# MAT 108 HW 2

Hardy Jones 999397426 Professor Bandyopadhyay Spring 2015

 $\S 1.4$  5 (e) With integers x and y, we want to show:

If x and y are odd, then x + y is even.

*Proof.* Suppose x and y are odd.

Then there exist some integers p, q such that x = 2p + 1 and y = 2q + 1.

Then we have x + y = (2p + 1) + (2q + 1) = 2p + 2q + 2 = 2(p + q + 1).

Since p + q + 1 is an integer, we can rename as r = p + q + 1.

So x + y = 2r, and is even.

Thus if x and y are odd, then x + y is even

(f) With integers x and y, we want to show:

If x and y are odd, then 3x - 5y is even.

*Proof.* Suppose x and y are odd.

Then there exist some integers p, q such that x = 2p + 1 and y = 2q + 1.

Then we have 3x - 5y = 3(2p+1) - 5(2q+1) = 6p+3-10q-5 = 6p-10q-2 = 2(3p-5q-1).

Since 3p - 5q - 1 is an integer, we can rename as r = 3p - 5q - 1.

So 3x - 5y = 2r, and is even.

Thus if x and y are odd, then 3x - 5y is even

(i) With integers x and y, we want to show:

If x is even and y is odd, then xy is even.

*Proof.* Suppose x is even and y is odd.

Then there exist some integers p, q such that x = 2p and y = 2q + 1.

Then we have xy = (2p)(2q + 1) = 4pq + 2p = 2(2pq + p).

Since 2pq + p is an integer, we can rename as r = 2pq + p.

So xy = 2r, and is even.

Thus if x is even and y is odd, then xy is even

6 (d) With real numbers a, b we want to prove  $|a+b| \le |a| + |b|$ .

*Proof.* We prove this by cases.

For cases 2 and 3, we choose without loss of generality  $a \ge 0, b < 0$ . The exact same argument holds for  $a < 0, b \ge 0$ .

Case 1  $a \ge 0, b \ge 0$ 

Since  $a \ge 0, b \ge 0, a + b \ge 0$ .

So |a + b| = a + b.

Also, |a| = a and |b| = b.

So |a+b| = a+b = |a| + |b|.

Thus,  $|a + b| \le |a| + |b|$ .

Case 2 
$$a \ge 0, b < 0, a + b \ge 0$$

Since  $a + b \ge 0, |a + b| = a + b.$ 

Also, |a| = a and |b| = -b.

Since  $b < 0 \implies 2b < 0 \implies b < -b \implies a + b < a + (-b)$ ,

we have |a + b| = a + b < a + (-b) = |a| + |b|.

Thus,  $|a + b| \le |a| + |b|$ .

## Case 3 $a \ge 0, b < 0, a + b < 0$

Since a + b < 0, |a + b| = -(a + b) = -a - b.

Also, |a| = a and |b| = -b.

Since  $0 \le a \implies 0 \le 2a \implies -a \le a \implies -a-b \le a-b$ ,

we have  $|a + b| = -a - b \le a - b = a + (-b) = |a| + |b|$ .

Thus,  $|a + b| \le |a| + |b|$ .

# Case 4 a < 0, b < 0

Since a < 0, b < 0, a + b < 0.

So |a+b| = -(a+b) = -a - b.

Also, |a| = -a and |b| = -b.

So |a+b| = -a - b = -a + (-b) = |a| + |b|.

Thus,  $|a + b| \le |a| + |b|$ .

Since these are all the possible cases, we have proven by exhaustion that  $|a+b| \le |a| + |b|$ .

(e) With real numbers a, b we want to prove if  $|a| \le b$ , then  $-b \le a \le b$ .

*Proof.* We prove this by cases.

### Case 1 a > 0

Assume  $|a| \leq b$ .

Since  $a \ge 0$ , we have |a| = a.

Now, we know  $|a| = a \le b$ .

Also  $0 \le |a| = a \le b \implies 0 \le b$ . And since both a and b are non-negative, a + b is also non-negative.

So we have  $0 \le a + b \implies -b \le a$ .

Then we have both  $-b \le a$  and  $a \le b$  or  $-b \le a \le b$ .

Thus if  $|a| \le b$ , then  $-b \le a \le b$ .

# Case $2 \ a < 0$

Assume  $|a| \leq b$ .

Since a < 0, we have |a| = -a.

Then,  $|a| = -a \le b \implies -a - b \le 0 \implies -b \le a$ .

Now, by the definition of absolute value,  $|a| \le b \implies 0 \le b$ .

And  $a < 0 \implies a < 0 \le b \implies a < b \implies a \le b$ .

Then we have both  $-b \le a$  and  $a \le b$  or  $-b \le a \le b$ .

