

# ECS 122A Homework

Hardy Jones  
999397426  
Professor Gysel  
Fall 2014

1. We can use the Floyd-Warshall algorithm to do the brunt of the work here. We just need to modify some parts of it, and perform some post computation.

Given a graph  $G$  where

$$G.V = \{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_n\}$$
$$G.E = \{H_{i,j} \mid C_i \text{ is connected to } C_j \text{ by a highway}\}$$

We can construct most of the algorithm the same, and just change the final case in the recursive formula.

$$D^{(k)}[i, j] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k = 0 \text{ and } i = j \\ t(H_{i,j}) & \text{if } k = 0 \text{ and } H_{i,j} \in G.E \\ \infty & \text{if } k = 0 \text{ and } i \neq j \text{ and } H_{i,j} \notin G.E \\ \min(D^{(k-1)}[i, j], D^{(k-1)}[i, k] + t(C_k) + D^{(k-1)}[k, j]) & \text{if } k \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

Using this formulation, we construct FLOYD-WARSHALL-MODIFIED similar to the method for constructing FLOYD-WARSHALL in class – taking care to use the modified cases where appropriate. The runtime of this algorithm has not changed, as the nested update function is still  $O(1)$ . So the complexity is still  $\Theta(n^3)$

---

```

function FLOYD-WARSHALL-MODIFIED( $G, t$ )
   $n \leftarrow |G.V|$ 
  Create  $n \times n$  array  $D^{(0)}$ 
  for  $i \leftarrow 1$  to  $n$  do
    for  $j \leftarrow 1$  to  $n$  do
      if  $i = j$  then
         $D^{(0)}[i, j] = 0$ 
      else if  $H_{i,j} \in G.E$  then
         $D^{(0)}[i, j] = t(H_{i,j})$ 
      else
         $D^{(0)}[i, j] = \infty$ 
      end if
    end for
  end for
  for  $k \leftarrow 1$  to  $n$  do
    Create  $n \times n$  array  $D^{(k)}$ 
    for  $i \leftarrow 1$  to  $n$  do
      for  $j \leftarrow 1$  to  $n$  do
         $D^{(k)}[i, j] = \min(D^{(k-1)}[i, j], D^{(k-1)}[i, k] + t(C_k) + D^{(k-1)}[k, j])$ 
      end for
    end for
  end for
  return  $D^{(n)}$ 
end function

```

---

Since this gives us back an  $n \times n$  array with just the times from one city to another (including travel times between cities), we still need to add on the time necessary to travel from each depot to the highway.

For each  $i, j$  entry in the array, where  $i \neq j$ , we need the time from the depot to the highway in  $C_i$  and the time from the highway to the depot in  $C_j$ . Then we can just return the array.

Our completed algorithm is:

---

```

function MOVE-FREIGHT( $G, t, d$ )
   $n \leftarrow |G.V|$ 
   $D \leftarrow \text{FLOYD-WARSHALL-MODIFIED}(G, t)$ 
  for  $i \leftarrow 1$  to  $n$  do
    for  $j \leftarrow 1$  to  $n$  do
      if  $i \neq j$  then
         $D[i, j] = D[i, j] + d(C_i) + d(C_j)$ 
      end if
    end for
  end for
  return  $D$ 
end function

```

---

Since the added loops only contribute  $\Theta(n^2)$  time to the algorithm, the runtime of MOVE-FREIGHT is governed by the call to FLOYD-WARSHALL-MODIFIED. Thus, the runtime of this algorithm is  $\Theta(n^3)$ .

2. (a) Given a graph  $G$ , a size  $k$  and a certificate  $S \subseteq G.V$ , we need to check two things:

- i.  $|S| = k$
- ii.  $\forall (i, j) \in G.E; i \in S, j \in S$ , or  $\{i, j\} \subseteq S$

2(a)i can be checked in  $O(|S|)$  time by counting the size of  $S$ .

2(a)ii can be checked in  $O(|G.E|)$  time by iterating over  $G.E$  and checking each vertex for membership in  $S$ .

These are both linear times, so our verification algorithm runs in polynomial time. Thus VERTEX-COVER-DEC  $\in \mathbf{NP}$ .

- (b) Given a graph  $G$ , a size  $k$  and a certificate  $M \subseteq G.E$ , we need to check two things:

- i.  $|M| = k$
- ii.  $\forall v \in G.V$  at most one edge is incident to  $v$

2(b)i can be checked in  $O(|M|)$  time by counting the size of  $M$ .

2(b)ii can be checked in  $O(|G.V|)$  time by creating a new set to keep track of the incident edges.

These are both linear times, so our verification algorithm runs in polynomial time. Thus BIPARTITE-MATCHING-DEC  $\in \mathbf{NP}$ .

3. (a) True

Assuming that the decision problem can verify in polynomial time, it can be used to verify possible solutions when computing sub problems.

- (b) True

Take a problem instance in  $A$ , reduce it to  $B$  in polynomial time, then run the polynomial algorithm in  $B$ .

(c) False

Since  $\forall x \in \mathbf{NP}, x \leq_P 3SAT$ ,  $3SAT \in \mathbf{NP-Complete}$ ,  $\forall x, y, z \in \mathbf{NP}, x \leq_P y$  and  $y \leq_P z \implies x \leq_P z$  and  $\mathbf{P} \subseteq \mathbf{NP}$  that conjecture would imply that any problem in  $\mathbf{P}$  has no polynomial time algorithm. But this is clearly false, as  $\mathbf{P} \neq \emptyset$ .