MAT 108 HW 2

Hardy Jones 999397426 Professor Bandyopadhyay Spring 2015

 $\S 1.4$ 5 (e) With integers x and y, we want to show:

If x and y are odd, then x + y is even.

Proof. Suppose x and y are odd.

Then there exist some integers p, q such that x = 2p + 1 and y = 2q + 1.

Then we have x + y = (2p + 1) + (2q + 1) = 2p + 2q + 2 = 2(p + q + 1).

Since p + q + 1 is an integer, we can rename as r = p + q + 1.

So x + y = 2r, and is even.

Thus if x and y are odd, then x + y is even

(f) With integers x and y, we want to show:

If x and y are odd, then 3x - 5y is even.

Proof. Suppose x and y are odd.

Then there exist some integers p, q such that x = 2p + 1 and y = 2q + 1.

Then we have 3x-5y = 3(2p+1)-5(2q+1) = 6p+3-10q-5 = 6p-10q-2 = 2(3p-5q-1).

Since 3p - 5q - 1 is an integer, we can rename as r = 3p - 5q - 1.

So 3x - 5y = 2r, and is even.

Thus if x and y are odd, then 3x - 5y is even

(i) With integers x and y, we want to show:

If x is even and y is odd, then xy is even.

Proof. Suppose x is even and y is odd.

Then there exist some integers p, q such that x = 2p and y = 2q + 1.

Then we have xy = (2p)(2q+1) = 4pq + 2p = 2(2pq+p).

Since 2pq + p is an integer, we can rename as r = 2pq + p.

So xy = 2r, and is even.

Thus if x is even and y is odd, then xy is even

6 (d) With real numbers a, b we want to prove $|a+b| \leq |a| + |b|$.

Proof. We prove this by cases.

For cases 2 and 3, we choose without loss of generality $a \ge 0, b < 0$. The exact same argument holds for $a < 0, b \ge 0$.

Case 1 $a \ge 0, b \ge 0$

Since $a \ge 0, b \ge 0, a + b \ge 0$.

So |a + b| = a + b.

Also, |a| = a and |b| = b.

So |a+b| = a+b = |a| + |b|.

Thus, $|a + b| \le |a| + |b|$.

Case 2
$$a \ge 0, b < 0, a + b \ge 0$$

Since $a + b \ge 0, |a + b| = a + b.$

Also, |a| = a and |b| = -b.

Since $b < 0 \implies 2b < 0 \implies b < -b \implies a + b < a + (-b)$,

we have |a + b| = a + b < a + (-b) = |a| + |b|.

Thus, $|a + b| \le |a| + |b|$.

Case 3 $a \ge 0, b < 0, a + b < 0$

Since a + b < 0, |a + b| = -(a + b) = -a - b.

Also, |a| = a and |b| = -b.

Since $0 \le a \implies 0 \le 2a \implies -a \le a \implies -a-b \le a-b$,

we have $|a + b| = -a - b \le a - b = a + (-b) = |a| + |b|$.

Thus, $|a + b| \le |a| + |b|$.

Case 4 a < 0, b < 0

Since a < 0, b < 0, a + b < 0.

So |a+b| = -(a+b) = -a - b.

Also, |a| = -a and |b| = -b.

So |a+b| = -a - b = -a + (-b) = |a| + |b|.

Thus, $|a + b| \le |a| + |b|$.

Since these are all the possible cases, we have proven by exhaustion that $|a+b| \le |a| + |b|$.

(e) With real numbers a, b we want to prove if $|a| \le b$, then $-b \le a \le b$.

Proof. We prove this by cases.

Case 1 a > 0

Assume $|a| \leq b$.

Since $a \ge 0$, we have |a| = a.

Now, we know $|a| = a \le b$.

Also $0 \le |a| = a \le b \implies 0 \le b$. And since both a and b are non-negative, a + b is also non-negative.

So we have $0 \le a + b \implies -b \le a$.

Then we have both $-b \le a$ and $a \le b$ or $-b \le a \le b$.

Thus if $|a| \le b$, then $-b \le a \le b$.

Case 2 a < 0

Assume $|a| \leq b$.

Since a < 0, we have |a| = -a.

Then, $|a| = -a \le b \implies -a - b \le 0 \implies -b \le a$.

Now, by the definition of absolute value, $|a| \le b \implies 0 \le b$.

And $a < 0 \implies a < 0 \le b \implies a < b \implies a \le b$.

Then we have both $-b \le a$ and $a \le b$ or $-b \le a \le b$.

Thus if $|a| \le b$, then $-b \le a \le b$.

Since these are the only possible cases, we have proved by exhaustion that: If $|a| \le b$, then $-b \le a \le b$.

(f) With real numbers a, b we want to prove if $-b \le a \le b$, then $|a| \le b$.

