PHIL 112 Homework 3

Hardy Jones 999397426 Dr. Landry Winter 2014

1. Explicate in terms of open and/or closed truth trees.

(a) Quantificational validity

An argument of **PL** is quantificationally valid if and only if the set consisting of the premises and the negation of the conclusion of the argument has a closed truth tree.

(b) Quantificational equivalence

Two sentences **P** and **Q** of **PL** are quantificationally equivalent if and only if the set $\{\neg(\mathbf{P} \equiv \mathbf{Q})\}$ has a closed truth tree.

2. Use the tree method to show whether:

- (a) is quantificationally true
- (b) is quantificationally valid
- (c) sentences are quantificationally equivalent
- (d) quantificational entailment holds

(a)
$$[Fa \supset (\forall x)Fx] \supset [(\exists x)Fx \supset (\forall x)Fx]$$

 $1 \qquad \neg [[Fa \supset (\forall x)Fx] \supset [(\exists x)Fx \supset (\forall x)Fx]] \checkmark$ SM
 $2 \qquad Fa \supset (\forall x)Fx \checkmark$ $1 \neg \supset D$
 $3 \qquad \neg [(\exists x)Fx \supset (\forall x)Fx] \checkmark$ $1 \neg \supset D$
 $4 \qquad (\exists x)Fx \checkmark$ $3 \neg \supset D$
 $5 \qquad \neg (\forall x)Fx \checkmark$ $3 \neg \supset D$
 $6 \qquad (\exists x) \neg Fx \checkmark$ $5 \neg \forall D$
 $7 \qquad Fb \qquad 4 \exists D$
 $8 \qquad Fc \qquad 6 \exists D$
 $9 \qquad \neg Fa \qquad (\forall x)Fx \qquad 2 \supset D$

Since this tree is not closed, the sentence is not quantificationally true.

(b)
$$\frac{(\forall x)[Nx\supset (\exists y)Rxy]}{\neg (\exists x)Rxx\wedge Na}$$
$$\overline{(\exists y)Ray}$$

(c)
$$[(\forall x)Fx \supset Ga] \equiv (\exists x)(Fx \supset Ga)$$

(d)
$$\{(\forall x)[(\exists y)Hg(x,y)\supset Bg(x,x)], Ha, a=g(a,b)\} \models (\exists y)Bg(y,y)$$

3. Why does the rule *Existential Decomposition* require that the instantiating constant **a** be foreign to all preceding lines of the branch?

By not requiring *Existential Decomposition* to introduce foreign constants we have opened up the possibility that the same constant can be reused in a conflicting predicate. So, we require foreign constants with *Existential Decomposition* in order to preserve truth, validity, equivalence, etc.