## MAT 125A HW 1

Hardy Jones 999397426 Professor Slivken Spring 2015

## Exercise 4.2.1 (a) We want to prove:

$$\lim_{x \to 2} (2x+4) = 8$$

*Proof.* Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , we want to find  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$0 < |x - 2| < \delta \implies |(2x + 4) - 8| < \epsilon$$

•

We can simplify the consequent a bit.

$$\begin{aligned} |(2x+4)-8| &< \epsilon \\ |2x-4| &< \\ 2|x-2| &< \\ |x-2| &< \frac{\epsilon}{2} \end{aligned}$$

If we notice, this is exactly the form of the antecedent, assuming  $\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . So, choose  $\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ .

Then we have

$$0 < |x - 2| < \delta \implies |(2x + 4) - 8| < \epsilon$$

as was to be shown.

## (b) We want to prove:

$$\lim_{x \to 0} x^3 = 0$$

*Proof.* Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , we want to find  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$0 < |x - 0| < \delta \implies |x^3 - 0| < \epsilon$$

.

We can simplify the consequent a bit.

$$\begin{aligned} \left| x^3 - 0 \right| &< \epsilon \\ \left| x^3 \right| &< \\ \left| x \right|^3 &< \\ \left| x \right| &< \sqrt[3]{\epsilon} \\ \left| x - 0 \right| &< \sqrt[3]{\epsilon} \end{aligned}$$

If we notice, this is exactly the form of the antecedent, assuming  $\delta = \sqrt[3]{\epsilon}$ . So, choose  $\delta = \sqrt[3]{\epsilon}$ .

Then we have

$$0 < |x - 0| < \delta \implies |x^3 - 0| < \epsilon$$

as was to be shown.

(c) We want to prove:

$$\lim_{x \to 2} x^3 = 8$$

*Proof.* Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , we want to find  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$0 < |x - 2| < \delta \implies |x^3 - 8| < \epsilon$$

.

Start by manipulating the consequent a bit.

$$|x^3 - 8| < \epsilon$$
  
 $|(x - 2)(x^2 + 2x + 4)| < |x - 2| |x^2 + 2x + 4| < \epsilon$ 

As in example 4.2.2 (ii), we can control the size of |x-2| but not  $|x^2+2x+4|$ . We arbitrarily choose some upper bound for  $\delta$ , say  $\delta \leq 1$ . This gives us a delta neighborhood between 1 and 3.

Since  $|x^2 + 2x + 4|$  is strictly increasing in the delta neighborhood, we only need compute the upper bound.

So we have  $\forall x \in V_{\delta}(2), |x^2 + 2x + 4| \leq |3^2 + 2(3) + 4| = 19$  as our upper bound. Continuing with the method used in the example, we choose  $\delta = \min\{1, \frac{\epsilon}{19}\}$ . So if  $0 < |x - 2| < \delta$ , then we have:

$$|x^{3} - 8| = |x - 2| |x^{2} + 2x + 4|$$

$$< \frac{\epsilon}{19}(19)$$

$$= \epsilon$$

So, choose  $\delta = \min\{1, \frac{\epsilon}{19}\}.$ 

Then we have

$$0 < |x - 2| < \delta \implies |x^3 - 8| < \epsilon$$

as was to be shown.

(d) We want to prove:

$$\lim_{x \to \pi} \lfloor x \rfloor = 3$$

*Proof.* Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , we want to find  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$0 < |x - \pi| < \delta \implies |\lfloor x \rfloor - 3| < \epsilon$$

.

We begin by noting that if  $\lfloor x \rfloor = 3$  then  $\lfloor x \rfloor - 3 = 0 < \epsilon$  for any choice of  $\epsilon$ .

So, we restrict  $\delta$  to only produce x such that  $\lfloor x \rfloor = 3$ . This happens for any x in the interval [3,4). We can restrict this further to get an exact value of  $\delta$  by choosing the neighborhood to be at  $\pi$  with a delta of the fractional part of  $\pi$ . That is,  $\delta = \pi - 3$ .

So, choose  $\delta = \pi - 3$ .

Then we have

$$0 < |x - \pi| < \delta \implies ||x| - 3| < \epsilon$$

as was to be shown.

Exercise 4.2.2 Any  $\delta_0$  smaller than  $\delta$  will suffice, as it implies a stronger statement. This is because if  $0 < |x - c| < \delta_0$  is true, then the following is also true:  $0 < |x - c| < \delta_0 < \delta$ . From which it follows  $|f(x) - L| < \epsilon$ .

Exercise 4.2.3 (a) We have  $f(x) = \frac{|x|}{x}$ . It is helpful to enumerate some values of this function.

x	$\int f(x)$
-3	-1
-2	-1
-1	-1
0	$\frac{0}{0}$
1	ľ
2	1
3	1

So we can see that f(0) is a problem. We'll need to construct two sequences that approach 0–so they have the same limit, but have different limits when f is applied to them element-wise.

*Proof.* Choose 
$$x_n = \frac{1}{n}, y_n = -\frac{1}{n}$$
.

So 
$$(x_n) = \{1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots\}$$
, and  $(y_n) = \{-1, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{3}, \dots\}$ .

Then  $\lim(x_n) = 0$  and  $\lim(y_n) = 0$ .

Now, 
$$f(x_n) = \{1, 1, 1, \dots\}$$
 and  $f(y_n) = \{-1, -1, -1, \dots\}$ .

So,  $\lim f(x_n) = 1$  and  $\lim f(y_n) = -1$ .

Thus, we have our function  $f(x) = \frac{|x|}{x}$ , with c = 0. We have constructed two sequences  $(x_n), (y_n)$  with  $x_n \neq 0, y_n \neq 0, \lim(x_n) = \lim(y_n) = 0$ , and  $\lim f(x_n) \neq 0$  $\lim f(y_n)$ .