Proof. We prove this by cases.

Case 1 $a \ge 0$

Assume $-b \le a \le b$.

Since $a \ge 0$, we have |a| = a.

We have $-b \le a \le b \implies a \le b$.

Then, $a = |a| \le b$.

Thus, if $-b \le a \le b$, then $|a| \le b$.

Case 2 a < 0

Assume $-b \le a \le b$.

Since a < 0, we have |a| = -a.

We have $-b \le a \le b \Longrightarrow -b \le a \Longrightarrow -a - b \le 0 \Longrightarrow -a \le b$.

Then $-a = |a| \le b$.

Thus, if $-b \le a \le b$, then $|a| \le b$.

Since these are the only possible cases, we have proved by exhaustion that: If $-b \le a \le b$, then $|a| \le b$.

9 (b) With integers a, b, c we work backward to prove:

if a divides b and a divides b + c, then a divides 3c.

If a divides 3c, then there exists some integer p such that $3c = ap \implies 3b + 3c = 3b + ap \implies 3(b+c) = 3b + ap$.

Now, if a divides b, then there exists some integer q such that b=aq. And also, if a divides b+c, then there exists some integer r such that b+c=ar So $3(b+c)=3aq+ap \implies 3ar=3aq+ap \implies 3r=3q+p \implies 3r-3q=p \implies 3(r-q)=p$.

Looks like we can construct a proof if we can assume p = 3(r - q).

Proof. Assume a divides b and a divides b + c.

These mean there exist integers q, r such that b = aq, b + c = ar.

Now construct another integer p = 3(r - q).

Then,

$$3(r-q) = p$$
$$3a(r-q) = ap$$
$$3(ar-aq) = ap$$
$$3(b+c-b) = ap$$
$$3c = ap$$

So, we have that a divides 3c.

Thus, if a divides b and a divides b + c, then a divides 3c.

(c)

(d) With the real number x we work backward to prove:

if $x^3 + 2x^2 < 0$, then 2x + 5 < 11.

We find $2x + 5 < 11 \implies 2x < 6 \implies x < 3$.

If we work a bit forward from the antecedent we see $x^3 + 2x^2 < 0 \implies x + 2 < 0 \implies x < -2$.

Now, we should have enough to construct a proof.

Proof. Assume $x^3 + 2x^2 < 0$.

Then we have $x^3 + 2x^2 < 0 \implies x + 2 < 0 \implies x < -2$.

Now, if x is less than -2, then x is also less than 3.

So we have $x < 3 \implies 2x < 6 \implies 2x + 5 < 11$.

Thus, if $x^3 + 2x^2 < 0$, then 2x + 5 < 11.

11 (b) The claim is solid.

The proof has the correct idea, however, it is incorrect.

When constructing the factors of c, a new integer should be chosen as otherwise, b and c are the same integer.

П

While this is also a true claim, it does not prove what the original claim suggests.

One way to fix the proof is as follows.

Proof. Suppose a divides b and a divides c. Then for some integer q, b = aq, and for some integer $\mathbf{r}, c = ar$.

Then b+c=aq+ar=a(q+r). Since q+r is also an integer, we rename q+r=s. So b+c=as, and a divides b+c.

So on the scale of A, C, F, this proof gets a grade of C.

(e) The claim is solid.

The proof is also correct.

So on the scale of **A**, **C**, **F**, this proof gets a grade of **A**.

§1.5 3 (c) We want to show by contraposition:

if x^2 is not divisible by 4, then x is odd.

The contrapositive of this statement is:

If x is even, then x^2 is divisible by 4.

Proof. Assume x is even.

Then there exists some integer p such that x = 2p.

So
$$x^2 = (2p)^2 = 4p^2$$
.

Since p is an integer, p^2 is also an integer. So we can replace it with $p^2 = q$.

Then $x^2 = 4q$, meaning that 4 divides x^2 , or equivalently x^2 is divisible by 4. Therefore, x^2 is divisible by 4.

Thus, if x is even, then x^2 is divisible by 4.

Therefore, if x^2 is not divisible by 4, then x is odd.

(d) We want to show by contraposition:

if xy is even, then either x or y is even.

The contrapositive of this statement is:

if x and y are both odd, then xy is odd.

Proof. Assume both x and y odd.

Then there exists some integers p, q such that x = 2p + 1, y = 2q + 1.

So
$$xy = (2p+1)(2q+1) = 4pq + 2p + 2q + 1 = 2(2pq + p + q) + 1$$
.

Since 2pq + p + q is an integer, we can replace it with 2pq + p + q = r.

Then xy = 2r + 1.

Therefore, xy is odd.

Thus, if x and y are both odd, then xy is odd.

Therefore, if xy is even, then either x or y is even.

5

- 6 (a)
 - (b)
- 7 (c)

11