## 2019\_sem\_1\_past\_exam

#### Michael Jones

#### 12/02/2022

 $\mathbf{R}$ 

#### Question 1)

**a**)

i)

 $logit(p_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \times duration_i + \beta_2 \times ageB_i + \beta_3 \times ageC_i + \beta_4 \times own.houseYes_i$ 

$$Y_i \sim Binomial(n_i, p_i)$$

Where  $Y_i$  is the number of customers who defaulted on their loan from the ith group.  $n_i$  is the number of customers in the ith group And  $p_i$  refers to the probability of a customer defaulting on their loan ageB is a dummy variable. It takes value 1 for groups between the ages 30 and 50, 0 otherwise. ageC is a dummy variable. It takes value 1 for groups older than 50, 0 otherwise duration refers to the duration of the loan in months own.houseYes is a Dummy variable, that takes the value 1 if the group owns their house, 0 otherwise.

i)

 $Y_i \sim Binomial(n_i, p_i)$  Our response variable is assumed to have a binomial distribution.

b)

A one month increase in duration of the loan will lead to a between 0.026 and 0.050 increase in the log odds that a custom will default.

```
100*(exp(c(0.026, 0.050))-1)
```

## [1] 2.634095 5.127110

 $\mathbf{c}$ 

The deviance does NOT suggest the model does not fit the data. We have done a hypothesis test with the H0 the model is appropriate. Given a p-value of 0.29, we do NOT reject the Null. The model is appropriate.

d)

The GAM plot of duration is approximately linear (we can fit a straight line between the dotted line). We do not need to add polynomial terms to the models.

**e**)

Model A is more appropriate. My preference is not very strong, as they models have approximately identical AICc. I prefer Model A because we should avoid over-complicating the model if it doesn't substantially increase predictive ability. Furthermore the extra variable (duration^2) has a high p-vaoue.

f)

i)

The deviance of model E is 0

ii)

Null deviance is 95.764

iii)

Saturated Model (E) Log Likelihood:  $\ell_s = -42.182$ 

Deviance Model B:  $D_B = 25.261$ 

$$D_B = 2(\ell_s - \ell_B)$$

$$25.261 = 2(-42.182 - \ell_B)$$

$$12.6305 = -42.182 - \ell_B$$

$$54.8125 = -\ell_B$$

$$\ell_B = -54.8125$$

#### 12.6305+42.182

## [1] 54.8125

The Maximized log likelihood of model B is -92.704

 $\mathbf{g}$ 

H0: The submodel (null Model) is appropriate. All our explanatory variables loan, age house, ownership are unrelated to probability a customer defaults their loan.

h)

H1: The submodel (null model) is not appropriate. At least one of our explanatory terms are necessary.

## i and j)

```
diffInDeviance <- 95.765-28.366
df <- 29-25
1-pchisq(diffInDeviance, df)</pre>
```

```
## [1] 8.026912e-14
```

We reject the null hypothesis, the submodel is not appropriate and at least one of our explanatory terms (duration, age, own.house) are necessary variables.

## Question 2)

a:d) Skip didn't study this

## Question 3)

**a**)

When plotting an explanatory variable whose relationship with log(y) decays over time

b)

```
lm(log(y) \sim log(x), data = data)
```

**c**)

```
beta_0 = -0.453
beta_1 = 0.0163
xfit=2
yfit=3

ypred = exp(beta_0 + beta_1*log(xfit))

ypred
```

```
## [1] 0.6429414
```

The fitted value is 0.64

d)

```
\begin{split} \log(y) &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 \times \log(x) \\ \text{If x is tripled: } \log(y) &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 \times \log(3) \times \log(x) \\ y &= \exp(\beta_0) \times \exp(\beta_1) \times 3x \\ \text{Therefore y is multiplied by 3 times.} \\ \text{mean of y increases 3 times.} \end{split}
```

# Question 4)

a)

An explanatory variable is factor that attempts to describe our repsonse variables

b)

A predictive model is a model whose primary purpose is to determine future observations.

**c**)

Measurement errors have little effect on the predictive power of a model, because they are biased.

## Question 5)

a)

```
log(y) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \times x
log(70) - \beta_0 = \beta_1 \times x \frac{log(70) - \beta_0}{\beta_1} = x
beta_0 = 4.270399
beta_1 = -0.000478
x = (log(70) - beta_0)/beta_1
x
```

## [1] 45.82376

**b**)

```
n = nrow(eurof)
n.sims = 1000
xActual = 45.81

for (i in n.sims) {
```

```
samp <- sample(1:nrow(eurof), replace = T)
boot.df <- eurof[samp,]

fit <- lm(pulse ~age, family = 'poisson', data = boot.df)

est.b0 = coef(fit)[1]
est.b1 = coef(fit)[2]
    xsim[i] = (log(70) - est.b0)/est.b1

}

c(2*xActual - quantile(xsim, probs = 0.975),
    2*xActual - quantile(xsim, probs = 0.025))</pre>
```

## Question 6)

$$logit(p_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \times x2_i + f_1(x3_i) + \beta_2 \times x4_i + f_2(x4_i^2)$$

 $p_i$  refers to the probability that y01 takes the value 1.  $beta_i$  reflect linear relationships  $f_i$  are the smoothing functions of GAM

### Question 7)

Test set is data that we do NOT use for model training. We use test set to gain an honest estimate of the mean squared prediction error (MSPE)

## Question 8)

a)

```
X2 = 40
X3 = 6.5

beta_1 = -6
beta_2 = 0.03
beta_3 = 0.5

logitY = beta_1 + beta_2*X2 + beta_3*X3
y = plogis(logitY)
y
```

```
## [1] 0.1750863
```

There is a 17.5% chance they get an A

b)

$$\begin{split} logit(0.5) &= beta_1 + beta_2 \times X2 + \beta_3 \times 6.5 \\ 0 &- beta_1 - beta_3 \times 6.5 = \beta_2 \times X2 \\ \frac{-beta_1 - beta_3 \times 6.5}{\beta_2} &= X2 \end{split}$$

## [1] 91.66667

They would have to study for approximately 91.7 hours.

## Question 9) REGSUBSETS (Didnt Study this)

# Question 10)

a)

We say that M is a modifier of the effect of X on Y when the average causal effect of X on Y varies across levels of M. We handle them by allowing interactions in statistical models.

b)

Design Experiments and observational studies

**c**)

```
fit <- glm(y ~ A + B, family = 'poisson', data = data)</pre>
```

d)

```
fit <- glm(B ~ A, family = 'poisson', data = data)</pre>
```

**e**)

```
fit <- glm(y ~ A, family = 'poisson', data = data)</pre>
```