

# MAT 108 HW 5

Hardy Jones

999397426

Professor Bandyopadhyay

Spring 2015

§2.2 13 (c)  $A \times B$

$\{(\emptyset, (\emptyset, \{\emptyset\})), (\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}), (\emptyset, (\{\emptyset\}, \emptyset)), (\{\emptyset\}, (\emptyset, \{\emptyset\})), (\{\emptyset\}, \{\emptyset\}), (\{\emptyset\}, (\{\emptyset\}, \emptyset)), (\{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}, (\emptyset, \{\emptyset\})), (\{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}, \{\emptyset\}), (\{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}, (\{\emptyset\}, \emptyset))\}$   
 $B \times A$

$\{((\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}), \emptyset), ((\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}), \{\emptyset\}), ((\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}), \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}), (\{\emptyset\}, \emptyset), (\{\emptyset\}, \{\emptyset\}), (\{\emptyset\}, \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}), ((\{\emptyset\}, \emptyset), \emptyset), ((\{\emptyset\}, \emptyset), \{\emptyset\}), ((\{\emptyset\}, \emptyset), \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\})\}$

(d)  $A \times B$

$\{((2, 4), (4, 1)), ((2, 4), (2, 3)), ((3, 1), (4, 1)), ((3, 1), (2, 3))\}$

$B \times A$

$\{((4, 1), (2, 4)), ((4, 1), (3, 1)), ((2, 3), (2, 4)), ((2, 3), (3, 1))\}$

17 We're asked to show that  $(a, b) = (x, y)$  iff  $a = x$  and  $b = y$ .

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (a, b) = (x, y) &\iff \{\{a\}, \{a, b\}\} = \{\{x\}, \{x, y\}\} \\
 &\iff (\{\{a\}, \{a, b\}\} \subseteq \{\{x\}, \{x, y\}\}) \\
 &\quad \wedge (\{\{x\}, \{x, y\}\} \subseteq \{\{a\}, \{a, b\}\}) \\
 &\iff (\{a\} \in \{\{x\}, \{x, y\}\}) \\
 &\quad \wedge (\{a, b\} \in \{\{x\}, \{x, y\}\}) \\
 &\quad \wedge (\{x\} \in \{\{a\}, \{a, b\}\}) \\
 &\quad \wedge (\{x, y\} \in \{\{a\}, \{a, b\}\}) \\
 &\iff (\{a\} = \{x\}) \wedge (\{a, b\} = \{x, y\}) \\
 &\iff (a = x) \wedge (b = y)
 \end{aligned}$$

Since we have connected both sides with a series of bi-conditional statements, we have proven that:

$(a, b) = (x, y)$  iff  $a = x$  and  $b = y$ . □

18 (a) *Proof.*

$$A \Delta B = (A - B) \cup (B - A) = (B - A) \cup (A - B) = B \Delta A$$

□

(b) This proof is a bit longer than the others.

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned}
A \Delta B &= (A - B) \cup (B - A) \\
&= \{x \mid (x \in A \wedge x \notin B) \vee (x \in B \wedge x \notin A)\} \\
&= \{x \mid [(x \in A \wedge x \notin B) \vee x \in B] \wedge [(x \in A \wedge x \notin B) \vee x \notin A]\} \\
&= \{x \mid (x \in A \vee x \in B) \wedge (x \notin B \vee x \in B) \\
&\quad \wedge (x \in A \vee x \notin A) \wedge (x \notin B \vee x \notin A)\} \\
&= \{x \mid (x \in A \vee x \in B) \wedge (x \notin B \vee x \notin A)\} \\
&= \{x \mid (x \in A \vee x \in B) \wedge (x \notin A \vee x \notin B)\} \\
&= \{x \mid (x \in A \vee x \in B) \wedge \sim (x \in A \wedge x \in B)\} \\
&= \{x \mid (x \in A \cup B) \wedge \sim (x \in A \cap B)\} \\
&= \{x \mid (x \in A \cup B) \wedge (x \notin A \cap B)\} \\
&= (A \cup B) - (A \cap B)
\end{aligned}$$

□

(c) *Proof.*

$$A \Delta A = (A - A) \cup (A - A) = \emptyset \cup \emptyset = \emptyset$$

□

(d) *Proof.*

$$A \Delta \emptyset = (A - \emptyset) \cup (\emptyset - A) = A \cup \emptyset = A$$

□

§2.3 1 (f)

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{10} A_i = \{1, 2, \dots, 19\}, \bigcap_{i=1}^{10} A_i = \emptyset$$

(h)

$$\bigcup_{r \in (0, \infty)} A_r = [-\pi, \infty), \bigcap_{r \in (0, \infty)} A_r = [-\pi, 0)$$

(j)

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} M_i = \mathbb{Z}, \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} M_i = \{0\}$$

12 Let  $A_n = (0, \frac{1}{n})$ .

Then for any  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$M_m \cap M_n = \begin{cases} (0, \frac{1}{m}), & \text{if } m < n \\ (0, \frac{1}{n}), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

But,  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} M_i = \emptyset$

15 (e) *Proof.* Choose an arbitrary  $x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^k A_i$ .