Thus if  $|a| \le b$ , then  $-b \le a \le b$ .

Since these are the only possible cases, we have proved by exhaustion that: If  $|a| \le b$ , then  $-b \le a \le b$ .

(f) With real numbers a, b we want to prove if  $-b \le a \le b$ , then  $|a| \le b$ .

*Proof.* We prove this by cases.

Case 1  $a \ge 0$ 

Assume  $-b \le a \le b$ .

Since  $a \ge 0$ , we have |a| = a.

We have  $-b \le a \le b \implies a \le b$ .

Then,  $a = |a| \le b$ .

Thus, if  $-b \le a \le b$ , then  $|a| \le b$ .

Case 2 a < 0

Assume  $-b \le a \le b$ .

Since a < 0, we have |a| = -a.

We have  $-b \le a \le b \implies -b \le a \implies -a - b \le 0 \implies -a \le b$ .

Then  $-a = |a| \le b$ .

Thus, if  $-b \le a \le b$ , then  $|a| \le b$ .

Since these are the only possible cases, we have proved by exhaustion that:

If  $-b \le a \le b$ , then  $|a| \le b$ .

9 (b) With integers a, b, c we work backward to prove:

if a divides b and a divides b + c, then a divides 3c.

If a divides 3c, then there exists some integer p such that  $3c = ap \implies 3b + 3c = 3b + ap \implies 3(b+c) = 3b + ap$ .

Now, if a divides b, then there exists some integer q such that b = aq. And also, if a divides b + c, then there exists some integer r such that b + c = ar So  $3(b+c) = 3aq + ap \implies 3ar = 3aq + ap \implies 3r = 3q + p \implies 3r - 3q = p \implies 3(r-q) = p$ .

Looks like we can construct a proof if we can assume p = 3(r - q).

*Proof.* Assume a divides b and a divides b + c.

These mean there exist integers q, r such that b = aq, b + c = ar.

Now construct another integer p = 3(r - q).

Then,

$$3(r-q) = p$$
$$3a(r-q) = ap$$
$$3(ar-aq) = ap$$
$$3(b+c-b) = ap$$
$$3c = ap$$

So, we have that a divides 3c.

Thus, if a divides b and a divides b + c, then a divides 3c.

(c) With integers a, b, c and the real number x, we work backward to prove:

if ab > 0 and bc < 0, then  $ax^2 + bx + c = 0$  has two real solutions. One way to prove this is to remember the quadratic formula:

$$x = \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a}.$$

This has exactly two real solutions when  $b^2 - 4ac$  is positive. so we need  $b^2 - 4ac > 0$ .

We can see:

$$b^{2} - 4ac > 0$$

$$b^{2} > 4ac$$

$$\frac{1}{4}b^{2} > ac$$

$$\frac{1}{4}b^{2}b^{2} > acb^{2}$$

$$\frac{1}{4}b^{2}b^{2} > ab^{2}c$$

$$\frac{1}{4}b^{2}b^{2} > (ab)(bc)$$

$$\frac{1}{4}b^{2}b^{2} \ge 0 > (ab)(bc)$$

Since  $\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $b^2$ ,  $b^2$  are all non-negative, we have the last inequality if ab>0 and bc<0.

Looks like we have some way to proceed.

*Proof.* Assume ab > 0 and bc < 0.

Then we know none of a, b, c is 0.

We have

$$(ab)(bc) < 0$$

$$(ab)(bc) < 0 \le \frac{1}{4}b^2b^2$$

$$(ab)(bc) < \frac{1}{4}b^2b^2$$

$$ab^2c < \frac{1}{4}b^2b^2$$

$$ac < \frac{1}{4}b^2$$

$$4ac < b^2$$

$$0 < b^2 - 4ac$$

Since  $b^2 - 4ac > 0$  we know that  $x = \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a}$  has exactly two real solutions. These solutions are:

$$x_1 = \frac{-b + \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a}, x_2 = \frac{-b - \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a}$$

Thus, if ab > 0 and bc < 0, then  $ax^2 + bx + c = 0$  has two real solutions.  $\square$ 

(d) With the real number x we work backward to prove:

if  $x^3 + 2x^2 < 0$ , then 2x + 5 < 11.

We find  $2x + 5 < 11 \implies 2x < 6 \implies x < 3$ .

If we work a bit forward from the antecedent we see  $x^3 + 2x^2 < 0 \implies x + 2 < 0 \implies x < -2$ .

Now, we should have enough to construct a proof.

Proof. Assume  $x^3 + 2x^2 < 0$ .

Then we have  $x^3 + 2x^2 < 0 \implies x + 2 < 0 \implies x < -2$ .

Now, if x is less than -2, then x is also less than 3.

So we have  $x < 3 \implies 2x < 6 \implies 2x + 5 < 11$ .

