

# PHIL 112 Homework 1

Hardy Jones  
999397426  
Dr. Landry  
Winter 2014

## 1. Define

### (a) Quantificational truth

There are three values for quantificational truth. Sentences of PL can be: quantificationally true, quantificationally false, or quantificationally indeterminate

- A sentence **P** of PL is quantificationally true if and only if **P** is true for all interpretations.
- A sentence **P** of PL is quantificationally false if and only if **P** is false for all interpretations.
- A sentence **P** of PL is quantificationally indeterminate if and only if **P** is neither quantificationally true nor quantificationally false.

### (b) Quantificational equivalence

Two sentences **P** and **Q** of PL are quantificationally equivalent if and only if there is no interpretation on which **P** and **Q** have different quantificational truth values.

## 2. Symbolize the following sentences, using the symbolization key given. UD: Everything Ixy: x is identical to y Mx: x is a math problem Lx: x is a logic problem Sxy: x is easier to solve than y

(a) Math problems are easier to solve than logic problems.

(b) Some logic problems are easier to solve than are others.

## 3. Determine the truth values of the following with the given interpretation. UD: Set of people and planets Hx: x is a human being Lxy: x lives on y Px: x is a planet Sx: x is in the solar system e: earth

(a)  $(\exists x)(\forall y)[Hx \wedge (Py \supset Lxy)]$

(b)  $(Pe \wedge Se) \wedge (\exists w)(Hw \wedge Lwe)$

(c)  $(\exists x)(Hx \wedge Lxe) \equiv Se$

(d)  $(\forall x)(\forall y)[(Hx \wedge Py) \supset \neg x \equiv y]$

## 4. Determine the truth values for the following with the given interpretation. UD: Set of people Mx: x is a male Sx: x is a scientist Oxy: x is older than y a: Albert Einstein

(a)  $(\forall x)(Mx \wedge Sx) \supset \neg Sa$

- (b)  $(\forall x)[(Mx \wedge Sx) \supset \neg Sa]$
- (c)  $(\forall x)(\forall y)(Oxy \supset \neg Oyx)$
- (d)  $(\forall y)[\neg y \equiv [a \wedge (My \wedge Sy)]]$

5. Construct an expansion of each of the following sentences for the set of constants  $\{a, s\}$

- (a)  $(\forall x)(\exists y)Sxy \wedge D$
- (b)  $(\exists x)Fx \equiv \neg(\forall y)Gsy$

6. Show that the following argument is not quantificationally valid. Use both the interpretation method and the truth-functional expansion method.

$$\frac{(\forall y)(\exists x)(Py \supset Cyx) \quad (\exists x)Cxx}{(\exists x)\neg Cxx}$$

7. Are the sentences  $(\forall y)Fy$  and  $\neg(\exists y)\neg Fy$  quantificationally equivalent?