Then there exists some  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $l \leq k$  and  $x \in A_l$ .

Now, since  $l \leq k, l \leq m$ , so  $A_l \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^m A_i$ , and  $x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^m A_i$ .

Since the choice of  $x$  was arbitrary, this works for all  $x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^k A_i$ .

Then every  $x$  contained in  $\bigcup_{i=1}^k A_i$  is also in  $\bigcup_{i=1}^m A_i$ .

Thus  $\bigcup_{i=1}^k A_i \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^m A_i$  □

(f) *Proof.* Choose an arbitrary  $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^m A_i$ .

Then for all  $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, k, k+1, \dots, m\}$ ,  $x \in A_l$ .

This implies that for all  $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ ,  $x \in A_l$ .

Which means that  $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^k A_i$ .

Since the choice of  $x$  was arbitrary, this works for all  $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^m A_i$ .

Then every  $x$  contained in  $\bigcap_{i=1}^m A_i$  is also in  $\bigcap_{i=1}^k A_i$ .

Thus,  $\bigcap_{i=1}^m A_i \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^k A_i$ . □

16 (a) *Proof.* We need to show both sides for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

First choose some arbitrary  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

• ( $\subseteq$ )

Choose some  $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^k A_i$ .

Then for all  $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ ,  $x \in A_l$ .

This means that  $x \in A_k$ .

Since the choice of  $x$  was arbitrary, this works for all  $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^k A_i$ .

Then every  $x$  contained in  $\bigcap_{i=1}^k A_i$  is also in  $A_k$ .

Thus,  $\bigcap_{i=1}^k A_i \subseteq A_k$ .

• ( $\supseteq$ )

Choose some  $x \in A_k$ .

Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is a decreasing nested family of sets, for any  $i \in \mathbb{N} \leq k$ ,  $A_k \subseteq A_i$ .

Now, since  $x$  is an element of  $A_k$ ,  $x$  is an element of all supersets of  $A_k$ .

That is to say that  $x \in A_{k-1} \wedge x \in A_{k-2} \wedge \dots \wedge x \in A_1$ .

So  $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^k A_i$ .

Since the choice of  $x$  was arbitrary, this works for all  $x \in A_k$ .

Then every  $x$  contained in  $A_k$  is also in  $\bigcap_{i=1}^k A_i$ .

Thus,  $A_k \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^k A_i$ .

Since we have shown both  $\bigcap_{i=1}^k A_i \subseteq A_k$ , and  $A_k \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^k A_i$ , for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We have shown that for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\bigcap_{i=1}^k A_i = A_k$ . □

(b) *Proof.* We need to show both sides.

• ( $\subseteq$ )

Choose some  $x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ .

Then there exists some  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x \in A_l$ .

Now, any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is greater than or equal to 1.

So  $A_l \subseteq A_1$ , since  $1 \leq l$ , and  $\mathcal{A}$  is a decreasing nested family of sets.

Then  $A_l \subseteq A_1, x \in A_1$ .

Since the choice of  $x$  was arbitrary, this works for all  $x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ .

Then every  $x$  contained in  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$  is also in  $A_1$ .

Thus,  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \subseteq A_1$ .

• ( $\supseteq$ )

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &\subseteq A_1 \\ &\subseteq A_1 \cup A_2 \\ &\subseteq A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3 \\ &\vdots \\ &\subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \end{aligned}$$

Since we have shown both sides.

We have  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i = A_1$  □

17 (c) Let  $A_i = \{0, 1\}$ , then  $\mathcal{A} = \{\{0, 1\}\}$ , and  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i = \{0, 1\}$

(d) Let  $A_i = \emptyset$ , then  $\mathcal{A} = \{\emptyset\}$ , and  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i = \emptyset$

§2.4 6 (i) *Proof.* We show by PMI.  $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{(2i-1)(2i+1)} = \frac{n}{2n+1}$

- Base Case.

Let  $n = 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{i=1}^1 \frac{1}{(2i-1)(2i+1)} &= \frac{1}{(2(1)-1)(2(1)+1)} \\
 &= \frac{1}{(2-1)(2+1)} \\
 &= \frac{1}{3} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2(1)+1}
 \end{aligned}$$

- Inductive Case.