Thus, if  $x^3 + 2x^2 < 0$ , then 2x + 5 < 11.

### 11 (b) The claim is solid.

The proof has the correct idea, however, it is incorrect.

When constructing the factors of c, a new integer should be chosen as otherwise, b and c are the same integer.

While this is also a true claim, it does not prove what the original claim suggests.

One way to fix the proof is as follows.

*Proof.* Suppose a divides b and a divides c. Then for some integer q, b = aq, and for some integer  $\mathbf{r}, c = ar$ .

Then b+c=aq+ar=a(q+r). Since q+r is also an integer, we rename q+r=s. So b+c=as, and a divides b+c.

So on the scale of A, C, F, this proof gets a grade of C.

(e) The claim is solid.

The proof is also correct.

So on the scale of **A**, **C**, **F**, this proof gets a grade of **A**.

### §1.5 3 (c) We want to show by contraposition:

if  $x^2$  is not divisible by 4, then x is odd.

The contrapositive of this statement is:

If x is even, then  $x^2$  is divisible by 4.

*Proof.* Assume x is even.

Then there exists some integer p such that x = 2p.

So 
$$x^2 = (2p)^2 = 4p^2$$
.

Since p is an integer,  $p^2$  is also an integer. So we can replace it with  $p^2 = q$ .

Then  $x^2 = 4q$ , meaning that 4 divides  $x^2$ , or equivalently  $x^2$  is divisible by 4. Therefore,  $x^2$  is divisible by 4.

Thus, if x is even, then  $x^2$  is divisible by 4.

Therefore, if  $x^2$  is not divisible by 4, then x is odd.

#### (d) We want to show by contraposition:

if xy is even, then either x or y is even.

The contrapositive of this statement is:

if x and y are both odd, then xy is odd.

*Proof.* Assume both x and y odd.

Then there exists some integers p, q such that x = 2p + 1, y = 2q + 1.

So xy = (2p+1)(2q+1) = 4pq + 2p + 2q + 1 = 2(2pq + p + q) + 1.

Since 2pq + p + q is an integer, we can replace it with 2pq + p + q = r.

Then xy = 2r + 1.

Therefore, xy is odd.

Thus, if x and y are both odd, then xy is odd.

Therefore, if xy is even, then either x or y is even.

- 5 Given a circle with center (2,4).
  - (a) We want to prove that (-1,5), (5,1) are not both on the circle. We prove by contradiction.

*Proof.* Assume (-1,5), (5,1) are both on the circle.

Then the distance from the center to each point must be the same.

The distance from the center to (-1,5) is:

$$\sqrt{(2-(-1))^2+(4-5)^2} = \sqrt{3^2+(-1)^2} = \sqrt{9+1} = \sqrt{10}.$$

The distance from the center to (5,1) is:

$$\sqrt{(2-5)^2 + (4-1)^2} = \sqrt{(-3)^2 + 3^2} = \sqrt{9+9} = \sqrt{18}.$$

But  $\sqrt{10} \neq \sqrt{18}$ .

Thus, the distance from the center to (-1,5) and (5,1) is not the same.

So we have a contradiction.

Then our assumption was incorrect.

Thus, (-1,5), (5,1) are not both on the circle.

(b) We want to prove:

if the radius is less than 5, then the circle does not intersect the line y = x - 6. We prove by contradiction.

*Proof.* Assume the statement is false.

That is, the radius is less than 5 and the circle intersects the line y = x - 6. If the radius is less than 5, then the distance from the center (2,4) to any point (x,y) must be less than 5.

That is:

$$\sqrt{(x-2)^2 + (y-4)^2} < 5$$

Since we also have y = x - 6, we can substitute and simplify

$$\sqrt{(x-2)^2 + (y-4)^2} < 5$$

$$\sqrt{(x-2)^2 + ((x-6)-4)^2} < 5$$

$$\sqrt{(x-2)^2 + (x-10)^2} < 5$$

$$(x-2)^2 + (x-10)^2 < 25$$

$$(x^2 - 4x + 4) + (x^2 - 20x + 100) < 25$$

$$2x^2 - 24x + 104 < 25$$

$$2x^2 - 24x < -79$$

$$x^2 - 12x < \frac{-79}{2}$$

$$x^2 - 12x + 36 < \frac{-79}{2} + 36$$

$$x^2 - 12x + 36 < \frac{-79}{2} + \frac{72}{2}$$

$$(x-6)(x-6) < \frac{-7}{2}$$

$$(x-6)^2 < \frac{-7}{2}$$

So we have  $(x-6)^2 < \frac{-7}{2} < 0$ 

But we know that for any real number  $x, x^2 \ge 0$ .

Since x - 6 is a real number, we have a contradiction, namely  $(x - 6)^2 < 0$  and  $(x - 6)^2 \ge 0$ .