So we conclude by Corollary 4.2.5 that  $\lim_{x\to 0} f(x)$  does not exist. 

(b) We have

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Q} \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}$$

We'll need to construct two sequences that approach 1-so they have the same limit, but have different limits when g is applied to them element-wise.

Proof. Choose 
$$x_n = \frac{n+1}{n}, y_n = \frac{n+e}{n}$$
.  
So  $(x_n) = \{2, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{4}{3}, \dots\}$ , and  $(y_n) = \{1 + e, \frac{2+e}{2}, \frac{3+e}{3}, \dots\}$ .

Then  $\lim(x_n) = 1$  and  $\lim(y_n) = 1$ .

If we look at each element of  $(x_n)$  we see that every element is in  $\mathbb{Q}$ , as  $n+1 \in$  $\mathbb{Q}, n \in \mathbb{Q}, n \neq 0$  and  $\mathbb{Q}$  is closed under division where the quotient does not equal

If we look at each element of  $(y_n)$  we see that every element is not in  $\mathbb{Q}$ , as  $e \notin \mathbb{Q} \implies n + e \notin \mathbb{Q} \implies \frac{n+e}{n} \notin \mathbb{Q}$ 

Now, 
$$g(x_n) = \{1, 1, 1, \dots\}$$
 and  $g(y_n) = \{0, 0, 0, \dots\}$ .

So, 
$$\lim g(x_n) = 1$$
 and  $\lim g(y_n) = 0$ .

Thus, we have our function

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Q} \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin \mathbb{Q} \end{cases},$$

with c = 1.

We have constructed two sequences  $(x_n), (y_n)$  with  $x_n \neq 1, y_n \neq 1, \lim(x_n) =$  $\lim(y_n) = 1$ , and  $\lim g(x_n) \neq \lim g(y_n)$ .

So we conclude by Corollary 4.2.5 that  $\lim_{x\to 1} g(x)$  does not exist. 

## Exercise 4.2.4 We have

$$t(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = 0\\ \frac{1}{n} & \text{if } x = \frac{m}{n} \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\} \text{ is in lowest terms with } n > 0\\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}$$

(a) We can choose

• 
$$x_n = \frac{n+1}{n}$$
,  
 $(x_n) = \{2, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{4}{3}, \dots\}$ 

• 
$$y_n = \frac{n+e}{n}$$
,  
 $(y_n) = \{1 + e, \frac{2+e}{2}, \frac{3+e}{3}, \dots\}$   
•  $z_n = \frac{n+e}{n+1}$ ,  
 $(z_n) = \{\frac{1+e}{2}, \frac{2+e}{3}, \frac{3+e}{4}, \dots\}$ 

• 
$$z_n = \frac{n+e}{n+1}$$
,  
 $(z_n) = \left\{ \frac{1+e}{2}, \frac{2+e}{3}, \frac{3+e}{4}, \dots \right\}$ 

So all of these sequences converge to 1 and no sequence contains 1.

- (b) Now we compute the limits.
  - Taken element-wise  $t(x_n) = \{1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots\}$ . So,  $\lim_{x\to 1} t(x_n) = 0$
  - Taken element-wise  $t(y_n) = \{0, 0, 0, \dots\}.$ So,  $\lim_{x\to 1} t(y_n) = 0$
  - Taken element-wise  $t(z_n) = \{0, 0, 0, \dots\}$ . So,  $\lim_{x\to 1} t(z_n) = 0$
- (c) We conject that  $\lim_{x\to 1} t(x) = 0$ .

Exercise 4.2.6 *Proof.* Since f(x) is bounded by some M>0 such that  $\forall x\in A, |f(x)|\leq M$ , we know that |f(x)| > 0.

> If  $\lim_{x\to c} g(x) = 0$ , then we know that for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists some  $\delta > 0$ , such that  $0 < |x - c| < \delta \implies |g(x) - 0| = |g(x)| < \epsilon.$

We can choose  $\epsilon_0 = \frac{\epsilon}{M}$ , and we have some  $\delta_0$  such that:

$$0 < |x - c| < \delta_0 \implies |g(x)| < \frac{\epsilon_0}{M}.$$

From this we can show:

$$|g(x)| < \frac{\epsilon}{M}$$

$$|g(x)| |f(x)| < \left(\frac{\epsilon}{M}\right) M$$

$$|g(x)| |f(x)| < \epsilon$$

$$|g(x)f(x)| < \epsilon$$

$$|g(x)f(x) - 0| < \epsilon$$

So,  $\lim_{x\to c} q(x)f(x) = 0$ .

Thus, if  $\lim_{x\to c} g(x) = 0$ , then  $\lim_{x\to c} g(x)f(x) = 0$  as well.

Exercise 4.2.7 (a) Let  $f:A\to R$ , and let c be a limit point of the domain A. We say that  $\lim_{x\to c} f(x) = \infty$  provided that, for all arbitrarily large  $\epsilon$ , there exists a  $\delta > 0$ such that whenever  $0 < |x - c| < \delta$  (and  $x \in A$ ) it follows that  $f(x) > \epsilon$ .

*Proof.* We have  $f(x) = \frac{1}{x^2}, c = 0$ .

Given an arbitrarily large  $\epsilon$ , we want to find  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$0 < |x - 0| = |x| < \delta \implies \frac{1}{x^2} > \epsilon$$

We can simplify the consequent to:

$$\frac{1}{x^2} > \epsilon$$

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon} > x^2$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} > |x|$$

So, choose  $\delta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}$ . Then we have

$$0<|x|<\delta \implies \frac{1}{x^2}>\epsilon$$

as was to be shown.

Exercise 4.2.8

Exercise 4.2.9