Assume for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{(2i-1)(2i+1)} = \frac{n}{2n+1}$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{(2i-1)(2i+1)} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{(2i-1)(2i+1)} \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{(2(n+1)-1)(2(n+1)+1)} \\
 &= \frac{n}{2n+1} + \frac{1}{(2n+2-1)(2n+2+1)} \\
 &= \frac{n}{2n+1} + \frac{1}{(2n+1)(2n+3)} \\
 &= \frac{n(2n+3)+1}{(2n+1)(2n+3)} \\
 &= \frac{2n^2+3n+1}{(2n+1)(2n+3)} \\
 &= \frac{(2n+1)(n+1)}{(2n+1)(2n+3)} \\
 &= \frac{n+1}{2n+3} \\
 &= \frac{n+1}{2(n+1)+1}
 \end{aligned}$$

- From the Base case and the inductive case, we use the PMI to state

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{(2i-1)(2i+1)} = \frac{n}{2n+1}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

□

(k) *Proof.* We show by PMI.  $\prod_{i=1}^n (2i - 1) = \frac{(2n)!}{n!2^n}$

- Base Case.

Let  $n = 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 \prod_{i=1}^1 (2i - 1) &= 2(1) - 1 \\
 &= 2 - 1 \\
 &= 1 \\
 &= \frac{2}{2} \\
 &= \frac{2(1)}{(1)2} \\
 &= \frac{(2(1))!}{1!2^1}
 \end{aligned}$$

- Inductive Case.

Assume for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\prod_{i=1}^n (2i - 1) = \frac{(2n)!}{n!2^n}$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} (2i-1) &= \prod_{i=1}^n (2i-1) \cdot (2(n+1)-1) \\
&= \frac{(2n)!}{n!2^n} \cdot (2(n+1)-1) \\
&= \frac{(2n)!}{n!2^n} \cdot (2n+2-1) \\
&= \frac{(2n)!}{n!2^n} \cdot (2n+1) \\
&= \frac{(2n+1)!}{n!2^n} \\
&= \frac{(2n+1)!}{n!2^n} \cdot \frac{2n+2}{2n+2} \\
&= \frac{(2n+2)!}{n!2^n(2n+2)} \\
&= \frac{(2n+2)!}{n!2^n(2(n+1))} \\
&= \frac{(2n+2)!}{n!2^{n+1}(n+1)} \\
&= \frac{(2n+2)!}{(n+1)!2^{n+1}} \\
&= \frac{(2(n+1))!}{(n+1)!2^{n+1}}
\end{aligned}$$

- From the Base case and the inductive case, we use the PMI to state  $\prod_{i=1}^n (2i-1) = \frac{(2n)!}{n!2^n}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$

□

7 (1) *Proof.* We show by PMI.  $\forall x > 0 \in \mathbb{R}, (1+x)^n \geq 1+nx$

- Base Case.  
Let  $n = 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
(1+x)^1 &= 1+x \\
&= 1 + (1)x \\
&\geq 1 + (1)x
\end{aligned}$$

- Inductive Case.  
Assume for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall x > 0 \in \mathbb{R}, (1+x)^n \geq 1+nx$ .  
Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(1+x)^{n+1} &= (1+x)^n (1+x) \\
&\geq (1+nx)(1+x) \\
&= 1+x+nx+nx^2 \\
&= 1+nx+x+nx^2 \\
&= 1+(n+1)x+nx^2 \\
&\geq 1+(n+1)x
\end{aligned}$$

- From the Base case and the inductive case, we use the PMI to state  $\forall x > 0 \in \mathbb{R}, (1+x)^n \geq 1+nx, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$

□

(m) *Proof.* We show by PMI.  $\frac{n^3}{3} + \frac{n^5}{5} + \frac{7n}{15} \in \mathbb{N}$

- Base Case.  
Let  $n = 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1^3}{3} + \frac{1^5}{5} + \frac{7(1)}{15} &= \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5} + \frac{7}{15} \\
&= \frac{5}{15} + \frac{3}{15} + \frac{7}{15} \\
&= \frac{15}{15} \\
&= 1
\end{aligned}$$

And  $1 \in \mathbb{N}$

- Inductive Case.  
Assume for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\frac{n^3}{3} + \frac{n^5}{5} + \frac{7n}{15} \in \mathbb{N}$ .  
Then