Then our assumption must have been incorrect, and the original statement must in fact be true.

Thus, if the radius is less than 5, then the circle does not intersect the line y = x - 6.

#### (c) We want to prove:

if (0,3) is not inside the circle, then (3,1) is not inside the circle.

*Proof.* Assume (0,3) is not inside the circle.

This means that the radius r, of the circle with center (2,4) is less than the distance to (0,3).

We can create an equation for the circle by computing the radius as the distance from the center to any point (x, y) on the circle:

$$\sqrt{(x-2)^2 + (y-4)^2} = r$$

So we know that the distance from the center to (0,3) is greater than r, otherwise, (0,3) would be inside the circle.

That is:

$$\sqrt{(0-2)^2 + (3-4)^2} > r$$

$$\sqrt{(-2)^2 + (-1)^2} > r$$

$$\sqrt{4+1} > r$$

$$\sqrt{5} > r$$

Now, we can compute the distance from the center of the circle to the point (3,1).

$$\sqrt{(3-2)^2 + (1-4)^2} = \sqrt{1^2 + (-3)^2}$$
$$= \sqrt{1+9}$$
$$= \sqrt{10}$$

Now, since  $\sqrt{10} > \sqrt{5} > r$  we know that the point (3,1) is not inside the circle either.

Thus if (0,3) is not inside the circle, then (3,1) is not inside the circle.  $\Box$ 

6 (a) With positive integers a, b, we want to prove by contradiction: if a divides b, then  $a \leq b$ .

*Proof.* Assume the statement if a divides b, then  $a \leq b$  is false.

So we assume a divides b and a > b.

Since we have a divides b, then there exists some integer p such that b = ap. Since both a and b are positive integers, p must also be a positive integer, otherwise b = ap would be less than or equal to 0.

Therefore, p > 0.

Since we assumed a > b, we have  $b = ap > bp \implies b > bp \implies 1 > p \implies 0 \ge p$ .

Therefore, p < 0,

Hence, p > 0 and  $p \le 0$  is a contradiction.

Since we assumed a statement and used all true statements to arrive at this contradiction, our assumption was false.

That is, the statement if a divides b, then  $a \leq b$  is true.

Thus, if a divides b, then  $a \leq b$ .

(b) With positive integers a, b, we want to prove by contradiction: if ab is odd, then both a and b are odd.

*Proof.* Assume the statement if ab is odd, then both a and b are odd is false. So we assume ab is odd and one of a, b is odd while the other even.

We use the result of the proof from  $\S 1.4.5$  (i) above, namely, with integers x, y if x is even and y is odd, then xy is even.

If we have a odd and b even, then ab is even. If we have b odd and a even, then ba = ab is even.

In either case, we have a contradiction since we assumed ab is odd.

Since we assumed one statement, and used all true statements to arrive at this contradiction, our assumption was false.

П

That is, the statement if ab is odd, then both a and b are odd is true.

Thus, if ab is odd, then both a and b are odd.

7 (c) With positive integer a, we want to prove: a is odd if and only if a+1 is even.

*Proof.* Assume a is odd.

a is odd  $\iff$  there exists some integer p such that a = 2p + 1.

$$\iff$$
  $a+1 = (2p+1) + 1 = 2p + 2 = 2(p+1)$ 

$$\iff$$
  $a+1=2(p+1)=2q$  where  $p+1=q$  for integers  $p,q$ .

$$\iff$$
 there exists some integer q such that  $a+1=2q$ .

$$\iff a+1 \text{ is even.}$$

Since we started with a is odd, and arrived at a+1 is even through only biconditional statements we have proved:

$$a$$
 is odd if and only if  $a + 1$  is even.

11 With real numbers x, y, z such that 0 < x < y < z < 1,

we want to prove:

at least two of the numbers are within  $\frac{1}{2}$  of each other.

The statement is saying that one of the following are true:

Either 
$$y - x < \frac{1}{2}$$
 or  $z - y < \frac{1}{2}$ .

We prove by contradiction.

Proof. Suppose not.

Assume it is not the case that

at least two of the numbers are within  $\frac{1}{2}$  of each other.

That is, both  $y - x \ge \frac{1}{2}$  and  $z - y \ge \frac{1}{2}$ .

Then

$$(z-y) + (y-x) \ge \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = 1$$
  
 $z-y+y-x \ge 1$   
 $z-x > 1$ 

But we also have  $z < 1 \implies z < 1 < 1 + x \implies z < 1 + x \implies z - x < 1$ .

Since we have both  $z - x \ge 1$  and z - x < 1, we have a contradiction.

Then our assumption was false.

Thus, at least two of the numbers are within  $\frac{1}{2}$  of each other.