

# MAT 125A HW 2

Hardy Jones  
999397426  
Professor Slivken  
Spring 2015

Exercise 4.3.6 (a) *Proof.* This proof was partially inspired by John Hunter's lecture notes.

We have Dirichlet's function

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Q} \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}$$

This is a function from  $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

Choose 0 as a limit point in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Now we construct a sequence from  $x_n = \frac{e}{n}$ . So  $(x_n) \rightarrow 0$ , by The Algebraic Limit Theorem.

Now,  $f(x_n) \rightarrow 0$ , but  $f(0) = 1$ .

So, by Corollary 4.3.3, Dirichlet's function is not continuous at 0.

We can extend this to any  $c \in \mathbb{Q}$  by constructing a new sequence  $y_n = x_n + c$ .

Following similar arguments, it can be shown that Dirichlet's function is not continuous at any point in  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

A similar argument holds for showing that Dirichlet's function is not continuous on  $\mathbb{I}$ . We choose some sequence of rationals such that for any limit point  $c$ ,  $(z_n) \rightarrow c$ . Then we have that  $f(z_n) \rightarrow 1$ , but  $f(c) = 0$ . So Dirichlet's function is not continuous on  $\mathbb{I}$  either.

Thus, Dirichlet's function is not continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ . □

(b) *Proof.* We have

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = 0 \\ \frac{1}{n} & \text{if } x = \frac{m}{n} \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\} \text{ is in lowest terms with } n > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}$$

.

Choose some rational number  $c$  in lowest terms  $\frac{m}{n}$ .

Now construct any sequence  $(x_n)$  from  $\mathbb{I}$  such that,  $(x_n) \rightarrow c$ .

So,  $f(x_n) \rightarrow 0$ , but  $f(c) = \frac{1}{n}$ .

Now, since  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $c$  is a limit point in  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $(x_n) \rightarrow c$ , but  $f(x_n) \neq f(c)$ , we conclude that Thomae's function is not continuous at any rational point. □

(c) *Proof.* We have

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = 0 \\ \frac{1}{n} & \text{if } x = \frac{m}{n} \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\} \text{ is in lowest terms with } n > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}$$

Choose some  $c \in \mathbb{I}$ . Then we know that  $f(c) = 0$ , since  $c \notin \mathbb{Q}$ .

Now given, some  $\epsilon > 0$ , choose  $\delta = \epsilon$ .

So for  $x \in \mathbb{I}$  where  $|x - c| < \delta$ , we have  $|f(x) - f(c)| = |0 - 0| = 0 < \epsilon$ .

Thus, by Theorem 4.3.2,  $f$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{I}$ . □

Exercise 4.3.7 *Proof.* Let  $c$  be a limit point in  $K$ . Then there exists some sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $K$  such that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} x_n = c$

Since  $h$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} h(x_n) = h(c)$ .

But since all  $x_n$  are in  $K$ , all  $h(x_n) = 0$ .

So  $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} h(x_n) = h(c) = 0$ .

Since  $h(c) = 0, c \in K$ , so  $K$  contains its limit points.

Thus  $K$  is a closed set. □

Exercise 4.3.8 (a) *Proof.* Let the continuous function be  $f$ .

Let  $c$  be an arbitrary point in  $\mathbb{I}$ .

Then there exists some sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $\mathbb{Q}$  such that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} x_n = c$ . Since  $f$  is continuous, we know that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x_n) = f(c)$ . And since all  $x_n \in \mathbb{Q}$ , all  $f(x_n) = 0$ .

So  $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x_n) = f(c) = 0$ .

Since our choice of  $c$  was arbitrary, we have that for all  $c \in \mathbb{I}$ ,  $f(c) = 0$ .

So  $f$  is 0 on all of  $\mathbb{I}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Thus  $f$  is 0 on all of  $\mathbb{R}$ . □

(b) No, the two functions do not have to be the same since there is not restriction that the functions be continuous.

Let

$$f(x) = 1, g(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Q} \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}$$

Then these two functions are not the same, yet both equal each other when  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

Exercise 4.3.9 (a) Since the given information looks quite similar to the definition of continuity, we should try to manipulate it a bit.

If we had  $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq c|x - y| < \epsilon$  for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , we'd be all set.

*Proof.* Let  $y$  be a limit point of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , choose  $\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{c}$ .

Then we have  $0 < |x - y| < \delta = \frac{\epsilon}{c} \implies |f(x) - f(y)| \leq c|x - y|$ .

Since  $|x - y| < \frac{\epsilon}{c} \implies |f(x) - f(y)| \leq c|x - y| < c\frac{\epsilon}{c} = \epsilon$ .

So  $f$  is continuous at  $y$ . But our choice of  $y$  was arbitrary, so  $f$  is continuous on all of  $\mathbb{R}$ .  $\square$

(b)

(c)

(d)

Exercise 4.3.10 (a) • Choose  $x = y = 0$ .

Then:

$$f(0 + 0) = f(0) + f(0)$$

$$f(0) = f(0) + f(0)$$

$$0 = f(0)$$

Thus,  $f(0) = 0$ .

• Since  $f(0) = 0$ .

Then:

$$f(0) = 0$$

$$f(x - x) = 0, \text{ for any } x \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$f(x + (-x)) = 0$$

$$f(x) + f(-x) = 0$$

$$f(-x) = -f(x)$$

Thus,  $f(-x) = -f(x)$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

(b)

(c)

(d)

Exercise 4.3.11 (a)

(b)

(c)

(d)