$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{(n+1)^3}{3} + \frac{(n+1)^5}{5} + \frac{7(n+1)}{15} &= \frac{n^3 + 3n^2 + 3n + 1}{3} \\
&+ \frac{n^5 + 5n^4 + 10n^3 + 10n^2 + 5n + 1}{5} \\
&+ \frac{7n + 7}{15} \\
&= \frac{n^3}{3} + \frac{3n^2 + 3n}{3} + \frac{1}{3} \\
&+ \frac{n^5}{5} + \frac{5n^4 + 10n^3 + 10n^2 + 5n}{5} + \frac{1}{5} \\
&+ \frac{7n}{15} + \frac{7}{15} \\
&= \left( \frac{n^3}{3} + \frac{n^5}{5} + \frac{7n}{15} \right) + \left( \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5} + \frac{7}{15} \right) \\
&+ \frac{3n^2 + 3n}{3} \\
&+ \frac{5n^4 + 10n^3 + 10n^2 + 5n}{5} \\
&= \left( \frac{n^3}{3} + \frac{n^5}{5} + \frac{7n}{15} \right) + \left( \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5} + \frac{7}{15} \right) \\
&+ n^2 + n + n^4 + 2n^3 + 2n^2 + n \\
&= \left( \frac{n^3}{3} + \frac{n^5}{5} + \frac{7n}{15} \right) + \left( \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5} + \frac{7}{15} \right) \\
&+ n^4 + 2n^3 + 3n^2 + 2n \\
&= \left( \frac{n^3}{3} + \frac{n^5}{5} + \frac{7n}{15} \right) + 1 \\
&+ n^4 + 2n^3 + 3n^2 + 2n
\end{aligned}$$

Now, since we assumed  $\frac{n^3}{3} + \frac{n^5}{5} + \frac{7n}{15} \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $1 \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $n^4 + 2n^3 + 3n^2 + 2n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

we have  $\frac{n^3}{3} + \frac{n^5}{5} + \frac{7n}{15} + 1 + n^4 + 2n^3 + 3n^2 + 2n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Thus  $\frac{(n+1)^3}{3} + \frac{(n+1)^5}{5} + \frac{7(n+1)}{15} \in \mathbb{N}$

- From the Base case and the inductive case, we use the PMI to state  $\frac{n^3}{3} + \frac{n^5}{5} + \frac{7n}{15} \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$

□

8 (h) *Proof.* We show by the Generalized PMI.  $\sqrt{n} < \frac{1}{\sqrt{1}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \cdots + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ , for  $n \geq 2$

- Base Case.

Let  $n = 2$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
1 &< \sqrt{2} \\
2 &< \sqrt{2} + 1 \\
\sqrt{2}(\sqrt{2}) &< \sqrt{2}\left(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \\
\sqrt{2} &< 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\
\sqrt{2} &< \frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\
\sqrt{2} &< \frac{1}{\sqrt{1}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

- Inductive Case.

Assume for some  $n \geq 2 \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\sqrt{n} < \frac{1}{\sqrt{1}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \dots + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ .  
Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{n} &< \frac{1}{\sqrt{1}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \dots + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \\
\sqrt{n}(\sqrt{n}) &< \sqrt{n}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \dots + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \\
n &< \sqrt{n}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \dots + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \\
n &< \sqrt{n+1}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \dots + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \\
n+1 &< \sqrt{n+1}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \dots + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) + 1 \\
n+1 &< \sqrt{n+1}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \dots + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) + \frac{\sqrt{n+1}}{\sqrt{n+1}} \\
n+1 &< \sqrt{n+1}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \dots + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n+1}}\right) \\
\sqrt{n+1}(\sqrt{n+1}) &< \sqrt{n+1}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \dots + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n+1}}\right) \\
\sqrt{n+1} &< \frac{1}{\sqrt{1}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \dots + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n+1}}
\end{aligned}$$

- From the Base case and the inductive case, we use the Generalized PMI to state:

$$\sqrt{n} < \frac{1}{\sqrt{1}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \dots + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}, \text{ for } n \geq 2.$$

□

12 (b) *Proof.* We show by PMI. Every  $n$ -player tournament has a top player.

- Base Case.

Let  $n = 1$ .

Then this tournament has a top player vacuously.

- Inductive Case.

Assume for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the  $n$ -player tournament has a top player  $x$ .

Now if we add a new player,  $y$ , then this tournament is now an  $n+1$ -player tournament.

$y$  will play all other  $n$  players, and three outcomes are possible.

- A. If  $y$  beats  $x$ , then  $y$  also beats a player that beats all other players.

So  $y$  is also a top player.

- B. If  $y$  does not beat  $x$ , but beats a player  $z$  that beats  $x$ , then for every other player  $w$ ,  $y$  beats a player that beats  $w$ .

So  $y$  is also a top player.

- C. If  $y$  does not beat  $x$ , nor does  $y$  beat a player  $z$  that beats  $x$ , then  $y$  is not a top player.

However,  $x$  still remains a top player.

In any of the outcomes, there is always a top player.

- From the Base case and the inductive case, we use the PMI to state  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ , every  $n$ -player tournament has a top player.

□