# Thread ID: thread\_yeEo0Sq3TEgi7R5OfYOZnNR5

Created at: 11 July 2025, 11:39:59

User (11:39:59):

Please provide feeback on the following paragraph:

The standard of living for working class people differed to that of middle and upper-class in the 1930s, through improvements too life quality, yet also remaining hindered in many areas. Tom's experience of the 30s is claimed to have been "the best decade" of his life. This statement opposes the common perception of the "hungry thirties" as a period of difficulty for working class people. Tom's perception may not be representative of the entire population due to the differences in their personal life situations. Unlike Tom, working class people experienced a rapid increase of unemployment rates alongside crisis for food and necessities being unattainable due to progressively declining wealth. Shown through the Pilgrim Trust Unemployment Enquiry (1936-1938) which detailed the economic struggles of the 1930s working class. Tom did not experience this but rather may have thrived through his self-ran business and self-made wealth providing his position within the middle class, contrasting the experiences of the working-class people. However, working class people also benefitted during the period through such acts as the Housing act which provided new housing developments and improved living conditions for many families. Additionally, public health improved as families relocated to better housing, leading to lower mortality rates and enhanced overall well-being.

. Please format your response in markdown.

Assistant (11:40:01):

# Feedback on Paragraph

# **CASE Evaluation**

**Claim**: The paragraph makes a clear claim that the standard of living for working-class people in the 1930s differed significantly from that of the middle and upper classes, with improvements in life quality but persistent challenges. This is a strong and focused claim that sets the scope for the discussion.

**Argument**: The argument is developed through contrasting Tom's positive experience of the 1930s with the broader struggles faced by the working class. The paragraph effectively challenges the common perception of the "hungry thirties" by introducing Tom's perspective, but it also acknowledges that his experience may not represent the majority. The explanation of unemployment rates, food crises, and the benefits of housing acts provides a balanced exploration of the topic. However, the argument could be strengthened by providing a clearer link between the evidence and the claim, as the transitions between ideas feel slightly disjointed.

**Supporting Evidence**: The paragraph uses evidence effectively, such as the Pilgrim Trust Unemployment Enquiry (1936-1938) to highlight the economic struggles of the working class. It also references the Housing Act and its impact on living conditions and public health. These examples are relevant and support the claim well. However, the evidence regarding Tom's self-made wealth and business could be elaborated further to clarify how his middle-class status contrasts with the working-class experience.

## Strengths:

- The claim is clear and provides a strong foundation for the paragraph.
- The use of historical evidence (Pilgrim Trust Unemployment Enquiry and Housing Act) adds credibility and depth to the analysis.
- The paragraph acknowledges multiple perspectives, balancing Tom's individual experience with the broader societal challenges.

# **Areas for Improvement:**

## 1. Clarity and Coherence:

2. The transitions between ideas (e.g., from Tom's experience to the economic struggles of the working class) could be smoother. Consider using linking phrases to better connect the evidence to the claim.

The sentence "Tom did not experience this but rather may have thrived through his self-ran business and self-made wealth providing his position within the middle class" is slightly unclear. Rephrasing it for clarity would strengthen the argument.

# **Depth of Analysis:**

5. While the paragraph mentions Tom's middle-class status, it could delve deeper into how his circumstances allowed him to thrive during a period of general economic hardship.

The discussion of the Housing Act and improved public health is positive but brief. Expanding on how these developments specifically impacted the working class would enhance the analysis.

## **Evidence Integration:**

- 8. The Pilgrim Trust Unemployment Enquiry is mentioned but not fully explained. Providing more detail about its findings would strengthen its role as evidence.
- 9. The statement "Tom's perception may not be representative of the entire population" is valid but could be supported with additional evidence or examples to emphasize the disparity.

## Final Level Grading: Level 4

The paragraph demonstrates a clear claim, relevant evidence, and a balanced argument, but it requires improved coherence, depth of analysis, and integration of evidence to reach a higher level.

Questions for Reflection: - How could you better connect the evidence (e.g., Pilgrim Trust findings) to your claim about the working-class struggles? - Could you expand on Tom's middle-class status to explain how it shaped his experience of the 1930s?