

## Report #2

1. *Maximum a posteriori estimator.* Suppose that we have  $n$  sample points  $(x^1, y^1), \dots, (x^n, y^n) \in \mathbf{R}^d \times \mathbf{R}$  with a linear prediction model in the following form

$$\hat{y}^i = \theta^T x^i$$

assuming the model responses being independently generated by

$$y^i \approx \theta^T x^i + \epsilon$$

where  $\epsilon$  follows the zero-mean Gaussian distribution with variance  $\sigma^2$ . In other words:

$$p(y^i | \theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (\theta^T x^i - y^i)^2\right)$$

We are interested in finding the *maximum a posteriori (MAP)* estimator, *i.e.*, finding  $\theta^*$  that maximizes the following posterior density function,

$$p(\theta | y^1, \dots, y^n)$$

The *prior* density,  $p(\theta)$ , tells us the likely values that  $\theta$  may take *before* looking at the samples. Suppose that the elements of  $\theta$  are independent zero-mean Gaussian with variance  $\tau^2$  following:

$$p(\theta) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\tau^2)^{d/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\tau^2} \theta^T \theta\right)$$

We combine this with what the sample data tells us, namely, the *likelihood* density,  $p(y^1, \dots, y^n | \theta)$ , using the Bayes' rule, and get the *posterior* density of  $\theta$ , which tells us the likely  $\theta$  value *after* looking at the samples. The Bayes' rule with the sample independence assumption leads to

$$\begin{aligned} p(\theta | y^1, \dots, y^n) &= \frac{p(y^1, \dots, y^n | \theta) p(\theta)}{\int p(y^1, \dots, y^n | \eta) p(\eta) d\eta} \\ &= \alpha p(\theta) \prod_{i=1}^n p(y^i | \theta) \end{aligned}$$

for some  $\alpha > 0$ .

Now find the MAP estimator, that is, express the optimal  $\theta^*$  in terms of your sample data points,  $(x^1, y^1), \dots, (x^n, y^n)$ . *Hint: taking logarithm of  $p(\theta | y^1, \dots, y^n)$  does not change your solution.*