

Least Squares Linear Regression

Jong-Han Kim

EE787 Machine learning
Kyung Hee University

Least squares linear regression

- ▶ linear predictor $\hat{y} = g_{\theta}(x) = \theta^{\top} x$
- ▶ $\theta \in \mathbf{R}^d$ is the model parameter
- ▶ we'll use square loss function $\ell(\hat{y}, y) = (\hat{y} - y)^2$
- ▶ empirical risk is MSE

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\theta^{\top} x^i - y^i)^2$$

- ▶ ERM: choose model parameter θ to minimize MSE
- ▶ called *linear least squares fitting* or *linear regression*

Least squares formulation

- express MSE in matrix notation as

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\theta^\top x^i - y^i)^2 = \frac{1}{n} \|X\theta - y\|^2$$

where $X \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times d}$ and $y \in \mathbf{R}^n$ are

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} (x^1)^\top \\ \vdots \\ (x^n)^\top \end{bmatrix} \quad y = \begin{bmatrix} y^1 \\ \vdots \\ y^n \end{bmatrix}$$

- ERM is a *least squares problem*: choose θ to minimize $\|X\theta - y\|^2$
(factor $1/n$ doesn't affect choice of θ)

Least squares solution

(see *Introduction to Applied Linear Algebra: Vectors, Matrices, and Least Squares*)

- ▶ assuming X has linearly independent columns (which implies $n \geq d$), there is a unique optimal θ

$$\theta^* = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y = X^\dagger y$$

- ▶ standard algorithm:
 - ▶ compute QR factorization $X = QR$
 - ▶ compute $Q^T y$
 - ▶ solve $R\theta^* = Q^T y$ by back substitution
- ▶ in Julia: `theta_opt = X \ y`
- ▶ complexity is $2d^2n$ flops

Data matrix

- ▶ the $n \times d$ matrix

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} (x^1)^\top \\ \vdots \\ (x^n)^\top \end{bmatrix}$$

is called the *data matrix*

- ▶ i th row of X is i th feature vector, transposed
- ▶ j th column of X gives values of j th feature x_j across our data set
- ▶ X_{ij} is the value of j th feature for the i th data point

Constant fit

- ▶ the simplest feature vector is constant: $x = \phi(u) = 1$
(doesn't depend on u !)
- ▶ corresponding predictor is a constant function: $g(x) = \theta_1$
- ▶ data matrix is $X = \mathbf{1}_n$
- ▶ so $X^\dagger = (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top = (1/n) \mathbf{1}^\top$ and

$$\theta^* = X^\dagger y = \mathbf{1}^\top y / n = \text{avg}(y)$$

- ▶ *the average of the outcome values is the best constant predictor* (for square loss)
- ▶ optimal RMSE is standard deviation of outcome values

$$\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\text{avg}(y) - y^i)^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

Regression

- ▶ with $u \in \mathbf{R}^{d-1}$: $x = \phi(u) = (1, u)$
- ▶ same as $x_1 = 1$ (the first feature is constant)
- ▶ predictor has form


$$\hat{y} = \theta^\top x = \theta_1 + \theta_{2:d}^\top u$$

an affine function of u

Straight line fit

- ▶ with $u \in \mathbf{R}$, $x = (1, u) \in \mathbf{R}^2$
- ▶ model is $\hat{y} = g(x) = \theta_1 + \theta_2 u$
- ▶ this model is called *straight-line fit*
- ▶ when u is time, it's called the *trend line*
- ▶ when u is the whole market return, and y is an asset return, θ_2 is called ' β '

Straight line fit



- ▶ data from Federal Highway Administration road monitoring stations
- ▶ total number of vehicle-miles traveled per year in U.S.

Constant versus straight-line fit models

- ▶ for the constant model, we choose θ_1 to minimize

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\theta_1 - y^i)^2$$

- ▶ for the straight-line model, we choose (θ_1, θ_2) to minimize


$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\theta_1 + \theta_2 u^i - y^i)^2$$

- ▶ for optimal choices, this value is less than or equal to the one above (since we can take $\theta_2 = 0$ in the straight-line model)
- ▶ so the RMS error of the straight-line fit is no more than the standard deviation

Example: Diabetes

- ▶ u consists of 10 explanatory variables (age, bmi, ...)
- ▶ with constant feature $x_1 = 1$, $x \in \mathbf{R}^{11}$
- ▶ outcome y is measure of diabetes progression over after 1 year
- ▶ we'd like to predict y given the features
- ▶ constant model (mean) is $g(x) = 152$, with MSE 5930, RMS error 77


Example: Diabetes



- scatter plots of each explanatory variable versus y



data from <https://web.stanford.edu/~hastie/Papers/LARS/>

Straight-line fits using each explanatory variable





- ▶ a separate regression of each variable against y
- ▶ best single predictor is BMI, with MSE 3890

Straight-line fit with BMI



- ▶ left-hand plot shows optimal predictor $\hat{y} = -118 + 10.2 \text{ bmi}$
- ▶ right-hand plot shows y versus \hat{y}
- ▶ ideal plot would have all points on the diagonal

Regression with all explanatory variables



- ▶ left-hand plot uses only BMI to predict y , achieves loss ≈ 3890
- ▶ right-hand plot uses all features, achieves loss ≈ 2860
- ▶ model is

$$g(x) = -335 - 0.0364 \text{ age} - 22.9 \text{ sex} + 5.6 \text{ bmi} + 1.12 \text{ bp} - 1.09s_1 \\ + 0.746s_2 + 0.372s_3 + 6.53s_4 + 68.5s_5 + 0.28s_6$$