}_k - \bar{x})(\bar{x}_k - \bar{x})^T \right] \\
 &= \underbrace{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{j \in k} (x_i - \bar{x}_k)(x_i - \bar{x}_k)^T}_{\text{within-class variance } W} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^K N_k (\bar{x}_k - \bar{x})(\bar{x}_k - \bar{x})^T}_{\text{between-class variance } B}
 \end{aligned}$$

x_i : from class k
 j : class k

a : $a^T B a$ is maximized
 $a^T W a$ is minimized

$$a_1: \arg \max_a \frac{a^T B a}{a^T W a} \quad \text{and} \quad \max_a a^T B a \quad \text{subject to} \quad a^T W a = 1$$

→ generalized eigenvalue problem, a corresponds to the largest eigenvalue of $W^{-1}B$

$$a_2: a_2 \perp a_1, \quad \arg \max_a \frac{a^T B a}{a^T W a}$$

a_3, \dots

a_1, a_2, \dots are called:

- discriminant coordinates
- canonical variates

Platitudes

- initially data reduction for visualization
- can be seen as a restricted classification rule

↑

the centroids lie in the L -dimensional subspace of \mathbb{R}^P

Logistic regression

- model the posterior probabilities of the K classes, s.t.
- linear functions in x
- sum of them = 1
- they $\in [0, 1]$

Logistic regression models

$$\log \frac{\Pr[G=1 | X=x]}{\Pr[G=K | X=x]} = \beta_{10} + \beta_1^T x$$

$$\log \frac{\Pr[G=2 | X=x]}{\Pr[G=K | X=x]} = \beta_{20} + \beta_2^T x$$

\vdots

$$\log \frac{\Pr[G=K-1 | X=x]}{\Pr[G=K | X=x]} = \beta_{K-1,0} + \beta_{K-1}^T x$$

- specifies $K-1$ log-odds
- based on the logit transformation

$$\text{for } K=2 \quad \log \frac{p}{1-p} = x\beta \Leftrightarrow p = \frac{e^{x\beta}}{1 + e^{x\beta}}$$

$$\Pr[G=1 | X=x] = p = \frac{e^{x\beta}}{1 + e^{x\beta}}$$

$$\Pr[G=2 | X=x] = 1 - \Pr[G=1 | X=x] = 1 - p = \frac{1}{1 + e^{x\beta}}$$

$$\frac{e^{x\beta}}{1 + e^{x\beta}} + \frac{1}{1 + e^{x\beta}} = 1$$

$$\beta = \beta_0 \beta$$

$$X = (1, x)$$

$$\hat{\beta} = \arg\max_{\beta} L(\beta)$$

$$L(\beta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(y_i; p(x_i; \beta))$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{1}{y_i} p(x_i; \beta)^{y_i} (1 - p(x_i; \beta))^{1-y_i}$$

$$l(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \log p(x_i; \beta) + (1-y_i) \log (1 - p(x_i; \beta))$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \left[y_i \log \frac{e^{x_i \beta^T}}{1 + e^{x_i \beta^T}} + \log \left(1 - \frac{e^{x_i \beta^T}}{1 + e^{x_i \beta^T}} \right) - y_i \log \left(1 - \frac{e^{x_i \beta^T}}{1 + e^{x_i \beta^T}} \right) \right]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \left[y_i x_i \beta^T - y_i \log (1 + e^{x_i \beta^T}) + \log \left(\frac{1 + e^{x_i \beta^T} - e^{x_i \beta^T}}{1 + e^{x_i \beta^T}} \right) - y_i \log \left(\frac{1}{1 + e^{x_i \beta^T}} \right) \right]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n y_i x_i \beta^T - \log (1 + e^{x_i \beta^T})$$

$$l_{\beta}(\beta) = \frac{\partial l(\beta)}{\partial \beta} = \sum_{i=1}^n \left[y_i x_i - \frac{e^{x_i \beta^T}}{1 + e^{x_i \beta^T}} x_i \right] = 0$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n x_i (y_i - p(x_i; \beta)) = 0$$

system of $p+1$ equations not linear in β

→ to find the solution, we can use the Newton-Raphson algorithm

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)} \leftarrow \frac{l_{\beta}(\beta)}{l_{\beta\beta}(\beta)} = \frac{\frac{\partial^2 l(\beta)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta^T}}{l_{\beta\beta}(\beta)}$$

$$l_{\beta\beta}(\beta) = - \sum_{i=1}^n \left(x_i \frac{e^{x_i \beta^T}}{(1 + e^{x_i \beta^T})^2} - x_i \frac{e^{x_i \beta^T}}{(1 + e^{x_i \beta^T})^2} \right) x_i^T$$

$$= - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \frac{e^{x_i \beta^T}}{(1 + e^{x_i \beta^T})^2} x_i^T$$

$$= - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \frac{e^{x_i \beta^T}}{(1 + e^{x_i \beta^T})^2} x_i^T$$

Newton-Raphson

$$\beta_{\text{new}} = \beta_{\text{old}} - \ell_{\beta\beta}(\beta)^{-1} \ell_{\beta}(\beta)$$

$$\ell_{\beta}(\beta) = X^T (y - p)$$

$$p = \frac{e^{x\beta^T}}{1 + e^{x\beta^T}}$$

$$\ell_{\beta\beta}(\beta) = -X^T W X \quad \text{where } W = \begin{bmatrix} p(1-p) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\frac{e^{x\beta^T}}{1 + e^{x\beta^T}} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + e^{x\beta^T}} = \frac{e^{x\beta^T}}{(1 + e^{x\beta^T})^2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{\text{new}} &= \beta_{\text{old}} + \underbrace{(X^T W X)^{-1}}_{\ell_{\beta\beta}(\beta)} \underbrace{X^T (y - p)}_{\ell_{\beta}(\beta)} \\ &= (X^T W X)^{-1} X^T W (X \beta_{\text{old}} + W^{-1} (y - p)) \\ &= (X^T W X)^{-1} X^T W z \rightarrow \text{weighted least square} \end{aligned}$$

β in W and p are β_{old}

- repeat the steps of the Newton-Raphson algorithm until it converges to $\hat{\beta}$

L_1 regularized logistic regression

The L_1 penalty (LASSO) can be applied to the logistic regression as well

$$\hat{\beta} = \arg \min_{\beta} \left\{ -\ell(\beta) + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^p |\beta_j| \right\}$$

$$= \arg \max_{\beta} \left\{ \ell(\beta) - \lambda \sum_{j=1}^p |\beta_j| \right\}$$

$$= \arg \max_{\beta} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N [y_i (\beta_0 + \beta_i^T x) - \log(1 + e^{\beta_0 + \beta_i^T x})] - \lambda \sum_{j=1}^p |\beta_j| \right\}$$

Exercise:

- try to reproduce Table 3.3 with the data from the South Africa heart disease example

| $\hat{\beta}$ | LS | best ($\alpha=0.05$) | LASSO | RIDGE | LDA | QDA |
|---------------|----|------------------------|-------|-------|-----|-----|
| | | | | | | |

- read ch 4.4.5